A Literature Review of How Visual Aids Promote Behavioral Change for Children with Autism

Chui Ling Ng



Master's Thesis Master of Philosophy in Special Needs Education Department of Special Needs Education Faculty of Educational Sciences

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

[Spring 2020]

A Literature Review of How Visual Aids Promote Behavioral Change for Children with Autism

© Chui Ling Ng

2020

A Literature Review of How Visual Aids Promote Behavioral Change for Children with Autism

Chui Ling Ng

http://www.duo.uio.no/

Printed: Reprosentralen, University of Oslo

Acknowledgements

This work is dedicated to my family and friends. Thank you for your understanding, support and help along the way.

I would like to thank my boyfriend Andres Quempumil for his positive support and patience.

I also wish to express thanks to my supervisor Sangwon Yoon for providing guidance throughout the process of researching and writing this thesis.

Abstract

Compared with typically developing children, children with ASD seem to show more prevalent and severe forms of challenging behaviors, which seriously impedes both their family- and school life. This study aims to explore the efficacy of different methods used to tackle the issue of challenging behaviors for children with ASD. Five types of visual aids (activity schedules, symbol exchange, social narratives, contingency map and cues cards) that are used to help reduce challenging behaviors are explored through investigating the research frequency of the visual aids, their context and settings and through a comparison of their effectiveness.

A literature review was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework. Using the PRISMA framework I narrowed down 345 to 9 articles for my analysis. The results of the study found that visual aids were likely to be effective in correcting challenging behaviors for children with ASD. Among the 5 types of visual aids, social narratives seemed to have the most potential in correcting challenging behaviors. Combined visual aids also seemed to be effective while the results for activity schedules and symbol exchange were not conclusive. The results of this study can help practitioners to choose the most appropriate visual aid(s) for children with ASD. A research gap on contingency map was found and it is recommended to conduct further research on the topic.

Abbreviations

Autism Spectrum Disorder
Diagnostic and Statistical Manual
Education Resources Information Center
Literacy-based Behavioral Interventions
Pervasive Developmental Disorder - Not Otherwise Specified
Picture Exchange Communication System
Percentage of Data Exceeding the Median
Percentage of Non-overlapping Data
Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	
1.1 Aim and Research Questions	
1.1.1 Questions	
1.2 Terminology	
1.2.1 Autism Spectrum Disorder	
1.2.2 Challenging Behaviors	5
1.2.3 Visual Aids	5
1.3 Outline of the Thesis	
2. Literature Review	8
2.1 Autism Spectrum Disorder	
2.1.1 The Definition of Autism Spectrum Disorder	8
2.1.2 Symptoms of Autism Spectrum Disorder and Its Prevalence	9
2.2 Challenging Behaviors in Children with ASD	
2.2.1 Prevalence of Challenging Behaviors	
2.2.2 Consequences of Challenging Behaviors	
2.2.3 Reasons Behind Challenging Behaviors	
2.3 Visual aids	
2.3.1 The Role of Visual Aids	
2.3.2. Advantages of Visual Aids	14
2.3.3 Influential Factors on the Effectiveness of Visual Aids	
2.4 Theoretical Background	
2.4.1 Information Processing Theory	
2.5 Current State of Literature in the Field	20
3. Methodology	22
3.1 PRISMA	22
3.2 Literature Selection	24
3.2.1 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	24
3.2.2 Literature Selection Procedure	25
3.3 Data Extraction	29
3.4 Data Synthesis	
3.4.1 Qualitative Data Synthesis	
3.4.2 Quantitative Data Synthesis	
4. Results	35
4.1 Flow-chart of Study Selection	35
4.2 Description of Study	
4.3 Research Frequency of the Visual-Aid Interventions	
4.4 Settings and Contexts of the Visual-Aid Interventions	
4.4.1 Participants' Characteristics	
4.4.2 Target Behaviors	
4.4.3 Interventions Employed and Their Context	

4.4.4 Intervention Settings	45
4.5 Effectiveness of the Visual-Aid Interventions	46
4.5.1 Effect Size of Social Narratives	47
4.5.2 Effect Size of Symbol Exchange	49
4.5.3 Effect Size of Activity Schedule	50
4.5.4 Effect Size of Social Narratives and Symbol Exchange as a Combined Intervention	51
4.5.5 Effect Size of Symbol Exchange and Activity Schedule as a Combined Intervention	52
5. Discussion	53
5.1 Research Frequency of the Visual-Aid Interventions	53
5.2 Settings and Contexts of the Visual-Aid Interventions	56
5.3 Effectiveness of the Visual-Aid Interventions	58
5.4 Reliability and Validity of This Review	61
5.4.1 Reliability	61
5.4.2 Validity	62
5.5 Limitations	63
5.6 Implications	65
5.6.1 Implication for Practitioners	65
5.6.2 Implication for Researchers	66
6. Conclusion	67
References	68
Appendices	78
Appendices A: Articles Screening Process with Detail Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	78
Appendices B: Reference of All Articles Gathered for Abstract Screening	97

1. Introduction

Anger and frustrations are common emotions experienced by human beings. Adults learn to tackle them by recognizing their emotions, self-control and building a support system. However, young children might not have the capacity to regulate their emotions as their social and emotional skills are not yet fully developed, due to this there are many moments when children lash out in anger and frustration. They display aggressive behaviors such as shouting, screaming, swearing and throwing objects (Pillay & Vieira, 2020). These challenging behaviors are problematic and can impede both family- and school life and they have also been linked to different forms of abusive parenting and adverse cognitive and social development (Dunlap et al., 2006; Lansford et al., 2011; Wagner, Cameto, & Newman, 2003). Seeing the negative impacts of challenging behaviors, this study aims to tackle the difficult issue by exploring the efficacy of different visual aids through a literature review.

While challenging behaviors is a common issue for typically developing children, research found that challenging behaviors tend to be more severe and more prevalent among children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) (Hutchins, & Prelock, 2014; Matson, Wilkins, & Macken, 2008; Reichow & Barton, 2014). Children with ASD are often more frequently engaged in serious challenging behaviors such as being aggressive to other children, violence towards caretakers and self-injuries (Martinez, Werch, & Conroy, 2016). Serious self-injuring behavior they might engage in includes head banging, self-punching, self-biting and self-scratching (Minshawi et al., 2014). Due to the greater severity of the challenging behaviors and the higher frequency of the episodes compared to typically developing children, the impacts of challenging behaviors of children with ASD are more far-reaching and come in a higher variety of forms. It affects the children's family and school life more seriously than challenging behavior among typically developing children. Comparing parents of typically developing children with parents of children with ASD shows that the latter reported increased risk of physical injuries, higher stress levels, lower quality of family life, higher loss of social life and work opportunities and a higher risk of being misunderstood by the public and experiencing fragile mental states (Matson et al., 2011; Bessette Gorlin, Mcalpine, Garwick, & Wieling, 2016; Dunlap & Fo, 1999; Larson, 2010). In addition, siblings of children with

ASD reported being neglected and suffered from mental issues as a consequence of the experienced neglect (Werner DeGrace, 2004). Teachers of children with ASD reported decreased teaching qualities, disruption in classroom, conflicts between peers, violence and aggression and increased risk of physical injuries due to severe challenging behaviors (Sigafoos, 2003). Research into the consequences of challenging behavior among children with ASD leaves little doubt that there is value in evaluating the effectiveness of methods that are meant to promote behavior change. I will go on to present some methods for behavior change that are presented by researchers.

Researchers have suggested many solutions to reduce challenging behavior for children with ASD. Koegel, Matos-Freden, Lang, & Koegel (2012) wrote a summary on interventions to reduce challenging behaviors in public schools. They suggested that function-based behavior interventions were a viable method to tackle challenging behaviors. This requires assessing the function or purpose of the challenging behavior that occurs to facilitate the creation of a behavioral intervention plan (Koegel et al., 2012). The intervention plans usually include giving rewards for desirable behaviors with the aim of reinforcing the desired behavior (Koegel et al., 2012). The summary also suggested modified assignment as a viable intervention to reduce challenging behaviors. This method is applicable when students find a task difficult and use challenging behavior as a mean to avoid the task. In the preceeding situation the difficulty level of the assignment would be adjusted to allow the student to manage to complete the task and thus reduce the challenging behavior. Koegel et al. (2012) also suggested communication related interventions, such as Picture Exchange Communication System (Bondy & Frost, 1994) and Functional Communication Training as viable methods to promote behavior change (Carr & Durand, 1985). These two interventions usually target children with severe communication impairments and teach them to replace challenging behaviors with communication. In addition, other researchers focused on visual aids, cognitive therapies, speech therapies and positive behavior support as viable interventions (Kidder & McDonnell, 2017; Warren, 2011).

In this article I choose to focus on visual aids as one of the most helpful solution to reduce challenging behaviors for children with ASD due to its communicative nature. Since children with ASD often have communication impairments and struggle with processing auditory information, visual aids serves as an alternative source of information

and as such facilitates communication for children with ASD (Dooley, Wilczenski, & Torem, 2001). One of the strengths of visual aids is that it has many advantages when it comes to conveying information. For example, visual aids can be relatively permanent if it is printed on a paper as it allows children to re-read the information as many times as they wish. Also, the information given through visual aids is usually easy to understand as it is often constructed with simple symbols that are familiar for the children, such as people and items in their environment.

Dooley, Wilczenski, & Torem (2001) also found that visual activity schedules smoothed school transitions and reduced aggressive and disruptive behaviors during transition from one activity to anther for children with ASD. In addition to the preceeding, many others have conducted research on social stories and found that it might reduce problematic social behaviors for children with ASD (Agosta, Graetz, Mastropieri, & Scruggs, 2004; McGill, Baker, & Busse, 2015; Karkhaneh et al., 2010).

Visual aids are a popular theme when it comes to interventions that are geared towards reducing challenging behaviors for children with ASD. Many studies have focused on a single type of visual aids, such as social stories or activity schedule to investigate how and to what extent they reduce challenging behaviors (Dooley, Wilczenski, & Torem, 2001; Agosta, Graetz, Mastropieri, & Scruggs, 2004; McGill, Baker, & Busse, 2015; Karkhaneh et al., 2010). For example, Lequia, Machalicek, & Rispoli (2012)'s review paper gathered previous research on activity schedules and inspected its relationship with challenging behaviors. Other review papers followed the same trend in regards to focusing on a single type of visual aids and its relationship with challenging behavior. Both Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) and social stories have been treated separately (Battaglia, & McDonalds, 2015; McGill, Baker and Busse, 2015). Thus these review papers can only tell us the effectiveness of one type of visual aids; however, they cannot provide us the overall picture of which type of visual aids are more widely used and to what extent they are effective when compared. Hence there is a research and knowledge gap that needs to be filled as to help teachers and parents to choose the appropriate visual aids to reduce challenging behaviors in children. This necessitates an inspection and comparison of the effectiveness of the different types of visual aids available. To achieve a meaningful comparison I will conduct a literature review, following the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and

Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) to study the research frequency of visual aids, their context and settings of implementation and their effectiveness.

1.1 Aim and Research Questions

The overall aim of this research is to provide an overview about how different types of visual aids reduce challenging behaviors for children with ASD. I hope this article can provide meaningful and practical information to teachers and educators so they can choose the appropriate visual aid that is needed to reduce challenging behaviors exhibited by children with ASD.

To fulfill the aim of this paper, I choose the following research questions:

1.1.1 Questions

1. What is the research frequency of the visual-aid interventions used to change challenging behaviors for children with ASD?

2. What are the settings and contexts of the visual-aid interventions used to change challenging behaviors for children with ASD?

3. What are the effect sizes of the visual-aid interventions used to change challenging behaviors for children with ASD?

1.2 Terminology

Before moving on to the main research content, I will explain the terms used in this research paper in detail to avoid confusion and to allow the reader to have a clear understanding of the different concepts that are used and discussed.

1.2.1 Autism Spectrum Disorder

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is an umbrella term that is used to describe a wide range of neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by impairments in social communication and a restricted, repetitive pattern of behavior (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

1.2.2 Challenging Behaviors

Challenging behaviors of children with ASD is defined as engaging in physical aggression, verbal aggression and infliction of self-injuries (Martinez, Werch, & Conroy, 2016). Concrete examples of challenging behaviors exhibited by children with ASD include hitting, kicking, biting, spitting, yelling, screaming and hurting oneself (Agosta, Graetz, Mastropieri, & Scruggs, 2004; O'Reilly al., 2005).

1.2.3 Visual Aids

Visual aids are defined as "concrete cues that provide information about an activity, routine, or expectation and/ or support skill demonstration" (Wong et al., 2014, p. 104).

From the above definition one can understand visual aids as a broad concept. Since I focus on school age children with ASD, I limit my visual aids definition to encompass visual materials and resources used in school, such as pictures, photos and drawings used as teaching materials.

To tackle the issue that visual aids is a broad concept consisting of many different strategies, I picked 5 visual aids strategies that are commonly used in school and other education settings in order to narrow down the scope. These 5 types of visual aids were chosen based on the suggestions given in a paper on visual aids to support behavior change for children with ASD (Kidder, & McDonnell, 2017). Narrowing down visual aids into 5 types: activity schedule, contingency map, cue cards, social narratives and symbol exchange allows me to explore the topic in a deeper and more concentrated way. In the following, I will explain the terminology of each type of visual aids.

Activity Schedule

Activity schedule can be defined as a timeline of upcoming events paired with visual stimuli (Akers, Higbee, Gerencser, & Pellegrino, 2018; Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). The visual stimuli are usually presented in the form of pictures, photographs and words to prepare children for future change in activities (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). Activity schedule aims to increase the independence of children with ASD by equipping them with the skills to participate in a chain of activities without the assistance from adults (MacDuff, Krantz, & McClannahan, 1993).

Contingency Map

Contingency map is defined as a flowchart of behavior choices and their consequences (Brown & Mirenda, 2006). The flowchart consists of current behavior and alternative behavior with their corresponding consequences (Brown & Mirenda, 2006). It aims to help children with ASD in decision making by offering them an alternative behavior that has the same function but act more effectively (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017).

Cue Cards

Cue cards are a series of cards that provide visual prompt or reminder for specific behaviors (Schmit, Alper, Raschke, & Ryndak, 2000). Through displaying a specific picture, it teaches the children to prepare for the upcoming tasks and sometimes it aims to stop the children's current behavior by making them aware of it (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017).

Social Narratives

Social narratives are interventions that teach appropriate social behaviors. It usually manifests itself as a simple written description of appropriate social behaviors or responses to specific social situations (Morris, 1987). Parents or teachers usually write social narratives and it is individualized in accordance with the student's targeted behavior and his/her language level (Morris, 1987). Visual aids such as photos and drawings are commonly added in social narratives to enhance the reader's understanding.

While social narratives is the umbrella term that describe short written descriptions of social behaviors, social stories is one of the most popular type of narratives. Social stories follow specific guidelines by Gray (2000). It is written from the perspective from the child to illustrate a social situation they are in with social cues, choices and outcomes (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). Social stories aim to improve the child's understanding of how people behave and think and how to act appropriately in different social situations (Gray, 2000).

Symbol Exchange

Symbol exchange is defined as using visual symbols to express needs and desires (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). It aims to help children with language delays or non-verbal children to convey their needs and desires through symbols (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). One of the most commonly practiced systems of symbol exchange is The Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS). It is a well-established training system to help children to achieve functional communication through photos and symbols (Bondy & Frost, 1994, 1998).

1.3 Outline of the Thesis

This paper explores how visual aids reduce challenging behaviors for children with ASD in the format of a literature review of current research. First, it starts with an introduction chapter emphasizing the importance of the topic, aims, research questions and terminology. Following this, the literature review chapter provides an overview of the main concepts: ASD, challenging behaviors and visual aids followed by theoretical background and current state of literature. After that, the methodology chapter presents the PRISMA method in detail descriptions and how to apply it for data synthesis. Afterwards, the results chapter presents findings in accordance of the three research questions. Finally, a summary of the findings, discussion of the findings and limitations will be presented in the discussion chapter.

2. Literature Review

The literature review chapter is divided into 5 parts. The first three sections describe the related theories and importance of the three key concepts in this paper: ASD, challenging behaviors and visual aids. After that, theoretical background section and current state of literature are presented.

2.1 Autism Spectrum Disorder

Our target participants in this article are children with ASD that display challenging behaviors. Therefore, before finding out what types of visual-aid interventions can reduce challenging behaviors, it is important to have a picture of what autism is by looking into its definitions, its symptoms and prevalence to understand how and why visual aids can help them.

2.1.1 The Definition of Autism Spectrum Disorder

Autism Spectrum Disorder is one of the most researched child psychiatric disorders. In 1912, the term "Autism" was first suggested by Paul Eugen Bleuler, a Swiss psychiatrist who used it to describe schizophrenia and he explained the term as escaping from reality and social withdrawal (Holaday, 2012).

Over the years, Autism was considered to be a symptom of schizophrenia. It was not until 1980s that "infantile autism" was finally recognized in DSM III (American Psychiatric Association, 1980) as an independent diagnosis rather than symptoms of childhood schizophrenia. Later, autism related disorders were divided into 4 subcategories and they were Autistic Disorder, Asperger's Disorder, Pervasive Developmental Disorder-Not Otherwise Specified (PDD-NOS) and Childhood Disintegrative Disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). In DSM-V (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), the definition of autism changed again. Now the newest term is "Autism Spectrum Disorder", which is an umbrella term and includes a spectrum of mental disorders that are characterized by impairments in social communication and a restricted, repetitive pattern of behavior (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

2.1.2 Symptoms of Autism Spectrum Disorder and Its Prevalence

Children with ASD usually experience communication challenges. They demonstrate limited skills in verbal and nonverbal communication (Frederickson, & Cline, 2015). The communication ability of the children with ASD varies in different cases as it depends on the children's intellectual ability and social skills. However, children with ASD usually show certain degree of limitations in their communication skills. Its severity ranges from not being able to use speech to communicate their needs or wants, to knowing many vocabularies but do not communicate in accordance with social expectations or can only talk about certain topics in great details (Kasari, Brady, Lord, & Tager-Flusberg, 2013). In addition, even though some children with ASD know vocabularies, they usually have difficulties in understanding the meaning of the sentences, the rhythm, the tone of the speech, the body language, etc. (Kasari et al., 2013). Therefore, communication that combines much information from gestures, eye contact, facial expressions, spoken language and body language could be far too complex for children with ASD to comprehend.

Social challenges also accompany children with ASD. They often struggle with recognizing emotion, expressing emotion, showing empathy, understanding social rules, etc. (Kirk, Gallagher, Coleman, & Anastasiow, 2012). Wing (1988) described that the social challenges faced by individuals with ASD could be systematically divided into three levels. The first level is social recognition, that is, uninterested in others (Wing, 1988). The second level is social communication, which is described as having difficulties expressing oneself and understanding others (Wing, 1988). The third level is social understanding, which can be described as unable to understand others' feelings (Wing, 1988).

In addition, ASD is associated with restricted and repeated behaviors (Frederickson, & Cline, 2015). These behaviors manifest themselves in various ways, such as repetitive body movements including clapping, waving, rocking and banging; resistance to change including following same schedule, having same meal and putting on same clothes everyday; and repetitive play such as spinning wheels and lining up toys repeatedly (Watt, Wetherby, Barber, & Morgan, 2008).

As people are more aware of the symptoms of ASD and better diagnosis criteria of ASD are available now in DSM V, the diagnosis of ASD has been increasing all over the world. The prevalence of ASD for children and youth increased 119% between 2000 to 2010, and this upward trend has shown continuously (Baio, 2014). For this reason, ASD is one of the fastest increasing developmental disorders; the global prevalence estimate of ASD is 0.62% according to a meta-analysis (Elsabbagh et al., 2012).

2.2 Challenging Behaviors in Children with ASD

Challenging behaviors in children with ASD can cause a lot of distress in families and schools. In this section, I will look at the prevalence of challenging behaviors, its consequences and reasons behind challenging behaviors.

2.2.1 Prevalence of Challenging Behaviors

It is difficult to accurately predict the prevalence of challenging behaviors in children with ASD due to the diverse definition of challenging behaviors among researchers; however, there is certainly a trend showing that children with ASD are more likely to engage in challenging behaviors than other typically developing children (Hutchins, & Prelock, 2014; Matson, Wilkins, & Macken, 2008; Reichow & Barton, 2014). Current research reports that the prevalence of challenging behaviors among children with ASD is 38% to 94% (Baghdadli et al., 2003; Holden & Gitlesen, 2006; Matson, Wilkins, & Macken, 2008). The prevalence rate varies due to the diverse scope of challenging behaviors being examined, which ranged from self-injury behaviors, physical aggression to any form of challenging behaviors.

2.2.2 Consequences of Challenging Behaviors

Challenging behaviors can cause a lot of troubles for children with ASD. It impedes one's family life, school life and overall life qualities.

Regarding family life, challenging behaviors increase physical restraint (Matson, Sipes, Fodstad, & Fitzgerald, 2011). This is due to the fact that challenging behaviors pose as a risk factor for increased physical injuries to the children with ASD and also to the caretakers (Matson et al., 2011). Challenging behaviors burden the caretakers as it causes

high stress level (Bessette Gorlin, Mcalpine, Garwick, & Wieling, 2016). These stress usually come from the stigma and disgrace that the parents experienced because of the children's challenging behaviors and it is well reported that many parents felt misunderstood as the public sees the misbehavior of the children due to poor parenting skills rather than a developmental disorder (Farrugia, 2009). Challenging behaviors also creates imbalance in the family. It restricts the caretakers' social life and work opportunities as they need to commit substantial amount of time to attend to their children's severe challenging behaviors (Larson, 2010). Due to the significant amount of attention the parents' focus on the children with ASD, siblings suffer and feel neglected (Werner DeGrace, 2004).

Challenging behaviors impact the children's school life negatively. It interferes the learning activities for the children with ASD and other children in the classroom (Sigafoos, 2003). Such interference prevents the children from acquiring adaptive behaviors and socially acceptable behaviors and limits their opportunities of participation in academic activities (Sigafoos, 2003). Challenging behaviors can also cause serious conflicts between the children with ASD and their peers (Boyd, McDonough, & Bodfish, 2012; Sigafoos, 2003). Conflicts such as physical aggression and verbal aggression between students destabilize the school and create disruption in the classroom. Challenging behaviors pose a threat to teachers by disabling them from performing teaching duties and shifting their attention and focus to regulate atypical behaviors. It may also increase the risk of physical injuries of the teachers (Sigafoos, 2003).

As family experience and school experience are two major components of childhood, with both experience being impeded due to challenging behaviors, children with ASD is reported to suffer from low life quality (Dunlap & Fox, 1999; Carr et al., 2002). There is an immediate need to address this issue.

2.2.3 Reasons Behind Challenging Behaviors

The tendency of children with ASD developing challenging behaviors is higher than typically developing children and children with other disabilities (Hutchins, & Prelock, 2014; Matson, Wilkins, & Macken, 2008; Reichow & Barton, 2014). The reason behind

this high level of prevalence is due to the distinctive features of the developmental disorder.

Firstly, children with ASD usually have difficulty in communication (Dooley, Wilczenski, & Torem, 2001). Many with ASD are reported to suffer from serious impairments in verbal and non-verbal communication (Dooley, Wilczenski, & Torem, 2001; Frea, Arnold, & Vittimberga, 2001). Many researchers believe that children with ASD resolve to challenging behaviors due to frustration in expressing their needs and wants and to attract attention from others (Conroy, Dunlap, Clarke, & Alter, 2005). A vivid example of this is when children with ASD is feeling unwell, tired or hungry, communication impairments hinder them from expressing their needs, in order to gain attention and to express frustration, they often resort to challenging behaviors such as kicking, aggression, property destruction and self-injuries (Conroy et al., 2005).

Another reason is that children with ASD often show atypical development in social reasoning (i.e., confused about social situations) (Quill, 1995). This often leads to children with ASD having troubles understanding their surroundings (i.e., what is happening and what is meaning of non-verbal communication between people) (Rogers & Mesibov, 2013). The lack of understanding of surroundings can confuse children with ASD and lead to potential challenging behaviors. Another example of atypical development in social reasoning is that children with ASD often lack the ability to identify socially acceptable behaviors (Shulman, Guberman, Shiling, & Bauminger, 2012). Research has shown that individuals with ASD lack understanding in abstract social rules and are significantly inferior in distinguishing socially-acceptable behaviors in social situations than other regularly developed individuals (Shulman et al., 2012).

Furthermore, challenging behaviors could be a sign of high level of anxiety in the children with ASD (Bitsika, & Sharpley, 2016). Children with ASD could be quite rigid in their routines; any change and disturbance in their routines could lead to high anxiety and challenging behaviors (Kirk et al., 2012). Besides rigid routines, insecurity feeling in new environment, discomfort in social situation could trigger high stress level and challenging behaviors as well (Kirk et al., 2012).

In addition, children with ASD are often reported to be over-sensitive or under-sensitive to sensory stimuli (Kirk et al., 2012). Sensitivity to stimuli varies among individuals with

ASD as they show different degree of sensitivity to sights, sounds, smells, tastes and touches (Gillingham, 1995). Reports of sensory sensitivity by individual with ASD include noise that sounds like train rumbling through ears, smells that upsets, touches that pains, light that hurts, etc. (Gillingham, 1995). Too much information leads to stimuli overload, which could cause stress, challenging behaviors, withdrawal and meltdown among children with ASD.

2.3 Visual aids

Visual aids are an important strategy that may help change behaviors. Visual aids are especially useful due to its communication nature. In this section, I will look at the role of visual aids, how it can help children with ASD to communicate, its advantages and influential factors on the effectiveness of visual aids.

2.3.1 The Role of Visual Aids

Visual input is one of the most usual means to convey information. In everyday life, visual input from text, photography, motion pictures, videos and graphic arts provides rich information to process. Visual aids serve as a type of visual input that conveys information and a mean to strengthen communication. Visual aids are especially beneficial for children with ASD as they usually experience communication impairments (Dooley, Wilczenski, & Torem, 2001), visual aids can serve as an important tool to compensate their limitations in communication and to facilitate communication. To understand the role of visual aids, it is essential to look at the biological limitations and strength in children with ASD and how visual aids can help convey information.

Limitation and Strength in Information Processing of Children with ASD

As previously mentioned, children with ASD often experience communication impairments due to their problems in understanding auditory information (Dooley, Wilczenski, & Torem, 2001). Auditory information processing impairment manifests both behaviorally and biologically (O'connor, 2012). Biologically, auditory information processing impairment is associated with inability to distinguish pitch, loudness, tone, stress, rhythm, etc. (O'connor, 2012). Behaviorally, it is often associated with difficulties in reading, spelling, expressing, etc. (Catts, & Kamhi, 2005).

Even though many children with ASD experience difficulties in processing auditory information correctly and accurately, research found that children with ASD might have strength in nonverbal information processing to compensate for their weakness (Dooley, Wilczenski, & Torem, 2001). Nonverbal information includes text, photos, facial expressions, gestures, body languages, etc. In addition, among different types of nonverbal information, research found that children with ASD may have comparative strength in processing nonverbal nonsocial information and they tend to learn more effectively in nonverbal problem-solving than verbal ones (Dooley, Wilczenski, & Torem, 2001).

Visual Aids' Role in Conveying Information

Visual aids are a good source to convey nonverbal information. Visual aids come in various forms, such as objects, pictures, photos, graphs, postures, video clips, etc. (Rogers & Mesibov, 2013). Visual aids can facilitate children with ASD in receiving, creating and expressing meanings (Rogers & Mesibov, 2013).

Researcher also found that children with ASD are usually visual learners and tend to understand information explained in visual forms much better than verbal forms (Ashcroft et al., 2010). This is probably due to the fact that visual information is usually more straightforward and easier to process than verbal information. That is, visual information can be very direct, as one does not need to consider tones, stress, pitch and rhythm. Visual information is also stable and can be relatively permanent as learners can re-read the information anytime. Thus, seeing that verbal information cannot fulfill the communication needs for children with ASD, visual aids can serve as good alternative communicative resources for children with ASD.

2.3.2. Advantages of Visual Aids

In this section I will present the 4 main advantages of visual aids to show why it is beneficial to adopt them.

Visual Aids is Practical

Visual aids are a practical teaching tool that can be widely used in the classrooms. To create visual aids are relatively easy and do not require professionals (Rogers & Mesibov, 2013). Educators and teachers in school can create their own visual aids material with common resources. Visual aids are a strategy that can be implemented broadly in school, and it is beneficial not only to children with ASD but also to other students without the diagnosis (Zimmerman, Ledford, & Barton, 2017). Visual aids are also multi-purpose, teachers can use visual aids to help learners to achieve a variety of skills and promote behavior changes (Kidder & McDonnell, 2017).

