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Abstract

Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and Intellectual Disability (ID) seem to influence risk of and vulnerability to exposure to trauma and adverse events. While assessment of psychiatric disorder in ASD and ID generally is challenging, identification of Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) seems particularly so, and knowledge does not seem easily accessible.

Methods

This article provides a systematic review of studies describing trauma reactions in individuals with both ASD and ID, including studies involving any single case with the combination of
ASD, ID, and PTSD. To systematically explore PTSD symptom presentation in the group, all reported symptoms from studies were assigned by DSM 5 criteria.

Results
Eighteen studies met the inclusion criteria, eight group studies and ten case studies. Assessment methodology in studies varied, as did the format of symptom report. DSM 5 criteria provided a useful framework for integrating findings across studies, indicating that PTSD may be identified in individuals with ASD and ID. However, symptoms involving alterations in arousal and negative alterations in thought and behavior seem more easily identified than symptoms of re-experiencing and avoidance.

Conclusions
There is an urgent need to identify behavioral equivalents to PTSD symptoms in this group, making it possible to identify warning signs of trauma and abuse even if such incidents are not known to family or professional carers.
Introduction

Forty-five percent of individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) are estimated to have an Intellectual Disability (ID) (Lai, Lombardo & Baron-Cohen, 2014). These individuals seem particularly vulnerable to developing psychiatric disorder (Bakken et al., 2010; Bakken, Helverschou, Høidal & Martinsen, 2016), while assessments are challenging. Because individuals with ASD and ID have difficulties in self-report (Helverschou, Bakken & Martinsen, 2011), assessments frequently have to rely on information from family members or professional carers, along with observation and interpretation of behavioral equivalents of psychiatric symptoms (Underwood, McCarthy, Chaplin & Bertelli, 2015; Helverschou et al., 2011; Bakken, Friis, Lovoll, Smeby & Martinsen, 2007). This may involve identification of both typical and atypical symptoms (Helverschou & Martinsen, 2011; Bakken et al., 2007; Mohiuddin et al., 2011). Atypical symptoms appear to be more frequent with increasing levels of ID, as severe or profound ID often is associated with having more limited repertoires of communication and behavior (Fletcher, Barnhill & Cooper, 2016; Myrbakk & von Tetzchner, 2008).

Measures of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) have rarely been included in studies of psychiatric co-morbidity in ASD and ID (Bakken et al., 2010). However, individuals with ASD and ID seem to be at increased risk of falling victim to violence (Sullivan & Knutson, 2000; Jones et al., 2012; Mandell, Walrath, Manteuffel, Sgro & Pinto-Martin, 2005; Duan et al., 2015), sexual abuse (Gotby et al., 2018; Hershkowitz, Lamb & Horowitz, 2007; Mandell et al., 2005; Sullivan & Knutson, 2000), maltreatment/neglect (Dinkler et al., 2017; McDonnell et al., 2018; Chan, Lo & Ip, 2018; Sullivan & Knutson, 2000; Turner, Vanderminden, Finkelhor, Hamby & Shattuck, 2011; Chan & Lam, 2016), and bullying (Hoover & Kaufman, 2018; Sullivan & Knutson, 2000; Hoover, 2015). McDonnell et al.
found that children with ASD and ID, or either of these conditions alone, were at increased risk of maltreatment. While reports of maltreatment were similar across the three groups, substantiated maltreatment was more frequent in ID only, suggesting that reports of maltreatment are more challenging to substantiate in individuals with ASD. Compared to other children experiencing maltreatment, children with ASD and ID seemed to be at risk for more frequent and complex maltreatment. Dinkler et al. (2017) found that having multiple neurodevelopmental disorders, for instance both ASD and ID, was associated with an increased risk of maltreatment relative to having only one of these conditions.

Lower IQ is associated with increased risk of exposure to adverse events and development of PTSD both in the general population (Breslau, Lucia & Alvarado, 2006; Macklin et al., 1998), and within the ID group (Tureck, Matson, Cervantes & Konst, 2014). There are also suggestions that inherent features of ASD may increase risk of PTSD following an adverse event (Kerns, Newschaffer & Berkowitz, 2015; Haruvi-Lamdant, Horesh & Golan, 2018). The majority of studies on PTSD in ASD, however, have used ID as an exclusion criterion (Rumball, 2018), limiting current knowledge on PTSD in individuals with both ASD and ID.

