

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

## **Exploring the Links between Specific Depression Symptoms and Brain Structure: A Network Study**

**Eva Hilland, PhD <sup>1,2</sup>, Nils Inge Landrø, PhD <sup>1,2</sup>, Brage Kraft, PhD <sup>2</sup>, Christian K. Tamnes, PhD <sup>1,3,4</sup>, Eiko I. Fried, PhD <sup>5</sup>, Luigi A. Maglanoc, PhD <sup>2,3</sup>, & Rune Jonassen, PhD <sup>6</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway.

<sup>2</sup> Clinical Neuroscience Research Group, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

<sup>3</sup> NORMENT, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

<sup>4</sup> PROMENTA Research Center, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

<sup>5</sup> Clinical Psychology Unit, Leiden University, Leiden, the Netherlands.

<sup>6</sup> Faculty of Health Sciences, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway.

Corresponding author: Eva Hilland, University of Oslo, Department of Psychology and Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Department of Psychiatry, Postboks 23 Vinderen, 0319 Oslo, Norway. [eva.hilland@psykologi.uio.no](mailto:eva.hilland@psykologi.uio.no)

37 Various patterns of structural brain abnormalities have been associated with depression, yet  
38 sensitive, specific and clinically predictive brain correlates have proven to be difficult to  
39 characterize[1]. The currently best available empirical evidence on neuroanatomical  
40 differences between patients with major depression (MDD) and healthy controls are two  
41 meta-analyses of approximately 10.000 individuals[2, 3]. These reports show widespread  
42 alterations in cortical regions and in hippocampal volume, but no associations between  
43 depression severity and brain structure. Inconsistencies in the neuroimaging literature may be  
44 explained by the fact that depression is highly heterogeneous, featuring over 50 symptoms[4],  
45 where symptom constellations may reflect different phenomena with distinct underlying  
46 biological causes[1].

47

48 Understanding the neural substrates of specific symptoms may provide important information  
49 about mechanisms underlying depression vulnerability. A growing body of research under the  
50 umbrella term ‘network approach’ has recently received considerable attention[5]; the  
51 approach understands and aims to model mental disorders as systems of causally interacting  
52 symptoms. So far, network studies have been based on symptoms and environmental factors,  
53 ignoring relevant neurobiological factors[6]. Here, we address this knowledge gap by  
54 modelling a joint network of depression-related brain structures and individual depression  
55 symptoms, using 21 symptoms and five regional brain measures. The sample is a mixed group  
56 of individuals that previously have been treated for one or more major depressive episodes  
57 (MDE) and never depressed individuals, with the goal to model a continuum of depression  
58 severity.

59

60 Depression symptoms were measured using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II). MRI  
61 images were obtained from a 3T Philips scanner. Whole-brain volumetric segmentation and  
62 cortical surface reconstruction of MRI images was performed with FreeSurfer 5.3  
63 (<https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/>). Five regional brain measures were selected based on  
64 the MDD case-control differences showing the largest bilateral effects in the studies from the  
65 ENIGMA MDD working group[2, 3]: hippocampal volume and cortical thickness in four  
66 regions - medial orbitofrontal cortex (mOFC), fusiform gyrus, insula and cingulate (weighted  
67 average of rostral anterior cingulate, caudal anterior cingulate and posterior cingulate). Brain  
68 structure measures were averaged across the left and right hemisphere for each participant,  
69 and z-residuals of hippocampal volume (controlling for sex and estimated intracranial  
70 volume) were calculated for further analyses. A gaussian graphical model of the 26 variables

71 were computed using the R packages qgraph and bootnet, and the graphical LASSO (least  
72 absolute shrinkage and selection operator) was used for regularization. (See Supplementary  
73 Information for details on MRI acquisition, MRI processing and network analysis).

74

75 This sample was drawn from two related clinical trials and a case-control research study  
76 conducted at the Department of Psychology, University of Oslo. Informed consent was  
77 obtained from all participants before enrolment and their anonymity was preserved. The  
78 sample consists of 268 adult participants, 191 with at least one MDE ( $M$  age = 39.4 [ $SD$  =  
79 13.2], 132 females,  $M$  education level (ISCED) level 6.0 [ $SD$  = 0.9],  $M$  BDI-II score 14.7 [ $SD$   
80 = 10.4]) and 77 never depressed individuals ( $M$  age = 41.9 [ $SD$  = 12.9],  $M$  education level 5.7  
81 [ $SD$  = 1.5],  $M$  BDI-II score 1.7 [ $SD$  = 2.9], 50 females). BDI-II sum score range was 0 - 49. A  
82 total of 172 subjects had experienced two or more MDE's. 61 participants were currently  
83 using antidepressant medication.

84

85 The symptom-brain network is depicted in *Figure 1A*. All brain structures were positively  
86 inter-connected, with regularized partial correlations up to 0.40, see *Figure 1B*.