Easy to Find

Visual aids materials are easy to find. It is diverse that a wide range of items can be used as visual aids, such as symbols, photographs, videos, pictures, drawings, words and real objects (Rogers & Mesibov, 2013). Visual aids materials can be found in a lot of books and journals, some of which are written specifically for children with ASD, such as Rogers & Mesibov (2013)'s book on visual supports for visual thinkers, Kidder & McDonnell (2017)'s paper on visual aids and positive behavior support, Ashcroft, Argiro and Keohane (2010)'s book on successful strategies for teaching kids with autism and more. Visual aids are also widely accessible on the internet. With a simple search, one can find visual aids materials on websites such as Autism Society of America, National Autistic Society and Autism Speaks websites and more.

Personalized

Visual aids can be personalized to fits one's interests and needs. For example, to make visual aids more attractive for students, it can be made into an item of interests (i.e., the shape of trains, rockets, etc.). In addition, to make visual aids realistic, one can use the photos of the school, the classroom and the peers. It is also possible to choose different types of visual aids for different purposes. For example, to use visual schedule for routines, symbols for communication, social stories for teaching adaptive social behaviors, etc. (Rogers & Mesibov, 2013).

Durable

Visual aids are durable. For traditional visual aids that are printed on a paper, it can last a long time with lamination. For visual aids with a concrete form such as a real object or a plastic version of the object, it can be relatively permanent. Nowadays with the technological advancement, many visuals aids come in digital forms such as videos, digital photos, apps and they are lasting and can be transferred and used on any smartphone, tablets or computers.

2.3.3 Influential Factors on the Effectiveness of Visual Aids

Even though visual aids have many advantages, there are factors that may affect its effectiveness. As previously mentioned, visual aids are a concept with broad scopes. It has many different types and I focus on 5 types in this article. The types of visual aids could be a crucial factor in determining the effectiveness. To decide what types of visual aids to employ, one needs to look at the type of information to be conveyed. When the goal is to teach appropriate social behaviors, to understand others' feelings and to identify a challenging situation, researchers usually employ social narratives (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). However, when the goal is to teach the flow of a task or to show transitions between activities, researcher may look into activity schedules (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017).

Besides the types of visual aids, the settings of the visual aids may affect the effectiveness of the intervention. For example, to construct a social story, researchers or teachers first follow the guidelines of Gray (2000), afterwards they determine the experimental settings such as when and where to read the social stories, how many times the children should read the social stories and who should read the social stories. Besides that, external factors like how the classroom is decorated, how many students are in the classroom and what kind of activities the student did before reading the social stories can all affect the effectiveness of the visual-aid intervention.

Therefore, to explore what types of visual aids are effective in reducing challenging behaviors, it is important to look carefully into the types of information to be conveyed, the settings of the experiment and the overall classroom setting outside the experiment so that one can fully understand what makes a visual aid effective and why.

2.4 Theoretical Background

The basic function of visual aids is to convey information and to support communication. To fully understand how visual aids work and its mechanism, one needs to take a look at the information processing theory.

2.4.1 Information Processing Theory

Information processing theory is proposed by Atkinson and Shiffrin in 1968. It is a theory that provides a theoretical framework for human memory.

Atkinson and Shiffrin (1968) proposed two types of categorization for human memory. The first categorization distinguishes structure and control processes of human memory (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). Structure of the human memory refers to the permanent features of memory, which includes the physical structure of memory and the built-in process of memory (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). The physical structure of memory and the built-in process of memory are inborn and unchangeable. They can be illustrated as a computer system in which the physical hardware and the built-in program of the computer are fixed features of the computer (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). The control processes of the human memory, on the other hand, are changeable and constructed by subject (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). It describes how the subject process the information is due to his personal history, the information available at hand, the setting of the information, etc. (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). To illustrate the control processes in the example of computer, it can be seen as the subject's choice to modify the sequences of the program, to highlight particular information and to rehearse certain information (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968).

The other categorization of memory proposed by Atkinson and Shiffrin (1968) distinguishes the sensory register, the short-term store and the long-term store. The sensory register refers to the process of visual information first enters the sensory register where it stays for a few hundred milliseconds, then decays and is lost (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). The short-term store can also be called the subjects' working memory. It receives information from both the sensory register and the long-term store and information in short-term store decays and is lost in about 30 seconds (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). The long-term store refers to the relatively permanent memory that does

not decay or become lost (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). Information in the long-term store can be recalled and retrieved by the subject on a fairly permanent base.

The two categorization of memory proposed by Atkinson and Shiffrin (1968) are closely related as control processes plays an important role in transferring information between sensory register, short-term store and long-term store. The transferring process does not mean that information will be removed from its original store and move to the other store; rather, it refers to a copying process of the information from the original store to the next store and the information remains in both stores (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). The subject largely controls this information flow process across stores and it is multidirectional (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968).

As shown in Figure 1, the information flow usually begins as a visual stimuli being presented and registered as a sensory input. Then the subject scans the sensory input and codes the input with available information in the long-term store which result in a transfer of selective information from the sensory register into the short-term store (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). The next step is the subject-controlled processes of transferring information from the short-term store to the long-term store. During this stage, the subject controls the information flow and only certain amount and certain form of information will be transferred (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). Finally, information transfer from the long-term store to the short-term store is possible. This process is also self-controlled and often illustrates as problem solving, thinking, recalling, etc. (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968).

An important factor in information processing theory is rehearsal (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). It is defined as repeating the information over and over in order to process information (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). Rehearsal has two major purposes. The primary purpose is to lengthen the time the information stays in short-term store (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). An example of this is to rehearse telephone numbers in order to remember it. By rehearsing telephone numbers, it expands the duration of time the numbers stay in the short-term store, prolongs the decay and allows more time for coding and transferring of the numbers to long-term store (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). The other purpose of rehearsal is to strengthen information in the long-term store (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). An example of this is when one wish to remember a

telephone numbers permanently, one rehearses the numbers multiple times (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968).

The information processing theory provides a good framework for using visual aids to promote behavior change. Visual aids are presented as stimuli then registered as a sensory input. Afterwards the stimuli will be transferred to the short-term store. Upon multiple rehearsals of the promoted behaviors, its time of decay will be prolonged which allows more time for coding and transferring the information to the long-term store. Later, rehearsal of the visual information/promoted behavior continues which increase the strength of the information in the long-term store. The information in the long-term store stays relatively permanent and the promoted behavior is learned.

Figure 1

The information flow in information processing theory



Note. This figure is adapted from "Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes," by R.C. Atkinson, and R. M. Shiffrin, 1968, *The psychology of learning and motivation: Advances in research and theory*, 2, p. 89-195.

2.5 Current State of Literature in the Field

Regarding interventions for reducing challenging behaviors, Martinez, Werch, and Conroy (2016) did a systematic literature review on school-based interventions. Martinez et al. (2016)'s work focused on single case design studies that included children with ASD from 3 to 8 years old in school settings. They gathered 22 articles with a total of 44 children and calculated the effect size using Non-overlap of All Pairs and Tau-U methods. Their findings suggested that school-based interventions could likely reduce targeted challenging behaviors. Martinez et al. (2016) categorized school-based interventions into five categories. They were antecedent-based, function-based, reinforcement, instructional, or multicomponent. Among these five school-based intervention, visual aids were integrated as a component of the intervention packages. Martinez et al. (2016) described that social stories were the most frequently implemented method in function-based interventions and visual cues were commonly used in antecedent-based interventions. However, Martinez et al. (2016) did not explore the relationship between challenging behavior and visual supports exclusively. Visual aids were only one of the components in the intervention packages, but it was not necessarily the main component, and thus it is difficult to determine the effectiveness of visual aids on reducing challenging behaviors.

Many other reviews explored the relationship between visual aids and challenging behaviors in children with ASD. For example, Lequia, Machalicek, & Rispoli (2012) conducted a systematic review on the relationship between activity schedule and challenging behaviors. The findings suggested that activity schedules have positive effect on reducing challenging behaviors (Lequia, Machalicek, & Rispoli, 2012). However, the study only inspected one type of visual-aid interventions (i.e. activity schedule); therefore, the general effect of visual aids remains unknown. In addition, among the 18 studies Lequia et al. (2012) gathered, 60% took place in education settings and the rest took place in homes and communities; thus, it did not offer a deep inspection on visual aids in classroom settings. The article also adopted a relatively broad definition of challenging behaviors, which included disruptive behaviors, lack of engagement, selfinjuries, lack of social initiation, on task behaviors, transition behaviors, play and compliance as behaviors of interests (Lequia et al., 2012). This relatively broad definition did not allow a thorough examination of the relationship between visual aids and severe problematic behaviors such as aggression and self-injuries.

Battaglia, & McDonald (2015) conducted a literature review on PECS. It aimed to address the relationship between PECS and challenging behaviors in children with ASD. The article focused on maladaptive behavior (i.e., aggression, tantrums) and managed to yield 3 study with a total of 7 participants; however, only 4 of the participants showed a decrease in maladaptive behavior after introducing PECS, and thus the relationship between PECS and challenging behaviors in children with ASD was not conclusive (Battaglia, & McDonald, 2015).

There are also multiple reviews on social stories. For example, Karkhaneh et al., (2010)'s systematic review examined the relationship between social stories and social skills in children with ASD. He found 6 eligible participants where 5 of them showed significant increase in social skills after introducing social stories and therefore; he suggested that social stories has positive effect on the development of social skills; however, the other meta-analysis on social stories by McGill, Baker, and Busse (2015) did not find as positive results. The study inspected relationship between social stories and challenging behavior under two effect size indicators: percentage of non-overlapping data scores and the weighted effect size estimator. Inconsistent results were found between the two indicators and thus the effectiveness of social stories was not conclusive.

The above literature reviews made tremendous contribution to the field of visual aids; however, they only focused on one type of visual aids and thus the application is limited. In addition, it is not possible to compare the effectiveness of different visual aids between the review articles because they adopted different effect size calculation formulas and different definition of challenging behaviors. Therefore, there is insufficient information on the overall visual aids effectiveness and a comparison of the effectiveness of different visual aids. To close this gap, I will study 5 types of visual aids and compare their effectiveness in reducing challenging behaviors (i.e. physical aggression, verbal aggression, self-harm).

3. Methodology

The methodology chapter is divided into 4 parts. The first section describes the PRISMA method, which is used to derive data in this review. Following this the second section presents the literature selection procedure with inclusion and exclusion criteria. Afterwards the third section describes the data extraction procedures. Finally, the last section presents the data synthesis procedures.

3.1 PRISMA

This study used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) to derive data on the research frequency of visual aids used to reduce challenging behaviors, their context and settings and their effectiveness. PRISMA is a meta-analyses framework that has great significance in the field of healthcare (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, and Altman, 2009). It is developed by David Moher and his colleague and it is often used as the basis to develop clinical practice guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). In health practice, a review study of the current medical situation usually serves as a starting point for policy change; however, as the quality of review studies vary a great deal, following the framework of PRISMA can increase the clarity of reporting and enable the readers to evaluate the review effectively (Moher et al., 2009).

Nowadays, PRISMA has expanded its popularity beyond the healthcare field. It is prevalent in psychology, education and many others because PRISMA allows systematic comparison of current journal articles of different topics. It provides a clear framework for reporting and it reduces the risks of reporting biases, such as avoiding the investigators' selective reporting base on personal opinion (Moher et al., 2009).

To improve PRISMA framework, 29 participants attended three-day meetings in Ottawa, Canada in June 2005 (Moher et al., 2009). In the meeting, the PRISMA checklist was proposed to revise and expand the PRISMA framework. The checklist consists of 27 items under title, abstract, introduction, methods, results, discussion and funding (Moher et al., 2009). This checklist helps the investigator to improve the quality of the reporting in literature reviews following PRISMA framework (Moher et al., 2009).

In this article, I followed the PRISMA workflow of "identification", "screening", "eligibility" and "included" for data charting and data analysis (Moher et al., 2009).

The first procedure "identification" means to identify relevant articles through database searching and other sources (Moher et al., 2009). To conduct a successful identification procedure, the researcher identifies relevant databases in the field then retrieve related articles on the database by searching relevant keywords.

The second procedure "screening" refers to the basic evaluation of the articles and the reviewer determines if the articles should be included or excluded (Moher et al., 2009). It starts with removing the duplicates from the search results. Then the reviewer read the abstracts of retrieved articles, check the articles against the inclusion and exclusion criteria and exclude articles that do not meet the inclusion criteria.

The third procedure "eligibility" refers to making a further and more comprehensive evaluation of the articles and determining if the articles should be included or excluded (Moher et al., 2009). It starts with a full text screening of the included articles from abstract screening, then the reviewer check the articles against the inclusion and exclusion criteria again, and finally exclude the articles that do not meet the inclusion criteria (Moher et al., 2009).

Finally, the "included" procedure shows how many articles are included for data analysis. (Moher et al., 2009).

To further illustrate the PRISMA framework, one can refer to the PRISMA flow diagram in the result section (Figure 4).

3.2 Literature Selection

Literature selection refers to the process of deriving data for analysis from existing literatures. To acquire high quality literatures for analysis, it is essential to determine where to search for the data, what kind of data are needed, how to extract the data, etc. In this chapter, I will present the literature selection procedures with detail steps to allow reader a clear understanding of the methodology. This chapter is divided into two parts. The first section procedures the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The second section presents the literature selection procedures and keywords used to search for data and how to sort the data.

3.2.1 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The articles must meet all of the following inclusion criteria to be included

- 1. English journal articles
- 2. Participants are children with ASD between age 0 to 18
- 3. Adopted intervention is visual aids
- 4. The intervention aim to reduce challenging behaviors
- 5. The article offers first hand information

If the articles meet any of the following exclusion criteria, it will be excluded

- 1. The articles is a review paper
- 2. Participants are individuals older than 18 years old
- 3. Adopted interventions are not visual aids
- 4. Adopted interventions are visual aids in combination with other strategies

Setting up appropriate inclusion and exclusion criteria was critical because it determined the relevance of the included articles and the overall quality of the review paper. To set up appropriate inclusion and exclusion criteria, I referred to the aim of the paper, which was to examine the effectiveness of visual aids in reducing challenging behaviors for children with ASD. There were three main elements in this aim and they were children with ASD, visual aids and challenging behaviors, which corresponded to the second to fourth inclusion criteria. In addition, this review aimed to examine the effectiveness of visual aids, therefore, in order to capture the effectiveness from visual aids used by itself, literatures on visual cues intervention packages, such as visual cues combined with behavior therapies, speech therapies and others would not be included and this corresponded to exclusion criteria 3 and 4. The reason for this is because it would be difficult to determine to what extend the change in behavior is due to visual aids when the intervention package includes both visual aids and other strategies.

3.2.2 Literature Selection Procedure

The literature selection procedure could be divided into 3 parts. Firstly, I will present the databases used in the review. Afterwards, I will present the keywords used to search articles and finally, I will describe the method to sort the articles.

Databases

The databases used for searching was ERIC, PSYCINFO and MEDLINE.

The reason for choosing them was because they are popular databases in the fields. ERIC is a database for education science with more than 50 years of history. It is widely used by academics, researchers, educators, policymakers, and the general public (ERIC, 2014). It has over 1.4 million records (ERIC, 2014) and it can provide relevant articles in education field.

In addition, PsycINFO is one of the largest databases in psychology. It is run by the American Psychological Association for more than 50 years. It contains more than 3 million records (Beebe, 2010). PsycINFO can provide articles related to ASD in psychology field.

Finally, MEDLINE is a well-known database for life sciences and biomedical information. It is established for more than 50 years and has more than 25 million records (U.S. National Library of Medicine, 2019). Using MEDLINE will enable me to find relevant articles in the field of medicine and health care.

Search Keywords

After choosing the databases, the next step was to find relevant keywords to search on the databases. Since all three databases were available on OVID search platform, I was able to use the same keywords to search on all the databases.

They keywords for searching could be broken down into 3 levels as below:

Level 1: autis* OR autism spectrum dis* OR autistic* dis* OR Asperge* OR pervas* develop* dis* OR PDD OR ASD

Level 2.1: activit* schedule* OR visual schedule* OR picture* schedule* OR photo* schedule* OR visual prompt* OR picture prompt*

Level 2.2: contingency map* OR consequence* map* OR behavior* map*

Level 2.3: cue* card* OR note card* OR script card* OR visual cue* OR photo* cue*

Level 2.4: social narrative* OR social stor*

Level 2.5: symbol* exchange OR picture* exchange OR icon* exchange OR photo* exchange OR PECS OR picture* communication OR symbol* communication OR photo* communication OR icon* communication

Level 3: misbehav* OR misconduct* OR behavior*

These 3 levels of keywords corresponded to three elements in my research questions. The first level represented the participants in my research question, which were children with ASD between ages 0 to 18. The second level represented the interventions that I was inspecting, which were 5 types of visual aids and each type of visual aids corresponded to level 2.1 to 2.5. Finally, I examined how to reduce challenging behavior, which corresponded to level 3 of the search keywords.

Finding the correct keywords was crucial to the search. I used two methods to find keywords. First, I used the "Map Term" search tool on the OVID platform. This function would automatically match my keywords to the subject headings in the platform. Under the subject headings, a list of related terms (also called Thesaurus) would be shown. An
example of Thesaurus on ERIC OVID platform is showed in Figure 2. Then I carefully examined the definition and scope of each of the terms and picked relevant terms to be included as my keywords. I was able to use this method to find relevant keywords on Level 1. However, this method was only applicable to pre-defined vocabulary and terminology (i.e. autism). To find relevant keywords for level 2 and 3 via this method were not possible because 5 types of visual aids were not pre-defined terminologies. Therefore, I used another methods, which was to screen all the subject headings in relevant papers to sort out appropriate headings that were used in the field for 5 types of visual aids.

Figure 2

Thesaurus for Autism in ERIC OVID

(0	Vid®						
The	sa	urus for Autis	m					
Com	bine	e with: OR 🔻	Continue					
Sel	ect	Term(s)	Subject Heading	Hits				
	(B	lack up in List]						
	Authoritarianism 🔻							
	A	uthority Control (Inf	ormation). (2004). 🔻					
	A	uthority Files (2004	Υ. (Y					
	A	uthority Structure						
	A	uthors v		5054				
	A	utism		13859				
		[Broader Terms]						
			Pervasive Developmental Disorders	8068				
		[Related Terms]	Reader					
			Anxiety Disorders	838				
			Applied Benavior Analysis	8				
			Asperger synarome	1104				
			Benavior Disorders	5778				
			Developmental Disabilities	5074				
			Emotional Disturbances	8686				
				24503				
			Personality Problems	961				
			Schizophrenia	1153				
			Theory of Mind	749				
	Withgraval (Msychology) 625							
	Autism Spectrum Disorders ▼ Autistic Psychonathy ▼							
	Autosic Savants ▼							
	Auto Body, Repairers (2004) V							
	A	uto Body Repairme	<u>n (1966 1980) (2004)</u> ▼					
	<u>A</u>	uto Mechanics 🔻		1031				
₹	Forward in List							

Note. Screen capture from ERIC OVID platform as of December 2019.

As shown in the keywords for searching, there are some special symbols and they represent certain functions of the databases. The symbol asterisk (*) was used frequently. It indicated that unknown character(s) could be placed at the end of a word (Case Western Reserve University, 2019). For example, using the search keyword "autis*" could retrieve records of autism, autistic, etc. Using the asterisk symbol allowed me to expand the search results.

Besides that, I used the OR and AND function. When terms were connected by AND, the database would retrieve records containing both terms; however, when the terms were connected by OR, the database would retrieve records containing either terms (Case Western Reserve University, 2019).

The search on ERIC OVID is shown in Figure 3. In short, it can be summarized as below:

(Level 1) AND (Level 2.1 OR Level 2.2 OR Level 2.3 OR Level 2.4 OR Level 2.5) AND (Level 3)

Figure 3

Search keywords on ERIC OVID

# 🔺	Searches	Results	Туре	Actions	Annotations
1	(autis* or Autism spectrum dis* or autistic* dis* or Asperge* or pervas* develop* dis* or PDD or ASD).mp. [mp=abstract, title, heading word, identifiers]	15074	Advanced	Display Results More 🔻	\Box
2	(Activit* schedule* or visual schedule* or picture* schedule* or photo* schedule* or visual prompt* or picture prompt*).mp. [mp=abstract, title, heading word, identifiers]	290	Advanced	Display Results More -	\Box
3	(contingency map* or consequence* map* or behavior* map*).mp. [mp=abstract, title, heading word, identifiers]	37	Advanced	Display Results More v	\Box
4	(Cue* card* or note card* or script card* or visual cue* or photo* cue*).mp. [mp=abstract, title, heading word, identifiers]	560	Advanced	Display Results More V	\Box
5	(social narrative* or social stor*).mp. [mp=abstract, title, heading word, identifiers]	212	Advanced	Display Results More -	\Box
6	(Symbol* exchange or Picture* exchange or lcon* exchange or Photo* exchange or PECS or Picture* communication or Symbol* communication or Photo* communication or lcon* communication).mp. [mp=abstract, title, heading word, identifiers]	224	Advanced	Display Results More 💌	\Box
7	(misbehav* or misconduct* or behavior*).mp. [mp=abstract, title, heading word, identifiers]	200360	Advanced	Display Results More -	\Box
8	2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6	1299	Advanced	Display Results More V	\Box
9	1 and 7 and 8	197	Advanced	Display Results More -	\Box
10	limit 9 to english language	197	Advanced	Display Results More v	\Box

Note. Screen capture from ERIC OVID platform as of December 2019.

How to Sort the Articles

After gathering all the articles from the databases, I started sorting the papers. The first step of sorting the papers was putting all the citations into the ENDNOTE program. With the help of the program, I deleted all the duplicated records.

After that, I did a basic screening of the data. Following the guideline of PRISMA, I conducted abstract screening of all the search results. I compared the search results against all the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria and only studies that fulfilled all the inclusion criteria and did not meet any exclusion criteria were included.

The next step was to conduct full text screening, where I read the full text of the included articles from abstract screening. During full text screening, I compared the article against all the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria just as in the abstract screening procedure, and only articles that fulfilled all the inclusion criteria and did not meet any exclusion criteria were included. Full text screening was crucial because it increased the chance of finding the correct articles to answer the research questions as abstract screening relied on limited information in the abstracts so there might be missed information or incorrect interpretation during the process.

After full text screening, all the included articles were proceeded for further analysis.

3.3 Data Extraction

Data extraction procedures followed the full text screening procedure. During this procedure, I extracted information from the included articles on participants, targeted behaviors, interventions employed and their context and the research settings. In the following, I will present how I extracted each of these information.

Regarding participants' information, I extracted them from the participant chapter in the included articles. I gathered information on characteristics of the participants in regard of their age, sex, diagnosis, conditions and number of participants. Extracting participants data was essential because it showed whether the participants chosen could best inform the research questions and whether the data could help understand the phenomenon being studied (Sargeant, 2012).

After that, I extracted information on target behaviors. Target behaviors were the types of challenging behaviors that the researchers aimed to reduce for their participants. This information could be found in the methodology or research aims chapter in the articles. Information on target behaviors was relevant as it showed the prevalence on the types of challenging behaviors among children with ASD.

In addition, I extracted information on intervention context. I inspected what types of visual aids were conducted and their context from the included articles. These information could be found in the methodology chapter as well. The information was important because it allowed a clear inspection on visual-aid interventions in regards of their types, characteristics and setups and they may help to interpret the function and effectiveness of visual aids.

Finally, I extracted information on research setting. Upon reading the methodology chapter, I collected information on the experimental design, the setting of the experiment, materials used in the experiment, procedures of the experiment and data collection methods in the experiment. These information is important as it tells the rigor and quality of the papers and whether the analysis was trustworthy.

3.4 Data Synthesis

Data synthesis refers to pulling together the extracted results and evaluating them to allow a comparison of different studies to produce the outcomes for review papers (Baxter, Cooper, Hedges, & Valentine, 2009). Data synthesis is commonly used in systematic reviews to generate outcomes and new knowledge. There are two main types of data synthesis methods: qualitative data synthesis and quantitative data synthesis (Seers, 2012; Lau, Ioannidis, Schmid, 1997). Both of them were employed in this review paper.

3.4.1 Qualitative Data Synthesis

Qualitative data synthesis is usually used in reviewing qualitative research papers to generate new data. While quantitative synthesis method is well established, qualitative synthesis is a relatively new method and currently in the process of development (Seers, 2012). The main difference between these two data synthesis methods is that quantitative

synthesis involves summarizing numerical findings, while qualitative data synthesis involves drawing findings and analysis from qualitative data (Lau, Ioannidis, Schmid, 1997). Qualitative data synthesis is important as it recognizes the importance of qualitative data such as participants' perspectives, preferences and values, which serves as a support for quantitative findings (Lau, Ioannidis, Schmid, 1997). It also provides a descriptive outcomes and it is good in answering what and how research questions (Lau, Ioannidis, Schmid, 1997).

In this article, I did not limit the application of qualitative synthesis to retrieve data from qualitative research only. I used qualitative synthesis to extract qualitative data from quantitative research. Qualitative synthesis was suitable in collecting data on intervention context, research settings, participants' features, etc. By collecting these data, I was able to answer the first and second research questions, which were the research frequency of visual aids used to change challenging behaviors for children with ASD and the settings and contexts of the interventions.

3.4.2 Quantitative Data Synthesis

To answer my third research question, that is, what are the effect sizes of visual-aid interventions used to change challenging behaviors for children with ASD, I employed quantitative data synthesis. As previously mentioned, quantitative synthesis involves summarizing numerical findings, which is effect size in this article (Lau, Ioannidis, Schmid, 1997). I calculated the effective size of all the included articles using the Percentage of Non-overlapping Data (PND) method and the Percentage of Data Exceeding the Median (PEM) method. By comparing the effect size, I hope to inspect the effectiveness of the interventions.

The reason for adopting two-effect size indexes was to allow researchers and readers to interpret the effectiveness of the interventions more accurately. Even though both indexes reflected the effectiveness of the interventions, they measured effectiveness from different perspectives and have different mathematical formulas (Şen, & Şen, 2019). I will explain what they measured in detail in section 3.4.2.1 and 3.4.2.2. By comparing two indexes, it could increase the interpretation accuracy of effectiveness. For example, when both indexes indicate the same level of effectiveness then the data tend to be

reliable. On the contrary, if they indicate different level of effectiveness, then I will further inspect the data to find out the reason behind the differences.

In the following section, I will introduce and explain these two effect size indexes employed in the article.

Percentage of Non-overlapping Data (PND)

PND is proposed by Scruggs, Mastropieri, & Casto (1987) as an effect size index for single subject design studies. It is defined as the proportion of observations in intervention phase that exceed the maximum baseline value (Scruggs, Mastropieri, & Casto, 1987). PND is an easy method, which is commonly used among researchers to calculate effect size index. It does not requires raw data, and thus it is a popular choice for review studies. The calculation of PND are described in the following steps:

- 1. Determine the highest value in baseline stage in the graph
- 2. Determine the number of data points in the intervention stage that exceeds the highest value mentioned above
- 3. Divide the number of data points mentioned in step two by the total number of data points in the intervention stage, and multiply it by 100

PND values range from 0 to 100. According to Scruggs, Mastropieri, & Casto (1987), a PND value over 90 represents a "very effective" intervention, a value between 71 to 90 represents an "effective" intervention, a value between 50 to 70 represents a "questionable" effect and a value under 50 represents an "ineffective" intervention.

PND index has many advantages. Firstly, it is easy to calculate since it has a very simple mathematical formula (Ma, 2006). Secondly, it is easy to interpret as PND value can represent different level of effectiveness as mentioned in the previous paragraph (Scruggs, Mastropieri, & Casto, 1987). Thirdly, PND index does not require raw data and it is possible to calculate from the graph, which allows other researchers to adopt in their review studies (Scruggs, Mastropieri, & Casto, 1987).

However, PND also has some drawbacks. Firstly, PND only takes account of the highest (or lowest) value in the baseline level and ignores the other values and thus affect its

representativeness (Şen, & Şen, 2019). Secondly, PND cannot reflect effectiveness when there is a floor (0) or ceiling (100) value presented in the baseline level (Ma, 2006). This is due to its mathematical limitation that PND index will always be 0 when a floor or ceiling value is presented regardless of how the rest of the data are distributed (Ma, 2006). To solve these problems, I adopt another effect size index PEM along PND as PEM takes account of the median in the baseline level and is less affected by the floor and ceiling values.

In addition, PND's drawbacks also includes that it does not reflect the p value, the changes within the baseline level and intervention level or the sample distribution (Şen, & Şen, 2019). However, since I am not trying to find a linear relationship between the intervention and outcomes (problem behaviors) or the significance of the intervention, thus these values are not necessary to answer my research question.

Percentage of Data Exceeding the Median (PEM)

PEM is proposed by Ma (2006) as an alternative method to calculate effect size index in single subject design studies. It is defined as the proportion of observations in intervention phase that exceed the median baseline value (Ma, 2006). The calculation of PEM can be described as the following steps:

- 1. Determine the median value in baseline stage in the graph
- 2. Determine the number of data points in the intervention stage that exceeds the median value mentioned above
- 3. Divide the number of data points mentioned in step two by the total number data points in the intervention stage, and multiply it by 100

PEM values range from 0% to 100%. According to Ma (2006), a PEM value between 91% and 100% represents a "very effective" intervention, a PEM value between 70% to 90% represents a "moderately effective" intervention, a PEM value between 70% to 50% represents a "questionably effective" intervention and a PEM value below 50% represents an "ineffective" intervention.