Findings on PTSD in individuals with ID or ASD alone are not necessarily generalizable to the group having both ASD and ID (Matson & Cervantes, 2014). This underlines the need for specific knowledge concerning PTSD in individuals with both ASD and ID. While there have been recent reviews concerning PTSD in ASD (Rumball, 2018; Hoover, 2015) and ID (Mevissen, Didden & de Jongh, 2015; Wigham & Emerson, 2015), no study to date has reviewed current knowledge regarding identification of PTSD in the group with both ASD and ID.
In this review, the following research question is addressed: how does PTSD present in individuals with both ASD and ID, and how may it be identified? The objective of the study is to identify knowledge gaps regarding presentation and identification of PTSD in individuals with ASD and ID.

Methods

Protocol and registration

A systematic review was undertaken according to the principles outlined in the preferred reporting guidelines for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (Moher et al., 2009). A systematic review protocol was registered prior to data extraction (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=103885).

Search

Three search groupings were created; one for PTSD (“post-traumatic stress disorder” OR “posttraumatic stress disorder” OR “post traumatic stress disorder” OR PTS* OR “Stress Disorder” OR traum* OR abuse), one for ASD (asd OR autis* OR Asperger* OR PDD-NOS OR “childhood disintegrative disorder” OR “pervasive developmental disorder”), and one for ID (“intellectual disability” OR “learning disability” OR “developmental disability” OR “mental retardation”). Searches were performed in PsychInfo, PubMed, Medline, Embase and PILOTS. Reference lists from included studies as well as previous reviews in related areas were scrutinized. Google scholar was used for additional searches, but yielded few further results. Search was limited to publications in English after 1980, as this was the year PTSD was first included in the DSM (American Psychiatric Association, 1980). The search was finalized on July 15th 2018.
Eligibility criteria and study selection

Any study involving specific cases describing symptoms of PTSD in one or more individuals with ASD and ID was included, both case and group-level studies. Because of the inherent challenges in diagnosing PTSD in the group, papers describing reactions to trauma or adverse events were included even if they did not specify that PTSD had been diagnosed. Conference abstracts, book chapters and similar were excluded, as were studies not published in English.

Data Collection and Risk of Bias

Because the included studies were few and heterogeneous, a majority being case studies, it was decided to utilize a descriptive, narrative and explorative methodology to synthesize data, organizing reported symptoms by DSM 5 diagnostic criteria (see table 1). This data extraction and synthetization was performed by the first author and systematically quality checked by the third author, both experienced clinicians with 9+ years of experience working with mental health in ASD/ID. There were few disagreements, but these were discussed until consensus was reached. Adaptions of criteria from the Diagnostic Manual – Intellectual Disability 2 chapter on trauma and stressor-related disorders (McCarthy, Blanco, Gaus, Razza & Tomasulo, 2016), were used for interpretational support. Original wording from studies is retained and presented in table 2 and 3 to make the assignation of symptoms transparent.

(Table 1 approximately here)

Risk of bias for individual studies was assessed during data extraction. The main source of bias identified was the risk of studies not reporting all symptoms present. Publication bias may have affected risk of bias across studies, by making less typical presentations of PTSD less likely to be identified and reported. There are also more general issues in the study of
trauma in ASD and ID that may have affected risk of bias. These are primarily related to varying definitions and methodology across studies, but also to the challenges in verifying information about mistreatment and abuse inherent to the group (Leeb, Bitsko, Merrick & Armour, 2012; Sullivan, 2009).

Results

Study selection

Study selection is described in figure 1. Initial reviews were by title and abstract only. Studies were excluded for not meeting inclusion criteria, not being in English, not being from peer-reviewed journals, or not reporting on patient data. Twenty-eight articles were retrieved for full text review, which led to the exclusion of a further 10 articles. These were excluded for not meeting inclusion criteria, for instance by excluding individuals with ID, or not reporting specifically whether individuals with ID also had ASD or vice versa. Finally, 18 articles were included in the review, 8 group studies and 10 case studies. Characteristics and symptoms from group studies are presented in table 2, with case characteristics and symptoms from case studies in table 3.

