

1 **Temporal changes in cardiac troponin I are associated with risk of**
2 **cardiovascular events in the general population:**

3 **The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study**

4 Running head: Change in troponin I and cardiovascular risk

5 Magnus N Lyngbakken¹, Helge Røsjø¹, Oddgeir L Holmen², Håvard Dalen³, Kristian
6 Hveem², Torbjørn Omland¹

7 1 Division of Medicine, Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog, Norway and Center
8 for Heart Failure Research, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

9 2 HUNT Research Centre, Department of Public Health and General Practice,
10 Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Levanger, Norway

11 3 K.G. Jebsen Center for Exercise in Medicine, Department of Circulation and
12 Medical Imaging, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim,
13 Norway

14 **Address for correspondence:**

15 Torbjørn Omland MD, PhD, MPH

16 Professor of Medicine, University of Oslo

17 Division of Medicine, Akershus University Hospital, Postboks 1000, 1478 Lørenskog,
18 Norway

19 Tel: +47 40 10 70 50, Fax: +47 67 96 88 60, Email: torbjorn.omland@medisin.uio.no

20

21 **Keywords:** Biomarkers; Cardiovascular Disease; Epidemiology; Risk Prediction;

22 Prognosis; Troponin.

1 **Abbreviations:** hs-cTn, cardiac troponin measured with a high-sensitivity assay; MI,
2 myocardial infarction; HF, heart failure; hs-cTnT, cardiac troponin T measured with a
3 high-sensitivity assay; hs-cTnI, cardiac troponin I measured with a high-sensitivity
4 assay; HUNT Study, Nord-Trøndelag Health Study; LoD, limit of detection; eGFR,
5 estimated glomerular filtration rate; ICD, International Statistical Classification of
6 Diseases; IQR, interquartile range; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; BMI, body mass
7 index; CRP, C-reactive protein; NRI, net reclassification index; IDI, integrated
8 discrimination improvement; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval

1 **Abstract**

2 *Background:* Cardiac troponins are associated with cardiovascular risk in the general
3 population, but whether temporal changes in cardiac troponin I provide independent
4 prognostic information remains uncertain. Using a large community based cohort with
5 follow-up to the present day, we aimed to investigate the associations between
6 temporal changes in cardiac troponin and cardiovascular events.

7 *Methods:* We measured cardiac troponin I with a high-sensitivity assay (hs-cTnI) in
8 4805 subjects attending both the second (HUNT 2, 1995-97) and third wave (HUNT
9 3, 2006-2008) of the prospective observational Nord-Trøndelag Health (HUNT)
10 Study. We constructed statistical models with both relative and absolute changes of
11 hs-cTnI from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3. A composite endpoint of first admission for MI or
12 HF, or cardiovascular death was generated.

13 *Results:* Participants with relative decrease in hs-cTnI were more frequently younger
14 and female, and had lower blood pressure and body mass index. Participants with
15 relative increase in hs-cTnI more frequently were older and male, with higher systolic
16 blood pressure. The adjusted hazard ratio (HR) for relative increase in hs-cTnI was
17 1.68 (95% CI 1.16-2.42) and the adjusted HR for relative decrease was 1.19 (95% CI
18 0.84-1.68). Absolute increases in hs-cTnI exhibited similar prognostic properties as
19 relative increases in hs-cTnI. The most recent measurement of hs-cTnI outperformed
20 the change variables in discrimination and reclassification models.

21 *Conclusions:* Both relative and absolute increases in hs-cTnI are independently
22 associated with cardiovascular risk. For refinement of risk prediction models, the
23 most recent measurement of hs-cTnI should be preferred in clinical practice.

1 **Introduction**

2 Measurement of cardiac troponin with a high-sensitivity assay (hs-cTn) is essential in
3 the diagnosis of acute coronary syndromes, as concentrations of hs-cTn are
4 considered sensitive and specific indicators of myocardial injury (1). In recent years,
5 hs-cTn measured in the general population has proven to be strongly associated with
6 risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events (2). This risk may be ameliorated by
7 interventions aimed at reducing cardiovascular risk, such as statin therapy, where
8 concomitant reductions in risk and concentrations of hs-cTn have been demonstrated
9 (3). Despite being interchangeable in the diagnosis of myocardial infarction (MI), in
10 the chronic setting hs-cTnT and hs-cTnI are poorly correlated, and are differentially
11 influenced by common cardiovascular risk factors (4). In addition, hs-cTnT exhibits a
12 circadian variation, whereas hs-cTnI remains stable throughout the day and night (5).
13 With regard to prognosis, there are differences between hs-cTnT and hs-cTnI, as hs-
14 cTnT may be superior in predicting cardiovascular death and heart failure (HF) (6),
15 while the associations with incident MI appear stronger for hs-cTnI (7).

16 Sampling of hs-cTn at multiple time points may have the potential to refine
17 biomarker based risk estimation, and earlier studies have documented associations
18 between temporal increases in hs-cTnT (8) and hs-cTnI (9) and increased risk of
19 cardiovascular events. Whether these associations hold true also in a contemporary
20 cohort of community dwellers with follow-up close to the present day is uncertain.
21 Accordingly, using a large cohort of subjects recruited from the general population
22 with measurements of hs-cTnI at two time points 10 years apart, we investigated the
23 impact of temporal changes in hs-cTnI on the risk of incident HF, MI, and
24 cardiovascular death, using indices of relative and absolute changes in hs-cTnI.