PEM index shares many same advantages with PND, such as it is easy to calculate and interpret and possible to calculate from the graph. Additionally, PEM is less affected by the ceiling or floor values in the baseline level than the PND index (Ma, 2006).

However, PEM index also has some drawbacks. Firstly, it only takes account of the median in the baseline level and ignores the data points above it (Ma, 2006). This problem can be tackled by introducing the PND index, which takes account of the highest (or lowest) value in the baseline level. The other drawback is that PEM index does not indicate changes within the baseline level and the intervention level (Ma, 2006). However, these values are not relevant for my research because I am not trying to find a linear growth or decrease of challenging behaviors.

4. Results

I will present my research findings in this chapter. The results chapter is divided into 4 parts. They are the flow-chart of the study selection (4.1), study description (4.2), answer to research questions on the frequency of visual-aid interventions for changing challenge behaviors for children with ASD, settings and contexts of the interventions and effectiveness of the interventions (4.3 to 4.5).

4.1 Flow-chart of Study Selection

This study adopted the PRISMA framework as the research design. The flow-chart of the study selection process in accordance with the PRISMA method is presented in Figure 4.

In addition, the table used for abstract screening and full text screening with detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria is presented in Appendix A and the full list of the screened articles with references is presented in Appendix B.

Figure 4

PRISMA flowchart of the study selection process



Note. This flowchart is adapted from "Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement." by D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, D. G. Altman, The PRISMA Group, 2009, *PLoS Med*, 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

4.2 Description of Study

As shown in the PRISMA flowchart, I was able to identify 726 articles from databases ERIC, PSYCINFO and MEDLINE. After removing duplicate and non-journal articles, 345 articles remained. These articles were screened by abstract and 296 articles were excluded. 49 articles remained and they were furthered screened by full text and 9 articles remained which would be analyzed for this review article.

4.3 Research Frequency of the Visual-Aid Interventions

My first research question is: what is the research frequency of different visual-aid interventions used in changing challenging behaviors for children with ASD. To answer this question, I looked into the 9 included articles to find out the number of times the visual-aid interventions were adopted and calculated their percentage. The results are presented in Table 1. In addition, there are 12 visual interventions in total as showed in the table. This is due to 3 included articles adopted more than one types of visual interventions.

Table 1

Intervention Type (N=5)	Total number of visual intervention used	Number of Intervention in Percentage
	No. of interventions (N=12)	100
Social Narratives	6	50%
Symbol Exchange	4	33%
Activity Schedule	2	17%
Cues Cards	0	0%
Contingency Map	0	0%

The Number of Visual-Aid Interventions Used in the Included Articles

4.4 Settings and Contexts of the Visual-Aid Interventions

My second research question is: what are the settings and contexts of the visual-aid interventions used in changing challenging behaviors for children with ASD. To answer this question, I inspected the participants' characteristics, targeted behaviors, interventions' employed, intervention context and settings in 9 included articles. My findings are summarized into Table 2.

4.4.1 Participants' Characteristics

The sample size ranged from 1 to 3 participants in the included articles with a total number of 18 participants in the studies, among which 13 participants met the inclusion criteria for analysis. 5 participants were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria regarding autism diagnosis (Anderson, et al., 2016; Okada, et al., 2008; Scattone, et al., 2002)

Among the 13 participants that met the inclusion criteria, all were diagnosed with autism and 2 were described to have moderate range of intellectual disability while the others' intellectual levels were not mentioned. These 13 participants' age ranged from 3 to 12 years old. Among them, 2 were female (15%) and 11 were male (85%). Regarding language abilities, 2 were described to be non-verbal, 6 were described to have language impairment, 3 were described to have concrete verbal skills, and 2 were not reported.

Table 2

Summary and analysis of included articles in terms of intervention context and settings

Included studies	Participants' characteristics	Targeted Behaviors	Intervention employed	Intervention Context	Intervention Settings
Agosta et al. (2004)	N=1/1 1 male (Autism, described as non verbal, delay in all development areas) 6 years old	Verbal aggression: screaming	Social narratives and symbol exchange: social stories combined with the picture communication symbols	 Two social stories were developed for the participant The social stories were illustrated with pictorial icons to help the student to understand Social stories consisted of three descriptive sentences, one directive sentences and one perspective sentence. Social stories matched the guidelines from Gray (1994) 	 Setting Single subject design Special education classroom in mainstream school Teacher involvement Procedures Baseline was compared to social stories intervention: Training: teacher was trained to read the social stories to students Baseline stage: screaming was recorded when loud and distressing noises occurred within 15-s interval during targeted time Intervention stage 1: teacher read the first social story to the participants. Tangible rewards were given to the children for sitting quietly for 5-minute. Screaming was recorded. Intervention stage 2: teacher read the second social story to the participants. Verbal reward was given to the children for sitting quietly for 5-minute. Screaming was recorded. Intervention stage 3: Only verbal reminder and verbal praise are given to the child. Screaming was recorded.
Anderso n et al. (2016)	N=2/3 1 Male, 1 Female (Autism, described as verbal but have speech language impairment) 5 to 6 years old	Physical aggression: yelling or screaming at adults and/or other students, hitting, kicking, biting, spitting, or throwing desks and/or chairs	social narratives: literacy-based behavioral interventions (LBBI)	 LBBI is a story that describes a situation, skills, or behaviors to help a person to understand specific situations. LBBI in this research is presented in the format of PowerPoint story LBBI in this research contained photographs of people and areas in the school, the time period where the behaviors occurred, and a strategy for the student to use to avoid an undesired situation 	 Setting Single subject design Carried out three times a day at special education preschool and at home Teacher involvement Procedures Baseline was compared to LBBI intervention: Baseline stage: the number of episodes of physical aggression during the targeted time period was recorded Training: students received training from the special education teacher about how to read his/her LBBI. Intervention stage: students read his/her narrated story at home (7:15-7:45am), before the target time period (lunch or writing) and at the end of the school day. The number of episodes of physical aggression during the target time period was recorded.

Table 2 (continued.)

Included studies	Participants characteristics	Targeted Behaviors	Intervention employed	Intervention Context	Intervention Settings
Dooley et al. (2001)	N=1/1 1 male (PDD, described as having sufficient nonverbal reasoning abilities but with impairments in communication) 3 years old	Verbal aggression: loud and distressed crying and screaming Physical aggression: hitting, kicking or biting	symbol exchange and activity schedule: A PECS-based schedule	 A PECS-based schedule with pictures The schedule consisted of line drawings representing daily activities and locations. 	 Setting Single subject design Special education preschool Teacher involvement Procedures Baseline compared with PECS-based schedule intervention: Baseline stage: the number of episodes of problem behaviors during targeted time period was recorded Intervention stage: the teacher and the student reviewed the activity schedule together. The participant took the first picture out from the schedule and was taken to the first activity. The participant received a pretzel as a reward after activity. After 6 days, the PECS-based schedule continued without the reward. The number of episodes of problem behaviors during target time period was recorded everyday.
Frea et al. (2001)	N=1/1 1 Male (Autism, moderate range of Intellectual disability, described as nonverbal) 4 years old	Physical aggression: biting, kicking or hitting	symbol exchange: PECS	 PECS board consisted of four picture symbols. They were drink, cracker, bubbles and fruit bar PECS board was placed in the center of the classroom. 	 Setting Single subject design General education preschool classroom Teaching assistant involvement Procedures Baseline was compared with PECS intervention: Baseline stage: the number of disruptive behaviors during targeted time period was recorded Training: Two 1 hour training session were conducted by the assistant to teach the participants how to use the PECS prior to the intervention Intervention stage: PECS was introduced to the Home Living center. The number of disruptive behavior was recorded. After an effect was observed, PECS was then introduced to the Manipulatives center. The number of disruptive behavior was recorded.
Mancil et al. (2009)	N=3/3 2 male 1 female (ASD, described as having concrete verbal skills) 6 to 9 years old	Physical aggression: pushing, grabbing, touching and shoving other children	Social narratives: social stories that follows Gray's (2000) guidelines	 Social stories in paper and computer format Social stories in paper format included pictures of students, classroom locations, etc. Social stories Computer format were presented in PowerPoint format with the same pictures and text as the paper format. But it had interactive text with changing colors. 	 Setting Single subject design General education classroom Teacher involvement Procedures Baseline is compared with intervention: Baseline: teachers counted the targeted behavior occurrence during the targeted time period Training stage: participants were trained by the teachers to read the social story. Questions were asked to ensure his/her understanding of the social stories. Intervention stage: students read social stories in paper format at desk, the number of targeted behavior occurrence was recorded. Students read PowerPoint format social stories at the computer located at the back of the classroom, the number of targeted behavior occurrence was recorded.

• Generalization: To test the generalization ability of intervention to other environment, the number of targeted behavior occurrence was recorded during recess.

Included studies	Participants characteristics	Targeted	Intervention	Intervention Context	Intervention Settings
Okada et al. (2008)	N=1/3 1 Male (ASD, moderate range of intellectual disability) 12 years old	Verbal aggression	Social narratives: social stories that follows Gray's (2000) guidelines	 Social stories with icons, internet photos and perspective sentences. Social stories with icons and internet photos, but without perspective sentences. 	 Setting Single subject design Special school classroom Teacher involvement Procedures Baseline was compared with intervention: Baseline stage: the number of participants' aggressive verbal behaviors in targeted time period was recorded. Stage 1: Social Stories without perspective sentences was read by teachers to the student. The number of aggressive verbal behaviors was recorded. Stage 2: Social Stories with perspective sentences was read by the student. The number of aggressive verbal behaviors was recorded.
Scattone et al. (2002)	N=1/3 1 Male (ASD, described as not able to read independently) 7 years old	Verbal aggression: shouting	Social narratives: social Stories that follows Gray's (2000) guidelines	• Social Stories were eight pages in total and printed on paper. It consisted of targeted situation and expected behaviors from the participant.	 Setting Single subject design Special education classroom in mainstream school Teacher involvement Procedures Baseline was compared with intervention: Baseline: the number of occurrence of episodes of challenging behaviors in targeted time period was recorded Intervention stage: when the intervention is first introduced, teacher read the Social Stories to the participants and asked questions related to the Social Stories to check comprehension. Afterwards, teacher read the Social Stories to the student every morning before class began. The number of occurrence of episodes of challenging behaviors in targeted time period was recorded.
Kuttler et al. (1998)	N=1/1 1 male (ASD, limited language skills which estimated to be in the range from 2 to 5 years old) 12 years old	Verbal aggression: screaming and cussing Physical aggression: dropping to the floor	Social narratives and symbol exchange: social stories (that follows Gray's 1994 guidelines) combined with the picture communication symbols	 Two social stories were introduced for two settings. Each social stories consisted of 5 to 7 pages. Each page had 1 to 2 short sentences paired with an icon. 	 Setting Single subject design Special classroom (school type not specified) Teacher involvement Procedures Baseline was compared with intervention: Baseline: the number of occurrence of targeted behaviors in two settings in target time period were recorded Intervention: social stories were read to the students by classroom staff. The number of occurrence of targeted behaviors in both morning work time and lunchtime were recorded.

Table 2 (continued)

ind recorded the
ı

Note. N: number of participants included in this review/total number of participants in study

4.4.2 Target Behaviors

Across the studies, target behaviors were divided into 3 types. They were verbal aggression, physical aggression and self-harm. Among the 13 participants, 3 had verbal aggression as targeted skill with interventions designed to improve behaviors such as shouting, screaming and loud and distress crying (Agosta, et al., 2004; Dooley, et al., 2001; Okada, et al., 2008; Scattone et al., 2002). 8 participants had physical aggression as targeted skill with interventions designed to improve behaviors such as hitting, kicking, biting, spitting, pushing, grabbing, touching or throwing desks and/or chairs, and shoving other children (Anderson, et al., 2016; Dooley, et al. 2001; Frea, et al. 2001; Mancil, et al. 2009). 2 participants had both verbal aggression and physical aggression as targeted skills (Dooley, et al., 2001). 0 had self-harm as targeted skill.

4.4.3 Interventions Employed and Their Context

Social narratives, symbol exchange and activity schedules were employed as interventions in the included articles.

The most popular visual intervention was social narratives, which was used 6 times in the studies. Among these, 5 times were social stories following the guidelines from Gray (1994 & 2000) and 1 time was literacy-based behavioral interventions (LBBI). In the studies, social stories were written from the perspective of the children with pictorial icons, internet-photos and pictures to help illustrate a situation or a skill (Agosta, et al., 2004; Kuttler, et al., 1998; Mancil, et al., 2009; Okada, et al., 2008; Scattone, et al., 2002). Some social stories were also developed specifically for the participants with the help of the teachers (Agosta, et al., 2004). All the social stories followed Gray (1994 & 2000)'s guidelines and they consisted of a few of the following sentences: descriptive sentences, directive sentences, perspective sentence, affirmative sentences, control sentences, cooperative sentences or partial sentences. LBBI on the other hand is also a type of social narratives. It is a story that describes a situation, skills, or behaviors to help a person to understand specific situations (Anderson et al., 2016). In the included studies, LBBI contained photographs of people and areas in the school, the time period where the behaviors occurred and a strategy for the student to use to avoid an undesired situation (Anderson et al., 2016). LBBI did not follow Gray's guideline and thus did not have

specific requirements on the ratio between cooperative, descriptive, perspective and control sentences (Anderson et al., 2016).

Symbol exchange was the second most popular intervention in the studies, which was used 4 times. Among them, 2 times were PECS and 2 times were the picture communication symbols. In the studies, PECS helped participants to achieve functional communication through photos and symbols representing daily activities, locations and items while the picture communication symbols interventions used pictorial icons to help the student to understand situations (Agosta, et al., 2004; Kuttler, et al., 1998; Dooley, et al., 2001; Frea, et al., 2001). Both PECS and the picture communication symbols were very similar in terms of functions and format. They both strengthened communication but with different established systems that consisted of their own set of communication pictures and symbols.

Activity schedule came after symbol exchange. It was used twice in the studies (Dooley, et al., 2001; Waters, et al., 2009). Dooley, et al. (2001)'s study used a schedule consisted of line drawings representing daily activities and locations. The participant in the study reviewed the schedule board with the teachers or assistants and then removed the first picture and went to that activity (Dooley, et al., 2001). While in Waters, et al. (2009) study, pictures representing the current and future activities were used and activity schedule was conducted by a therapist.

When it comes to adopting only one type of visual aids or combined visual aids, among the 9 included studies 4 adopted only social narratives, 1 adopted only symbol exchange, 1 adopted only activity schedule, 1 adopted symbol exchange in combination with activity schedules and 2 adopted social narratives in combination of symbol exchange (Agosta, et al., 2004; Dooley, et al., 2001; Kuttler, et al., 1998; Okada, et al., 2008; Scattone et al., 2002; Anderson, et al., 2016; Frea, et al. 2001; Mancil, et al. 2009; Waters, et al., 2009). Therefore, it was possible to combine 2 or more visual aids and it seemed like symbol exchange was the easiest and most popular one to be combined with other types of visual aids.

4.4.4 Intervention Settings

In this intervention settings section, I will describe the experimental design, experimental location, teacher involvement and training in the included articles.

Regarding experimental design, all included studies adopted single subject design, which is defined as an experiment that uses the participant as his/her own control (Horner, & Spaulding, 2010). Single subject design is very common in the field of psychology and education (Horner, & Spaulding, 2010). It is very suitable for research on visual aids in promoting behavioral change for children with ASD because single subject design is very sensitive to individual differences and allows an easy comparison of the individual differences before and after the visual-aid intervention. Regarding the included articles, their research procedures involved comparing baseline data (data before intervention) with after-intervention data. This comparison was done by comparing the number of episodes of challenging behaviors occurred in targeted time period during baseline stage with after intervention (i.e. Scattone, et al., 2002). The intervention stage might be further divided into 2 or more stages if the intervention was carried out in multiple steps to control for variables (i.e. Agosta, et al., 2004; Okada, et al., 2008). Detail research procedures are presented in Table 2.

In regards of the experimental location, classroom was the most popular setting. Among the included articles, 8 studies were carried out in classroom setting (i.e. Agosta, et al., 2004; Frea, et al., 2001; Kuttler, et al., 1998; Mancil, et al., 2009; Scattone, et al., 2002; Dooley, et al., 2001; Okada, et al. 2008; Waters, et al., 2009) and 1 study was carried out in both classroom and home settings (i.e. Anderson, et al., 2016). Apart from that, school type was taken into consideration. Among the 9 studies, 5 studies took place in mainstream school, among which 3 were in special education classroom (i.e., Agosta, et al., 2004; Scattone, et al., 2002; Waters, et al., 2009) and 2 were in general classroom (i.e. Frea, et al., 2001; Mancil, et al., 2009) while 3 other studies took place in special school (i.e. Anderson, et al., 2016; Dooley, et al., 2001; Okada, et al. 2008) and 1 study took place in special classroom but the school type was not specified (Kuttler, et al, 1998). Thus, it seemed like school type did not play a crucial role in this research topic.

Regarding teacher involvement, 8 studies involved teacher (or teaching assistant) and researcher partnership. However, the degree of involvement differed. 5 studies had

teachers' active involvement during the intervention stage (i.e., Agosta, et al., 2004; Dooley, et al., 2001; Okada, et al., 2008; Scattone, et al., 2002; Kuttler, et al, 1998). In these studies, teachers played a crucial role in the intervention stage such as reading the social stories to the participants or reviewing activity schedule with the student (Agosta, et al., 2004; Dooley, et al., 2001). However, the other 3 studies only had teachers' involvement in pre-training the students to read/use the visual-aid intervention but not actively carrying out the visual-aid intervention during the intervention stage. Rather, they had the participants' reading/practicing the intervention by themselves (i.e., Anderson, et al., 2016; Frea, et al., 2001; Mancil, et al., 2009). 1 study did not involve teacher at all. It employed a therapist in conducting the intervention (Waters, et al., 2009).

The degree of pre-training provided also differed among the studies. 4 studies introduced the training section before the intervention (i.e., Agosta, et al., 2004; Anderson, et al., 2016; Frea, et al., 2001; Mancil, et al., 2009). However, the other 5 studies did not have a training section prior to the intervention (i.e., Dooley, et al., 2001; Okada, et al., 2008; Scattone, et al., 2002; Kuttler, et al, 1998; Waters, et al., 2009).

4.5 Effectiveness of the Visual-Aid Interventions

My third research question is: what are the effect sizes of visual-aid interventions used to change challenging behaviors for children with ASD. To answer this question, I calculated the effect size of each included articles into PND and PEM indexes and presented them in accordance with the intervention type. The results are showed in Table 3 to 7 below.

In addition, I will discuss the effect size of each types of visual aids in terms of PND and PEM indexes. I will explore what the indexes represent and if there is any discrepancy between the indexes.

4.5.1 Effect Size of Social Narratives

There were 4 articles that adopted social narratives by itself as the intervention to promote behavior change. The effect size of each article is presented in Table 3.

Regarding the PND index, with a total number of 7 participants in 4 articles, social narratives were very effective for 5 students, effective for 1 student, and questionable for 1 students.

As for the PEM index, social narratives were very effective for all 7 students.

There were discrepancies between PND and PEM indexes. First, there was a difference in the degree of effectiveness in Anderson et al. (2016)'s article. One of the participants, Jessica's PND index was 73,3% which indicated that the intervention was effective while her PEM index was 100% which indicated that the intervention was very effective. However, the discrepancy between these two effect sizes was small as both indicated that the intervention was effectiveness. The other discrepancy was found in Scattone et al. (2002)'s article where the PND index was 50% while the PEM index was 90%. PND indicated that the intervention was questionable, while PEM index indicated that it was effective. To explain this discrepancy, I examined the original data. The PND index was not very reliable in this case because the data in the baseline stage was very unstable as it increased and decreased dramatically that the lowest value in baseline was close to 0. This created a floor effect (a close to 0 value) that the PND tended to underestimate the effectiveness of the intervention due its mathematical limitations (Ma, 2006). The PEM value was more reliable in this study, which indicated that the intervention was effective.

Overall, the results showed that social narratives were likely to be effective in reducing challenging behaviors for children with ASD.

Table 3

Effect size of social narratives

Intervention Employed	Included studies	Effect Size	Findings
Social narratives	Anderson et al. (2016)	Henry: PND = 100% PEM = 100% Jessica: PND = 73,3% PEM = 100%	 positive: both students showed decreased physical aggression after implementing social narratives (LBBT) PND index: indicated that LBBT was effective and very effective respectively for two students PEM index: indicated that LBBT was very effective for both students
	Mancil et al. (2009)	Victor PND = 95% PEM = 100% Billy PND = 100% PEM = 100% PEM = 100%	 positive: after implementing social stories, physical aggression decreased in classroom settings PND indication: social stories were very effective for all 3 participants in classroom setting, PEM indication: social stories were very effective for all 3 participants in classroom setting
	Okada et al. (2008)	PND = 100% PEM = 100%	 positive: verbal aggression decreased after implementing social stories PND index: indicated that social stories was very effective PEM index: indicated that social stories was very effective
	Scattone et al. (2002)	PND = 50% PEM = 90%	 positive: a decrease in verbal aggression was detected after implementing social stories PND index: indicated that effectiveness of social stories was questionable PEM index: indicated that social stories was effective

4.5.2 Effect Size of Symbol Exchange

There was one article adopting symbol exchange by itself as the intervention. The effect size is showed in the Table 4.

Regarding the PND effect size, it indicated that symbol exchange was ineffective in both home living setting and manipulative setting.

As for the PEM effect size, it indicated that symbol exchange was very effective in both home living setting and manipulative setting.

There was a discrepancy between the two indexes. Both PNDs were 0% and both PEMs were 100%. To explain the differences, I inspected the data and found that PND failed to behave as an effect size value in this study because multiple 0 values were presented at the baseline level. Due to the mathematical limitation of PND, when there was a 0 value presented at the baseline level, it would result in a 0% PND index, and therefore, PND could not accurately reflect effect size in this case. Therefore, only PEM should be used as the indication of the effectiveness of the intervention in this case.

Overall, the findings showed that symbol exchange was likely to be very effective. However, the result was not conclusive due to limited sampling.

Table 4

Intervention Employed	Included studies	Effect Size	Findings
symbol exchange	Frea et al. (2001)	$\begin{array}{ll} \text{ et al. Home living setting:} \\ \text{PND} = 0\% \\ \text{PEM} = 100\% \end{array}$	 positive: the participants physical aggression behavior decreased when PECS was introduced to his daily play routines PND index: indicates that PECS was ineffective
		Manipulatives setting: PND = 0% PEM = 100%	• PEM index: indicates that PECS was very effective

Effect size of symbol exchange

4.5.3 Effect Size of Activity Schedule

There was one article adopting activity schedule by itself as the intervention. The effect size is showed in Table 5.

Regarding the PND and PEM indexes, both indicated that the intervention was ineffective for both participants.

There was no discrepancy between the two indexes, which showed that activity schedule seemed ineffective in changing problematic behaviors for children with ASD. However, this result was not conclusive due to limited sampling.

Table 5

Effect size of activity schedule

Intervention Employed	Included Effect Size studies	Findings
Activity schedules	Waters, et Vern al. (2009) PND = 0% PEM = 0%	 negative: the participants physical aggression behavior did not decrease after activity schedule was introduced PND index: indicated that activity schedule was
	Jimmy PND = 0% PEM = 0%	ineffectivePEM index: indicated that activity schedule was ineffective

4.5.4 Effect Size of Social Narratives and Symbol Exchange as a Combined Intervention

There were two articles adopting combined social narratives and symbol exchange as their intervention. The effect size is showed in Table 6.

Regarding the effect size, PND indicated that social narratives and symbol exchange combined were effective for 1 participant and very effective for the other 2.

As for PEM, it indicated that social narratives and symbol exchange combined were very effective for all 3 participants.

The discrepancy between PND and PEM was small as both indexes indicated that the intervention was effective. The only difference was that the degree of effectiveness varied from effective to very effective. Therefore, it could be concluded that combined social narratives and symbol exchange were likely to be effective in changing challenging behaviors.

Table 6

Intervention Employed	Included studies	Effect Size	Findings
Social narratives and symbol exchange	Agosta et al. (2004)	PND = 80% PEM = 90%	 positive: the number of screams decreased and the length of quiet behavior increased during all stages PND index: indicated that social narratives and symbol exchange combined were effective PEM index: indicated that social narratives and symbol exchange combined were effective
	Kuttler, et al. (1998)	Morning work time setting: PND = 100% PEM = 100% Lunch time setting: PND = 91% PEM = 100%	 positive: the number of verbal and physical aggression decreased after introducing the intervention PND index: indicated that social narratives and symbol exchange combined were effective PEM index: indicated that social narratives and symbol exchange combined were very effective

Effect size of social narratives and symbol exchange as a combined intervention

4.5.5 Effect Size of Symbol Exchange and Activity Schedule as a Combined Intervention

There was one article adopting symbol exchange and activity schedule as its intervention. The effect size is showed in Table 7.

Regarding the effect size, both PND and PEM indicated that symbol exchange and activity schedule combined were very effective. There was no discrepancy between the PND and PEM index.

As previously mentioned that combined social narratives and symbol exchange also seemed to be effective, my findings suggested that combined visual aids in general were likely to be effective in changing challenging behaviors.

Table 7

Intervention Employed	Included Effect Size studies	Findings
symbol exchange and activity schedule	Dooley, et PND = 100% al. (2001) PEM = 100%	 positive: the number of disruptive behaviors decreased and compliance increased after introducing the intervention PND index: indicated that symbol exchange and activity schedule combined were very effective PEM index: indicated that symbol exchange and activity schedule combined were very effective

Effect size of symbol exchange and activity schedule as a combined intervention

5. Discussion

In this chapter, I will discuss and interpret the findings of my research questions (5.1 to 5.3). Thereafter I will present the reliability and validity of the findings (5.4). Before moving on to discuss the limitations of the chosen research method and the resulting findings(5.5). Finally, I will discuss the implications of my research findings, which I divide into potential implications for practitioners and potential implications for researchers (5.6).

5.1 Research Frequency of the Visual-Aid Interventions

The results of my study showed that the most popular visual intervention was social narratives, followed by symbol exchange and activity schedule; while contingency map and cue cards were not found in any of the included articles in my study. Therefore I will discuss why social narratives seemed to be the most popular intervention, why symbol exchange and activity schedule were relatively popular and why contingency map and cue cards were not.

Social Narratives

I believe that one of the reasons that social narratives was the most popular form of visual aids is because it is a well-established method with clear definition (Morris, 1987; Gray, 2000). Social narratives offer concrete guidelines that both researchers and educators can follow to write a proper narrative. This is for example especially true when it comes to Gray's (2000) social stories, which are written from the perspective of children to illustrate a situation they find themselves in. It has clear guidelines on how long the social stories should be and rules about the proportion of descriptive sentences, perspective sentences, directive sentences and so on. The mixture of emphasising children's perspectives with clear guidelines on how to craft social stories makes social stories a popular option for researchers compared to other forms of visual aids.

Another reason that contributes to the popularity of social narratives could be that it is a functional-based intervention (Martinez et al., 2016). Gray (2000) describes that before

constructing a social story, educators or researchers first identify a behavior that is problematic in the student. Afterwards they construct a social story that is written from the child's perspective and the situation he is in to teach the child appropriate social behaviors. The goal of changing challenging behavior is thus achieved by helping the children to understand others' feeling or a situation (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). Therefore social narratives are functional-based intervention written to change behaviors matches with the reducing challenging behaviors focus of this study and thus makes it a popular option for researchers (Martinez et al., 2016).

Symbol Exchange and Activity Schedule

In addition, symbol exchange and activity schedule were relatively popular because they were considerably systematic methods. For example, symbol exchange strategy includes well-establish methods like PECS, the picture communication symbols and others (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). The previously mentioned methods all have well-established systems, which use specific sets of symbols for communication. Much in the same way as symbol exchange activity schedule is also clearly defined (Akers, Higbee, Gerencser, & Pellegrino, 2018; Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). However activity schedule is more flexible than social narratives because its definition serves more as a framework and a core idea that researchers can follow, but it does not have specific guidelines on how to construct the schedule in terms of its sentences length and types and so on.

However, my findings showed that symbol exchange and activity schedule were not as popular as social narratives and I believe that it might be due to their diverse functions. As previously mentioned in the literature review section, the type of information one wishes to convey affects what type of visual aids can be employed (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). Social narratives focus on teaching appropriate social behaviors (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017), while activity schedule focuses on transitioning from one activity to another and symbol exchange focuses on facilitating communication (Kidder, & Mcdonnell, 2017). Therefore one can argue that activity schedule and symbol exchange serve to change challenging behaviors in a more indirect way compared to social narratives. In addition social narratives have a more explicit emphasis and focus on behavior change. Thus I believe the more direct and explicit focus on behavior change could the reason why social narratives is a more broadly researched topic when it comes to behavior change compared to activity schedule and symbol exchange. It is important to note for both researchers and educators that methods that do not explicitly emphasize behavior change might still be viable tools for behavior change.