[Figure 1 approximately here]

Study characteristics

Only three group studies investigated diagnosed PTSD (Methar & Mukaddes, 2011; de Bruin, Ferdinand, Meester, de Nijs & Verheij, 2007; Taylor & Gotham, 2016), with only one study identifying any cases (Methar and Mukaddes, 2011). This study included individuals with ASD and a wide range of IQ scores, from severe ID (26.1%), moderate ID (39.1%), and mild ID (7.2%), to non-ID (27.5%). Thirteen of eighteen individuals with PTSD in the study had an
ID, but symptom presentation was not analyzed or reported separately for this group. The three studies all utilized standardized assessment tools whose psychometric properties in the assessment of PTSD in ASD/ID have not been systematically studied.

The five remaining group studies did not involve diagnosed PTSD, but included individuals with ASD and ID having experienced abuse or other adverse events. Three studies investigated consequences of abuse in groups with severe ID (Murphy, O’Callaghan & Clare, 2007; Rowsell, Clare & Murhpy, 2013) or multiple handicaps (Ammerman, van Hasselt, Hersen, McGonigle & Lubetsky, 1989), but did not report separate analyses for included individuals with ASD. Two studies investigated correlates of either abuse (Howlin & Clements, 1995) or an earthquake (Valenti et al., 2012) in groups with ASD across the IQ range, but did not differentiate by presence or level of ID in analyses.

[Table 2 approximately here]

Only two single-case studies focused specifically on PTSD in ASD with ID (Carrigan & Allez, 2016; Cook et al., 1993). Remaining case studies presented one or more cases with PTSD, ASD and ID among other cases involving PTSD with either ID or ASD. Sufficient symptoms to substantiate PTSD diagnosis according to DSM 5 criteria were reported in only four of the 15 cases (Carrigan & Allez, 2016; Mevissen, Lievegoed, Seubert & de Jongh, 2012; Ryan, 1994; Cook et al., 1993), while in two cases only aggression and self-injurious behavior were reported (Carvill & Marston, 2002). Lack of systematic reporting of symptoms in the included studies is likely to affect risk of bias.

[Table 3 approximately here]
Not all reported traumatic experiences clearly adhered to group A criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). These are given in italic in the tables. The Diagnostic Manual – Intellectual Disability 2 (McCarthy et al., 2016) suggests that the threshold is lowered for vulnerability and consequent development of PTSD in individuals with ID. Though possibly not within a narrow interpretation of DSM 5 criteria, these adverse events were therefore still assigned to group A.

Re-experiencing and avoidance were the most rarely reported symptoms from both group and case studies. Reported duration of symptoms ranged from 6-12 months (Valenti et al., 2012) up to sixteen years (Ryan, 1994), with the exception of one case being referred after three months (Mevissen, Lievegoed, Seubert & de Jongh, 2011). All group and case studies identifying trauma reactions or PTSD reported distress and/or functional impairment associated with the adverse events.

**Discussion**

There seems to be little consensus on how to identify PTSD in individuals with ASD and ID. The reviewed studies vary widely in their assessment methodology, making it a challenge to draw comparisons across studies beyond surface symptom descriptions. DSM 5 criteria provided a useful framework for integrating findings across studies. The results indicate that PTSD symptoms from all symptom groups may be identified in individuals with ASD and ID. However, symptoms involving alterations in arousal and negative alterations in thought and behavior seem more easily identified, while fewer studies reported symptoms of re-experiencing and avoidance.
Re-experiencing involving classic flashbacks (van der Kolk, 2014) was only described in two cases involving individuals with good verbal abilities. The understanding of more unspecific behavior as re-experiencing seemed to depend on the individuals’ previous trauma being known to people around them, and behavior being interpreted in the light of this. Most individuals with ASD and ID may not be able to report abuse or other adverse events (Helverschou et al., 2011). Sometimes abuse is only revealed or discovered years after its occurrence (Rowsell et al., 2013), even in cases involving good verbal abilities (Carrigan & Allez, 2016). This may have contributed to two of the studies investigating diagnoses of PTSD not identifying any cases (Taylor & Gotham, 2016; de Bruin et al., 2007). Brewin (2015) suggests trauma memories are stored in an involuntary perceptual memory system distinct from ordinary episodic memory. Sensory-based processing of an event leads to the formation of poorly contextualized and disjointed memories, resulting in the development of intrusive trauma memories and symptoms of re-experiencing (Halligan, Clark & Ehlers, 2002; Whalley et al., 2013). It thus seems unlikely that symptoms of re-experiencing are dependent on a certain level of cognitive or verbal ability. Sparseness of reports seems more likely to be explained by a combination of the intra-psychic nature of these symptoms and patients’ lack of verbal abilities.