- 1 Additionally, we identified determinants of temporal changes in hs-cTnl in a general
- 2 population.

1 **Methods**

2 *Study overview*

3 The Nord-Trøndelag Health (HUNT) Study is the largest population-based cohort in
4 Norway, with more than 120,000 participants from the Nord-Trøndelag county. Three
5 waves have been conducted so far: HUNT 1 (1984-1986), HUNT 2 (1995-1997) and
6 HUNT 3 (2006-2008) (10). The HUNT Study was approved by the Regional
7 Committee for Medical Research Ethics and the Norwegian Data Inspectorate Board
8 and all participants provided informed written consent.

9

10 *Participants*

11 In the current investigation, 5338 study subjects from four selected municipalities,
12 with serum samples available for analyses from both HUNT 2 and HUNT 3, were
13 included. Participants with known prior cardiovascular disease were excluded,
14 leaving a total of 4805 participants for final analyses. Individual cardiovascular risk
15 was estimated using the Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation (11) and the
16 Framingham risk score for Cardiovascular Disease (12).

17

18 *Blood sampling procedures and biochemical assays*

19 Non-fasting venous blood samples were collected at HUNT 2 and 3, centrifuged at
20 room temperature and frozen at -80°C. Serum samples from HUNT 2 underwent a
21 thaw-freeze cycle in 2008 and were later stored at -20°C. For hs-cTnI analyses,
22 serum samples were shipped on dry ice to Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog,
23 Norway. hs-cTnI was measured on the Architect i2000SR using an assay from
24 Abbott Diagnostics, ARCHITECT STAT High Sensitive Troponin, the samples from
25 HUNT 2 in 2014 and the samples from HUNT 3 in 2015. The same analyzer was

1 used at both time points, but with different reagent lots. A detailed description of the
2 assay methods has recently been published elsewhere (13). The limit of detection
3 (LoD) for this assay is reported to be 1.2 ng/L (14). Concentrations below the LoD
4 were assigned a value of 0.6 ng/L. Concentrations of hs-cTnI were detectable in
5 96.1% of subjects in HUNT 2 and in 72.2% of subjects in HUNT 3. Glomerular
6 filtration rate was estimated (eGFR) using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology
7 Collaboration equation (15).

8

9 *Outcomes*

10 Data on cardiovascular death (International Statistical Classification of Diseases
11 [ICD], 9th Revision or 10th Revision codes 390–459 or I00–I99) were obtained from
12 the Cause of Death Registry of Statistics Norway. Data on incident acute MI (ICD,
13 9th Revision or 10th Revision codes 410 or I21-I22) and admission for HF (codes
14 428 or I50) were obtained from hospital records; only hospitalizations where this
15 diagnosis was listed as the primary diagnosis were included. All events were
16 obtained through December 31, 2016.

17

18 *Statistical Methods*

19 Baseline data are reported as absolute numbers (proportion) or median (interquartile
20 range [IQR]) unless otherwise stated. Continuous variables were analyzed using the
21 Mann-Whitney U test, and categorical variables with the Fisher exact test.

22 Correlations were assessed by Spearman rank correlation. Change in hs-cTnI was
23 modeled as relative change of < 50%, increase of \geq 50%, or decrease of \geq 50% from
24 concentrations at HUNT 2 to HUNT 3, as previously suggested (8, 16). We also
25 examined change in hs-cTnI as (1) incident elevation above concentrations as

1 previously reported (17) (progression from $< 4.0\text{ng/L}$ [♀] and $< 6.0\text{ng/L}$ [♂] at HUNT 2
2 to $\geq 4.0\text{ng/L}$ [♀] and $\geq 6.0\text{ng/L}$ [♂] at HUNT 3) and (2) incident elevation above the
3 99th centile (progression from $< 16.0\text{ng/L}$ [♀] and $< 34.0\text{ng/L}$ [♂] at HUNT 2 to
4 $\geq 16.0\text{ng/L}$ [♀] and $\geq 34.0\text{ng/L}$ [♂] at HUNT 3). Separate models were also
5 constructed for single-time point elevation in hs-cTnI at HUNT 3 using the same
6 thresholds. Concentrations of hs-cTnI were transformed using the natural logarithm
7 prior to use as a single covariate in all regression models.

8 A multinomial regression model was utilized to assess determinants of relative
9 changes in hs-cTnI from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3. Adjustment was made for age and sex,
10 as well as total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, history of
11 hypertension and diabetes mellitus, current smoking, body mass index (BMI), eGFR,
12 C-reactive protein (CRP), and hs-cTnI measured at HUNT 2.