Cue Cards

On the other hand, cue card was not a popular topic when it came to behavioral change research. I suspect this might be because cues cards are not as systematic as the other types of visual aids available. (Schmit, Alper, Raschke, & Ryndak, 2000). For example it does not have pre-established systems like PECS or picture communication symbols and therefore makes it more difficult for researchers to study cue cards systematically. In addition the focus of cue card centres around conveying information and facilitating communication rather than changing behaviors. Therefore cue cards are not a popular topic among research articles that explores behavioral changes (Schmit, Alper, Raschke, & Ryndak, 2000). Most of the research on cue cards target either regular students or students with learning disabilities (Conderman, and Hedin, 2011 & 2015). Therefore it seems like cue card is a popular research topic in general education, but it is not as popular in the field of ASD research as of yet.

Contingency Map

Contingency map on the other hand has well-defined definition and focuses on correcting behaviors therefore it is reasonable to assume that it should be a popular research topic on changing problematic behavior. However it was very surprising to find out that contingency map was rarely researched within the theme of changing problematic behavior. I suspect that one of the reasons behind this is that contingency map is relatively new in the field of ASD research. I found only one peer reviewed article on contingency map and ASD in my literature review (Brown & Mirenda, 2006). In addition the only article I found dealing with contingency map focused on task completion rather than problematic behaviors, and therefore I had to exclude it from my research as it did not fit my predefined criteria's for inclusion. Nonetheless I believe that contingency map is a topic that could have a lot of potential, mainly due to its focus on direct behavior and therefore I expect it to become a popular research topic in the future.

5.2 Settings and Contexts of the Visual-Aid Interventions

My finding points to there being both similarities and differences in the articles I reviewed regarding interventions' context and settings such as participants' characteristics, research design, intervention process and so on. In this section, I will first discuss the similarities and thereafter move on to discuss the differences.

Similarities

One of the similarities was participants' characteristics. My results found that most participants were 3 to 12 years old males. This matched with the previous findings that showed ASD as more prevalent in boys than girls, the ratio was estimated to be 4:1 according to a research (Loomes, Hull, & Mandy, 2017). The findings that most participants were 3 to 12 years old showed the trend that most intervention focuses on young children with ASD in the timespan from pre-school to primary school. I believe the reason of age similarity between participants might be related to early intervention, which there is a general consensus that it is considered more beneficial and effective to implement an intervention at an early age than older (Lang, Hancock, & Singh, 2016).

Another similarity among the articles that my study reviewed was that all the research were single subject design. This might be due to single subject design uses the participant as the research controller (Horner, & Spaulding, 2010). It compares the data before and after the intervention in the subject; and thus, the data is very sensitive to individual differences and can be easily used to determine the effectiveness of the intervention (Horner, & Spaulding, 2010). Nonetheless it should be pointed out that there is a possibility that individual differences across subjects might be unaccounted for in regards to the effectiveness of the interventions.

The preceding mentioned potential weakness might be tackled through another similarity across the articles I have examined, namely that all interventions were individualized and tailored specifically for students in regards to their behavior. This might be due to the fact that ASD is considered to be a wide spectrum (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Since every child with ASD is considered to be different from other children with ASD, and therefore there is no unified type of visual aids that suits everyone's need. For visual

aids to work properly and effectively it requires the researcher to be able to identify problematic behaviors, students' needs and abilities and more in order to develop a suitable intervention for a child with ASD.

Differences

Regarding the settings and contexts of the visual aids research, one of the differences I found in my review was that the types of symbols and photos used across interventions were different. Some studies used photos of a school and the different people in said school, some used pictures and symbols representing daily activities, locations and items, while others used drawings representing daily activities and locations. The differences described can usually be attributed to the preference of the students, the ability of the students, and if the visual aids system is pre-established. It is possible that photos of the nearby environment allow the children to understand information quicker, while symbols and line drawings may work better in delivering abstract ideas. In addition other picture communication systems like PECS already have a pre-established set of symbols for the student to use and there is therefore less room for individualization. It is important to note that the types of photos may affect the effectiveness of the interventions as it influences how well the students understand the information given.

Another difference across the articles reviewed was that some articles adopted only one type of visual aid, while the others adopted combined visual aids. This might be related to the behavior focus of the different articles. For example, it might require more than one type of visual aids to correct complex behaviors such as physical aggression and verbal aggression. As these behaviors can represent multiple problems such as communication, lack of understanding of social rules and more and thus using combined visual aids can cover these problems more comprehensively. In addition, my findings seemed to point towards symbol exchange being the most easy and common type of visual aid to be combined with other visual aids. I believe it is because symbol exchange systems focus on facilitating communication, and thus it can facilitate the communication and functioning of other visual aids as well. However it is unsure whether using combined visual aids is better than using only one type of visual aids.

In addition, teacher involvement differed in the articles. In some research, teachers were involved in the whole research process, from the development of the tools to the

implementing and evaluation of the intervention. While in other research teachers were not actively involved at all. I believe that teacher involvement might be an influencing factor when it comes to the effectiveness of the implementation of the different interventions, as teacher's involvement helps children to get used to the intervention. Furthermore, teacher involvement in research encourages teachers to take an active role in the intervention research rather than solely relying on the researcher. This might have the added benefit that it helps teachers to renew both their knowledge and practice, which could prove beneficial to the students in the long run (Chow, Chu, Tavares, & Lee, 2015).

The last difference across the research articles included in my study relates to the differences in the degree of pretraining. In some of the research conducted some children received training before the actual intervention was implemented while in others children did not receive pretraining at all. I believe this affects the result of the research conducted as the participants might not be familiar with the conditions of the intervention without training. Thus there is a danger that the data gathered in the beginning of research without pretraining might not reflect the true effect of the intervention in question.

5.3 Effectiveness of the Visual-Aid Interventions

In this section, I will discuss the overall effect size of the different visual aids, the individual effect sizes of each visual aid, their differences and the possible reasons for the differences.

Effectiveness

My research found that most visual aids seemed to be effective in reducing challenging behaviors. The findings suggested that social narratives and combined visual aids were effective in reducing challenging behaviors. In addition, symbol exchange seemed to be effective while activity schedule seemed to be ineffective. However, the results for symbol exchange and activity schedule were not conclusive due to small sample size.

Effect Size: Social narratives

The resulting effect size found in this study for social narratives showed that the strategy was either effective or very effective as an intervention. The data used to calculate the

effect sizes came from 4 articles with 7 participants in total. The calculated effect size was relatively reliable and showed that social narratives has a general trend of being effective in changing problematic behavior for children with ASD. The reason why social narratives were effective might be due to the method being a functional-based intervention (Martinez et al., 2016). It focuses on a specific behavior and the narrative is written specifically with the aim to correct said behavior. The method consists of explaining the reasoning behind the need to change the different problematic behaviors and aims to help the children to understand and behave in a more appropriate way. Thus it might be the functional focus that gives social narratives great strength in correcting behaviors. In addition, children with ASD usually lack or struggle with social skills. They have difficulties in recognizing emotion, expressing emotion, showing empathy, understanding social rules, etc. (Kirk, Gallagher, Coleman, & Anastasiow, 2012). As social narratives describe social situations and through the situation it teaches children social rules, their own emotions, the others emotions and more; therefore, social narratives are good tools to teach social skills and encourage children to behave in socially accepted ways and this might results in a decrease in challenging behaviors.

Effect Size: Symbol exchange

Symbol exchange was also found to be effective in this literature review, however its effect size was only calculated from 1 article with 1 participant in total. Therefore the effect size of symbol exchange was not as reliable as the effect size of social narratives. Nonetheless my findings did match with the findings from Battaglia & McDonald (2015)'s literature review that symbol exchange had a positive relationship in reducing challenging behaviors. I believe that symbol exchange is effective because it is an intervention that helps students to communicate better. As previously mentioned many children with ASD have impairments in communication, many can only say few words while others do not have verbal language at all (Frederickson, & Cline, 2015). Thus children with ASD usually have difficulties in understanding the meaning of the sentences, the tone of the speech, the body language, the rhythm of speech, etc. (Kasari et al., 2013). It is possible that children with ASD engage in challenging behaviors in order to communicate their needs. Thus, by providing them a symbol exchange system helps them to express themselves through communication alternative and this can explain some of the reason behind its effectiveness. As the children manage to express themselves by

mastering the symbol exchange system, then they do not need to resort to challenging behaviors to express their needs and it will likely results in higher life qualities (Matson et al., 2011). Therefore symbol exchange system can be a helpful long-term tool for children with ASD.

Effect Size: Combined Visual Aids

Combined visual aids are found to be effective or very effective. The effect sizes were calculated from 3 articles with 3 participants in total. The effect size was relatively reliable and showed that combined visual aids were effective in reducing challenging behaviors for children with ASD. It also seems possible that combined visual aids are more likely to be useful and effective in comparison to only using one type of visual aid. The reason being that combined visual aids possibly offers a more comprehensive form of help compared to single visual-aid interventions. For example combined visual aids aims to take care of the children's social ability, language ability, difficulty in transition, etc. Therefore combined visual aids can be argued to facilitate the children in a more comprehensive way and therefore be more likely to work effectively in correcting behaviors.

Effect Size: Activity Schedule

Activity schedule was the only visual aid in this review that was found to be ineffective. The effect size was calculated from only 1 article with 2 participants in total and thus the effect size was not treated as conclusive. My finding is different from Lequia et al. (2012)'s article which found that activity schedule is likely to be effective in reducing challenging behaviors. However my research is fundamentally different from Lequia et al. (2012)'s, primarily because my study has a much more narrow definition of challenging behaviors. In addition I only investigated the effectiveness of activity schedule used by itself while Lequia et al. (2012)'s study inspected activity schedule in combination with videos, written scripts, etc. To further explain the differences I also examined the included article by Waters et al. (2009)'s, to explore why activity schedule was ineffective in the study primarily due to the lack of reinforcement. In Waters, et al. (2009)'s study they described how activity schedule was used in the classroom before the experiment. I believe that this

explains the reason for why the strategy is ineffective when the therapist introduce the same strategy to the students as part of the experiment. It was not until after introducing reinforcement that the children showed a decrease in physical challenging behaviors (waters et al., 2009). Therefore it is possible that using activity schedule by itself is not useful, but that combining it with reinforcement can make it effective in promoting behavior change. Another possible explanation for the lack of effectiveness of activity schedule can possibly be found in Atkinson and Shiffrin (1968)'s information processing theory. I suspect that when activity schedule was introduced to the students in Waters, et al. (2009)'s study, it only served as a sensory input that was not consciously registered by the students. That is, the activity schedule did not receive attention from the students, and therefore it turned out to be ineffective. However, after the introduction of reinforcement the activity schedule received attention from the students and was then registered as information input that they should follow. Afterwards, through multiple rehearsals, students became familiar with the instructions, that is, the information transferred to short-term store which increased the effectiveness of activity schedules.

5.4 Reliability and Validity of This Review

Reliability and validity are important factors that determine the quality of a research paper. In this section, I will discuss the reliability and validity of my research review in detail and how I secured them in my study.

5.4.1 Reliability

Reliability refers to the consistency of a research instrument (Price, et al., 2017). A reliable research instrument is one that can produce consistent results. There are different types of reliability such as test-retest reliability, parallel forms reliability, inter-rater reliability and more (Price, et al., 2017). However, different types of reliability and validity are usually measured in quantitative research (rather than qualitative research) to determine the quality of a test. Nonetheless, I tried to make my literature review as reliable and valid as possible in the following ways.

In the following I will discuss about how I ensured that my study is both reliable and valid. To ensure reliability in my study I decided to use the PRISMA method when

collecting data. PRISMA is a well-established method in the field of health care that has increasingly been used in research in other fields (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, and Altman, 2009). I argue that I ensure reliability across studies because it follows a strict framework, which provides clear guidelines to researchers that ensures that they can produce consistent results over time. Using PRISMA increases the clarity of reporting and enables the readers to effectively evaluate the review based on known criteria's. In addition I ensured reliability by adopting PND and PEM indexes when calculating the effect sizes of the different research articles. Both indexes have clear definitions and formulas that researcher can follow to ensure that they can get the same results across different times. In addition they also provide transparency for other researchers to evaluate the quality of the studies that have used PND and PEM indexes. In addition I have also tried to ensure reliability akin to the concept of parallel forms reliability, which refers to when researchers administrate two similar versions of an instrument they get similar results (Salkind, 2010). There is a requisite that these two instruments needs to measure the same thing. In my study I employed both PND and PEM to calculate effect size to ensure that the results could be argued to have a form of parallel forms reliability. While they both measure effect size but they do so from different perspectives. PND measures the data points exceeding the lowest baseline level, while PEM measures the data points exceeding the median of baseline level (Scruggs, Mastropieri, Casto, 1987 & Ma, 2006). Thus to ensure a form of parallel forms reliability, I compared the results from PND and PEM indexes to explore both their similarities and differences and to be able to explain the reason behind the differences I found. Thus the data gathered in this study can be considered more reliable since it comes as a result of two different indexes.

5.4.2 Validity

Besides reliability it is also important to ensure the validity of the results of the research conducted. Validity refers to what extend does a research instrument measures what it is trying to measure (Price, et al., 2017). Alternatively one can also understand it as dealing with to what extent the study is producing valid answers to the formulated research questions.

To tackle the issue of validity I adopted PND and PEM to measure the efficiency of behavioral change interventions through calculating their effect sizes across studies. Both
methods are well established in meta-analysis papers (Şen, & Şen, 2019), Since they are considered reliable as a simple way to measure efficiency by measuring the data points exceeding the median of baseline level or the lowest point of baseline level. Using both PND and PEM ensured that I could engage the data collected through PRISMA on a larger scale than what would be usual when just using one calculation index. Thus both similarities and differences were discovered in effect sizes, as mentioned in the reliability section, this allows a broader investigation that would not be possible if only one method was used. Thus one can argue that the usage of two indexes rather than only one has helped to give more credibility that the results of my study can be considered valid to an extent. In addition I also used multiple databases in this article to ensure more valid results. The databases I used are PYSCinfo, ERIC and Medline. All three are well known databases that provide relevant articles for my chosen topic of interests. I also included only peer-reviewed articles in this literature review. As these articles are approved by other experts in the field, they tend to be representative and can considered to be valid data for my analyses.

Finally, I ensure validity by making sure that my research instruments cover all perspectives of the research questions. Since I set out to study the effectiveness of visual aids in changing challenging behaviors of children with ASD. I ensured validity through investigating 5 different types of visual aids, calculated their effectiveness based on two indexes and I made a comparison and discussed the results. By doing this my article offered a comprehensive overview of the different types of visual aids and provided measurement of effectiveness of visual-aid interventions from two perspectives. Thus my study offers a comprehensive analysis of the visual aids in question from multiple perspective and it can be argued that this ensures that the validity of the research.

5.5 Limitations

I have discussed how this research paper can be understood as both reliable and valid in many aspects, and how it contributes important knowledge to the field of special education. Nonetheless it is important to point out that this study still has some limitations and I will in this section discuss the limitations of my study.

The first possible limitation of this study is that I am the only reviewer, and thus my personal judgments may affect the validity of the study. For example, I made the decision about the inclusion and exclusion criteria's, database keywords, categorization of 5 types of visual aids and also decided which articles that should be included. Since these decisions were made by one reviewer it could be argued that there is a probability that my findings are prone to personal bias. There is also a possibility that the findings could be different if two or more researchers conducted a similar study because researchers could have different understanding of the methodology. To make my research more valid and precise it might be better to have two or more reviewers. Therefore I have taken steps to ensure that it is possible to repeat my study for future researchers through documenting the methods and processes used in clear steps to facilitate further research based on my findings.

Another possible limitation of this article is that I found few articles that could be included in my analysis. Despite the fact that I used three databases to search for articles, I was still only able to obtain 9 articles for analysis. The limited amount of articles could affect the validity of both the data and the results of my review. Therefore I suggest that future research should use as many databases as possible to find more articles to include in the analysis to strengthen the validity of the results and findings. Nonetheless this limitation is a result of limited time and resources for this study and are mediated through the use of different methods and frameworks as discussed in the reliability and validity discussion.

Moreover, there is also some limitation when it comes to the tools used to calculate the effect sizes in the study. As earlier mentioned I used both the PND and PEM index to calculate the effect sizes of the different forms of visual aids. And even though both methods are easy and quite popular among review articles, they are limited when it comes to the scope of the results of the indexes. For example, they do not offer information about the significance of the result or how much change has occurred within the baseline stage and the intervention stage. PND is also prone to mathematical limitations like the earlier explained floor and ceiling error (Ma, 2006).

Finally an important limitation is that I have only investigated English articles, and thus my results might not represent the overall general effect of visual aids in non-English

speaking countries. Thus there is a need for researchers to conduct research in non-English speaking countries as language and culture may be factors that influence the effectiveness of visual aids.

5.6 Implications

This research explores how visual aids change behaviors for children with ASD and hopefully can make contributions to the special education field. In this section I will discuss the practical implication and scientific implication of my research, before going on to offer some conclusion.

5.6.1 Implication for Practitioners

This study compared 5 types of visual aids in terms of their popularity, settings and context and regarding their effectiveness in changing problematic behaviors for children with ASD. It has made several contributions that can be valuable for practitioners working in the field.

Firstly this study introduces different types of visual aids to practitioners. Thus it contributes to raise awareness of the importance of visual aids for practitioners working with children with ASD. It offers them an overview of visual aids and encourages them to explore different types of visual aids and to gain a deeper understanding on them. Additionally the results presented in this review shows the importance of adopting and implementing visual aids as an intervention when handling problematic behaviors in children with ASD.

Secondly this research equips practitioners with knowledge about how to choose the correct type of visual aid in accordance with the behavior in question. For example, by comparing the effectiveness of 5 types of visual aids, the study suggests that social narratives are likely to be effective in correcting problematic behaviors while PECS is likely to be effective in correcting communication problems. In addition the results of this study show the importance and efficiency of using a combination of visual aids to change problematic behavior and thus facilitate the various needs of children with ASD.

5.6.2 Implication for Researchers

This article also contributes a lot to the field of the research. I will discuss a few of the implications here.

Firstly my study found that visual aids are likely to be effective in reducing challenging behaviors in children with ASD. It helps scholars and researchers within the fields that deal with children with ASD to be aware of the importance of visual aids, and to further encourage examination of different types of visual aids strategies. For future directions, I suggest researchers to follow the same direction of exploring the relationship between visual aids and challenging behaviors. But in order to make the study more reliable I would recommend the inclusion of two or more reviewers. In addition it would be beneficial to consider adopting a more comprehensive effect size formula or conduct a meta-analysis.

Secondly I have observed that the most researched visual aids are social narratives, followed by symbol exchange and activity schedule, while contingency map is rarely researched. However, contingency map is a behavioral focused intervention and thus holds a potential that has not been mapped out when it comes to changing the behavior of children with ASD. As there was only one peer-reviewed article on contingency map and ASD at the moment of my review, there is a need for future researcher to look into this topic.

6. Conclusion

The goal of this research was to explore the inverse relationship between visual aids and challenging behaviors in children with ASD. To answer this question, I looked into 5 types of visual aids and compared their research frequency, settings and context and their effect size in current literature. I used the PRISMA method to conduct literature screening. It allowed me to screen articles systematically and to narrow down 345 to 9 articles for analysis. I also used PND and PEM index to calculate effect size. They allowed a direct and easy comparison of the effect sizes between different visual aids. My findings suggested that social narratives were the most researched visual aids and it was likely to be effective in changing challenging behaviors for children with ASD. The results also suggested that combined visual were likely to be effective. However, the results for symbol exchange and activity schedule were not conclusive due to limited sampling.

The findings of this study can hopefully contribute to practitioners working in the special education field to find appropriate visual-aid interventions to reduce challenging behaviors for their students with ASD. For future research directions, I suggest that future researchers follow the direction of exploring relationship between visual aids and challenging behaviors but employ more comprehensive effect size calculations or conduct a meta-analysis involving two or more reviewers. I also suggest that future researchers should look into contingency map as it is rarely researched on and it has great potential in changing challenging behaviors.

References

- Agosta, E., Graetz, J. E., Mastropieri, M. A., & Scruggs, T. E. (2004). Teacher-Researcher Partnerships to Improve Social Behavior through Social Stories. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 39(5), 276-287.
- Akers, J., Higbee, T. S., Gerencser, K. R., and Pellegrino, A. J. (2018). An Evaluation of Group Activity Schedules to Promote Social Play in Children with Autism. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 51(3), 553-70.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1980). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (3rd ed.)*. Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (4th ed.)*. Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.)*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Anderson, S., Bucholz, J. L., Hazelkorn, M., & Cooper, M. A. (2016). Using narrated literacy-based behavioral interventions to decrease episodes of physical aggression in elementary students with disabilities. *Support for Learning*, 31(2), 90-103.
- Ashcroft, W., Argiro, S. and Keohane, J. (2010). Success strategies for teaching kids with *autism*. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press Inc.
- Atkinson, R. C., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1968). Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. In K. W. Spence, & J. T. Spence (Eds.), *The psychology of learning and motivation: Advances in research and theory* (Vol. 2, pp. 89-195). New York: Academic Press.
- Baghdadli, A., Pascal, C., Grisi, S., & Aussilloux, C. (2003). Risk factors for selfinjurious behaviors among 222 young children with autistic disorders. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 47, 622-627.

- Baio, J. (2014). Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 sites, United States, 2010. MMWR Surveillance Summaries, 63(1), 1-21.
- Battaglia, D., & McDonald, M. E. (2015). Effects of the Picture Exchange
 Communication System (PECS) on Maladaptive Behavior in Children with
 Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD): A Review of the Literature. *Journal of the American Academy of Special Education Professionals*, 8-20.
- Baxter, P. M., Cooper, H. M., Hedges, L. V., & Valentine, J. C. (2009). The Handbook of Research Synthesis and Meta-analysis (2nd ed). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Beebe, L. (2010). *PsycINFO's Growth*. Retrieved from https://www.apa.org/pubs/databases/news/2010/12/psycinfo-growth
- Bessette Gorlin, J., Mcalpine, C., Garwick, A., & Wieling, E. (2016). Severe Childhood Autism: The Family Lived Experience. Journal of Pediatric Nursing, 31(6), 580-597.
- Bitsika, V., & Sharpley, C. (2016). Which Aspects of Challenging Behavior Are Associated with Anxiety across two Age Groups of Young Males with an Autism Spectrum Disorder? *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 28(5), 685-701.
- Bondy, A., & Frost, L. (1994). The Picture-Exchange Communication System. *Focus on Autistic Behavior*, 9, 1-19.
- Bondy, A., & Frost, L. (1998). The Picture Exchange Communication System. *Seminars in Speech and Language*, 19, 373-389.
- Boyd, B. A., & McDonough, S. G., & Bodfish, J. W. (2012). Evidence-Based Behavioral Interventions for Repetitive Behaviors in Autism. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 42, 1236-48.

- Brown, K., & Mirenda, P. (2006). Contingency mapping: Use of a novel visual support strategy as an adjunct to functional equivalence training. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 8, 156-163.
- Carr, E. G., & Durand, V. M (1985). Reducing behavior problems through functional communication training, *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 18, 111-126.
- Carr, E. G., Dunlap, G., Horner, R. H., Koegel, R. L., Turnbull, A. P., Sailor, W., et al. (2002). Positive behavior support: Evolution of an applied science. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 4(1), 4–16.
- Catts, H. W., & Kamhi, A. G. (2005). *The Connections between Language and Reading Disabilities*. Mahwah, N.J: L. Erlbaum.
- Chow, K., Chu, S., Tavares, N., & Lee, C. (2015). *Teachers as researchers: A discovery* of their emerging role and impact through a school-university collaborative research. Brock Education, 24(2), 20-39.
- Conderman, G., & Hedin, L. (2011). Cue Cards: A Self-Regulatory Strategy for Students With Learning Disabilities. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 46(3), 165-173.
- Conderman, G., & Hedin, L. (2015). *Using Cue Cards Throughout the K-12 Curriculum*. Kappa Delta Pi Record, 51(1), 24-30.
- Conroy, M. A., Dunlap, G., Clarke, S., & Alter, P. J. (2005). A Descriptive Analysis of Positive Behavioral Intervention Research With Young Children With Challenging Behavior. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 25(3), 157– 166.
- Dooley, P., Wilczenski, F. L., & Torem, C. (2001). Using an activity schedule to smooth school transitions. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 3(1), 57-61.
- Dunlap, G., & Fox, L. (1999). A demonstration of behavioral support for young children with autism. *Journal of Positive Behavioral Interventions*, 1(2), 77–87.

- Dunlap, G., Strain, P. S., Fox, L., Carta, J. J., Conroy, M., Smith, B. J., ... Sowell, C.
 (2006). Prevention and Intervention with Young Children's Challenging Behavior:
 Perspectives regarding Current Knowledge. *Behavioral Disorders*, 32(1), 29-45.
- Elsabbagh, M., Divan, G., Koh, Y. J., Kim, Y. S., Kauchali, S., Marcín, C., Montiel-Nava, C., Patel, V., Paula, C. S., Wang, C., Yasamy, M. T., & Fombonne, E. (2012). Global prevalence of autism and other pervasive developmental disorders. *Autism research : official journal of the International Society for Autism Research*, 5(3), 160–179.
- ERIC. (2014). 50 Years of ERIC. Retrieved from https://www.eric.ed.gov/pdf/ERIC_Retrospective.pdf
- Farrugia, D (2009). Exploring stigma: Medical knowledge and the stigmatization of parents of children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder. Sociology of Health and Illness, 31, 1011–1027.
- Frea, W. D., Arnold, C. L., & Vittimberga, G. L. (2001). A demonstration of the effects of augmentative communication on the extreme aggressive behavior of a child with autism within an integrated preschool setting. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 3(4), 194-198.
- Frederickson, N., & Cline, T. (2015). Special educational needs, inclusion and diversity. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Gillingham G. (1995). *Autism : handle with care!: understanding and managing behavior of children and adults with autism*. Future Education Inc.
- Gray, C. (1994). Making sense out of the world: Social stories, comic strip conversations, and related instructional techniques. Paper presented at the Midwest Educational Leadership Conference on Autism, Kansas City, MO.
- Gray, C. (2000). The New Social Story Book. Arlington, TX: Future Horizon.
- Holaday, B. (2012). History of autism. South Carolina Nurse, 19(2), 12-3.

- Holden, B., & Gitlesen, J. P. (2006). A total population study of challenging behavior in the county of Hedmark, Norway: Prevalence, and risk markers. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 27, 456-465.
- Horner, R. & Spaulding, S. (2010). Single-subject design. In N. J. Salkind (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of research design* (pp. 1387-1394). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Hutchins, T., & Prelock, P. (2014). Using Communication to Reduce Challenging Behaviors in Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorders and Intellectual Disability. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 23(1), 41-55.
- Karkhaneh, M., Clark, B., Ospina, M. B., Seida, J. C., Smith, V., & Hartling, L. (2010). Social Stories[TM] to Improve Social Skills in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: Systematic Review. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, 14(6), 641-662.
- Kasari, C., Brady, N., Lord, C., & Tager-Flusberg, H. (2013). Assessing the minimally verbal school-aged child with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research*, 6(6), 479–493.
- Kidder, J. E., & McDonnell, A. P. (2017). Visual Aids for Positive Behavior Support of Young Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Young Exceptional Children*, 20(3), 103-116.
- Kirk, S., Gallagher, J. J., Coleman, M. R., & Anastasiow, N. (2012). Educating exceptional children. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Koegel, Lynn, Matos-Freden, Rosy, Lang, Russell, & Koegel, Robert. (2012).
 Interventions for Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders in Inclusive School Settings. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 19(3), 401-412.
- Kuttler, S., Myles, B. S., & Carlson, J. K. (1998). The use of social stories to reduce precursors to tantrum behavior in a student with autism. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 13(3), 176-182.

- Lang, R., Hancock, T., & Singh, N. (2016). *Early Intervention for Young Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder*. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Lansford, J., Criss, M., Laird, R., Shaw, D., Pettit, G., Bates, J., & Dodge, K. (2011). Reciprocal relations between parents' physical discipline and children's externalizing behavior during middle childhood and adolescence. *Development* and Psychopathology, 23(1), 225-238.
- Larson, E (2010). Ever vigilant: Maternal support of participation in daily life for boys with autism. *Physical and Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics*, 30, 16–27.
- Lau, J, Ioannidis, J. P, Schmid, C. H. (1997). Quantitative synthesis in systematic reviews. Ann Intern Med, 127(9), 820-826.
- Lequia, J., Machalicek, W., & Rispoli, M. J. (2012). Effects of Activity Schedules on Challenging Behavior Exhibited in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Systematic Review. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 6(1), 480-92.
- Loomes, R., Hull, L., & Mandy, W. (2017). What Is the Male-to-Female Ratio in Autism Spectrum Disorder? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 56 (6), 466-474.
- Ma, H. (2006). An alternative method for quantifying synthesis of single-subject research: Percent of data points exceeding the median. *Behavior Modification*, 30, 598-617.
- MacDuff, G. S., Krantz, P. J., & McClannahan, L. E.(1993). Teaching children with autism to use photographic activity schedules: Maintenance and generalization of complex response chains. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 26, 89-97.
- Mancil, G. R., Haydon, T., & Whitby, P. (2009). Differentiated effects of paper and computer-assisted social storiesTM on inappropriate behavior in children with autism. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 24(4), 205-215.
- Martinez, J. R., Werch, B. L., and Conroy, M. A. (2016). School-Based Interventions Targeting Challenging Behaviors Exhibited by Young Children with Autism

Spectrum Disorder: A Systematic Literature Review. *Education And Training In Autism And Developmental Disabilities*, 51(3): 265-80.