A majority of reviewed studies describe examples of alterations in arousal and negative alterations in thoughts and emotions, symptoms that are relatively uncomplicated to observe. However, these symptoms are not specific to PTSD and may be interpreted as signs of challenging behavior, anxiety disorder, or affective disorder in the absence of known exposure to trauma. Avoidance, which was rarely reported, may be at risk of being misinterpreted as challenging behavior or noncompliance (Carrigan & Allez, 2016; Ryan, 1994). Treatment of avoidance symptoms in the general population involves careful self-
monitoring to avoid triggering symptoms of re-experiencing (van der Kolk, 2014). Misinterpretation of avoidance symptoms by families or professional carers may thus have harmful consequences. Lower expert consensus has been reported for expressions of re-experiencing and avoidance symptoms in individuals with ID than for other PTSD symptoms (Rittmannsberger, Kocman, Weber & Lueger-Schuster, 2018), underlining the need for further knowledge and improved identification of these symptoms.

Two group studies and six cases found trauma or PTSD to be associated with an apparent increase of ASD symptoms, including restricted interests, stereotypic movement and motor mannerisms (Mehtar & Mukaddes, 2011; Murphy et al., 2007). It is well-known that psychiatric disorder in ASD and ID may entail such an aggravation of ASD symptoms, including social and communicative challenges and stereotypical behavior (Helverschou et al., 2011; Underwood, 2015). Aggravation of ASD symptoms may be associated with mood-related aspects of PTSD, as descriptions seem similar to descriptions of affective disorders in ASD and ID (Underwood et al., 2015). Alternatively, it may also be associated with avoidance or individuals with ASD and ID attempting to manage experiences of altered arousal. Such an aggravation may also be a more unspecific sign of distress and discomfort in individuals with ASD and ID. Current results indicate that these symptoms are related to PTSD, but further research is required to identify the nature of this relation.

If families or professional carers had knowledge of symptoms of PTSD displayed by individuals with ASD and ID, it is likely that such symptoms would be detected earlier, possibly even while abuse or trauma is still ongoing. Reviewed case studies indicate that detection and identification is possible, even in individuals with poor verbal abilities (e.g. Rowsell et al., 2013). However, identification seems to involve the need for thorough
assessment of behavioral change resembling PTSD (Murphy et al., 2007; Rowsell et al., 2013; Howlin & Clements, 1995; Carvill & Marston, 2002), while the current review indicates that symptoms of altered arousal and negative alterations of thoughts and emotions may be more easily identifiable than other symptoms. Simultaneous changes in both of these areas may therefore be sufficient to warrant further investigation of other PTSD symptoms, involving the use of multiple informers (Carvill & Marston, 2002; Howlin & Clements, 1995) and sensitive interpretation of behavior change (Barol & Seubert, 2010).

Though there is no consensus regarding the use of standardized assessment tools not especially adapted to the group (Underwood et al., 2015), there are findings suggesting their usefulness when used by clinicians knowledgeable in ASD mental health issues (Kildahl, Bakken, Holm & Helverschou, 2017; Mehtar & Mukaddes, 2011; Hoover, 2015). However, when it comes to symptoms of a more intra-psychic nature, parental or carer report cannot fully replace self-report (Kildahl et al., 2017). Though there have been recent, promising approaches (Hoover & Romero, 2019; Hall, Jobson & Langdon, 2014), there is currently a lack of appropriate self-report tools for individuals with ASD and ID for trauma exposure and PTSD symptoms.