13 For prognostic analyses, a composite endpoint of time to first event of
14 admission for MI or HF, or cardiovascular death was generated, and participants
15 were censored at the time of the composite endpoint. Remaining participants were
16 censored at the time of death of other causes, or for survivors, on December 31,
17 2016. Cox proportional hazards regression models were generated to test the
18 relationship between changes in hs-cTnI and time to events. Cumulative incidence
19 plots were generated and the associations between groups according to changes in
20 hs-cTnI and the composite endpoint were compared by the log-rank test. The
21 survival models were adjusted for sex and age, as well total cholesterol and HDL
22 cholesterol, history of hypertension and diabetes mellitus, current smoking, BMI,
23 eGFR and CRP. Models with relative change in hs-cTnI were additionally adjusted
24 for hs-cTnI measured at HUNT 3. Subjects with missing covariate data were
25 excluded from the multivariable regression analyses. The incremental value of

1 adding absolute and relative changes in hs-cTnI to the Systematic COronary Risk
2 Evaluation risk score and the Framingham risk score for Cardiovascular Disease was
3 examined by calculating the category-free net reclassification index (NRI) and
4 integrated discrimination improvement (IDI) (18) by the R package “PredictABEL”
5 (19). Prognostic accuracy was assessed using *c*-statistics derived from the survival
6 models and compared by the R package “survCOMP” (20, 21). Statistical
7 significance was assumed at a *P* value <0.05. The following programs were used to
8 conduct our statistical analysis: IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25 (IBM
9 Corporation), STATA 15 (StataCorp LP), and R 3.4.3 (R Foundation for Statistical
10 Computing).

1 **Results**

2 *Determinants of changes in cardiac troponin I*

3 Baseline characteristics at HUNT 3 according to relative changes in hs-cTnI are
4 outlined in **Table 1**. Study participants with relative decreases in hs-cTnI were more
5 frequently younger and female, and had lower blood pressure and BMI. Participants
6 with relative increases in hs-cTnI were more frequently older and male, with higher
7 systolic blood pressure. Based on variables from HUNT 2, higher age, male sex, and
8 lower total cholesterol and hs-cTnI were independent determinants of relative
9 increase in hs-cTnI, whereas female sex, lower age, lower BMI, and higher total
10 cholesterol and hs-cTnI were determinants of relative decrease in hs-cTnI (**Table 2**).
11 Temporal changes in hs-cTnI were poorly correlated with temporal changes in BMI,
12 blood pressure, blood cholesterol, renal function and CRP (online **Supplemental**
13 **Table 1**). Considering the strong influence of sex and age on cardiac troponin, we
14 assessed a possible non-linear association of age with temporal increase in hs-cTnI.
15 For both sexes, risk of a relative increase in hs-cTnI was not present until ages were
16 in the mid-forties, after which the risk increased steeply (**Figure 1**).

17

18 *Associations of cardiac troponin I with incident cardiovascular disease*

19 After a median follow-up time of 3503 (3248-3580) days, 249 events for the
20 composite endpoint were registered. Concentrations of hs-cTnI at HUNT 3 were
21 higher in participants experiencing the composite endpoint (3.9 [2.4-6.6] ng/L vs 1.7
22 [0.6-2.8] ng/L; $P < 0.001$), and were associated with inferior prognosis in both crude
23 (hazard ratio [HR] 2.06, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.88-2.26) and adjusted
24 analysis (HR 1.46, 95% CI 1.28-1.67).

25

1 *Associations of temporal changes in cardiac troponin I with incident cardiovascular*
2 *disease*

3 The incidence rates per 1000 person years were higher in study participants
4 exhibiting relative increases in hs-cTnI from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3 (**Table 3**). **Figure 2**
5 demonstrates Kaplan-Meier survival curves according to changes in hs-cTnI.
6 Participants with relative increases in hs-cTnI had higher incidence rates compared
7 to participants with no change (P by log-rank test <0.001), and participants with
8 decreasing hs-cTnI had lower incidence rates compared to participants with no
9 change ($P = 0.037$) and participants with increasing hs-cTnI ($P <0.001$). Study
10 participants progressing above the two predefined thresholds of hs-cTnI also had
11 higher incidence rates compared to participants with unchanged hs-cTnI ($P <0.001$
12 for both).

13
14 There was a significant association between both relative increase (HR 2.65, 95% CI
15 1.96-3.60) and decrease (HR 0.44, 95% CI 0.32-0.60) in hs-cTnI and cardiovascular
16 death or admission for MI or HF in crude analyses. In adjusted analyses, the
17 protective effect associated with decreasing hs-cTnI was attenuated (HR 1.19, 95%
18 CI 0.84-1.68). The risk associated with increasing hs-cTnI, however, remained
19 significant in the adjusted models (HR 1.68, 95% CI 1.16-2.42). Both incident
20 elevation (HR 1.77, 95% CI 1.16-2.70) and single-time point elevation (HR 1.71, 95%
21 CI 1.28-2.30) of hs-cTnI ≥ 4.0 ng/L for women and ≥ 6.0 ng/L for men, and incident
22 elevation (HR 3.01, 95% CI 1.59-5.71) and single-time point elevation (HR 2.32, 95%
23 CI 1.26-4.27) of hs-cTnI ≥ 16.0 ng/L for women and ≥ 34.0 ng/L for men were
24 associated with increased risk of events (**Table 3**).