- Matson, J., Wilkins, J., & Macken, J. (2008). The Relationship of Challenging Behaviors to Severity and Symptoms of Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 2(1), 29-44.
- Matson, J., Sipes, M., Fodstad, J., & Fitzgerald, M. (2011). Issues in the Management of Challenging Behaviors of Adults with Autism Spectrum Disorder. CNS Drugs, 25(7), 597-606.
- McGill, R. J., Baker, D., & Busse, R. T. (2015). Social StoryTM interventions for decreasing challenging behaviors: A single-case meta-analysis 1995-2012. *Educational Psychology in Practice*, 31(1), 21-42.
- Minshawi, N., Hurwitz, S., Fodstad, J., Biebl, S., Morriss, D., & McDougle, C. (2014).
 The association between self-injurious behaviors and autism spectrum disorders.
 Psychology Research and Behavior Management, 7, 125-136.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., The PRISMA Group. (2009)
 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The
 PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med*, 6(7): e1000097.
- Morris, S. (1987). Social Narratives : A Story Intervention for Children with Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities. London.
- O'connor, K. (2012). Auditory Processing in Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Review. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36(2): 836-54.
- Okada, S., Ohtake, Y., & Yanagihara, M. (2008). Effects of Perspective Sentences in Social Stories[TM] on Improving the Adaptive Behaviors of Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders and Related Disabilities. *Education and Training in Developmental Disabilities*, 43(1), 46-60.
- O'Reilly, M., Sigafoos, J., Lancioni, G., Edrisinha, C., & Andrews, A. (2005). An examination of the effects of a classroom activity schedule on levels of self-injury

and engagement for a child with severe autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*, 35(3), 305-311.

- Pillay, D. & Vieira, B. L. (2020). Noise, screaming and shouting: Classroom acoustics and teachers' perceptions of their voice in a developing country. *South African Journal of Childhood Education*, 10(1), E1-E9.
- Price, P.C., Jhangiani, R.S., Chiang, I.A., Leighton, D.C. and Cuttler, C. (2017). *Research Methods in Psychology, Third Edition*. Retrieved from https://opentext.wsu.edu/carriecuttler/
- Quill, K. (Ed.). (1995). Teaching children with autism: Strategies to enhance communication and socialization. Albany, NY: Delmar.
- Reichow, B., & Barton, E. (2014). Evidence-based psychosocial interventions for individuals with autism spectrum disorders. In F. Volkmar, S. Rogers, R. Paul, & K. Pelphrey (Eds.), *Handbook of Autism and Pervasive Developmental Disorders*, Fourth Edition (pp. 970-985). Hoboken, NJ: Wil.
- Rogers, L., & Mesibov, G. (2013). Visual supports for visual thinkers: Practical ideas for students with autism spectrum disorders and other special educational needs. Jessica Kingsley Publishers, London.
- Salkind, N. J. (Ed.) (2010). *Encyclopedia of research design Thousand Oaks*, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Seers. (2012). What is a qualitative synthesis? *Evidence Based Nursing*, 15(4), 101.
- Şen, N., & Şen, S. (2019). Calculation of Effect Size in Single-Subject Experimental Studies : Examination of Non-Regression-Based Methods. *Journal of Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology*, 10(1), 30-48.
- Sargeant, J. (2012). Qualitative Research Part II: Participants, Analysis, and Quality Assurance. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, 4(1), 1-3.

- Scattone, D., Wilczynski, S. M., Edwards, R. P., & Rabian, B. (2002). Decreasing disruptive behaviors of children with autism using social stories. Journal of *Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 32(6), 535-543.
- Schmit, J., Alper, S., Raschke, D., & Ryndak, D. (2000). Effects of using a photographic cueing package during routine school transitions with a child who has autism. *Mental Retardation*, 38, 131-137.
- Scruggs, T. E., Mastropieri, M. A., & Casto, G. (1987). The quantitative synthesis of single-subject research: Methodology and validation. *Remedial and Special Education*, 8, 24–33.
- Sigafoos, J. (2003). Challenging behavior and developmental disability. London: Whurr
- Shulman, C., Guberman, A., Shiling, N., & Bauminger, N. (2012). Moral and Social Reasoning in Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42(7), 1364-1376.
- U.S. National Library of Medicine. (2019). *MEDLINE*®: *Description of the Database*. Retrieved from https://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/medline.html
- Wagner, M., Cameto, R., & Newman, L. (2003). Youth with disabilities: A changing population. a report of findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study (NLTS) and the National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (NLTS2). Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.
- Warren, Z,. Veenstra-VanderWeele, J., Stone, W., et al. (2011). Therapies for Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.
- Waters, M. B., Lerman, D. C., & Hovanetz, A. N. (2009). Separate and combined effects of visual schedules and extinction plus differential reinforcement on problem behavior occasioned by transitions. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 42(2), 309-313.

- Watt, N., Wetherby, A., Barber, M., & Morgan, A. (2008). Repetitive and Stereotyped Behaviors in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders in the Second Year of Life. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38(8), 1518-1533.
- Werner DeGrace, B (2004). The everyday occupation of families with children with autism. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 58, 543–550.
- Wing, L. (1988). The continuum of autistic characteristics. In E. Schopler & G. B. Mesibov (Eds.), *Current issues in autism*. Diagnosis and assessment in autism (pp. 91-110). New York, NY, US: Plenum Press.
- Wong, C., Odom, S. L., Hume, K., Cox, A. W., Fettig, A., Kucharczyk, S., . . . Schultz, T. R. (2014). *Evidence-based practices for children, youth, and young adults with autism spectrum disorder*. Chapel Hill: Frank Porter Graham Child Development Institute, The University of North Carolina, Autism Evidence-Based Practice Review Group.
- Zimmerman, K. N., Ledford, J. R., & Barton, E. E. (2017). Using visual activity schedules for young children with challenging behavior. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 39(4), 339-358.

Appendices

Appendices A: Articles Screening Process with Detail Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

					Abstra	ct screening ((n=346)						F	ull text scree	ening (n=49))
No. (n=345)	Author	Children with ASD		V	isual Aids	3		Behavior focused intervention	Primary source	Comments	Record include abstract s	s to be d after creening	Problematic behavior focused	Record included text scr	ls to be after full- reening	
		(n=298)	Activity schedule (n=52)	Contingency map (n=2)	Cues (n=41)	Social narratives (n=119)	Symbol exchange (n=88)	(n=80)	(n=270)		No / Excluded (N=296)	Yes / Included (N=49)	intervention (n=9)	No / Excluded (n=40)	Yes / Included (N=9)	Comments
1	Adams et al. (2004)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1					
2	Agosta et al. (2004)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	Y		1	
3	Ahlers et al. (2017)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1					
4	Akers et al. (2018)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y		1					
5	Akers et al. (2016)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Ν	Y		1					
6	Akers et al. (2019)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	Y	Y	Y			1	Ν	1		
7	Al zyoudi et al. (2016)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1					
8	Ali et al. (2006)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Ν	N		1					
9	Al-Nasser et al. (2019)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		1					
10	Al-Qabandi et al. (2011)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Y		1					
11	Amin & Oweini (2013)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Ν	Y		1					
12	An et al. (2017)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1					
13	Anderson et al. (2007)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y			1	N	1		
14	Anderson et al.	Y	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	Y		1					

	(2016)															
15	Anderson et al. (2016)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	Y		1	
16	Arntzen et al. (1998)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y			1	N	1		
17	Ballan et al. (2017)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Y	Ν	N		1					
18	Banda et al. (2014)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N		1					
19	Banda & Grimmett (2008)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		1					
20	Barlow et al. (2013)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Y	Ν	Y		1					
21	Barry et al. (2004)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	Ν	Y		1					
22	Battaglia & McDonald (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Y	N		1					
23	Bauminger- Zvieli & Kugelmass (2013)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1					
24	Beaver et al. (2017)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y			1	N	1		
25	Bedford et al. (2016)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N		1					
26	Belisle et al. (2019)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y		1					
27	Bellini & Peters (2008)	Y	Ν	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Involves non-visual aid interventions	1					
28	Ben Chaabane et al. (2009)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1					
29	Benish & Bramlett (2011)	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1					
30	Bennett et al. (2011)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y			1	N	1		
31	Bentivegna et al. (1983)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1					

	-	1	1			T	1	1	1	1	1	I	1	1	1
32	Berkowitz (1990)	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		1				
33	Bernard-Opitz et al. (2001)	Y	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	Y		1				
34	Betz, et al. (2008)	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y		1				
35	Bevan-Brown et al. (2008)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Involves visual aids other than the 5 types	1				
36	Bledsoe et al. (2003)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Y	Y			1	N	1	
37	Boesch et al. (2013)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y		1				
38	Boesch et al. (2013)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y		1				
39	Bohlande et al. (2012)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	N		1				
40	Bondy (2012)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N		1				
41	Bondy & Frost (2001)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N		1				
42	Bondy et al. (2004)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N		1				
43	Bondy & Frost (1998)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N		1				
44	Bopp et al. (2004)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N		1				
45	Boutot (2009)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
46	Brady et al. (2012)	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y		1				
47	Breitfelder (2008)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N		1				
48	Breslin & Buchanan (2014)	Ν	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1				
49	Brodhead et al. (2014)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y		1				
50	Brown & Mirenda (2006)	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y			1	N	1	
51	Brownell	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Y	Y			1	N	1	

	(2002)													
52	Bruinsma et al. (2004)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	1				
53	Bryan & Gast (2000)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		1	N	1	
54	Bucholz & Brady (2008)	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	1				
55	Buckley & Newchok (2005)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y		1	Ν	1	
56	Budzinska et al. (2014)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1				
57	Burckley et al. (2015)	Ν	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	1				
58	Caballero & Connell (2010)	Y	Ν	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	1				
59	Cafiero (2001)	Y	Ν	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	1				
60	Carlile et al. (2013)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1				
61	Carnahan et al. (2009)	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	1				
62	Carp et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1				
63	Carr & Felce (2007a)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
64	Carr & Felce (2007b)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
65	Carr et al. (1978)	Y	Ν	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	1				
66	Carson et al. (2012)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
67	Casey (1977)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y	1				
68	Chaabane et al. (2009)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
69	Chan & O'Reilly (2008)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y	1				
70	Chan et al. (2011)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y		1	Ν	1	
71	Charlo et al. (2008)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				

72	Charlop et al. (1983)	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		1				
73	Charlop- Christy et al. (2002)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1				
74	Chen (2018)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
75	Chohan & Jones (2019)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1				
76	Chung et al. (2016)	Y	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	N		1				
77	Cihak (2011)	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y			1	Ν	1	
78	Cihak et al. (2012a)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	N	1	
79	Cihak et al. (2012b)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1				
80	Cihak et al. (2010)	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1	
81	Collette et al. (2019)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Y		1				
82	Conroy et al. (2005)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1	
83	Cornelius Habarad (2015)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Involves non-visual aid interventions	1				
84	Couper et al. (2014)	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y		1				
85	Crooke et al. (2016)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		1				
86	Crozier & Tincani (2007)	Y	N	N	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Y		1				
87	Crozier & Tincani (2005)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	N	1	
88	Cummings et al. (2012)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1				
89	Daneshvar et al. (2019)	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
90	Daou (2014)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		1				
91	Dardennes et al. (2011)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1				

92	Daubert et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Y	1					
93	Dauphin et al. (2004)	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y	1					
94	Delano & Snell (2006)	Y	N	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y	1					
95	Delano & Stone (2008)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	N	1					
96	Denne et al. (2018)	Ν	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Y	1					
97	Dodd et al. (2008)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Ν	Y	1					
98	Dogoe et al. (2010)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y	1					
99	Doherty et al. (2018)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y	1					
100	Domire & Wolfe (2014)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	1					
101	Dooley et al. (2001)	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y		1	Y		1	
102	Doyle & Arnedillo- Sanchez (2011)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y	1					
103	Fees et al. (2014)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y		1	Ν	1		
104	Ferreira et al. (2017)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	1					
105	Fetherston & Sturmey (2014)	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	1					
106	Fienup et al. (2013)	Y	N	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y	1					
107	Fittipaldi-Wert & Mowling (2009)	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N	Y	N	Y	1					
108	Fleury et al. (2014)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y	1					
109	Frea et al. (2001)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y		1	Y		1	
110	Frith & Hermelin (1969)	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	1					

111	Gadaire et al. (2018)	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y	1				
112	Gadberry (2011)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y	1				
113	Ganz et al. (2005)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	1				
114	Ganz et al. (2012a)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	1				
115	Ganz et al. (2012b)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Y	N	N	1				
116	Ganz & Flores (2010)	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	1				
117	Ganz et al. (2013)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y	1				
118	Ganz et al. (2010a)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
119	Ganz et al. (2010b)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
120	Ganz et al. (2014)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	N	1				
121	Ganz et al. (2009)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y	1				
122	Ganz, Simpson & Lund (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	1				
123	Gerber et al. (2011)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Y	1				
124	Gerencser et al. (2017)	Ν	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y	1				
125	Gevarter et al. (2014)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Y	Y		1	Ν	1	
126	Giles & Markham (2017)	Y	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	Y	1				
127	Gillespie- Smith et al. (2014)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
128	Gilroy et al. (2018)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
129	Glaeser et al. (2003)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	N	1				
130	Goldman et al. (2018)	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1				

131	Gomi & Noro (2010)	Y	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y		1	N	1	
132	Goodman- Scott & Carlisle (2015)	N	N	N	Ν	Y	N	N	Y	1				
133	Graetz et al. (2009)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y		1	N	1	
134	Gray & Garand (1993)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Ν	Y	1				
135	Green et al. (2006)	Ν	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Y	1				
136	Greenberg et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y	1				
137	Greenway (2000)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ν	1				
138	Hagiwara & Myles (1999)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Ν	Y	1				
139	Halle et al. (2016)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Y	1				
140	Hanley- Hochdorfer et al. (2010)	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Y	1				
141	Hanney et al. (2019)	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1				
142	Harris et al. (1999)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	Ν	Y	1				
143	Hart & Banda (2010)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Y	Ν	N	1				
144	Hartley & Allen (2015)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	Ν	Y	1				
145	Herbet et al. (2018)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	1				
146	Hess et al. (2008)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Y	1				
147	Holmes et al. (2019)	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Ν	Y	1				
148	Homlitas et al. (2014)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
149	Hoyland et al. (2019)	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y	 1				
150	Huang & Wheeler	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	1				

	(2007)														
151	Hutchins & Prelock (2005)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
152	Hutchins & Prelock (2008)	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	N		1				
153	Hutchins & Prelock (2013a)	Y	N	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
154	Hutchins & Prelock (2013b)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
155	Hutchins & Prelock (2018)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν		1				
156	Ikuse et al. (2018)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y		1				
157	Ingvarsson & Hollobaugh (2011)	Y	N	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y		1				
158	Ingvarsson & Le (2011)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y		1				
159	Irvin et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1				
160	Iskander & Rosales (2013)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1	
161	Ivey et al. (2004)	Y	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1	
162	Jeekratok et al. (2014)	Y	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Involves non-visual aid interventions	1				
163	Johnson et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Y		1				
164	Jull & Mirenda (2016)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Y		1				
165	Jurgens et al. (2009)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	Y		1				
166	Jurgens et al. (2019)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1				
167	Karayazi et al. (2014)	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
168	Karkhaneh et al. (2010)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N		1				

								-						
169	Kassardjian et al. (2014)	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Y	1				
170	Kee et al. (2012)	N	N	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	1				
171	Kern et al. (2007)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y	1				
172	Khasakhala & Galava (2016)	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y	1				
173	Kidder & McDonnell (2017)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	1				
174	Kishida et al. (2019)	Y	N	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y	1				
175	Klett & Turan (2012)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Y	1				
176	Knight et al. (2015)	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	1				
177	Kodak et al. (2012)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Y	Y		1	Ν	1	
178	Koegel & Schreibman (1977)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y	1				
179	Koege et al. (1979)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y	1				
180	Kohler et al. (2007)	Y	N	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y	1				
181	Kokina & Kern (2010)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	N	1				
182	Koyama & Wang (2011)	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	1				
183	Krantz et al. (1993)	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y	1				
184	Krantz & McClannahan (1998)	Y	N	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y	1				
185	Kravits et al. (2002)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	1				
186	Kroeger & Sorensen (2010)	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	1				
187	Kuoch & Mirenda	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y		1	N	1	

	(2003)														
188	Kuttler et al. (1998)	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		1	Y		1	
189	Landa & Hanley (2016)	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	1					
190	Laprime & Dittrich (2014)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	1					
191	Leaf et al. (2016)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	1					
192	Lea et al. (2012)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Ν	Y	1					
193	Leaf et al. (2015)	Y	N	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	N	1					
194	Ledbetter-Cho et al. (2017)	Y	Y	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	Y	1					
195	Lee et al. (2019)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	1					
196	Lequia et al. (2012)	Y	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	1					
197	Lequia et al. (2015)	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	1					
198	Lerna et al. (2014)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	1					
199	Lerna et al. (2012)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y	1					
200	Litras et al. (2010)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	1					
201	Lorah et al. (2014)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	1					
202	Lorah et al. (2015)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	1					
203	Lorime et al. (2002)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y		1	Ν	1		
204	Loukrezi et al. (2010)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Ν	Y	1					
205	MacDuff et al. (1993)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		1	N	1		
206	Machalicek et al. (2009)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1					
207	Magiati & Howlin (2003)	Y	N	N	N	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	1					

208	Maglione et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Ν		1					
209	Mah & Tsang (2016)	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	Y	Y	Y			1	Ν	1		
210	Malandraki & Okalidou (2007)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1					
211	Malhotra et al. (2010)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y		1					
212	Malmberg et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	N	N	Y		1					
213	Mancil et al. (2009)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	Y		1	
214	Marion et al. (2016)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1					
215	Marshall et al. (2016)	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y		1					
216	Martinez (2006)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1					
217	Martocchio & Rosales (2017)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1					
218	Matson et al. (1993)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y		1					
219	McConkey et al. (2010)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y		1					
220	McCoy et al. (2010)	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Involves visual aids other than the 5 types	1					
221	McDonald et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y		1					
222	McDuffie et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y		1					
223	McGill et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	N		1					
224	McLay et al. (2017)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y		1					
225	McLay et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y		1					
226	Milley & Machalicek (2012)	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		1					

227	More (2008)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	N	N		1					
228	Morrison et al. (2002)	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1					
229	Mostofsky & Ewen (2011)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	Y		1					
230	Nam & Hwang (2016)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	N		1					
231	Napolitano et al. (2006)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	N	Y	Y	Involves non-visual aid interventions	1					
232	Nelson & Tarabochia (2018)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N		1					
233	Ninci et al. (2018)	Y	Ν	N	Ν	N	Y	N	Y		1					
234	Norris & Dattilo (1999)	Y	Ν	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1					
235	O'Handley et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1					
236	Okada et al. (2008)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Y	Y			1	Y		1	
237	Okada et al. (2010)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1		
238	O'Reilly et al. (2005)	Y	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	Y	Y		1					
239	Ozdemir (2008a)	Y	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	N	1		
240	Ozdemir (2008b)	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Y	N	N	Y		1					
241	Ozuna et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	N	N	Y	N	N	N		1					
242	Paden et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1					
243	Palmen et al. (2012)	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	Y	N		1					
244	Pane et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	N	1		
245	Park et al. (2011)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1					
246	Pasiali (2004)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	N	Y		1					

247	Perez-Fuster et al. (2019)	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y		1				
248	Peter (2003)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	Ν		1				
249	Phelps et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	Y		1				
250	Pierce et al. (2013)	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1				
251	Plavnick (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Y		1				
252	Preston & Carter (2009)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	Ν		1				
253	Quill (1995)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y		1				
254	Quilty (2007)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	Ν	Y		1				
255	Quirmbach et al. (2009)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
256	Ramachandiran et al. (2015)	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		1				
257	Reichow & Sabornie (2009)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
258	Reid et al. (1991)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1	
259	Reynhout & Carter (2006)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Ν		1				
260	Reynhout & Carter (2007)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1	
261	Reynhout & Carter (2009)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Ν	Y		1				
262	Reynhout & Carter (2011)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ν		1				
263	Rhodes (2014)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν		1				
264	Rinehart et al. (2006)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1				
265	Rispoli et al. (2011)	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	N	N		1				
266	Robison et al. (2019)	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Involves non-visual aid interventions	1				
267	Rogers &	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Involves	1				

	Myles (2001)									visual aids					
										other than					
										the 5 types					
268	Rosenwasser & Axelrod (2001)	Y	N	N	N	Ν	Y	Ν	N		1				
269	Rust & Smith (2006)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Y	Ν		1				
270	Ryan et al. (2008)	Ν	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y		1				
271	Ryan et al. (2011)	Y	N	N	Ν	Y	Y	N	N		1				
272	Samuels & Stansfield (2012)	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
273	Sandt (2008)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	Ν		1				
274	Sani Bozkurt & Vuran (2014)	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ν		1				
275	Sani-Bozkurt et al. (2017)	Y	N	N	Ν	Y	N	N	Y		1				
276	Sansosti (2008)	Y	N	N	Ν	Y	N	N	Ν		1				
277	Sansosti & Powell-Smith (2006)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
278	Sansosti & Powell-Smith (2008)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
279	Sansosti et al. (2004)	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Y	N	N	N		1				
280	Sasson et al. (2017)	Y	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	N		1				
281	Scalzo et al. (2017)	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	Y		1				
282	Scattone (2008)	Y	N	N	Ν	Y	N	N	Y		1				
283	Scattone et al. (2006)	Y	N	N	Ν	Y	N	N	Y		1				
284	Scattone et al. (2002)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	Y	1	
285	Schertz et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν		1				
286	Schmit et al.	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Involves	1				

	(2000)									non-visual					
										aid					
287	Schneider & Goldstein (2010)	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	N	1	
288	Schreibman et al. (1977)	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y		1				
289	Schreibman & Stahmer (2014)	Y	N	Ν	N	Ν	Y	N	Y		1				
290	Schwartzberg & Silverman (2013)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Y		1				
291	Sgado et al. (2018)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y		1				
292	Shepley et al. (2018)	Y	Y	N	Ν	N	N	Y	Y	Involves visual aids other than the 5 types	1				
293	Sigafoos et al. (2009)	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1				
294	Simacek et al. (2017)	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		1				
295	Simpson (1993)	Ν	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N		1				
296	Simpson & Myles (1998)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N		1				
297	Smith (2001)	Ν	N	N	N	Y	Ν	N	Y		1				
298	Smith & Gillon (2004)	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Y	N	Y		1				
299	Smith et al. (2014)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y		1				
300	Soenksen, & Alper (2006)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1				
301	Sokhadze et al. (2016)	Y	N	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y		1				
302	Spencer et al. (2008)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N		1				
303	Spriggs et al. (2015)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Involves visual aids other than the 5 types	1				

304	Sprinkle & Miguel (2013)	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y		1				
305	Srinivasan et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Y		1				
306	Stafford (2000)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y		1				
307	Stary et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	N		1				
308	Steele et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	N	N	Y		1				
309	Sullivan et al. (2017)	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Y	Involves non-visual aid interventions	1				
310	Swaggart et al. (1995)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	Y		1				
311	Tanner et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν		1				
312	Tarnai & Wolfe (2008)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	N		1				
313	Thiemann & Goldstein (2001)	Y	N	Ν	Y	Ν	N	N	Y		1				
314	Thiemann- Bourque et al. (2016)	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Y		1				
315	Thompson & Johnston (2017)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	N	1	
316	Thompson & Johnston (2013)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			1	N	1	
317	Tierney et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y		1				
318	Tierney et al. (2014)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	N	N		1				
319	Tincani (2004)	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Y	N	Y		1				
320	Torelli et al. (2016)	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Y	N	Y		1				
321	Torres et al. (2018)	Y	Y	N	Ν	N	N	Y	Y			1	N	1	
322	Travis &	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y		1				

	Geiger (2010)															
323	Uzuegbunam et al. (2018)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Involves visual aids other than the 5 types	1					
324	Valentino et al. (2015)	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y		1					
325	van der Mee et al. (2013)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	Y	N	Y		1					
326	van der Meer et al. (2014)	Y	N	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Y		1					
327	van der Meer et al. (2012)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	Y		1					
328	van Niekerk et al. (2011)	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N		1					
329	Vandermeer et al. (2015)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y			1	Ν	1		
330	Vedora et al. (2009)	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	Ν	Y		1					
331	Vedora et al. (2008)	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1		
332	Wang & Spillane (2009)	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν		1					
333	Watanabe & Sturmey (2003)	N	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y		1					
334	Waters et al. (2009)	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Y	Y			1	Y		1	
335	Watson & DiCarlo (2016)	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1		
336	Wheeler & Carter (1998)	Y	Y	Ν	N	Ν	N	Y	Y			1	Ν	1		Unable to access full text
337	Whitehead (2007)	Ν	N	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y		1					
338	Whittingham et al. (2009)	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1					
339	Wood et al. (2007)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		1					
340	Xin & Sutman (2011)	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		1					

341	Yoder & Lieberman (2010)	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	1			
342	Yokoyama et al. (2006)	Y	Ν	N	N	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	1			
343	Zimmerman & Ledford (2017)	Ν	Ν	N	N	Y	N	N	Ν	1			
344	Zimmerman et al. (2017)	Ν	Y	N	N	Ν	N	Y	Y	1			
345	Zwaigenbaum et al. (2013)	Y	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	1			

Note. Y:yes; N: no; 1: opinion of 1 reviewer; Problematic behavior focused intervention: interventions that focused on reducing physical aggression, verbal aggression and self-harm; The criteria for records to be included after abstract screening: children with ASD, one or more types of visual aids, behavior focused intervention and primary source; The criteria for records to be included after full text screening: included articles after abstract screening and problematic behavior focused intervention

Appendices B: Reference of All Articles Gathered for Abstract Screening

No. (n=345)	Articles' References
	Adams, L., Gouvousis, A., VanLue, M., & Waldron, C. (2004). Social Story Intervention: Improving Communication Skills in a Child with an Autism Spectrum
	Disorder. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 19(2), 87-94. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-15473-003.
1	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576040190020301
	Agosta, E., Graetz, J. E., Mastropieri, M. A., & Scruggs, T. E. (2004). Teacher-Researcher Partnerships to Improve Social Behavior through Social Stories.
2	Intervention in School and Clinic, 39(5), 276-287.
	Ahlers, K. P., Gabrielsen, T. P., Lewis, D., Brady, A. M., & Litchford, A. (2017). Supporting individuals with autism spectrum disorder in understanding and
	coping with complex social emotional issues. School Psychology International, 38(6), 586-607. Retrieved from
3	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-53683-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0143034317719942
	Akers, J. S., Higbee, T. S., Gerencser, K. R., & Pellegrino, A. J. (2018). An evaluation of group activity schedules to promote social play in children with autism.
	Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 51(3), 553-570. Retrieved from
4	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-23220-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jaba.474
	Akers, J. S., Higbee, T. S., Pollard, J. S., Pellegrino, A. J., & Gerencser, K. R. (2016). An evaluation of photographic activity schedules to increase independent
	playground skills in young children with autism. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 49(4), 954-959. Retrieved from
5	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med12&NEWS=N&AN=27283759. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jaba.327
	Akers, J. S., Retzlaff, B. J., Fisher, W. W., Greer, B. D., Kaminski, A. J., & DeSouza, A. A. (2019). An evaluation of conditional manding using a four-component
	multiple schedule. Analysis of Verbal Behavior, 35(1), 94-102. Retrieved from
6	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-52335-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40616-018-0099-9
	Al zyoudi, M., Al Murhairi, O., Sartaiwi, A., Olimat, E., & Al zyoudi, A. (2016). The Effectiveness of Using a Social Story Intervention to Improve Social
7	Interaction Skills of Students with Autism. Journal of the American Academy of Special Education Professionals, 17-30.
8	Ali, S., & Frederickson, N. (2006). Investigating the Evidence Base of Social Stories. Educational Psychology in Practice, 22(4), 355-377.
9	Al-Nasser, I., Williams, W. L., & Feeney, B. (2019). A brief evaluation of a pictorially enhanced self-instruction packet on participant fidelity across multiple
	ABA procedures. Behavior Analysis in Practice, 12(2), 387-395. Retrieved from