Search for the current review resulted in a high number of false positives. It thus seems unlikely that central studies were missed. Wide inclusion criteria resulted in including studies either not identifying cases, or possibly confounding data as analyses included individuals without either ASD or ID. No identified group study focused specifically on the topic for this review, and in only two group studies did individuals with ASD, ID and trauma reactions constitute the majority (Methar & Mukaddes, 2011; Howlin & Clements, 1995). For the case studies, narrowing of inclusion criteria might have resulted in only two studies being included
(Carrigan & Allez, 2016; Cook et al., 1993), potentially overlooking clinically useful information from remaining case descriptions. The wide range of studies included underlines the current lack of coherent and systematic assessment methodology in the field.

To date, there has been no group study on treatment of PTSD in this group, but case studies have reported examples of successfully adapting standard PTSD treatments including Cognitive Behavior Therapy (CBT) and Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (Barol & Seubert, 2010; Carrigan & Allez, 2016; Mevissen, Lievegoed & de Jongh, 2011; Mevissen, Lievegoed, Seubert, et al., 2011; Mevissen et al., 2012; Bakken et al., 2014). CBT shows promising results for treatment of anxiety disorders in general in individuals with ASD and good verbal skills (Weston, Hodgekins & Langdon, 2016; Lang, Regester, Lauderdale, Ashbaugh & Haring, 2010), and may be further adapted and combined with other interventions in individuals with ASD and ID (Moskowitz et al., 2017).

Abuse and other adverse events may lead to long-lasting psychological damage, affecting the lives of individuals with ASD and ID and their families for years (Valenti et al., 2012; Murphy et al., 2007; Rowsell et al., 2013; Howlin & Clements, 1995; O’Callaghan, Murphy & Clare, 2003). Murphy et al. (2007) reported participants having somewhat recovered in their daily life functioning years after abuse, while Rowsell et al. (2013) maintained that psychological functioning remained severely compromised in the same sample. There are also indications that bullying may be associated with increased risk of suicidal ideation in individuals with ASD, irrespective of intellectual functioning (Mayes, Gorman, Hillwig-Garcia & Syed, 2013). Individuals with ASD frequently show poor outcomes in adulthood (Howlin & Magiati, 2017), and improved identification and treatment of PTSD may be one aspect of improving mental health and quality of life for these individuals.
Limitations

The included studies involved varying approaches to assessment and reporting of PTSD symptoms and were challenging to compare beyond surface symptom descriptions. Several case studies reporting PTSD diagnoses did not report sufficient symptoms to substantiate this, and consequently, lists of reviewed symptoms are non-exhaustive. However, absence of symptoms from current reports is not necessarily due to symptoms being absent in this group, as current lack of knowledge may have contributed to symptoms being overlooked, misinterpreted, or simply not reported. None of the group studies focused specifically on PTSD in individuals with ASD and ID, even if all of them included individuals with ASD and ID. Data from these studies were not reported separately for individuals with ASD and ID and in only one study did this group constitute the majority. Findings regarding symptom expressions from these studies must therefore be interpreted with care in the context of the current review.

Conclusions

The current review shows that PTSD may be identified in individuals with ASD and ID. Symptoms involving alterations in arousal and negative alterations in thought and behavior seem to be more easily identified, while fewer studies reported symptoms of re-experiencing and avoidance. The latter seem more challenging to identify in this group, which is likely to be due to their intra-psychic nature and the group’s difficulties in understanding and communicating about their inner states.