87 Hippocampus was associated with *changes in appetite sadness, loss of interest* and  
88 *irritability*. Insula was associated with *loss of interest in sex* and *sadness*. Cingulate had  
89 associations with *sadness, crying* and *worthlessness*. Fusiform gyrus had associations with  
90 *crying* and *irritability*. (See stability and centrality indices, S1 and S2)

91

92 Here we establish the first link between individual depression symptoms and neuroanatomy  
93 using network analysis. Our results broadly align with prior literature showing that depression  
94 symptoms differentially relate to important outcomes such as impairment and risk factors, and  
95 demonstrate the importance of studying specific features of depression over one  
96 heterogeneous category[5, 6]. The associations between symptoms and brain structure may  
97 reflect the heterogeneous nature of the disorder, and may offer important cues about  
98 underlying neural mechanisms in MDD. The results await replication in larger samples and  
99 other patient groups. In this study depression history was assessed retrospectively and  
100 previous MDE was classified independent of type of treatment, combination treatment,  
101 treatment response or time since the last episode. We hope the reported results can pave the  
102 way for future studies integrating neurobiological measures in network analyses, which  
103 represent a step towards validation of biomarkers.

104

105 **Acknowledgements**

106 We thank the Department of Psychiatry, Diakonhjemmet Hospital for help and support with  
107 recruiting patients, and the Intervention Center, OUS for radiological assistance in MRI  
108 protocols, data acquisitions and screening for unexpected neuropathological findings. We  
109 thank Tor Endestad for establishing the infrastructure for MRI research at the Department of  
110 Psychology, University of Oslo. We want to thank our MRI research assistant Dani Beck. We  
111 also thank our external recruitment sites; Unicare, Coperiosenteret AS, Torgny Syrstad, MD,  
112 Synergi Helse AS and Lovisenberg Hospital.

113

114 **Disclosure Statement**

115 The project is supported by the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority, grant  
116 number: 2015052 (to NIL), Research Council of Norway, grant number: 229135 (to NIL) and  
117 Department of Psychology, University of Oslo. Clin.gov ID for the two clinical trials:  
118 NCT0265862 and NCT02931487. CKT is funded by the Research Council of Norway, grant  
119 numbers: 223273; 288083; 230345 and the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority,  
120 grant number: 2019069. NIL has received consultancy fees and travel expenses from  
121 Lundbeck. EH, BK, EF, LM CKT and RJ reports no biomedical financial interests or potential  
122 conflicts of interest.

## References

- 1 Insel TR, Landis SC: Twenty-five years of progress: the view from NIMH and NINDS. *Neuron* 2013;80:561-567.
- 2 Schmaal L, Veltman DJ, van Erp TG, Sämann P, Frodl T, Jahanshad N, Loehrer E, Tiemeier H, Hofman A, Niessen W: Subcortical brain alterations in major depressive disorder: findings from the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder working group. *Molecular psychiatry* 2016;21:806.
- 3 Schmaal L, Hibar D, Sämann P, Hall G, Baune B, Jahanshad N, Cheung J, van Erp T, Bos D, Ikram M: Cortical abnormalities in adults and adolescents with major depression based on brain scans from 20 cohorts worldwide in the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder Working Group. *Molecular psychiatry* 2017;22:900.
- 4 Fried EI: The 52 symptoms of major depression: Lack of content overlap among seven common depression scales. *J Affect Disord* 2017;208:191-197.
- 5 Borsboom D: A network theory of mental disorders. *World psychiatry* 2017;16:5-13.
- 6 Fried EI, Cramer AOJ: Moving Forward: Challenges and Directions for Psychopathological Network Theory and Methodology. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 2017;12:999-1020.

**Legend Figure 1:** Depression symptom network including five brain areas. Blue lines represent positive associations, red lines negative associations, and the thickness and brightness of an edge indicate the association strength. Label descriptions: mOFC=Medial orbitofrontal cortex, CINGULATE=Rostral-,medial-, and anterior cingulate cortex, INSULA=Insula, FUSIFORM=Fusiform gyrus, HIPPOCAMP=Hippocampus, SAD=Sadness, PESS=Pessimism, FAIL=Past Failure, ANHED=Loss of Pleasure, GUILT=Guilty Feelings, PUNISH=Punishment Feelings, DISL=Self-Dislike, CRITIC=Self-Criticism, SUIC=Suicidal Thoughts or Wishes, CRY=Crying, AGIT=Agitation, INTER=Loss of Interest, INDECISIVE=Indecisiveness, WORTH=Worthlessness, ENER=Loss of Energy, SLEEP=Changes in Sleep Pattern, IRRIT=Irritability, APPET=Changes in Appetite, CONC=Concentration Difficulty, FATIG=Tiredness or Fatigue, SEX= Loss of Interest in Sex. B Sparse partial correlations between brain structure measures, and between brain structure measures and depressive symptoms in the network model.

## Supporting Information:

MRI acquisition and analysis

Network analysis

Post-hoc analysis of potentially redundant symptom nodes

Fig S1. Centrality

Figure S2. Stability