	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-47021-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40617-018-00282-w
10	Al-Qabandi, M., Gorter, J. W., & Rosenbaum, P. (2011). Early autism detection: Are we ready for routine screening? Pediatrics, 128(1), e211-e217. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc8&NEWS=N&AN=2011-14736-025. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2010-1881
11	Amin, N. A., & Oweini, A. (2013). Social Competence Intervention in Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASDS) - A Case Study. International Journal of Special Education, 28(3), 104-120.
12	An, S., Feng, X., Dai, Y., Bo, H., Wang, X., Li, M., Wei, L. (2017). Development and evaluation of a speech-generating AAC mobile app for minimally verbal children with autism spectrum disorder in Mainland China. Molecular autism, 8, 52. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med13&NEWS=N&AN=29026509. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13229-017-0165-5
13	Anderson, A., Moore, D. W., & Bourne, T. (2007). Functional communication and other concomitant behavior change following PECS training: A case study. Behavior Change, 24(3), 173-181. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2007-13628-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1375/bech.24.3.173
14	Anderson, E., Barretto, A., McLaughlin, T. F., & McQuaid, T. (2016). Effects of functional communication training with and without delays to decrease aberrant behavior in a child with autism spectrum disorder. Journal on Developmental Disabilities, 22(1), 101-110. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc13&NEWS=N&AN=2016-44959-006.
15	Anderson, S., Bucholz, J. L., Hazelkorn, M., & Cooper, M. A. (2016). Using narrated literacy-based behavioral interventions to decrease episodes of physical aggression in elementary students with disabilities. Support for Learning, 31(2), 90-103. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc13&NEWS=N&AN=2016-32611-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-9604.12118
16	Arntzen, E., Gilde, K., & Pedersen, E. (1998). Generalized schedule following in a youth with autism. Scandinavian Journal of Behavior Therapy, 27(3), 135-141. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1998-10872-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02845719808408505
17	Ballan, M. S., & Freyer, M. B. (2017). Autism spectrum disorder, adolescence, and sexuality education: Suggested interventions for mental health professionals. Sexuality and Disability, 35(2), 261-273. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-05613-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11195-017-9477-9
18	Banda, D. R., Griffin-Shirley, N., Okungu, P. A., Ogot, O. P., & Meeks, M. K. (2014). A review of intervention studies conducted with individuals with autism and sensory impairments. Journal of Visual Impairment & Blindness, 108(4), 299-309. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-40442-012.
	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145482X1410800405
----	---
19	Banda, D. R., & Grimmett, E. (2008). Enhancing Social and Transition Behaviors of Persons with Autism through Activity Schedules: A Review. Education and Training in Developmental Disabilities, 43(3), 324-333.
20	Barlow, K. E., Tiger, J. H., Slocum, S. K., & Miller, S. J. (2013). Comparing acquisition of exchange-based and signed mands with children with autism. The Analysis of verbal behavior, 29(1), 59-69. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem&NEWS=N&AN=23814367.
21	Barry, L. M., & Burlew, S. B. (2004). Using Social Stories to Teach Choice and Play Skills to Children with Autism. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 19(1), 45-51. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-12236-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576040190010601
22	Battaglia, D., & McDonald, M. E. (2015). Effects of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) on Maladaptive Behavior in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD): A Review of the Literature. Journal of the American Academy of Special Education Professionals, 8-20.
23	Bauminger-Zvieli, N., & Kugelmass, D. S. (2013). Mother-stranger comparisons of social attention in jealousy context and attachment in HFASD and typical preschoolers. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 41(2), 253-264. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-02109-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10802-012-9664-1
24	Beaver, B. N., Reeve, S. A., Reeve, K. F., & DeBar, R. M. (2017). Self-reinforcement compared to teacher-delivered reinforcement during activity schedules on the iPod touch. Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities, 52(4), 393-404. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-51263-005.
25	Bedford, R., Pellicano, E., Mareschal, D., & Nardini, M. (2016). Flexible integration of visual cues in adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. Autism research : official journal of the International Society for Autism Research, 9(2), 272-281. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med12&NEWS=N&AN=26097109. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aur.1509
26	Belisle, J., Stanley, C. R., Alholail, A. M., Galliford, M. E., & Dixon, M. R. (2019). Abstraction of tactile properties by individuals with autism and down syndrome using a picture-based communication system. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 52(2), 467-475. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-63303-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jaba.526
27	Bellini, S., & Peters, J. K. (2008). Social skills training for Youth with autism spectrum disorders. Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 17(4), 857-873. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-12855-013. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2008.06.008

	Ben Chaabane, D. B., Alber-Morgan, S. R., & DeBar, R. M. (2009). The effects of parent-implemented PECS training on improvisation of mands by children with
	autism. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 42(3), 671-677. Retrieved from
28	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med6&NEWS=N&AN=20190927. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2009.42-671
	Benish, T. M., & Bramlett, R. K. (2011). Using Social Stories to Decrease Aggression and Increase Positive Peer Interactions in Normally Developing Pre-School
29	Children. Educational Psychology in Practice, 27(1), 1-17.
	Bennett, K., Reichow, B., & Wolery, M. (2011). Effects of structured teaching on the behavior of young children with disabilities. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities. 26(3), 143-152. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc&&NEWS=N&AN=2011-
30	16712-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1088357611405040
	Bentivegna, S., Schwartz, L., & Deschner, D. (1983). Case study: The use of art with an autistic child in residential care. American Journal of Art Therapy, 22(2),
31	51-56. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc2&NEWS=N&AN=1985-01763-001.
	Berkowitz, S. (1990). A comparison of two methods of prompting in training discrimination of communication book pictures by autistic students. Journal of
	Autism and Developmental Disorders, 20(2), 255-262. Retrieved from
32	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1990-28334-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02284722
	Bernard-Opitz, V., Sriram, N., & Nakhoda-Sapuan, S. (2001). Enhancing social problem solving in children with autism and normal children through computer- assisted instruction. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 31(4), 377-398. Retrieved from
33	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2001-09661-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1010660502130
	Betz, A., Higbee, T. S., & Reagon, K. A. (2008). Using joint activity schedules to promote peer engagement in preschoolers with autism. Journal of Applied
	Behavior Analysis, 41(2), 237-241. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-08696-008.
34	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2008.41-237
	Bevan-Brown, J., Carroll-Lind, J., Kearney, A., Sperl, B., & Sutherland, M. (2008). Making Assumptions vs. Building Relationships: Lessons from a Participatory
35	Action Research Project to Identify Effective Practices for Learners with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Kairaranga, 9, 22-31.
	Bledsoe, R., Myles, B. S., & Simpson, R. (2003). Use of a Social Story intervention to improve mealtime skills of an adolescent with Asperger syndrome. Autism,
	7(3), 289-295. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2003-08099-004.
36	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/13623613030073005
37	Boesch, M. C., Wendt, O., Subramanian, A., & Hsu, N. (2013). Comparative efficacy of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) versus a speech-
	generating device: Effects on requesting skills. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 7(3), 480-493. Retrieved from

	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-03850-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2012.12.002
	Boesch, M. C., Wendt, O., Subramanian, A., & Hsu, N. (2013). Comparative efficacy of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) versus a speech- generating device: Effects on social-communicative skills and speech development. AAC: Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 29(3), 197-209. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psvc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-30044-001.
38	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07434618.2013.818059
39	Bohlander, A. J., Orlich, F., & Varley, C. K. (2012). Social skills training for children with autism. Pediatric clinics of North America, 59(1), 165-xii. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med8&NEWS=N&AN=22284800. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2011.10.001
40	Bondy, A. (2012). The unusual suspects: Myths and misconceptions associated with PECS. The Psychological Record, 62(4), 789-816. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-30155-015.
41	Bondy, A., & Frost, L. (2001). The picture exchange communication system. Special Issue: Autism, Part 1, 25(5), 725-744. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2002-00304-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145445501255004
42	Bondy, A., Tincani, M., & Frost, L. (2004). Multiply Controlled Verbal Operants: An Analysis and Extension to the Picture Exchange Communication System. The Behavior Analyst, 27(2), 247-261. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-22045- 010. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03393184
43	Bondy, A. S., & Frost, L. A. (1998). The picture exchange communication system. Seminars in Speech and Language, 19(4), 373-424. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med4&NEWS=N&AN=9857393.
	Bopp, K. D., Brown, K. E., & Mirenda, P. (2004). Speech-language pathologists' roles in the delivery of positive behavior support for individuals with developmental disabilities. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 13(1), 5-19. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi2T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-13759-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1044/1058-
44	0360%282004/003%29
45	Boutot, E. A. (2009). Using "I Will" Cards and Social Coaches to Improve Social Behaviors of Students with Asperger Syndrome. Intervention in School and Clinic, 44(5), 276-281.
46	Brady, N. C., Fleming, K., Thiemann-Bourque, K., Olswang, L., Dowden, P., Saunders, M. D., & Marquis, J. (2012). Development of the Communication Complexity Scale. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 21(1), 16-28. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-03523-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1044/1058-

	0360%282011/10-0099%29
47	Breitfelder, L. M. (2008). Quick and Easy Adaptations and Accommodations for Early Childhood Students. TEACHING Exceptional Children Plus, 4(5).
48	Breslin, C. M., & Buchanan, A. M. (2014). A Researcher's Story of Assessing Motor Skills of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Special Education Professionals, 201-217.
40	Brodhead, M. T., Higbee, T. S., Pollard, J. S., Akers, J. S., & Gerencser, K. R. (2014). The use of linked activity schedules to teach children with autism to play hide-and-seek. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 47(3), 645-650. Retrieved from
49	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?1=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-23863-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jaba.145
50	Brown, K. E., & Mirenda, P. (2006). Contingency Mapping: Use of a Novel Visual Support Strategy as an Adjunct to Functional Equivalence Training. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 8(3), 155-164. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2006-09429-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10983007060080030401
51	Brownell, M. D. (2002). Musically adapted social stories to modify behaviors in students with autism: Four case studies. Journal of Music Therapy, 39(2), 117- 144. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2002-18089-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jmt/39.2.117
	Bruinsma, Y., Koegel, R. L., & Koegel, L. K. (2004). Joint Attention and Children with Autism: A Review of the Literature. Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews, 10(3), 169-175. Retrieved from
52	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2005-00380-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mrdd.20036
	Bryan, L. C., & Gast, D. L. (2000). Teaching on-task and on-schedule behaviors to high-functioning children with autism via picture activity schedules. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 30(6), 553-567. Retrieved from
53	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2001-14644-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1005687310346
54	Bucholz, J. L., & Brady, M. P. (2008). Teaching Positive Work Behavior with Literacy-Based Behavioral Interventions: An Intervention for Students and Employees with Developmental Disabilities. TEACHING Exceptional Children, 41(2), 50-55.
55	Buckley, S. D., & Newchok, D. K. (2005). Differential impact of response effort within a response chain on use of mands in a student with autism. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 26(1), 77-85. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2005-00620-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2004.07.004

	Budzinska, A., Lubomirska, A., Wojcik, M., Krantz, P. J., & McClannahan, L. (2014). Use of scripts and script-fading procedures and activity schedules to
	develop spontaneous social interaction in a three-year-old girl with autism. Health Psychology Report, 2(1), 67-71. Retrieved from
56	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2015-44998-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5114/hpr.2014.42791
	Burckley, E., Tincani, M., & Fisher, A. G. (2015). An iPadTM-based picture and video activity schedule increases community shopping skills of a young adult
	with autism spectrum disorder and intellectual disability. Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 18(2), 131-136. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-11018-008.
57	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17518423.2014.945045
	Caballero, A., & Connell, J. E. (2010). Evaluation of the effects of social cue cards for preschool age children with autism spectrum disorders (ASD). Journal of
	Behavior Assessment and Intervention in Children, 1(1), 25-42. Retrieved from
58	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2014-52670-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0100358
	Cafiero, J. M. (2001). The effect of an augmentative communication intervention on the communication, behavior, and academic program of an adolescent with
	autism. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 16(3), 179-189. Retrieved from
59	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?I=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2001-18840-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.117//108835760101600306
	Carlile, K. A., Reeve, S. A., Reeve, K. F., & DeBar, R. M. (2013). Using activity schedules on the iPod touch to teach leisure skills to children with autism.
	Education & Treatment of Children, 36(2), 33-57. Retrieved from
60	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-22284-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1353/etc.2013.0015
	Carnahan, C., Musti-Rao, S., & Bailey, J. (2009). Promoting active engagement in small group learning experiences for students with autism and significant
	learning needs. Education & Treatment of Children, 32(1), 37-61. Retrieved from
61	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2009-02560-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1353/etc.0.0047
	Carp, C. L., Peterson, S. P., Arkel, A. J., Petursdottir, A. I., & Ingvarsson, E. T. (2012). A Further Evaluation of Picture Prompts during Auditory-Visual
62	Conditional Discrimination Training. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 45(4), 737-751.
	Carr, D., & Felce, J. (2007a). Brief report: Increase in production of spoken words in some children with autism after PECS teaching to Phase III. Journal of
	Autism and Developmental Disorders, 37(4), 780-787. Retrieved from
63	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2008-00372-018. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-006-0204-0
	Carr, D., & Felce, J. (2007b). The effects of PECS teaching to Phase III on the communicative interactions between children with autism and their teachers.
	Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 37(4), 724-737. Retrieved from
64	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2008-00372-013. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-006-0203-1

	Carr, E. G., Binkoff, J. A., Kologinsky, E., & Eddy, M. (1978). Acquisition of sign language by autistic children: I. Expressive labelling. Journal of Applied
	Behavior Analysis, 11(4), 489-501. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc2&NEWS=N&AN=1980-23761-001.
65	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.1978.11-489
	Carson, L., Moosa, T., Theurer, J., & Cardy, J. O. (2012). The collateral effects of PECS training on speech development in children with autism. Canadian
	Journal of Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology, 36(3), 182-195. Retrieved from
66	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2013-20841-001.
	Casey L (1977) Development of communicative behavior in autistic children: A parent program using signed speech Devereux Forum 12(1) 1-15 Retrieved
67	from http://ovidsn.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc2&NEWS=N&AN=1979-07289-001
07	nom nup.//ovidsp.ovid.com/ovid.web.egr. i jsær AGE referenceæb psyczærie wis riæAriv 1979-07269-001.
	Chaabane, D. B. B., Alber-Morgan, S. R., & DeBar, R. M. (2009). The Effects of Parent-Implemented PECS Training on Improvisation of Mands by Children
68	with Autism. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 42(3), 671-677.
	Chan, J. M., & O'Reilly, M. F. (2008). A Social Stories intervention package for students with autism in inclusive classroom settings. Journal of Applied Behavior
69	Analysis, 41(3), 405-409. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=medc&NEWS=N&AN=18816978.
	Chan I.M. O'Dailly M.E. Lang D. D. Dautat E. A. White D. L. Diargo N. & Dalvar S. (2011). Evaluation of a social stariosTM intervention implemented by
	reasonabers for students with outism in general education settings. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 5(2), 715, 721. Retrieved from
70	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc&&NEWS=N&AN=2010_20135_001_doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.rssd.2010.08.005
70	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.egi?1=JS&1AGE=reference&D=psyco&ivEwS=iv&Aiv=2010-20155-001. doi.http://dx.doi.org/10.1010/j.iasd.2010.00.005
	Charlop, M. H., Malmberg, D. B., & Berquist, K. L. (2008). An application of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) with children with autism
	and a visually impaired therapist. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 20(6), 509-525. Retrieved from
71	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-14769-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10882-008-9112-x
	Charlop, M. H., Schreibman, L., Mason, J., & Vesey, W. (1983). Behavior-setting interactions of autistic children: A behavioral mapping approach to assessing
	classroom behaviors. Analysis & Intervention in Developmental Disabilities, 3(4), 359-373. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc2&NEWS=N&AN=1984-16245-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0270-
72	4684%2883%2990006-X
	Charlon-Christy M.H. Carnenter M. Le L. LeBlanc L. A. & Kellet K. (2002). Using the nicture exchange communication system (PECS) with children with
	autism: Assessment of PECS acquisition speech social-communicative behavior and problem behavior. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis 35(3), 213-231
	Retrieved from http://ovidsn.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2002-18674-001
73	doi http://dx doi org/10 1901/jaba 2002 35-213
15	aoning an an aonorg ron young aon an

	Chen, KH. (2018). Effects of multimedia teaching integrated social story on autistic children's social interaction. Quality & Quantity: International Journal of
	Methodology, 52(Suppl 2), 1399-1408. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-66681-
/4	038. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11135-018-0731-8
	Chohan, M., & Jones, E. A. (2019). Initiating joint attention with a smile: Intervention for children with autism. Behavioral Development, 24(1), 29-41. Retrieved
75	from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc16&NEWS=N&AN=2019-14789-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/bdb0000087
	Chung, M., Snodgrass, M. R., Meadan, H., Akamoglu, Y., & Halle, J. W. (2016). Understanding communication intervention for young children with autism and
	their parents: Mixing behavioral and social validity findings. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 28(1), 113-134. Retrieved from
76	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc13&NEWS=N&AN=2016-00186-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10882-015-9468-7
	Cihak, D. F. (2011). Comparing pictorial and video modeling activity schedules during transitions for students with autism spectrum disorders. Research in
77	Autism Spectrum Disorders, 5(1), 433-441. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc8&NEWS=N&AN=2010-22060.046. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rssd.2010.06.006
//	22900-040. doi.nup.//dx.doi.org/10.1010/J.iasd.2010.00.000
	Cihak, D. F., Kildare, L. K., Smith, C. C., McMahon, D. D., & Quinn-Brown, L. (2012a). Using video social storiesTM to increase task engagement for middle
	school students with autism spectrum disorders. Behavior Modification, 36(3), 399-425. Retrieved from
78	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-16632-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145445512442683
	Cihak, D. F., Smith, C. C., Cornett, A., & Coleman, M. B. (2012b). The use of video modeling with the picture exchange communication system to increase
	independent communicative initiations in preschoolers with autism and developmental delays. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 27(1), 3-
70	11. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?1=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-04257-001.
/9	do1:http://dx.do1.org/10.11///108835/611428426
	Cihak, D. F., Wright, R., & Ayres, K. M. (2010). Use of self-modeling static-picture prompts via a handheld computer to facilitate self-monitoring in the general
	education classroom. Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities, 45(1), 136-149. Retrieved from
80	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-15587-011.
	Collette, D., Brix, A., Brennan, P., DeRoma, N., & Muir, B. C. (2019). Proloquo2Go Enhances Classroom Performance in Children With Autism Spectrum
	Disorder. OTJR : occupation, participation and health, 39(3), 143-150. Retrieved from
81	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem&NEWS=N&AN=30255726. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1539449218799451
	Conroy, M. A., Asmus, J. M., Sellers, J. A., & Ladwig, C. N. (2005). The Use of an Antecedent-Based Intervention to Decrease Stereotypic Behavior in a General
82	Education Classroom: A Case Study. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 20(4), 223-230. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2005-16255-004.

	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576050200040401
83	Cornelius Habarad, S. M. (2015). The power of the mand: Utilizing the mand repertoire to decrease problem behavior. Behavioral Development Bulletin, 20(2), 158-162. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-48180-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0101310
84	Couper, L., van der Meer, L., Schafer, M. C. M., McKenzie, E., McLay, L., O'Reilly, M. F., Sutherland, D. (2014). Comparing acquisition of and preference for manual signs, picture exchange, and speech-generating devices in nine children with autism spectrum disorder. Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 17(2), 99- 109. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-12291-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17518423.2013.870244
85	Crooke, P. J., Winner, M. G., & Olswang, L. B. (2016). Thinking socially: Teaching social knowledge to foster social behavioral change. Topics in Language Disorders, 36(3), 284-298. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc13&NEWS=N&AN=2016-44346-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/TLD.00000000000094
86	Crozier, S., & Tincani, M. (2007). Effects of Social Stories on prosocial behavior of preschool children with autism spectrum disorders. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 37(9), 1803-1814. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2008-00377-015. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-006-0315-7
87	Crozier, S., & Tincani, M. J. (2005). Using a Modified Social Story to Decrease Disruptive Behavior of a Child With Autism. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 20(3), 150-157. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2005-12485-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576050200030301
88	Cummings, A. R., Carr, J. E., & LeBlanc, L. A. (2012). Experimental evaluation of the training structure of the picture exchange communication system (PECS). Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 6(1), 32-45. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2011-25855-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2011.08.006
89	Daneshvar, S. D., Charlop, M. H., & Berry Malmberg, D. (2019). A treatment comparison study of a photo activity schedule and Social Stories for teaching social skills to children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: Brief report. Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 22(3), 209-214. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-24489-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17518423.2018.1461947
90	Daou, N. (2014). Conducting behavioral research with children attending nonbehavioral intervention programs for autism: The case of Lebanon. Behavior Analysis in Practice, 7(2), 78-90. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2015-02416-006.

	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40617-014-0017-0
91	Dardennes, R. M., Al Anbar, N. N., Prado-Netto, A., Kaye, K., Contejean, Y., & Al Anbar, N. N. (2011). Treating the cause of illness rather than the symptoms: Parental causal beliefs and treatment choices in autism spectrum disorder. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 32(3), 1137-1146. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc8&NEWS=N&AN=2011-05270-030. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.01.010
	Daubert, A., Hornstein, S., & Tincani, M. (2015). Effects of a modified Power Card strategy on turn taking and social commenting of children with autism spectrum disorder playing board games. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 27(1), 93-110. Retrieved from
92	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2014-43535-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10882-014-9403-3
	Dauphin, M., Kinney, E. M., & Stromer, R. (2004). Using video-enhanced activity schedules and matrix training to teach sociodramatic play to a child with autism. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 6(4), 238-250. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-19001-005.
93	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10983007040060040501
94	Delano, M., & Snell, M. E. (2006). The effects of social stories on the social engagement of children with autism. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 8(1), 29-42. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2006-01113-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10983007060080010501
95	Delano, M. E., & Stone, L. (2008). Extending the Use of Social Stories to Young Children with Emotional and Behavioral Disabilities. Beyond Behavior, 18(1), 2-8.
96	Denne, L. D., Hastings, R. P., & Hughes, C. J. (2018). Common approaches to intervention for the support and education of children with autism in the UK: An internet-based parent survey. International Journal of Developmental Disabilities, 64(2), 105-112. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-05217-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/20473869.2016.1275439
97	Dodd, S., Hupp, S. D. A., Jewell, J. D., & Krohn, E. (2008). Using parents and siblings during a social story intervention for two children diagnosed with PDD- NOS. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 20(3), 217-229. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-06699-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10882-007-9090-4
98	Dogoe, M. S., Banda, D. R., & Lock, R. H. (2010). Acquisition and Generalization of the Picture Exchange Communication System Behaviors across Settings, Persons, and Stimulus Classes with Three Students with Autism. Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities, 45(2), 216-229.

	Doherty, A., Bracken, M., & Gormley, L. (2018). Teaching children with autism to initiate and respond to peer mands using picture exchange communication
	system (PECS). Behavior Analysis in Practice, 11(4), 279-288. Retrieved from
99	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-55579-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40617-018-00311-8
	Domire, S. C., & Wolfe, P. (2014). Effects of Video Prompting Techniques on Teaching Daily Living Skills to Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A
100	Review. Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities, 39(3), 211-226.
	Dooley, P., Wilczenski, F. L., & Torem, C. (2001). Using an activity schedule to smooth school transitions. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 3(1), 57-
	61. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2001-14259-007.
101	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/109830070100300108
	Doyle, T., & Arnedillo-Sanchez, I. (2011). Using multimedia to reveal the hidden code of everyday behavior to children with autistic spectrum disorders (ASDs).
102	Computers & Education, 56(2), 357-369. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc8&NEWS=N&AN=2010-23350-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.08.016
	Fees, B. S., Kaff, M., Holmberg, T., Teagarden, J., & Delreal, D. (2014). Children's responses to a social story song in three inclusive preschool classrooms: A
	pilot study. Music Therapy Perspectives, 32(1), 71-77. Retrieved from
103	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-34337-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mtp/miu007
	Ferreira, C., Bevilacqua, M., Ishihara, M., Fiori, A., Armonia, A., Perissinoto, J., & Tamanaha, A. C. (2017). Selection of words for implementation of the Picture
	Exchange Communication System - PECS in non-verbal autistic children. Selecao de vocabulos para implementacao do Picture Exchange Communication System - PECS em autistas nao verbais., 29(1), e20150285. Retrieved from
104	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med13&NEWS=N&AN=28300954. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/2317-1782/20172015285
	Fetherston, A. M., & Sturmey, P. (2014). The effects of behavioral skills training on instructor and learner behavior across responses and skill sets. Research in
	Developmental Disabilities, 35(2), 541-562. Retrieved from
105	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med10&NEWS=N&AN=24374896. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2013.11.006
	Fienup, D. M., Shelvin, K. H., & Doepke, K. (2013). Increasing recall of information of children diagnosed with Asperger's Syndrome: Utilization of visual
100	strategies. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 7(12), 1647-1652. Retrieved from
106	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?1=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-41/45-019. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2013.09.015
107	Fittipaldi-Wert, J., & Mowling, C. M. (2009). Using Visual Supports for Students with Autism in Physical Education. Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance (JOPERD), 80(2), 39-43.
L	

	Fleury, V. P., Miramontez, S. H., Hudson, R. F., & Schwartz, I. S. (2014). Promoting active participation in book reading for preschoolers with Autism Spectrum
	Disorders: A preliminary study. Child Language Teaching and Therapy, 30(3), 273-288. Retrieved from
108	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-39894-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0265659013514069
	Frea, W. D., Arnold, C. L., & Vittimberga, G. L. (2001). A demonstration of the effects of augmentative communication on the extreme aggressive behavior of a
100	http://avidan.avid.com/aviduah.asi2T=15 & D.A.CE=reference & D=neue2 & NEWS=N& AN=2001, 18024, 001, doi:http://dv.doi.org/10.1177/100820070100200401
109	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
	Frith, U., & Hermelin, B. (1969). The role of visual and motor cues for normal, subnormal and autistic children. Child Psychology & Psychiatry & Allied
	Disciplines, 10(3), 153-163. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc2&NEWS=N&AN=1970-08897-001.
110	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1969.tb02077.x
	Gadaire, D. M., Bartell, K., & Villacorta, J. (2018). Evaluating group activity schedules to promote social play in children with autism. Learning and Motivation,
	64, 18-26. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-58443-001.
111	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lmot.2017.11.004
	Gadberry, A. L. (2011). A survey of the use of aided augmentative and alternative communication during music therapy sessions with persons with autism
	spectrum disorders. Journal of Music Therapy, 48(1), 74-89. Retrieved from
112	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med7&NEWS=N&AN=21866714.
	Ganz, J. B., Cook, K. E., Corbin-Newsome, J., Bourgeois, B., & Flores, M. (2005). Variations on the Use of a Pictorial Alternative Communication System with a
113	Child with Autism and Developmental Delays. TEACHING Exceptional Children Plus, 1(6).
	Ganz, J. B., Davis, J. L., Lund, E. M., Goodwyn, F. D., & Simpson, R. L. (2012a). Meta-analysis of PECS with individuals with ASD: Investigation of targeted
	versus non-targeted outcomes, participant characteristics, and implementation phase. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 33(2), 406-418. Retrieved from
114	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-00013-015. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.09.023
	Ganz, J. B., Earles-Vollrath, T. L., Heath, A. K., Parker, R. I., Rispoli, M. J., & Duran, J. B. (2012b). A meta-analysis of single case research studies on aided
	augmentative and alternative communication systems with individuals with autism spectrum disorders. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 42(1),
	60-74. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2011-30140-006.
115	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-1212-2
	Ganz, J. B., & Flores, M. M. (2010). Implementing Visual Cues for Young Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders and Their Classmates. Young Children,
116	65(3), 78-83.

	Ganz, J. B., Goodwyn, F. D., Boles, M. M., Hong, E. R., Rispoli, M. J., Lund, E. M., & Kite, E. (2013). Impacts of a PECS instructional coaching intervention on
	practitioners and children with autism. AAC: Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 29(3), 210-221. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-30044-002.
117	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07434618.2013.818058
	Ganz, J. B., Heath, A. K., Rispoli, M. J., & Earles-Vollrath, T. L. (2010). Impact of AAC versus verbal modeling on verbal imitation, picture discrimination, and
	related speech: A pilot investigation. Special Issue: Augmentative and Alternative Communication., 22(2), 179-196. Retrieved from
118	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-05232-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10882-009-9176-2
	Ganz, J. B., Lashley, E., & Rispoli, M. J. (2010). Non-responsiveness to intervention: Children with autism spectrum disorders who do not rapidly respond to
	communication interventions. Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 13(6), 399-407. Retrieved from
119	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-23845-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17518423.2010.508298
	Ganz, J. B., Mason, R. A., Goodwyn, F. D., Boles, M. B., Heath, A. K., & Davis, J. L. (2014). Interaction of participant characteristics and type AAC with
	individuals with ASD: A meta analysis. American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 119(6), 516-535. Retrieved from
120	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-51173-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-119.6.516
	Ganz, J. B., Parker, R., & Benson, J. (2009). Impact of the Picture Exchange Communication System: Effects on communication and collateral effects on
	maladaptive behaviors. AAC: Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 25(4), 250-261. Retrieved from
121	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2016-26973-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07434610903381111
	Ganz, J. B., Simpson, R. L., & Lund, E. M. (2012). The Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS): A promising method for improving communicating
	skills of learners with autism spectrum disorders. Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities, 47(2), 176-186. Retrieved from
122	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-13237-005.
	Gerber, F., Bessero, S., Robbiani, B., Courvoisier, D. S., Baud, M. A., Traore, M. C., Galli Carminati, G. (2011). Comparing residential programmes for adults
	with autism spectrum disorders and intellectual disability: Outcomes of challenging behavior and quality of life. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 55(9),
	918-932. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc8&NEWS=N&AN=2011-18130-009.
123	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2011.01455.x
	Gerencser, K. R., Higbee, T. S., Akers, J. S., & Contreras, B. P. (2017). Evaluation of Interactive Computerized Training to Teach Parents to Implement
124	Photographic Activity Schedules with Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 50(3), 567-581.