Future studies on PTSD in individuals with ASD and ID would be recommended to employ a more systematic approach to the identification and report of PTSD symptoms, making them
comparable across studies and laying the groundwork necessary for future group studies. Comprehensive assessments involving systematic assessment tools, use of multiple informers and gathering data also from other sources is likely to improve the strength and generalizability of data. Expression of PTSD is likely to vary across the ID spectrum, and there is a need for future studies to differentiate between various levels of ID.
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main criteria</th>
<th>Number of subcriteria</th>
<th>Subcriteria needed for diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Exposure to actual or threatened death, serious injury, or sexual violence</td>
<td>4 (A1-A4)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Intrusion symptoms associated with the traumatic event(s), beginning after the traumatic event(s) occurred</td>
<td>5 (B1-B5)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Persistent avoidance of stimuli associated with the traumatic event(s), beginning after the traumatic event(s) occurred</td>
<td>2 (C1-C2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Negative alterations in cognitions and mood associated with the traumatic event(s), beginning or worsening after the traumatic event(s) occurred</td>
<td>7 (D1-D7)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Marked alterations in arousal and reactivity associated with the traumatic event(s), beginning or worsening after the traumatic event(s) occurred</td>
<td>6 (E1-E6)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Duration of the disturbance (Criteria B, C, D, and E) is more than one month.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. The disturbance causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., medication, alcohol) or another medical condition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. DSM 5 criteria groups for PTSD (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study Group</th>
<th>Age (mean)</th>
<th>Gender (% female)</th>
<th>PTSD assessment strategy</th>
<th>(A) Exposure</th>
<th>(B) Re-experiencing</th>
<th>(C) Avoidance</th>
<th>(D) Negativism</th>
<th>(E) Arousal</th>
<th>Not easily classifiable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammerman et al. 1989</td>
<td>150 multihandicapped children (34 with ASD)</td>
<td>3-19 (9.8)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>Clinical Assessment</td>
<td>-Various forms of abuse (A1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-Less likely to display stereotyped behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Bruin et al. 2007</td>
<td>94 Children with Pervasive Developmental Disorder – Not Otherwise Specified (unknown number with ID)</td>
<td>6-12 (8.5)</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children-IV, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised, Children’s Social Behavior Questionnaire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-Marked increase of stress-related behaviors -Increased reluctance to be separated from parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howlin &amp; Clements, 1995</td>
<td>12 children, adolescents, young adults with ASD (7 with ID)</td>
<td>9-23 (13.1)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Specially Developed Parent Interview</td>
<td>-Abuse in school setting (A1)</td>
<td>-Fears of going to school (B4)</td>
<td>-Resistance to going to school (C2)</td>
<td>-Mood disturbance (sudden swings, screaming, irritability) (D4) -General fear and phobias (D4) -Increase in problems related to eating (D5)</td>
<td>-Aggressive behavior (E1) -Temper tantrums (E1) -Self-injurious behaviors (E2) -Sleep disturbance (E6)</td>
<td>-Increase in restricted interest area -Increase in ritual behaviors, stereotypic movement, and motor mannerisms -Deterioration of nonverbal communication skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehtar &amp; Mukaddes, 2011</td>
<td>69 children and adolescents with ASD (50 with ID)</td>
<td>6-19 (11.7)</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia – Present Life Version, Aberrant Behavior Checklist, Trauma Information Form</td>
<td>-Being victim to accident/disaster, violence, physical abuse, sexual abuse (A1) -Witnessing accident/disaster, violence, physical abuse, sexual</td>
<td>-Reported, but not exemplified -Agitation and restlessness (B4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-Appetite disturbance (D5) -Regression in social interaction (D5/6) -Worsening in peer relationships (D5/6) -Regression in sharing with people (D6) -Apathy (D7)</td>
<td>-Increase in aggressiveness, angry outbursts (E1) -Increase in disruptive behavior (E1) -Self-harm behavior (E2) -Increased distractibility (E5) -Sleep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Sample Description</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Age (Mean)</td>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>Assessment Measures</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Behavioral Markers</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy et al., 2007</td>