25

1 Discrimination and reclassification models assessing the incremental prognostic
2 value of hs-cTnI are detailed in **Table 4**. The addition of hs-cTnI at HUNT 3 to the
3 Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation risk score resulted in significant improvement
4 in NRI and IDI. Adding relative change in hs-cTnI ($\geq 50\%$ increase in hs-cTnI vs rest)
5 to this model did not improve model prediction. The addition of indices of absolute
6 increases in hs-cTnI to the Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation risk score resulted
7 in significant improvements in NRI (0.293 [0.168-0.418]), but no improvements in IDI
8 (0.0067 [-0.0001-0.0135]) or c-statistics (0.834 [0.806-0.861] vs. 0.827 [0.799-0.855];
9 $P=0.05$). The addition of single-time point elevation of hs-cTnI at HUNT 3 provided
10 improvements in reclassification models superior to those of indices of absolute
11 increases. The results from analyses using the Framingham risk score for
12 Cardiovascular Disease as reference model were comparable to those using the
13 Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation (online **Supplemental Table 2**).

14

15 *Associations of sex and temporal changes in cardiac troponin I*

16 For both men and women, there were strong associations between relative changes
17 in hs-cTnI from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3 and cardiovascular risk (**Table 3**). As for the
18 entire cohort, the associations with decreasing hs-cTnI were attenuated for both
19 sexes in adjusted models. Additionally, for women, the association with increasing
20 hs-cTnI was attenuated in adjusted models. We did however not observe interactions
21 between sex and relative changes in hs-cTnI (unadjusted $P_{\text{interaction}}=0.70$, adjusted
22 $P_{\text{interaction}}=0.53$).

23

24 In the discrimination and reclassification models, the results were fairly similar as for
25 the entire cohort (**Table 4**). The addition of hs-cTnI from HUNT 3 to the Systematic

1 COronary Risk Evaluation risk score improved NRI and IDI for both sexes, but was
2 stronger for women. The addition of relative change in hs-cTnl ($\geq 50\%$ increase in hs-
3 cTnl vs rest) was not found to improve prediction models for either sex.

4

1 **Discussion**

2 In a large contemporary population-based cohort with measurements of biomarkers
3 at two time points 10 years apart, both relative and absolute increases in hs-cTnI
4 were associated with increased risk of cardiovascular death and admission for MI
5 and HF. Absolute increases of hs-cTnI above predetermined thresholds strongly
6 improved discrimination and reclassification models. The improvements were
7 however inferior to the improvements provided by the most recent single-time point
8 elevation in hs-cTnI. Sex and age, as well as blood cholesterol, were strong
9 determinants of relative changes in hs-cTnI.

10

11 *Determinants of temporal changes in cardiac troponin*

12 Both age and sex are strongly associated with hs-cTnI (22) and risk of incident
13 cardiovascular disease (23, 24). In the current study, we additionally have
14 demonstrated that male sex and higher age are associated with risk of significant
15 *increases* in hs-cTnI over time. In contrast, younger females were at lower risk of
16 significantly increasing concentrations of hs-cTnI, all independently of baseline
17 concentrations of hs-cTnI. The risk of increasing concentrations of hs-cTnI was not
18 evident until the fifth decade, consistent with midlife increases in cardiovascular risk.
19 Changes in other cardiovascular risk factors such as BMI, blood pressure and blood
20 cholesterol were poorly correlated with changes in hs-cTnI, and concomitant change
21 in conventional risk factors cannot fully explain the relative changes in hs-cTnI
22 observed in the current study.

23 Concentrations of total cholesterol were positively associated with risk of
24 decreasing concentrations of hs-cTnI, and HDL cholesterol exhibited an inverse
25 association. Total cholesterol is an independent risk factor for coronary heart

1 disease, whereas HDL cholesterol is assumed to have cardioprotective properties
2 (25). Statin therapy may be indicated in individuals with high total cholesterol, but the
3 recommendation is weaker if concomitant concentrations of HDL cholesterol are high
4 (26). Since HUNT 2, the use of statins has grown exponentially in Europe, and
5 Norway is one of the countries with the highest prevalence of statin prescription in
6 the world (27). In 2016, Ford et al. (3) reported a 13% reduction in hs-cTnI measured
7 with a high-sensitivity assay following treatment with statins compared with placebo.
8 Even though we lack data on lipid modifying therapy in our cohort, it is not
9 unreasonable to speculate that study participants with high concentrations of total
10 cholesterol at baseline more frequently were prescribed a statin and consequently
11 would experience reductions in hs-cTnI on follow-up due to this very phenomenon.

12

13 *Temporal changes in cardiac troponins and cardiovascular risk*

14 Concentrations of cardiac troponin are strongly associated with an adverse
15 prognosis, both in subjects with established cardiovascular disease (6, 28) and in
16 previously healthy subjects recruited from the general population (2). Two previous
17 studies investigating the temporal changes of cardiac troponin in presumably healthy
18 individuals merit discussion in relation to the current study. Using data from the ARIC
19 Study, McEvoy et al. (8) examined the impact of six-year change in hs-cTnT on the
20 risk of incident coronary heart disease, HF and cardiovascular death. Temporal
21 changes in hs-cTnT were associated with clinical end points, and they found
22 significant improvements in c-statistics and NRI for HF and cardiovascular death
23 when implementing concentrations of hs-cTnT measured at two time points. More
24 recently, Hughes et al. (9) examined the association of change in hs-cTnI with
25 incident cardiovascular disease in 3875 Danish community dwellers. They found

1 significant associations between changes in hs-cTnI and risk of fatal and nonfatal
2 cardiovascular disease, but change in hs-cTnI did not improve risk prediction models
3 compared to the most recent single measurement of hs-cTnI. The results of the
4 current study are principally in line with the aforementioned, but three important
5 differences warrant mention.