	Gevarter, C., O'Reilly, M. F., Rojeski, L., Sammarco, N., Sigafoos, J., Lancioni, G. E., & Lang, R. (2014). Comparing acquisition of AAC-based mands in three
	young children with autism spectrum disorder using iPad applications with different display and design elements. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders 44(10) 2464-2474 Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-14350-001
125	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2115-9
	Giles, A., & Markham, V. (2017). Comparing book- and tablet-based picture activity schedules: Acquisition and preference. Behavior Modification, 41(5), 647-
	664. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-34127-004.
126	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145445517700817
	Gillespie-Smith, K., Riby, D. M., Hancock, P. J. B., & Doherty-Sneddon, G. (2014). Children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) attend typically to faces and
107	objects presented within their picture communication systems. Journal of intellectual disability research : JIDR, 58(5), 459-470. Retrieved from
127	nttp://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cg1/1=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med10&NEwS=N&AN=236004/2. doi:nttps://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jir.12043
	Gilroy, S. P., Leader, G., & McCleery, J. P. (2018). A pilot community-based randomized comparison of speech generating devices and the picture exchange
	communication system for children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder. Autism research : official journal of the International Society for Autism Research,
129	11(12), 1/01-1/11. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem&NEWS=N&AN=304/5454.
128	doi.https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aui.2023
	Glaeser, B. C., Pierson, M. R., & Fritschmann, N. (2003). Comic Strip Conversation: A Positive Behavioral Support Strategy. TEACHING Exceptional Children,
129	36(2), 14-19.
	Goldman, S. E., Glover, C. A., Lloyd, B. P., Barton, E. E., & Mello, M. P. (2018). Effects of Parent Implemented Visual Schedule Routines for African American
130	Children with ASD in Low-Income Home Settings. Exceptionality, 26(3), 162-175.
	Gomi, Y., & Noro, F. (2010). Function-based interventions for behavior problems of a student with a developmental disability: School-based treatment
	implementation. Japanese Journal of Special Education, 47(6), 457-469. Retrieved from
131	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-09085-003.
	Goodman-Scott, E., & Carlisle, R. (2015). School Counselors' Roles in Creating and Implementing Social Stories to Serve Students with Autism Spectrum
132	Disorder. Professional School Counseling, 18(1), 158-168.
	Graetz, J. E., Mastropieri, M. A., & Scruggs, T. E. (2009). Decreasing inappropriate behaviors for adolescents with autism spectrum disorders using modified
	social stories. Education and Training in Developmental Disabilities, 44(1), 91-104. Retrieved from
133	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2010-15584-008.
1	

	Gray, C. A., & Garand, J. D. (1993). Social stories: Improving responses of students with autism with accurate social information. Focus on Autistic Behavior,
134	8(1), 1-10. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1993-39336-001.
	Green, V. A., Pituch, K. A., Itchon, J., Choi, A., O'Reilly, M., & Sigafoos, J. (2006). Internet survey of treatments used by parents of children with autism.
	Research in Developmental Disabilities, 27(1), 70-84. Retrieved from
135	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2006-00671-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2004.12.002
	Greenberg, A. L., Tomaino, M. A. E., & Charlop, M. H. (2012). Assessing generalization of the Picture Exchange Communication System in children with autism.
	Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 24(6), 539-558. Retrieved from
136	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-29486-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10882-012-9288-y
	Greenway, C. (2000). Autism and Asperger Syndrome: Strategies to promote prosocial behaviors. Educational Psychology in Practice, 16(4), 469-486. Retrieved
137	from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2001-09419-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/713666112
	Hagiwara, T., & Myles, B. S. (1999). A multimedia social story intervention: Teaching skills to children with autism. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental
	Disabilities, 14(2), 82-95. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2001-00412-003.
138	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/108835769901400203
	Halle, S., Ninness, C., Ninness, S. K., & Lawson, D. (2016). Teaching social skills to students with autism: A video modeling social stories approach. Behavior
120	and Social Issues, 25. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc13&NEWS=N&AN=2016-55624-001.
139	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5210/bsi.v25i0.6190
	Hanley-Hochdorfer, K., Bray, M. A., Kehle, T. J., & Elinoff, M. J. (2010). Social stories to increase verbal initiation in children with autism and Asperger's
	disorder. Special Issue: Behavioral assessment within problem-solving models, 39(3), 484-492. Retrieved from
140	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-22344-012.
	Hanney, N. M., Carr, J. E., & LeBlanc, L. A. (2019). Teaching children with autism spectrum disorder to tact auditory stimuli. Journal of Applied Behavior
	Analysis, 52(3), 733-738. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem&NEWS=N&AN=31294844.
141	doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jaba.605
	Harris, N. S., Courchesne, E., Townsend, J., Carper, R. A., & Lord, C. (1999). Neuroanatomic contributions to slowed orienting of attention in children with
	autism. Cognitive Brain Research, 8(1), 61-71. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1999-
142	05039-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6410%2899%2900006-3

	Hart, S. L., & Banda, D. R. (2010). Picture exchange communication system with individuals with developmental disabilities: A meta-analysis of single subject
143	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-23334-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0741932509338354
	Hartley, C., & Allen, M. L. (2015). Iconicity influences how effectively minimally verbal children with autism and ability-matched typically developing children use pictures as symbols in a search task. Autism, 19(5), 570-579. Retrieved from
144	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-26958-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1362361314536634
	Herbet, G., Zemmoura, I., & Duffau, H. (2018). Functional Anatomy of the Inferior Longitudinal Fasciculus: From Historical Reports to Current Hypotheses. Frontiers in neuroanatomy 12, 77. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem2&NEWS=N&AN=30283306
145	doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2018.00077
146	Hess, K. L., Morrier, M. J., Heflin, L., & Ivey, M. L. (2008). Autism Treatment Survey: Services Received by Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders in Public School Classrooms. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 38(5), 961-971.
	Holmes, L. G., Strassberg, D. S., & Himle, M. B. (2019). Family Sexuality Communication for Adolescent Girls on the Autism Spectrum. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders 49(6), 2403-2416. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=mesx&NEWS=N&AN=30783898
147	doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-019-03904-6
	Homlitas, C., Rosales, R., & Candel, L. (2014). A further evaluation of behavioral skills training for implementation of the picture exchange communication system. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 47(1), 198-203. Retrieved from
148	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-08837-020. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jaba.99
	Hoyland, A. L., Naerland, T., Engstrom, M., Torske, T., Lydersen, S., & Andreassen, O. A. (2019). Atypical event-related potentials revealed during the passive parts of a Go-NoGo task in autism spectrum disorder: a case-control study. Molecular autism, 10, 10, Retrieved from
149	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=medl&NEWS=N&AN=30873274. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13229-019-0259-3
150	Huang, A. X., & Wheeler, J. J. (2007). Promoting the Development of Educational Programs for Children with Autism in Southeast Asian Countries. International Journal of Special Education, 22(3), 78-88.
151	Hutchins, T. L., & Prelock, P. A. (2005). Using social stories and comic strip conversations to promote socially valid outcomes for children with autism. Seminars in Speech and Language, 27(1), 47-59. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2006-02473-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-932438

	Hutchins, T. L., & Prelock, P. A. (2008). Supporting theory of mind development: Considerations and recommendations for professionals providing services to
	individuals with autism spectrum disorder. Topics in Language Disorders, 28(4), 340-364. Retrieved from
1.50	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-17166-005.
152	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.109//01.1LD.0000341128.01158.12
	Hutchins, T. L., & Prelock, P. A. (2013a). Parents' perceptions of their children's social behavior: The social validity of Social StoriesTM and comic strip
	conversations. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 15(3), 156-168. Retrieved from
153	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-19034-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1098300712457418
	Hutchins, T. L., & Prelock, P. A. (2013b). The social validity of Social StoriesTM for supporting the behavioral and communicative functioning of children with
	autism spectrum disorder. International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 15(4), 383-395. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-24854-003.
154	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17549507.2012.743174
	Hutchins, T. L., & Prelock, P. A. (2018). Using story-based interventions to improve episodic memory in autism spectrum disorder. Seminars in Speech and
	Language, 39(2), 125-143. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2019-01090-003.
155	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0038-1628365
	Ikuse, D., Tani, M., Itahashi, T., Yamada, H., Ohta, H., Morita, T., Iwanami, A. (2018). The effect of visual cues on performance in the ultimatum game in
	individuals with autism spectrum disorder. Psychiatry Research, 259, 176-183. Retrieved from
1.5.6	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2017-57494-028.
156	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.10.013
	Ingvarsson, E. T., & Hollobaugh, T. (2011). A comparison of prompting tactics to establish intraverbals in children with autism. Journal of Applied Behavior
	Analysis, 44(3), 659-664. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med7&NEWS=N&AN=21941400.
157	doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2011.44-659
	Ingvarsson, E. T., & Le, D. D. (2011). Further evaluation of prompting tactics for establishing intraverbal responding in children with autism. The Analysis of
158	verbal behavior, 27(1), 75-93. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem&NEWS=N&AN=22532756.
	Irvin, D. W., McBee, M., Boyd, B. A., Hume, K., & Odom, S. L. (2012). Child and family factors associated with the use of services for preschoolers with autism
	spectrum disorder. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 6(1), 565-572. Retrieved from
159	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2011-25855-066. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2011.07.018

	Iskander, J. M., & Rosales, R. (2013). An evaluation of the components of a Social StoriesTM intervention package. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders,
	7(1), 1-8. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2012-29769-001.
160	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2012.06.004
	Ivey, M. L., Heflin, L. J., & Alberto, P. (2004). The Use of Social Stories to Promote Independent Behaviors in Novel Events for Children with PDD-NOS. Focus
	on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 19(3), 164-176. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-19645-004.
161	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576040190030401
	Jeekratok, K., Chanchalor, S., & Murphy, E. (2014). Web-based social stories and games for children with autism. International Journal of Web-Based Learning
	and Teaching Technologies, 9(4), 33-49. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-57926-
162	003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/ijwltt.2014100103
	Johnson, B. P., Phillips, J. G., Papadopoulos, N., Fielding, J., Tonge, B., & Rinehart, N. J. (2015). Do children with autism and Asperger's disorder have difficulty
	controlling handwriting size? A kinematic evaluation. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 11, 20-26. Retrieved from
163	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-05438-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2014.11.001
	Jull, S., & Mirenda, P. (2016). Effects of a staff training program on community instructors' ability to teach swimming skills to children with autism. Journal of
	Positive Behavior Interventions, 18(1), 29-40. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc13&NEWS=N&AN=2015-
164	55748-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1098300715576797
	Jurgens, A., Anderson, A., & Moore, D. W. (2009). The effect of teaching PECS to a child with autism on verbal behavior, play, and social functioning. Behavior
1.67	Change, 26(1), 66-81. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2009-08940-005.
165	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.13/5/bech.26.1.66
	Jurgens, A., Anderson, A., & Moore, D. W. (2019). Maintenance and generalization of skills acquired through picture exchange communication system (PECS)
	training: A long-term follow-up. Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 22(5), 338-347. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-38543-001.
166	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17518423.2018.1503619
	Karayazi, S., Kohler Evans, P., & Filer, J. (2014). The Effects of a Social StoryTM Intervention on the Pro-Social Behaviors of a Young Adult with Autism
167	Spectrum Disorder. International Journal of Special Education, 29(3), 126-133.
	Karkhaneh, M., Clark, B., Ospina, M. B., Seida, J. C., Smith, V., & Hartling, L. (2010). Social Stories[TM] to Improve Social Skills in Children with Autism
168	Spectrum Disorder: A Systematic Review. Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice, 14(6), 641-662.

	Kassardjian, A., Leaf, J. B., Ravid, D., Leaf, J. A., Alcalay, A., Dale, S., Oppenheim-Leaf, M. L. (2014). Comparing the teaching interaction procedure to
	social stories: A replication study. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 44(9), 2329-2340. Retrieved from
169	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-11692-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2103-0
	Kee, S. B., Casey, L. B., Cea, C. R., Bicard, D. F., & Bicard, S. E. (2012). Increasing Communication Skills: A Case Study of a Man with Autism Spectrum
170	Disorder and Vision Loss. Journal of Visual Impairment & Blindness, 106(2), 120-125.
	Kern, P., Wolery, M., & Aldridge, D. (2007). Use of songs to promote independence in morning greeting routines for young children with autism. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 37(7), 1264-1271. Retrieved from
171	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2008-00375-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-006-0272-1
172	Khasakhala, E., & Galava, P. (2016). Relationship between Teachers' Perception of Causes of Challenging Behavior and the Choice of Management Strategies
172	anong Learners with Autstie Speer an Disorders, southar of Education and Fractice, 7(2), 66 67.
	Kidder, J. E., & McDonnell, A. P. (2017). Visual Aids for Positive Behavior Support of Young Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. Young Exceptional
173	Children, 20(3), 103-116.
	Kishida, K. T., De Asis-Cruz, J., Treadwell-Deering, D., Liebenow, B., Beauchamp, M. S., & Montague, P. R. (2019). Diminished single-stimulus response in
	vmPFC to favorite people in children diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Biological Psychology, 145, 174-184. Retrieved from
174	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc16&NEWS=N&AN=2019-38864-019.
1/4	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2019.04.009
	Klett, L. S., & Turan, Y. (2012). Generalized effects of social stories with task analysis for teaching menstrual care to three young girls with autism. Sexuality and
175	Disability, 30(3), 319-336. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-22496-006.
1/3	doi.http://dx.doi.org/10.100//\$11195-011-9244-2
	Knight, V., Sartini, E., & Spriggs, A. D. (2015). Evaluating visual activity schedules as evidence-based practice for individuals with autism spectrum disorders.
	Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 45(1), 157-178. Retrieved from
176	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2014-32069-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2201-z
	Kodak, T., Paden, A., & Dickes, N. (2012). Training and generalization of peer-directed mands with non-vocal children with autism. Analysis of Verbal Behavior,
177	28, 119-124. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-28921-009.
178	Koegel, R. L., & Schreibman, L. (1977). Teaching autistic children to respond to simultaneous multiple cues. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 24(2),
	299-311. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc2&NEWS=N&AN=1978-25376-001.

	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-0965%2877%2990008-X
	Koegel, R. L., Schreibman, L., Britten, K., & Laitinen, R. (1979). The effects of schedule of reinforcement on stimulus overselectivity in autistic children. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 9(4), 383-397. Retrieved from
179	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc2&NEWS=N&AN=1980-08052-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01531446
	Kohler, F. W., Greteman, C., Raschke, D., & Highnam, C. (2007). Using a buddy skills package to increase the social interactions between a preschooler with autism and her peers. Topics in Early Childhood Special Education 27(3), 155-163. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2007-19398-005.
180	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/02711214070270030601
	Kokina, A., & Kern, L. (2010). Social Story interventions for students with autism spectrum disorders: a meta-analysis. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 40(7), 812-826. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med7&NEWS=N&AN=20054628.
181	doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-009-0931-0
	Koyama, T., & Wang, HT. (2011). Use of activity schedule to promote independent performance of individuals with autism and other intellectual disabilities: A review. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 32(6), 2235-2242. Retrieved from
182	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc8&NEWS=N&AN=2011-23406-023. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.05.003
	Krantz, P. J., MacDuff, M. T., & McClannahan, L. E. (1993). Programming participation in family activities for children with autism: Parents' use of photographic activity schedules. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 26(1), 137-138. Retrieved from
183	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1993-30362-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.1993.26-137
184	Krantz, P. J., & McClannahan, L. E. (1998). Social interaction skills for children with autism: A script-fading procedure for beginning readers. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 31(2), 191-202. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1998-04484-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.1998.31-191
	Kravits, T. R., Kamps, D. M., Kemmerer, K., & Potucek, J. (2002). Brief report: Increasing communication skills for an elementary-aged student with autism
185	using the picture exchange communication system. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 32(3), 225-230. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2002-13971-010. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1015457931788
186	Kroeger, K., & Sorensen, R. (2010). A parent training model for toilet training children with autism. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 54(6), 556-567. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-09859-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2010.01286.x

	Kuoch, H., & Mirenda, P. (2003). Social Story Interventions for Young Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental
	Disabilities, 18(4), 219-227. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2003-10692-002.
187	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576030180040301
	Kuttler, S., Myles, B. S., & Carlson, J. K. (1998). The use of social stories to reduce precursors to tantrum behavior in a student with autism. Focus on Autism and
	Other Developmental Disabilities, 13(3), 176-182. Retrieved from
188	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2001-00411-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/108835769801300306
	Landa, R., & Hanley, G. P. (2016). An evaluation of multiple-schedule variations to reduce high-rate requests in the picture exchange communication system.
	Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 49(2), 388-393. Retrieved from
189	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med12&NEWS=N&AN=26814152. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jaba.285
	Laprime, A. P., & Dittrich, G. A. (2014). An Evaluation of a Treatment Package Consisting of Discrimination Training and Differential Reinforcement with
	Response Cost and a Social Story on Vocal Stereotypy for a Preschooler with Autism in a Preschool Classroom. Education and Treatment of Children, 37(3), 407-
190	430.
	Leaf, J. B., Mitchell, E., Townley-Cochran, D., McEachin, J., Taubman, M., & Leaf, R. (2016). Comparing Social StoriesTM to cool versus not cool. Education &
	Treatment of Children, 39(2), 173-186. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc13&NEWS=N&AN=2016-23702-
191	003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1353/etc.2016.0006
	Leaf, J. B., Oppenheim-Leaf, M. L., Call, N. A., Sheldon, J. B., Sherman, J. A., Taubman, M., Leaf, R. (2012). Comparing the teaching interaction procedure
	to social stories for people with autism. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 45(2), 281-298. Retrieved from
192	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med8&NEWS=N&AN=22844137. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2012.45-281
	Leaf, J. B., Oppenheim-Leaf, M. L., Leaf, R. B., Taubman, M., McEachin, J., Parker, T., Mountjoy, T. (2015). What is the proof? A methodological review of
	studies that have utilized social stories. Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities, 50(2), 127-141. Retrieved from
193	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-23223-001.
	Ledbetter-Cho, K., Lang, R., Moore, M., Davenport, K., Murphy, C., Lee, A., Watkins, L. (2017). Effects of video-enhanced activity schedules on academic
	skills and collateral behaviors in children with autism. International Journal of Developmental Disabilities, 63(4), 228-237. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2018-11228-007.
194	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/20473869.2017.1290022
195	Lee, G. T., Feng, H., Xu, S., & Jin, SJ. (2019). Increasing "object-substitution" symbolic play in young children with autism spectrum disorders. Behavior
	Modification, 43(1), 82-114. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc16&NEWS=N&AN=2018-61718-004.

	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145445517739276
	Lequia, J., Machalicek, W., & Rispoli, M. J. (2012). Effects of activity schedules on challenging behavior exhibited in children with autism spectrum disorders: A systematic review. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 6(1), 480-492. Retrieved from
196	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2011-25855-057. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2011.07.008
	Lequia, J., Wilkerson, K. L., Kim, S., & Lyons, G. L. (2015). Improving transition behaviors in students with autism spectrum disorders: A comprehensive
197	evaluation of interventions in educational settings. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 17(3), 146-158. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-25884-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1098300714548799
198	Lerna, A., Esposito, D., Conson, M., & Massagli, A. (2014). Long-term effects of PECS on social-communicative skills of children with autism spectrum disorders: A follow-up study. International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders, 49(4), 478-485. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-35199-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12079
199	Lerna, A., Esposito, D., Conson, M., Russo, L., & Massagli, A. (2012). Social-communicative effects of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) in autism spectrum disorders. International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders, 47(5), 609-617. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-26269-013. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1460- 6984.2012.00172.x
200	Litras, S., Moore, D. W., & Anderson, A. (2010). Using video self-modelled social stories to teach social skills to a young child with autism. Autism research and treatment, 2010, 834979. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem1&NEWS=N&AN=22937239. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2010/834979
201	Lorah, E. R., Gilroy, S. P., & Hineline, P. N. (2014). Acquisition of peer manding and listener responding in young children with autism. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 8(2), 61-67. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-00769-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2013.10.009
202	Lorah, E. R., Parnell, A., Whitby, P. S., & Hantula, D. (2015). A systematic review of tablet computers and portable media players as speech generating devices for individuals with autism spectrum disorder. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 45(12), 3792-3804. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2014-51386-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2314-4
203	Lorimer, P. A., Simpson, R. L., Myles, B. S., & Ganz, J. B. (2002). The use of social stories as a preventative behavioral intervention in a home setting with a child with autism. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 4(1), 53-60. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2002-00420-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/109830070200400109

	Loukrezi, S., Gena, A., & Besevegis, E. (2010). Teaching symbolic play skills: A case-study of a girl with autism. Psychology: The Journal of the Hellenic
	Psychological Society, 17(4), 365-379. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2012-02250-
204	006.
	MacDuff, G. S., & et al. (1993). Teaching Children with Autism to Use Photographic Activity Schedules: Maintenance and Generalization of Complex Response
205	Chains. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 26(1), 89-97.
	Machalicek, W., Shogren, K., Lang, R., Rispoli, M., O'Reilly, M. F., Franco, J. H., & Sigafoos, J. (2009). Increasing play and decreasing the challenging behavior
	of children with autism during recess with activity schedules and task correspondence training. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 3(2), 547-555. Retrieved
206	from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2010-06021-026. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2008.11.003
	Magiati, I., & Howlin, P. (2003). A pilot evaluation study of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) for children with autistic spectrum disorders.
	Autism, 7(3), 297-320. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2003-08099-005.
207	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/13623613030073006
	Maglione, M. A., Gans, D., Das, L., Timbie, J., & Kasari, C. (2012). Nonmedical interventions for children with ASD: Recommended guidelines and further
	research needs. Pediatrics, 130(Suppl 2), S169-S178. Retrieved from
208	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2013-17429-014. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-09000
	Mah, J. W., & Tsang, P. (2016). Visual Schedule System in Dental Care for Patients with Autism: A Pilot Study. The Journal of clinical pediatric dentistry, 40(5),
	393-399. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med12&NEWS=N&AN=27617380.
209	doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.17796/1053-4628-40.5.393
-	Malandraki, G. A., & Okalidou, A. (2007). The application of PECS in a deaf child with autism: A case study. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental
	Disabilities, 22(1), 23-32. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2007-05371-003.
210	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576070220010301
	Malhotra, S., Rajender, G., Bhatia, M. S., & Singh, T. B. (2010). Effects of picture exchange communication system on communication and behavioral anomalies
	in autism. Indian journal of psychological medicine, 32(2), 141-143. Retrieved from
211	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem&NEWS=N&AN=21716776. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0253-7176.78513
	Malmberg, D. B., Charlop, M. H., & Gershfeld, S. J. (2015). A two experiment treatment comparison study: Teaching social skills to children with autism
	spectrum disorder. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 27(3), 375-392. Retrieved from
212	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-03083-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10882-015-9420-x

	Mancil, G. R., Haydon, T., & Whitby, P. (2009). Differentiated effects of paper and computer-assisted social storiesTM on inappropriate behavior in children with
	autism. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 24(4), 205-215. Retrieved from
213	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2009-22577-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1088357609347324
	Marion, I. W., Nelson, T. M., Sheller, B., McKinney, C. M., & Scott, J. M. (2016). Dental stories for children with autism. Special care in dentistry : official
	publication of the American Association of Hospital Dentists, the Academy of Dentistry for the Handicapped, and the American Society for Geriatric Dentistry,
	36(4), 181-186. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med12&NEWS=N&AN=26936501.
214	doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/scd.12167
	Marshall, D., Wright, B., Allgar, V., Adamson, J., Williams, C., Ainsworth, H., McMillan, D. (2016). Social Stories in mainstream schools for children with
	autism spectrum disorder: a feasibility randomised controlled trial. BMJ open, 6(8), e011748. Retrieved from
215	$http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS\&PAGE=reference\&D=medc1\&NEWS=N\&AN=27515756.\ doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011748.pdf=reference&D=medc1&NEWS=N&AN=27515756.\ doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011748.pdf=reference&NEWS=N&AN=27515756.\ doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011748.pdf=reference&NEWS=N&AN=27515756.\ doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011748.pdf=reference&NEWS=N&AN=27515756.\ doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011748.pdf=reference&NEWS=N&AN=27515756.\ doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011748.pdf=reference&NEWS=N&AN=27515756.\ doi:https://dx.doi.ndf=ref$
216	Martinez, C. A. (2006). Adapted Aquatics for Children with Autism. Teaching Elementary Physical Education, 17(5), 34-36.
	Martocchio N & Rosales R (2017) Video modeling with voice-over instructions to teach implementation of the picture exchange communication system
	Behavior Analysis: Research and Practice 17(2) 142-154 Retrieved from
217	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-04379-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/bar0000069
	Matson, J. L., & et al. (1993). An Evaluation of Two Methods for Increasing Self-Initiated Verbalizations in Autistic Children. Journal of Applied Behavior
218	Analysis, 26(3), 389-398.
	McConkey, R., Truesdale-Kennedy, M., Crawford, H., McGreevy, E., Reavey, M., & Cassidy, A. (2010). Preschoolers with autism spectrum disorders: Evaluating
	the impact of a home-based intervention to promote their communication. Early Child Development and Care, 180(3), 299-315. Retrieved from
219	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-06654-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03004430801899187
	McCoy, K. M., Mathur, S. R., & Czoka, A. (2010). Guidelines for Creating a Transition Routine: Changing from One Room to Another. Beyond Behavior, 19(3),
220	22-29.
	McDonald, M. E., Battaglia, D., & Keane, M. (2015). Using fixed interval-based prompting to increase a student's initiation of the picture exchange
	communication system. Behavioral Development Bulletin, 20(2), 265-275. Retrieved from
221	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-45487-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0101315
222	McDuffie, A. S., Lieberman, R. G., & Yoder, P. J. (2012). Object interest in autism spectrum disorder: a treatment comparison. Autism : the international journal
	of research and practice, 16(4), 398-405. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med8&NEWS=N&AN=22133872.

	doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1362361309360983
	McGill, R. J., Baker, D., & Busse, R. T. (2015). Social StoryTM interventions for decreasing challenging behaviors: A single-case meta-analysis 1995-2012. Educational Psychology in Practice, 31(1), 21-42. Retrieved from
223	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-03798-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02667363.2014.975785
224	McLay, L., Schafer, M. C. M., van der Meer, L., Couper, L., McKenzie, E., O'Reilly, M. F., Sutherland, D. (2017). Acquisition, preference and follow-up comparison across three AAC modalities taught to two children with autism spectrum disorder. International Journal of Disability, Development and Education, 64(2), 117-130. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-07476-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1034912X.2016.1188892
225	McLay, L., van der Meer, L., Schafer, M. C. M., Couper, L., McKenzie, E., O'Reilly, M. F., Sutherland, D. (2015). Comparing acquisition, generalization, maintenance, and preference across three AAC options in four children with autism spectrum disorder. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 27(3), 323-339. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2014-56098-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10882-014-9417-x
226	Milley, A., & Machalicek, W. (2012). Decreasing Students' Reliance on Adults: A Strategic Guide for Teachers of Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders. Intervention in School and Clinic, 48(2), 67-75.
227	More, C. (2008). Digital Stories Targeting Social Skills for Children with Disabilities: Multidimensional Learning. Intervention in School and Clinic, 43(3), 168- 177.
228	Morrison, R. S., Sainato, D. M., Benchaaban, D., & Endo, S. (2002). Increasing play skills of children with autism using activity schedules and correspondence training. Journal of Early Intervention, 25(1), 58-72. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2002-11542-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/105381510202500106
229	Mostofsky, S. H., & Ewen, J. B. (2011). Altered connectivity and action model formation in autism is autism. The Neuroscientist, 17(4), 437-448. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc8&NEWS=N&AN=2011-15033-009. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1073858410392381
230	Nam, S. S., & Hwang, Y. S. (2016). Acquisition of Picture Exchange-Based vs. Signed Mands and Implications to Teach Functional Communication Skills to Children with Autism. Journal of Special Education Apprenticeship, 5(2).
231	Napolitano, D. A., Tessing, J. L., McAdam, D. B., Dunleavy, J. J., III, & Cifuni, N. M. (2006). The Influence of Idiosyncratic Antecedent Variables on Problem Behavior Displayed by a Person with PDD. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 18(3), 295-305. Retrieved from