<td>18 adults with severe ID (6 with ASD)</td>
<td>(30.8) 50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Interview, Symptoms of Abuse Interview, Mini-Psychiatric Assessment Schedule for Adults with Developmental Disability, Adaptive Behavior Scale</td>
<td>-Abuse (A1)</td>
<td>-Non-compliant behavior (D6)</td>
<td>-Regression in imitation skills -Regression/deterioration in verbal communication -Increased incontinence -Regression of previously acquired self-help skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowsell et al. 2013</td>
<td>18 adults with severe ID (6 with ASD)</td>
<td>(30.8) 50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Interview, Symptoms of Abuse Interview, Mini-Psychiatric Assessment Schedule for Adults with Developmental Disability, Adaptive Behavior Scale</td>
<td>-Abuse (A1)</td>
<td>-Recollections (B1) -Dreams (B2) -Flashbacks (B3) -Distress at cues (B4) -Physiological response to cues (B5)</td>
<td>-Loss of interest in activities (D5) -Avoiding people (D5/6) -Shortened future (D6) -Restricted affect (D7) -Irritable/angry (E1) -Self-harm (E2) -Checking behavior (E3) -Exaggerated startle (E4) -Difficulty falling/staying asleep (E6) -Inappropriate behavior -Need for help</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor &amp; Gotham, 2016</td>
<td>36 youth with ASD (10 with IQ below 70)</td>
<td>17-22 (18.7)</td>
<td>16,7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia – Present Life Version, Frequency of Life Events</td>
<td>-Various adverse events (A1, A2, A3)</td>
<td>-Earthquake (A1)</td>
<td>-Loss of daily living skill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valenti et al., 2012</td>
<td>Children and adolescents with</td>
<td>&lt;18 (N/A) Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales</td>
<td>-Earthquake (A1)</td>
<td>-Loss of skill in communication</td>
<td>-Loss of daily living skill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Characteristics and symptoms from group studies. Symptom descriptions are assigned to specific DSM 5 criteria for PTSD, signified by the letter of the symptom group and the number of the criterion within the group. The studies by Murphy et al. (2007) and Rowsell et al. (2013) report on data from an identical sample.
## Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Level of ID</th>
<th>PTSD assessment strategy</th>
<th>(A) Exposure</th>
<th>(B) Re-experiencing</th>
<th>(C) Avoidance</th>
<th>(D) Negativism</th>
<th>(E) Arousal</th>
<th>Not easily classified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barol &amp; Seubert, 2010</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>DSM IV symptom list, generic list of PTSD symptom</td>
<td>-Being ridiculed and made fun of by siblings and peers throughout school years (A1)</td>
<td>-Fear of not being heard or taken seriously (D2)</td>
<td>-Reactivity to being criticized or corrected (E1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td>DSM IV symptom list, generic list of PTSD symptom</td>
<td>-Mother’s death at age 12 (A3) -Father’s remarriage and divorce (A1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-Assumed feelings of helplessness by carers (D2/4)</td>
<td>-Angry outbursts (E1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td></td>
<td>DSM IV symptom list, generic list of PTSD symptom</td>
<td>-Peer acting out aggressively in class (A2) -Three eye operations (A1) -Multiple ear infections (A1)</td>
<td>-Fear of going to school (B4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-Aggressive outbursts (E1) -Kicking people (E1) -Hypervigilance (E3)</td>
<td>-Increased perseverative self-talk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Moderate /mild</td>
<td></td>
<td>DSM IV symptom list, generic list of PTSD symptom</td>
<td>-Father murdering patient’s brother and committing suicide (A3)</td>
<td>-Refusal to discuss, or even name the incident (C1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-Avoidance of social situations (D5/6) -Depressive symptoms annually around date of incident (D4)</td>
<td>-Screaming at peers he found annoying (E1) -Suicidal ideation annually around date of incident (E2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrigan &amp; Allez, 2016</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Revised Child Impact of Events Scale (CRIES-8)</td>
<td>-Violent sexual assault (A1)</td>
<td>-Regular re-experiences of the event - like “watching a video” (B1) -Frequent nightmares about what happened (B2)</td>
<td>-Had not told anyone of the assault for 3 years (C1)</td>
<td>-Believed “people are out to get me” (D2) -Harsh in blaming himself for what happened (D3)</td>
<td>-Anger outbursts (E1) -Frequent arguments with parents (E1) -Struggled to concentrate on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvill &amp; Marston, 2002</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>ICD-10 criteria, behavioral monitoring</td>
<td>-Past recurrent abuse (A1)</td>