6 First, evidence is currently amassing for both analytical and biological differences of
7 hs-cTnT and hs-cTnI. Especially concerning for analyses of cardiac troponin
8 concentrations close to the limit of detection is the circadian variation evident for hs-
9 cTnT (5). Analyses focusing on relative changes in very low concentrations could
10 easily be affected by such bias, in general making measurement of hs-cTnI
11 preferable to hs-cTnT measurement in this setting.

12 Second, for both previous studies, follow-up of study participants started in the mid-
13 nineties through 2009-2010. Our cohort was followed from 2006-2008 through 2016,
14 and given the changes in population risk profile and spectrum of cardiovascular
15 disease in the last twenty years (29), differences in study populations should be
16 expected. The absolute number of events were lower in our study, more accurately
17 reflecting contemporary incidence of cardiovascular morbidity and death in the
18 general population.

19 Third, we firmly believe that a category-based approach is the most appropriate in
20 the evaluation of change in cardiac troponin, despite assertions that more sensitive
21 statistical models may be derived by using a continuous approach. For hs-cTnI and
22 even more so for hs-cTnT (30), the biological and analytical variation is high and the
23 use of a continuous approach leaves the question of whether actual change in
24 biomarker concentrations has occurred.

25

1 *Discrimination and reclassification*

2 The incremental value of relative increases in hs-cTnI to established risk models and
3 single-time point measurements of hs-cTnI was limited. Absolute increases in hs-
4 cTnI surpassing thresholds within the reference interval ($\geq 4.0\text{ng/L}$ for women and \geq
5 6.0ng/L for men), on the other hand, provided strong improvements in NRI, as well as
6 weaker improvements in IDI. The improvements provided by single-time point
7 elevations in hs-cTnI were superior to those provided by delta values obtained from
8 serial measurements. Using the most recent measurement of hs-cTnI in such a
9 dichotomized manner, accordingly, may be preferred when predicting risk of incident
10 cardiovascular disease. Nevertheless, considering the pronounced cardiovascular
11 risk associated with cardiac troponin, we believe that an increase in cardiac troponin
12 on serial sampling should merit increased vigilance with regard to investigation and
13 possible preventive measures. Our data do not enable us to estimate the optimal
14 interval for serial testing.

15

16 *The impact of sex*

17 Sex is one of the strongest determinants of cardiovascular risk and men generally
18 develop cardiovascular disease a decade before their female counterparts. After
19 menopause and in the later decades, the cardiovascular risk differences attributable
20 to sex seem to diminish (31, 32). Cardiac troponins are also affected by sex, and
21 women exhibit lower concentrations than men for both hs-cTnT and hs-cTnI. The
22 relative risk associated with cardiac troponins, however, appears stronger in women,
23 especially for prediction of incident MI (33) and cardiovascular death (13) in
24 presumably healthy subjects recruited from the general population.

1 Both absolute and relative increases in hs-cTnI were associated with unfavorable
2 outcomes in the current study. For absolute changes, we used sex specific cut-offs
3 as previously established. In the models constructed on relative changes in hs-cTnI,
4 the association with risk was attenuated for women. These models were also
5 adjusted for baseline hs-cTnI. As the prognostic impact of single-time point
6 measurements of hs-cTnI is significantly stronger in women (13, 33), it is not entirely
7 surprising that this adjustment would attenuate the association with relative changes
8 in hs-cTnI. Considering the weaker association with single-time point measurements
9 of hs-cTnI in men, it is possible that men may benefit to a greater extent from serial
10 measurements with calculation of delta values of cardiac troponins compared to
11 women. However, given that the prognostic value of the most recent measurement
12 tended to be stronger in women, the recommended frequency of measurement
13 should probably be the same for both sexes.

14

15 *Strengths and limitations*

16 The current study has its strengths and limitations. The analyses are based on a
17 substantial sample from a contemporary prospective cohort with a relatively large
18 number of clinical end points assessed up to the current date. Concentrations of
19 hs-cTnI were additionally measured with one of the most sensitive assays currently
20 available. Observational bias cannot be excluded, as clinical end points were based
21 on diagnosis codes from hospital records and not from a study end point adjudication
22 committee. The lack of data on medication is an obvious limitation, especially
23 considering the impact of lipid lowering agents on concentrations of cardiac
24 troponins. Cardiac imaging data examining longitudinal changes in cardiac structure
25 and function could have provided additional mechanistic insights to temporal

1 changes in hs-cTnI, as left ventricular mass strongly influences concentrations of
2 cardiac troponin (34). Although the assay used was one of the most sensitive on the
3 market, the analytical precision was not as good in the low as it was in the medium to
4 high portions of the reference interval. This is also reflected in the different
5 proportions of subjects with detectable concentrations of hs-cTnI in HUNT 2 and
6 HUNT 3. Variability between reagent lots for hs-cTnI is most likely the cause of this
7 discrepancy (35). Detection of an increase in concentrations is accordingly likely to
8 be more robust and less influenced by noise, than detection of a reduction. This may
9 have contributed to the attenuation of the association between reductions in hs-cTnI
10 concentrations and outcome. Finally, given the observational nature of the study, we
11 cannot rule out residual confounding influencing our results.