	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2007-01916-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10882-006-9017-5
232	Nelson, M. D., & Tarabochia, D. S. (2018). Application of Character Development with Students on the Autism Spectrum. Journal of School Counseling, 16(27).
233	Ninci, J., Rispoli, M., Neely, L. C., & Guz, S. (2018). Transferring picture exchange requests to receptive identification for children with ASD. Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 21(3), 178-187. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-11062-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17518423.2018.1437840
234	Norris, C., & Dattilo, J. (1999). Evaluating effects of a social story intervention on a young girl with autism. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 14(3), 180-186. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1999-11439-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/108835769901400307
235	O'Handley, R. D., Radley, K. C., & Whipple, H. M. (2015). The relative effects of social stories and video modeling toward increasing eye contact of adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 11, 101-111. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-05438-011. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2014.12.009
236	Okada, S., Ohtake, Y., & Yanagihara, M. (2008). Effects of Perspective Sentences in Social Stories[TM] on Improving the Adaptive Behaviors of Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders and Related Disabilities. Education and Training in Developmental Disabilities, 43(1), 46-60.
237	Okada, S., Ohtake, Y., & Yanagihara, M. (2010). Improving the Manners of a Student with Autism: The Effects of Manipulating Perspective Holders in Social Stories[TM]A Pilot Study. International Journal of Disability, Development and Education, 57(2), 207-219.
238	O'Reilly, M., Sigafoos, J., Lancioni, G., Edrisinha, C., & Andrews, A. (2005). An Examination of the Effects of a Classroom Activity Schedule on Levels of Self- Injury and Engagement for a Child with Severe Autism. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 35(3), 305-311. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2005-08092-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-005-3294-1
239	Ozdemir, S. (2008a). The effectiveness of social stories on decreasing disruptive behaviors of children with autism: Three case studies. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 38(9), 1689-1696. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-14490-009. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-008-0551-0
240	Ozdemir, S. (2008b). Using Multimedia Social Stories to Increase Appropriate Social Engagement in Young Children with Autism. Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology - TOJET, 7(3), 80-88.
241	Ozuna, J., Mavridis, A., & Hott, B. L. (2015). Interventions to Support Social Interaction in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Systematic Review of

	Single Case Studies. Exceptionality Education International, 25(2), 107-125.
	Paden, A. R., Kodak, T., Fisher, W. W., Gawley-Bullington, E. M., & Bouxsein, K. J. (2012). Teaching children with autism to engage in peer-directed mands
	using a picture exchange communication system. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 45(2), 425-429. Retrieved from
242	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-22020-016. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2012.45-425
	Palmen, A., Didden, R., & Lang, R. (2012). A systematic review of behavioral intervention research on adaptive skill building in high-functioning young adults with outigm gradematic review of behavioral intervention research on adaptive skill building in high-functioning young adults
2/13	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012_03090_004_doi:http://dv.doi.org/10_1016/i.resd_2011_10_001
243	$\frac{1}{1000} - \frac{1}{1000} - 1$
	Pane, H. M., Sidener, T. M., Vladescu, J. C., & Nirgudkar, A. (2015). Evaluating function-based social storiesTM with children with autism. Behavior
	Modification, 39(6), 912-931. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-50496-006.
244	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145445515603708
	Park I. H. Alber Morgan S. P. & Cannella Malane, H. (2011). Effects of mother implemented Dicture Exchange Communication System (DECS) training on
	independent communicative behaviors of young children with autism spectrum disorders. Topics in Early Childhood Special Education, 31(1), 37-47. Retrieved
	from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=nsvc8&NEWS=N&AN=2011-07290-004
245	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0271121410393750
	Pasiali, V. (2004). The use of prescriptive therapeutic songs in a home-based environment to promote social skills acquisition by children with autism: Three case
	studies. Music Therapy Perspectives, 22(1), 11-20. Retrieved from
246	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-16328-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mtp/22.1.11
	Perez-Fuster, P., Sevilla, J., & Herrera, G. (2019). Enhancing daily living skills in four adults with autism spectrum disorder through an embodied digital
	technology-mediated intervention. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 58, 54-67. Retrieved from
247	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc16&NEWS=N&AN=2019-02724-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2018.08.006
248	Peter M (2003) Drama Narrative and Early Learning British Journal of Special Education 30(1) 21-27
240	reer, w. (2005). Drama, Warrarive and Earry Learning. Drush Journal of Special Education, 50(1), 21-27.
	Phelps, R., Eisert, D., Schulz, S., & Augustyn, M. (2012). Attached to a diagnosis: The quandary of social deficits and reactive attachment disorder. Journal of
	Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 33(1), 84-86. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2013-21835-014.
249	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0b013e31823ff33e

	Pierce, J. M., Spriggs, A. D., Gast, D. L., & Luscre, D. (2013). Effects of visual activity schedules on independent classroom transitions for students with autism.
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-27489-006
250	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1034912X.2013.812191
200	
251	Plavnick, J. B. (2012). A Practical Strategy for Teaching a Child with Autism to Attend to and Imitate a Portable Video Model. Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities, 37(4), 263-270.
	Preston, D., & Carter, M. (2009). A review of the efficacy of the Picture Exchange Communication System intervention. Journal of Autism and Developmental
	Disorders, 39(10), 1471-1486. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2009-17032-010.
252	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-009-0763-y
	Quill, K. A. (1995). Visually cued instruction for children with autism and pervasive developmental disorders. Focus on Autistic Behavior, 10(3), 10-20. Retrieved
253	from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1996-15774-001.
	Quilty, K. M. (2007). Teaching paraprofessionals how to write and implement social stories for student with autism spectrum disorders. Remedial and Special
254	Education, 28(3), 182-189. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgl?1=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEwS=N&AN=2007-08908-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/07419325070280030701
204	doi.nup.//dx.doi.org/10.117//07419525070200050701
	Quirmbach, L. M., Lincoln, A. J., Feinberg-Gizzo, M. J., Ingersoll, B. R., & Andrews, S. M. (2009). Social stories: Mechanisms of effectiveness in increasing
	game play skills in children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder using a pretest posttest repeated measures randomized control group design. Journal of
255	Autism and Developmental Disorders, 59(2), 299-521. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2009.00858.012.doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803.008.0628.9
200	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.egi:1 JS&FAGE Telefenee&D psycowidEwB TreArt 2007-00056-012. doi.http://dx.doi.org/10.100//310005-000-0026-7
	Ramachandiran, C. R., Jomhari, N., Thiyagaraja, S., & Maria, M. (2015). Virtual Reality Based Behavioral Learning for Autistic Children. Electronic Journal of e-
256	Learning, 13(5), 357-365.
	Reichow B & Sabornie F I (2009) Brief report: Increasing verbal greeting initiations for a student with autism via a Social StoryTM intervention. Journal of
	Autism and Developmental Disorders, 39(12), 1740-1743. Retrieved from
257	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2009-21951-012. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-009-0814-4
259	Reid, G., Collier, D., & Cauchon, M. (1991). Skill acquisition by children with autism: Influence of prompts. Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly, 8(4), 357-366.
258	Retrieved from $nup://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi/1=JS&PAGE=reterence&D=psyc3&NEwS=N&AN=1992-09526-001.$

259	Reynhout, G., & Carter, M. (2006). Social Stories for children with disabilities. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 36(4), 445-469. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med5&NEWS=N&AN=16755384.
260	Reynhout, G., & Carter, M. (2007). Social Story[Trademark sign] Efficacy with a Child with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Moderate Intellectual Disability. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 22(3), 173-182.
261	Reynhout, G., & Carter, M. (2009). The use of social stories by teachers and their perceived efficacy. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 3(1), 232-251. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2010-06076-021. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2008.06.003
262	Reynhout, G., & Carter, M. (2011). Social Stories[TM]: A Possible Theoretical Rationale. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 26(3), 367-378.
263	Rhodes, C. (2014). Do social stories help to decrease disruptive behavior in children with autistic spectrum disorders? A review of the published literature. Journal of Intellectual Disabilities 18(1): 35-50.
264	Rinehart, N. J., Bradshaw, J. L., Moss, S. A., Brereton, A. V., & Tonge, B. J. (2006). Pseudo-random number generation in children with high-functioning autism and Asperger's disorder: Further evidence for a dissociation in executive functioning? Autism, 10(1), 70-85. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2006-03162-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1362361306062011
265	Rispoli, M., Neely, L., Lang, R., & Ganz, J. (2011). Training paraprofessionals to implement interventions for people autism spectrum disorders: A systematic review. Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 14(6), 378-388. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc8&NEWS=N&AN=2011-28462-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17518423.2011.620577
266	Robison, M. A., Mann, T. B., & Ingvarsson, E. T. (2019). Life skills instruction for children with developmental disabilities. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=medp&NEWS=N&AN=31290155. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jaba.602
267	Rogers, M. F., & Myles, B. S. (2001). Using Social Stories and Comic Strip Conversations To Interpret Social Situations for an Adolescent with Asperger Syndrome. Intervention in School and Clinic, 36(5), 310-313.
268	Rosenwasser, B., & Axelrod, S. (2001). The contribution of applied behavior analysis to the education of people with autism. Special Issue: Autism, Part 1, 25(5), 671-677. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2002-00304-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145445501255001
269	Rust, J., & Smith, A. (2006). How Should the Effectiveness of Social Stories to Modify the Behavior of Children on the Autistic Spectrum be Tested? Autism:

	The International Journal of Research & Practice, 10(2), 125-138.
	Ryan, B. C., Young, N. B., Moy, S. S., & Crawley, J. N. (2008). Olfactory cues are sufficient to elicit social approach behaviors but not social transmission of
2.50	1000 preference in C5/BL/6J mice. Benavioral Brain Research, 195(2), 255-242. Retrieved from
270	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-11342-012. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2008.06.002
0.71	Ryan, J. B., Hughes, E. M., Katsiyannis, A., McDaniel, M., & Sprinkle, C. (2011). Research-Based Educational Practices for Students with Autism Spectrum
271	Disorders. TEACHING Exceptional Children, 43(3), 56-64.
	Samuels, R., & Stansfield, J. (2012). The Effectiveness of Social Stories[TM] to Develop Social Interactions with Adults with Characteristics of Autism Spectrum
272	Disorder. British Journal of Learning Disabilities, 40(4), 272-285.
273	Sandt, D. (2008). Social Stories for Students with Autism in Physical Education. Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance (JOPERD), 79(6), 42-45.
	Sani Bozkurt, S., & Vuran, S. (2014). An Analysis of the Use of Social Stories in Teaching Social Skills to Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. Educational
274	Sciences: Theory and Practice, 14(5), 1875-1892.
	Sani-Bozkurt, S., Vuran, S., & Akbulut, Y. (2017). Design and Use of Interactive Social Stories for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD).
275	Contemporary Educational Technology, 8(1), 1-25.
	Sansosti, F. J. (2008). Teaching social behavior to children with autism spectrum disorders using Social StoriesTM: Implications for school-based practice. The
	Journal of Speech and Language Pathology - Applied Behavior Analysis, 3(1), 36-45. Retrieved from
276	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2014-51872-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0100231
	Sansosti, F. J., & Powell-Smith, K. A. (2006). Using social stories to improve the social behavior of children with Asperger syndrome. Journal of Positive
	Behavior Interventions, 8(1), 43-57. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2006-01113-006.
277	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10983007060080010601
	Sansosti, F. J., & Powell-Smith, K. A. (2008). Using computer-presented social stories and video models to increase the social communication skills of children
	with High-Functioning Autism Spectrum Disorders. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 10(3), 162-178. Retrieved from
278	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-09347-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1098300708316259
	Sansosti, F. J., Powell-Smith, K. A., & Kincaid, D. (2004). A Research Synthesis of Social Story Interventions for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders.
279	Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 19(4), 194-204. Retrieved from
	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-22336-001.

	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576040190040101
	Sasson, N. J., Faso, D. J., Nugent, J., Lovell, S., Kennedy, D. P., & Grossman, R. B. (2017). Neurotypical Peers are Less Willing to Interact with Those with Autism based on Thin Slice Judgments. Scientific reports, 7, 40700. Retrieved from
280	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=medc1&NEWS=N&AN=28145411. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep40700
281	Scalzo, R., Davis, T. N., Weston, R., Dukes, E., Leeper, D., Min, N., Weber, A. (2017). Effects of Activity Schedules on Challenging Behavior for Children with Autism. Journal of the American Academy of Special Education Professionals, 95-112.
282	Scattone, D. (2008). Enhancing the conversation skills of a boy with Asperger's Disorder through Social Stories and video modeling. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 38(2), 395-400. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med6&NEWS=N&AN=17546490.
	Scattone, D., Tingstrom, D. H., & Wilczynski, S. M. (2006). Increasing Appropriate Social Interactions of Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders Using Social Stories. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 21(4), 211-222. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psvc5&NEWS=N&AN=2007-00095-002.
283	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576060210040201
	Scattone, D., Wilczynski, S. M., Edwards, R. P., & Rabian, B. (2002). Decreasing disruptive behaviors of children with autism using social stories. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders 32(6), 535-543. Retrieved from
284	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2003-02563-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1021250813367
	Schertz, H. H., Reichow, B., Tan, P., Vaiouli, P., & Yildirim, E. (2012). Interventions for toddlers with autism spectrum disorders: An evaluation of research evidence. Journal of Early Intervention, 34(3), 166-189. Retrieved from
285	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-35202-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1053815112470721
	Schmit, J., Alper, S., Raschke, D., & Ryndak, D. (2000). Effects of using a photographic cueing package during routine school transitions with a child who has autism. Mental Retardation, 38(2), 131-137. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2000-
286	16429-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1352/0047-6765%282000%29038%3C0131:EOUAPC%3E2.0.CO;2
287	Schneider, N., & Goldstein, H. (2010). Using social stories and visual schedules to improve socially appropriate behaviors in children with autism. Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 12(3), 149-160. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-13514-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1098300709334198
288	Schreibman, L., Koegel, R. L., & Craig, M. S. (1977). Reducing stimulus overselectivity in autistic children. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 5(4), 425-

	436. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med1&NEWS=N&AN=604382.
	Schreibman, L., & Stahmer, A. C. (2014). A randomized trial comparison of the effects of verbal and pictorial naturalistic communication strategies on spoken language for young children with autism. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 44(5), 1244-1251. Retrieved from
289	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2013-41646-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1972-y
	Schwartzberg, E. T., & Silverman, M. J. (2013). Effects of music-based social stories on comprehension and generalization of social skills in children with autism
290	spectrum disorders: A randomized effectiveness study. The Arts in Psychotherapy, 40(3), 331-337. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-30800-009. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aip.2013.06.001
291	Sgado, P., Rosa-Salva, O., Versace, E., & Vallortigara, G. (2018). Embryonic Exposure to Valproic Acid Impairs Social Predispositions of Newly-Hatched Chicks. Scientific reports, 8(1), 5919. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem2&NEWS=N&AN=29650996. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24202-8
292	Shepley, S. B., Spriggs, A. D., Samudre, M., & Elliot, M. (2018). Increasing Daily Living Independence Using Video Activity Schedules in Middle School Students with Intellectual Disability. Journal of Special Education Technology, 33(2), 71-82.
293	Sigafoos, J., Green, V. A., Payne, D., Son, SH., O'Reilly, M., & Lancioni, G. E. (2009). A comparison of picture exchange and speech-generating devices: Acquisition, preference, and effects on social interaction. AAC: Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 25(2), 99-109. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2009-09148-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07434610902739959
294	Simacek, J., Dimian, A. F., & McComas, J. J. (2017). Communication intervention for young children with severe neurodevelopmental disabilities via telehealth. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 47(3), 744-767. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-02553-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-016-3006-z
274	
295	Simpson, R. (1993). Tips for practitioners: Reinforcement of social story compliance. Focus on Autistic Behavior, 8(3), 15-16. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1994-10542-001.
296	Simpson, R. L., & Myles, B. S. (1998). Aggression among Children and Youth Who Have Asperger's Syndrome: A Different Population Requiring Different Strategies. Preventing School Failure, 42(4), 149-153.
297	Smith, C. (2001). Using Social Stories to enhance behavior in children with autistic spectrum difficulties. Educational Psychology in Practice, 17(4), 337-345. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2001-09415-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02667360120096688

	Smith, D. L., & Gillon, G. T. (2004). Autistic Spectrum Disorder: Caseload Characteristics, and Interventions Implemented by Speech-Language Therapists.
298	Kairaranga, 5(2), 46-54.
	Smith J Hand L & Dowrick P W (2014) Video feedforward for rapid learning of a picture-based communication system Journal of Autism and
	Developmental Disorders 44(4) 926-936 Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=IS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2013-
299	34526-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1946-0
	Soenksen, D., & Alper, S. (2006). Teaching a Young Child to Appropriately Gain Attention of Peers Using a Social Story Intervention. Focus on Autism and
300	Other Developmental Disabilities, 21(1), 36-44.
	Sokhadze, E. M., Tasman, A., Sokhadze, G. E., El-Baz, A. S., & Casanova, M. F. (2016). Behavioral, cognitive, and motor preparation deficits in a visual cued
	spatial attention task in autism spectrum disorder. Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback, 41(1), 81-92. Retrieved from
301	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-43407-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10484-015-9313-x
	Spencer, V. G., Simpson, C. G., & Lynch, S. A. (2008). Using social stories to increase positive behaviors for children with autism spectrum disorders.
	Intervention in School and Clinic, 44(1), 58-61. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-
302	13723-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1053451208318876
	Spriggs, A. D., Knight, V., & Sherrow, L. (2015). Talking picture schedules: Embedding video models into visual activity schedules to increase independence for
	students with ASD. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 45(12), 3846-3861. Retrieved from
303	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2014-51387-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2315-3
	Sprinkle, E. C., & Miguel, C. F. (2013). Establishing derived textual activity schedules in children with autism. Behavioral Interventions, 28(3), 185-202.
	Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-27272-001.
304	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bin.1365
	Srinivasan, S. M., Park, I. K., Neelly, L. B., & Bhat, A. N. (2015). A comparison of the effects of rhythm and robotic interventions on repetitive behaviors and
	affective states of children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 18, 51-63. Retrieved from
305	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-42259-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2015.07.004
	Stafford, N. (2000). Can emotions be taught to a low functioning autistic child? Early Child Development and Care, 164, 105-126. Retrieved from
306	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2002-00788-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0300443001640109
307	Stary, A. K., Everett, G. E., Sears, K. B., Fujiki, M., & Hupp, S. D. A. (2012). Social stories for children with autism spectrum disorders: Updated review of the
501	literature from 2004 to 2010. Journal of Evidence-Based Practices for Schools, 13(2), 123-140. Retrieved from

	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2013-06797-002.
308	Steele, E. C., McLaughlin, T., Derby, K. M., Weber, K. P., Donica, D. K., & McKenzie, M. (2015). Employing Handwriting without Tears to Teach a 4-Year-Old Preschool Student to Write His Name with the Appropriate Size, Shape, and Form Combined with an Imitate/Trace/Copy/Memory Procedure. Journal on Educational Psychology, 8(4), 16-24.
309	Sullivan, W. E., Martens, B. K., Morley, A. J., & Long, S. J. (2017). Reducing transition latency and transition-related problem behavior in children by altering the motivating operations for task disengagement. Psychology in the Schools, 54(4), 404-420. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-08586-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pits.22008
310	Swaggart, B., Gagnon, E., Bock, S. J., Earles, T. L., & et al. (1995). Using social stories to teach social and behavioral skills to children with autism. Focus on Autistic Behavior, 10(1), 1-16. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1996-90665-001.
311	Tanner, K., Hand, B. N., O'Toole, G., & Lane, A. E. (2015). Effectiveness of interventions to improve social participation, play, leisure, and restricted and repetitive behaviors in people with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 69(5), p1-p12. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-45685-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2015.017806
312	Tarnai, B., & Wolfe, P. S. (2008). Social stories for sexuality education for persons with autism/pervasive developmental disorder. Sexuality and Disability, 26(1), 29-36. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-05089-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11195-007-9067-3
313	Thiemann, K. S., & Goldstein, H. (2001). Social stories, written text cues, and video feedback: Effects on social communication of children with autism. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 34(4), 425-446. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=2002-00330-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2001.34-425
314	Thiemann-Bourque, K., Brady, N., McGuff, S., Strump, K., & Naylor, A. (2016). Picture Exchange Communication System and Pals: A Peer-Mediated Augmentative and Alternative Communication Intervention for Minimally Verbal Preschoolers with Autism. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 59(5), 1133-1145.
315	Thompson, R., & Johnston, S. S. (2017). Examination of Social Story Format on Frequency of Undesired Behaviors. Journal of the American Academy of Special Education Professionals, 83-103.
316	Thompson, R. M., & Johnston, S. (2013). Use of social stories to improve self-regulation in children with autism spectrum disorders. Physical & occupational therapy in pediatrics, 33(3), 271-284. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med9&NEWS=N&AN=23437903.

	doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01942638.2013.768322
	Tierney, C. D., Gupta, V. B., Angel, A. P. D., & Augustyn, M. (2012). Teasing out specific language impairment from an autism spectrum disorder. Journal of developmental and behavioral pediatrics : JDBP, 33(3), 272-274. Retrieved from
317	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med8&NEWS=N&AN=22472945. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0b013e31824ea235
	Tierney, C. D., Kurtz, M., Panchik, A., & Pitterle, K. (2014). 'Look at me when I am talking to you': evidence and assessment of social pragmatics interventions for children with autism and social communication disorders. Current opinion in pediatrics, 26(2), 259-264. Retrieved from
318	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med10&NEWS=N&AN=24535501. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MOP.00000000000075
	Tincani, M. (2004). Comparing the Picture Exchange Communication System and Sign Language Training for Children with Autism. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 19(3), 152-163. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-
319	19645-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10883576040190030301
	Torelli, J. N., Lambert, J. M., Da Fonte, M. A., Denham, K. N., Jedrzynski, T. M., & Houchins-Juarez, N. J. (2016). Assessing acquisition of and preference for mand topographies during functional communication training. Behavior Analysis in Practice, <i>9</i> (2), 165-168. Retrieved from
320	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc13&NEWS=N&AN=2016-29365-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40617-015-0083-y
	Torres, R., DeBar, R. M., Reeve, S. A., Meyer, L. S., & Covington, T. M. (2018). The effects of a video-enhanced schedule on exercise behavior. Behavior
321	Analysis in Practice, 11(2), 85-96. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?1=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc15&NEWS=N&AN=2018-08956-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40617-018-0224-1
	Travis, J., & Geiger, M. (2010). The effectiveness of the picture exchange communication system (PECS) for children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD): A South African pilot study. Child Language Teaching and Therapy, 26(1), 39-59. Retrieved from
322	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-07438-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0265659009349971
	Uzuegbunam, N., Wong, W. H., Cheung, SC. S., & Ruble, L. (2018). MEBook: Multimedia Social Greetings Intervention for Children with Autism Spectrum
323	Disorders. IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies, 11(4), 520-535.
	Valentino, A. L., Conine, D. E., Delfs, C. H., & Furlow, C. M. (2015). Use of a modified chaining procedure with textual prompts to establish intraverbal storytelling. Analysis of Verbal Behavior, 31(1), 39-58. Retrieved from
324	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2016-16818-004. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40616-014-0023-x

	van der Meer, L., Kagohara, D., Roche, L., Sutherland, D., Balandin, S., Green, V. A., Sigafoos, J. (2013). Teaching multi-step requesting and social communication to two children with autism spectrum disorders with three AAC options. AAC: Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 29(3), 222-234.
325	Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-30044-003. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07434618.2013.815801
326	van der Meer, L., Sigafoos, J., Sutherland, D., McLay, L., Lang, R., Lancioni, G. E., Marschik, P. B. (2014). Preference-enhanced communication intervention and development of social communicative functions in a child with autism spectrum disorder. Clinical Case Studies, 13(3), 282-295. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc11&NEWS=N&AN=2014-19749-006. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1534650113508221
327	van der Meer, L., Sutherland, D., O'Reilly, M. F., Lancioni, G. E., & Sigafoos, J. (2012). A further comparison of manual signing, picture exchange, and speech- generating devices as communication modes for children with autism spectrum disorders. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 6(4), 1247-1257. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-22808-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2012.04.005
	van Niekerk, M. E. H., Groen, W., Vissers, C. T. W. M., van Driel-de Jong, D., Kan, C. C., & Voshaar, R. C. O. (2011). Diagnosing autism spectrum disorders in elderly people. International Psychogeriatrics, 23(5), 700-710. Retrieved from
328	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc8&NEWS=N&AN=2011-12437-002. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1041610210002152
329	Vandermeer, J., Beamish, W., Milford, T., & Lang, W. (2015). iPad-presented social stories for young children with autism. Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 18(2), 75-81. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-11018-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17518423.2013.809811
330	Vedora, J., Meunier, L., & Mackay, H. (2009). Teaching intraverbal behavior to children with autism: A comparison of textual and echoic prompts. Analysis of Verbal Behavior, 25, 79-86. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2009-12430-008.
331	Vedora, J., Ross, R., & Kelm, K. (2008). Feeding Frenzy: Using Picture Schedules to Reduce Mealtime Struggles. TEACHING Exceptional Children Plus, 4(6).
332	Wang, P., & Spillane, A. (2009). Evidence-Based Social Skills Interventions for Children with Autism: A Meta-Analysis. Education and Training in Developmental Disabilities, 44(3), 318-342.
333	Watanabe, M., & Sturmey, P. (2003). The effect of choice-making opportunities during activity schedules on task engagement of adults with autism. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 33(5), 535-538. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2003-08460-007. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1025835729718
334	Waters, M. B., Lerman, D. C., & Hovanetz, A. N. (2009). Separate and combined effects of visual schedules and extinction plus differential reinforcement on problem behavior occasioned by transitions. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 42(2), 309-313. Retrieved from

	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2009-10342-009. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2009.42-309
	Watson, K. J., & DiCarlo, C. F. (2016). Increasing completion of classroom routines through the use of picture activity schedules. Early Childhood Education
	Journal, 44(2), 89-96. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc12&NEWS=N&AN=2015-08103-001.
335	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10643-015-0697-2
	Wheeler, J. J., & Carter, S. L. (1998). Using Visual Cues in the Classroom for Learners with Autism as a Method for Promoting Positive Behavior. B.C. Journal of
336	Special Education, 21(3), 64-73.
	Whitehead, J. (2007). Telling It like It Is: Developing Social Stories[TM] for Children in Mainstream Primary Schools. Pastoral Care in Education: An
337	International Journal for Pastoral Care & Personal-Social Education, 25(4), 35-41.
	Whittingham, K., Sofronoff, K., Sheffield, J., & Sanders, M. R. (2009). Behavioral family intervention with parents of children with ASD: What do they find
	useful in the parenting program stepping stones triple P? Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 3(3), 702-713. Retrieved from
338	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2010-06022-012. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2009.01.009
	Wood, A. L., Luiselli, J. K., & Harchik, A. E. (2007). Training instructional skills with paraprofessional service providers at a community-based habilitation
	setting. Behavior Modification, 31(6), 847-855. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2007-
339	15688-008. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145445507302893
340	Xin, J. F., & Sutman, F. X. (2011). Using the Smart Board in Teaching Social Stories to Students with Autism. TEACHING Exceptional Children, 43(4), 18-24.
	Yoder, P. J., & Lieberman, R. G. (2010). Brief Report: Randomized test of the efficacy of picture exchange communication system on highly generalized picture
2.41	exchanges in children with ASD. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 40(5), 629-632. Retrieved from
341	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med/&NEWS=N&AN=19904596. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.100//s10803-009-0897-y
	Yokoyama, K., Naoi, N., & Yamamoto, Ji. (2006). Teaching Verbal Behavior Using the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) With Children With
	Autistic Spectrum Disorders. Japanese Journal of Special Education, 43(6), 485-503. Retrieved from
342	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc5&NEWS=N&AN=2006-04799-004.
	Zimmerman, K. N., & Ledford, J. R. (2017). Beyond ASD: Evidence for the effectiveness of social narratives. Journal of Early Intervention, 39(3), 199-217.
	Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-34133-003.
343	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1053815117709000
1	
	Zimmerman, K. N., Ledford, J. R., & Barton, E. E. (2017). Using visual activity schedules for young children with challenging behavior. Journal of Early
-----	--
344	Intervention, 39(4), 339-358. Retrieved from http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?1=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc14&NEWS=N&AN=2017-49409-005. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1053815117725693
511	
	Zwaigenbaum, L., Bryson, S., & Garon, N. (2013). Early identification of autism spectrum disorders. Behavioral Brain Research, 251, 133-146. Retrieved from
345	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc10&NEWS=N&AN=2013-15187-001. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2013.04.004