<td>meeting attackers (C2)</td>
<td>-Rumination about what he could have done to prevent it (D3)</td>
<td>-Feelings of shame (D4)</td>
<td>television programmes or when reading books (E5)</td>
<td>-Sleep problems (E6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook et al., 1993</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>DSM-III-R criteria</td>
<td>-Physical abuse at school (A1)</td>
<td>-Increase in intrusive memories with associated anxiety and agitation at anniversary (B1)</td>
<td>-Fear of returning to school (B4)</td>
<td>-Agitation and anxiety in response to symbolic events such as getting onto the expressway that might have led back to the school (B5)</td>
<td>-Initially reluctant to discuss any school incidents (C1)</td>
<td>-Resisted returning to school (C2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King, 2011</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>-Physical, emotional and sexual assault by peers at summer camp (A1)</td>
<td>-Covering eyes and ears, running for the family room when company visited (C2)</td>
<td>-Panic attacks (D4)</td>
<td>-Complete withdrawal from interactions with others (D5/6)</td>
<td>-Aggressive outbursts (E1)</td>
<td>-Fire-setting (E1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Severity</td>
<td>DSM-IV-TR and DM-1D criteria</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mevissen, Lievegoed, &amp; de Jongh, 2011</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>DSM-IV-TR and DM-1D criteria</td>
<td>-Hearing voices (A1) -Experience of mother quarreling with friend (A1) -A “nasty man” coming to his house (A1) -Car fire in front of family home (A2) -Avoids sleeping at home where his mother lives together with her partner, the patient’s brother and little sister (C2) -Negative beliefs of “I am in danger” and “I can’t do anything” (D2) -Fears (D4)</td>
<td>-Compulsive behaviors -Obsession with fires -Difficulties distinguishing reality and fantasy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>DSM-IV-TR and DM-1D criteria</td>
<td>-Seeing a dead body (A1) -Hearing a family friend had been stabbed to death (A3) -Death by illness of family member (A3) -Disturbing thoughts about illness, death and knives (B4) -Increased symptoms after hearing of the death of Michael Jackson (B5) -Was often tearful (D4) -Personal hygiene deteriorated (D5) -Unpredictable, aggressive outbursts (E1) -Exaggerated startle response (E4) -Has a panic attack whenever she hears someone sneezing or coughing</td>
<td>-Compulsive behaviors -Frequent mood changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mevissen, Lievegoed, Seubert &amp; de Jongh, 2011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>DSM-IV-TR and DM-1D criteria</td>
<td>-Sexual abuse by two perpetrators (A1) -Restless (B4) -Exhibited unusual sexual behavior (B1) -Nightmares (B2) -Restless (B4)</td>
<td>-Aggressive outbursts (E1) -Sleep problems (E6) -Obsessive behaviors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mevissen et al., 2012</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>DSM-IV-TR and DM-1D criteria</td>
<td>-Sexual and physical abuse by group member for at least half a year (A1) -Refused to return to the group home (C2) -Slept sitting up, when asked to lie down, said “I choke” (C2) -Could not tolerate being touched (C2) -Generally anxious (D4) -Often in a bad mood (D4) -Tired and passive (D5) -Unpredictable, aggressive outbursts (E1) -Exaggerated startle response (E4)</td>
<td>-Odd posturing -Speech and communication skills deteriorated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Severity</td>
<td>DSM-III-R Criteria</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan, 1994</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>DSM-III-R criteria</td>
<td>Abrupt shrieking accompanied by drawing up of her legs and increased scratching at her legs and abdomen, in the presence of hot water running in a nearby sink. Episodes would also involve terrified, unfocused facial expression and lack of recognition of familiar staff (B1/3) - Defensive covering of her chest, lower legs, and genital area (B1) - Hypersensitivity to touch (C2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turk et al., 2005</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>DSM-IV and ICD-10 criteria, modified assessment</td>
<td>Initially talked about it persistently (B1) - Re-enacted the accident regularly in play (B1) - Wake nightly sweating and crying and saying “I’m scared” (B2) - Frequent nightmares (B2) - Became anxious about car travel (B4) - Once in the car he would constantly touch the door handles, saying “we’re going to crash” (B4) - Driving through the junction where the accident occurred led to his taking his seatbelt off, kicking the dashboard and grabbing the driver (B4) - Changed to disliking talking about it (C1) - Resisted getting in the car, slamming its doors and fighting his parents (C2) - More insecure in his abilities (D2) - Frequent self- scratching (E2) - Hypervigilance (E3) - Ongoing sleep disturbance (E6) - Developed enuresis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>