12

13 **Conclusions**

14 In a large contemporary cohort recruited from the general population, temporal
15 increases in hs-cTnI were associated with increased risk of cardiovascular death and
16 admission for MI and HF. For refinement of clinical risk prediction models, the most
17 recent measurement of hs-cTnI was found to outperform serial measurements.
18 Therefore, single-time point measurement should be preferred in clinical practice.

19

20 **Acknowledgments**

21 The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (The HUNT Study) is a collaboration between
22 HUNT Research Centre (Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, NTNU,
23 Norwegian University of Science and Technology), Nord-Trøndelag County Council,
24 Central Norway Regional Health Authority, and the Norwegian Institute of Public
25 Health.

1 **References**

- 2 1. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth
3 universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:237-69.
- 4 2. Willeit P, Welsh P, Evans JDW, Tschiderer L, Boachie C, Jukema JW, et al. High-
5 sensitivity cardiac troponin concentration and risk of first-ever cardiovascular
6 outcomes in 154,052 participants. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;70:558-68.
- 7 3. Ford I, Shah AS, Zhang R, McAllister DA, Strachan FE, Caslake M, et al. High-
8 sensitivity cardiac troponin, statin therapy, and risk of coronary heart disease. *J Am*
9 *Coll Cardiol* 2016;68:2719-28.
- 10 4. Welsh P, Preiss D, Shah ASV, McAllister D, Briggs A, Boachie C, et al.
11 Comparison between high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T and cardiac troponin I in a
12 large general population cohort. *Clin Chem* 2018;64:1607-16.
- 13 5. Klinkenberg LJ, Wildi K, van der Linden N, Kouw IW, Niens M, Twerenbold R, et
14 al. Diurnal rhythm of cardiac troponin: Consequences for the diagnosis of acute
15 myocardial infarction. *Clin Chem* 2016;62:1602-11.
- 16 6. Omland T, de Lemos JA, Sabatine MS, Christophi CA, Rice MM, Jablonski KA, et
17 al. A sensitive cardiac troponin T assay in stable coronary artery disease. *N Engl J*
18 *Med* 2009;361:2538-47.
- 19 7. Omland T, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD, de Lemos JA, Rosjo H, Saltyte Benth J, et al.
20 Prognostic value of cardiac troponin I measured with a highly sensitive assay in
21 patients with stable coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;61:1240-9.
- 22 8. McEvoy JW, Chen Y, Ndumele CE, Solomon SD, Nambi V, Ballantyne CM, et al.
23 Six-year change in high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T and risk of subsequent
24 coronary heart disease, heart failure, and death. *JAMA Cardiol* 2016;1:519-28.

- 1 9. Hughes MF, Ojeda F, Saarela O, Jorgensen T, Zeller T, Palosaari T, et al.
2 Association of repeatedly measured high-sensitivity-assayed troponin I with
3 cardiovascular disease events in a general population from the
4 MORGAM/BiomarCaRE Study. *Clin Chem* 2017;63:334-42.
- 5 10. Krokstad S, Langhammer A, Hveem K, Holmen TL, Midthjell K, Stene TR, et al.
6 Cohort profile: The HUNT study, Norway. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;42:968-77.
- 7 11. Conroy RM, Pyorala K, Fitzgerald AP, Sans S, Menotti A, De Backer G, et al.
8 Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in europe: The SCORE
9 project. *Eur Heart J* 2003;24:987-1003.
- 10 12. D'Agostino Sr. RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, Massaro JM,
11 Kannel WB. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: The
12 Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2008;117:743-53.
- 13 13. Omland T, de Lemos JA, Holmen OL, Dalen H, Benth JS, Nygard S, et al. Impact
14 of sex on the prognostic value of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I in the general
15 population: The HUNT study. *Clin Chem* 2015;61:646-56.
- 16 14. Apple FS, Collinson PO, IFCC Task Force on Clinical Applications of Cardiac
17 Biomarkers. Analytical characteristics of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays. *Clin*
18 *Chem* 2012;58:54-61.
- 19 15. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF, 3rd, Feldman HI, et al.
20 A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;150:604-
21 12.
- 22 16. deFilippi CR, de Lemos JA, Christenson RH, Gottdiener JS, Kop WJ, Zhan M,
23 Seliger SL. Association of serial measures of cardiac troponin T using a sensitive
24 assay with incident heart failure and cardiovascular mortality in older adults. *JAMA*
25 2010;304:2494-502.

- 1 17. Sigurdardottir FD, Lyngbakken MN, Holmen OL, Dalen H, Hveem K, Rosjo H,
2 Omland T. Relative prognostic value of cardiac troponin I and C-reactive protein in
3 the general population (from the Nord-Trondelag Health [HUNT] Study). *Am J Cardiol*
4 2018;121:949-55.
- 5 18. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB, Sr., Steyerberg EW. Extensions of net
6 reclassification improvement calculations to measure usefulness of new biomarkers.
7 *Stat Med* 2011;30:11-21.
- 8 19. Kundu S, Aulchenko YS, van Duijn CM, Janssens AC. PredictABEL: An R
9 package for the assessment of risk prediction models. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2011;26:261-
10 4.
- 11 20. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB. Overall C as a measure of discrimination in survival
12 analysis: Model specific population value and confidence interval estimation. *Stat*
13 *Med* 2004;23:2109-23.
- 14 21. Schroder MS, Culhane AC, Quackenbush J, Haibe-Kains B. Survcomp: An
15 R/Bioconductor package for performance assessment and comparison of survival
16 models. *Bioinformatics* 2011;27:3206-8.
- 17 22. Gore MO, Seliger SL, Defilippi CR, Nambi V, Christenson RH, Hashim IA, et al.
18 Age- and sex-dependent upper reference limits for the high-sensitivity cardiac
19 troponin T assay. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;63:1441-8.
- 20 23. Lloyd-Jones DM, Larson MG, Beiser A, Levy D. Lifetime risk of developing
21 coronary heart disease. *Lancet* 1999;353:89-92.
- 22 24. Savji N, Rockman CB, Skolnick AH, Guo Y, Adelman MA, Riles T, Berger JS.
23 Association between advanced age and vascular disease in different arterial
24 territories: A population database of over 3.6 million subjects. *J Am Coll Cardiol*
25 2013;61:1736-43.

- 1 25. Peters SA, Singhateh Y, Mackay D, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Total cholesterol
2 as a risk factor for coronary heart disease and stroke in women compared with men:
3 A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Atherosclerosis* 2016;248:123-31.
- 4 26. Ray KK, Kastelein JJ, Boekholdt SM, Nicholls SJ, Khaw KT, Ballantyne CM, et al.
5 The ACC/AHA 2013 Guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce
6 atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in adults: The good the bad and the
7 uncertain: A comparison with ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of
8 dyslipidaemias 2011. *Eur Heart J* 2014;35:960-8.
- 9 27. Walley T, Folino-Gallo P, Schwabe U, van Ganse E, EuroMedStat group.
10 Variations and increase in use of statins across europe: Data from administrative
11 databases. *BMJ* 2004;328:385-6.
- 12 28. Samman Tahhan A, Sandesara P, Hayek SS, Hammadah M, Alkhoder A, Kelli
13 HM, et al. High-sensitivity troponin I levels and coronary artery disease severity,
14 progression, and long-term outcomes. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2018;7:e007914.
- 15 29. Roth GA, Johnson C, Abajobir A, Abd-Allah F, Abera SF, Abyu G, et al. Global,
16 regional, and national burden of cardiovascular diseases for 10 causes, 1990 to
17 2015. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;70:1-25.
- 18 30. Vasile VC, Saenger AK, Kroning JM, Jaffe AS. Biological and analytical variability
19 of a novel high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T assay. *Clin Chem* 2010;56:1086-90.
- 20 31. Lerner DJ, Kannel WB. Patterns of coronary heart disease morbidity and
21 mortality in the sexes: A 26-year follow-up of the Framingham population. *Am Heart J*
22 1986;111:383-90.
- 23 32. Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, Arnett DK, Blaha MJ, Cushman M, et al.
24 Heart disease and stroke statistics--2015 update: A report from the American Heart
25 Association. *Circulation* 2015;131:e29-322.

- 1 33. Lyngbakken MN, Rosjo H, Holmen OL, Nygard S, Dalen H, Hveem K, Omland T.
2 Gender, high-sensitivity troponin I, and the risk of cardiovascular events (from the
3 Nord-Trondelag Health Study). *Am J Cardiol* 2016;118:816-21.
- 4 34. de Lemos JA, Drazner MH, Omland T, Ayers CR, Khera A, Rohatgi A, et al.
5 Association of troponin T detected with a highly sensitive assay and cardiac structure
6 and mortality risk in the general population. *JAMA* 2010;304:2503-12.
- 7 35. Kavsak PA, Worster A, Oliver R, Clark L, Parry D, Randell E, et al. Variability
8 between reagent lots for high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I may affect performance of
9 early rule out strategies. *Can J Cardiol* 2018;34:209.e5-e6.

Text tables

Table 1. Baseline characteristics at HUNT 3 according to relative change in cardiac troponin I from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3

| | Change in cardiac troponin I | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| | ≥50% decrease | | <50% change | | ≥50% increase | |
| | n | Value | n | Value | n | Value |
| Female sex, n (%) | 1991 | 1241 (62.3) ^c | 2396 | 1293 (54.0) | 418 | 189 (45.2) ^b |
| Age, years | 1991 | 51.6 (43.9-60.4) ^c | 2396 | 59.5 (49.9-68.5) | 418 | 61.5 (50.7-74.2) ^b |
| Current smoker, n (%) | 1955 | 370 (18.9) ^b | 2340 | 368 (15.7) | 404 | 49 (12.1) ^a |
| Alcohol consumption, units/2 weeks | 1206 | 3 (0-6) | 1377 | 3 (0-7) | 248 | 3 (0-8) |
| Body weight, kg | 1989 | 77.4 (67.0-88.1) ^c | 2387 | 78.8 (69.5-89.0) | 411 | 80.1 (69.2-87.9) |
| BMI, kg/m ² | 1989 | 26.4 (23.9-29.4) ^c | 2386 | 27.0 (24.6-29.8) | 411 | 26.6 (24.6-29.4) |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg | 1990 | 126 (117-137) ^c | 2392 | 136 (124-149) | 417 | 139 (124-155) ^a |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg | 1990 | 73 (66-80) ^c | 2392 | 76 (69-83) | 417 | 75 (68-84) |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 1990 | 622 (31.3) ^c | 2392 | 1251 (52.3) | 417 | 234 (56.1) |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 1991 | 66 (3.3) | 2396 | 100 (4.2) | 418 | 27 (6.5) ^a |
| Glucose nonfasting, mg/dL ^d | 1973 | 97 (88-106) ^c | 2379 | 97 (90-110) | 413 | 99 (90-112) |
| Triglycerides nonfasting, mg/dL ^d | 1991 | 124 (89-186) ^b | 2395 | 133 (89-186) | 418 | 124 (89-177) ^b |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL ^d | 1973 | 220 (193-244) ^c | 2379 | 224 (197-255) | 412 | 217 (190-244) ^c |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL ^d | 1973 | 50 (43-62) ^b | 2379 | 50 (43-62) | 412 | 54 (43-62) |
| eGFR, ml/min/1.73m ² | 1991 | 83.8 (74.1-94.7) ^c | 2395 | 77.7 (66.8-89.5) | 418 | 75.7 (64.7-88.1) ^a |
| CRP, mg/L | 1991 | 1.1 (0.5-2.5) ^c | 2395 | 1.4 (0.7-2.7) | 418 | 1.6 (0.8-3.0) ^a |
| Cardiac troponin I (HUNT 2), ng/L | 1991 | 2.9 (2.1-4.0) ^b | 2396 | 3.0 (2.2-4.2) | 418 | 3.0 (1.8-4.6) |
| Cardiac troponin I (HUNT 3), ng/L | 1991 | 0.6 (0.6-1.5) ^c | 2396 | 2.3 (1.7-3.5) | 418 | 6.5 (3.7-11.4) ^c |

^a $P < 0.05$, ^b $P < 0.01$, ^c $P < 0.001$ versus <50% change, ^dTo convert glucose concentrations from mg/dL to mmol/L, multiply by 0.05556. To convert triglyceride concentrations from mg/dL to mmol/L, multiply by 0.01129. To convert cholesterol concentrations from mg/dL to mmol/L, multiply by 0.02586.

Table 2. Determinants of relative change in cardiac troponin I from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3

| | Odds ratio (95% CI) | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Univariable | | Multivariable | |
| | ≥ 50% decrease | ≥ 50% increase | ≥ 50% decrease | ≥ 50% increase |
| Female sex | 1.41 (1.25-1.59) | 0.70 (0.57-0.87) | 2.12 (1.82-2.48) | 0.56 (0.44-0.73) |
| Age | 0.96 (0.95-0.96) | 1.01 (1.01-1.02) | 0.95 (0.94-0.96) | 1.03 (1.02-1.04) |
| Current smoking | 1.24 (1.08-1.42) | 0.88 (0.69-1.14) | 1.07 (0.93-1.25) | 0.92 (0.71-1.20) |
| BMI | 0.96 (0.94-0.97) | 0.98 (0.96-1.01) | 0.97 (0.95-0.99) | 0.99 (0.96-1.02) |
| Hypertension | 0.43 (0.38-0.49) | 1.18 (0.96-1.46) | 0.61 (0.52-0.71) | 1.15 (0.91-1.46) |
| Diabetes mellitus | 0.53 (0.29-0.96) | 2.27 (1.21-4.25) | 0.85 (0.45-1.61) | 1.68 (0.86-3.26) |
| Total cholesterol | 0.91 (0.86-0.95) | 0.88 (0.81-0.97) | 1.14 (1.07-1.22) | 0.83 (0.75-0.93) |
| HDL cholesterol | 0.81 (0.69-0.94) | 1.05 (0.81-1.36) | 0.66 (0.55-0.80) | 1.19 (0.88-1.59) |
| eGFR | 1.02 (1.02-1.03) | 1.00 (0.99-1.01) | 1.01 (1.00-1.01) | 1.00 (0.99-1.01) |
| CRP | 1.00 (0.98-1.01) | 1.01 (0.99-1.03) | 0.99 (0.98-1.01) | 1.01 (0.99-1.03) |
| Cardiac troponin I | 1.05 (0.95-1.15) | 0.85 (0.72-1.00) | 1.84 (1.62-2.08) | 0.63 (0.51-0.78) |

Odds ratio compared to participants with < 50% change. All independent determinants assessed at HUNT 2.

Table 3. Associations of changes in cardiac troponin I from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3 with incident myocardial infarction, heart failure, or cardiovascular death.

| | | ≥50% decrease (1241 women, 750 men) | <50% change (1293 women, 1103 men) | ≥50% increase (189 women, 229 men) | |
|--|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Number of events | Women | 23 | 58 | 23 | |
| | Men | 29 | 80 | 36 | |
| | Both sexes | 52 | 138 | 59 | |
| Incidence rate (per 1000 patient years) | Women | 2.1 ^b | 5.0 | 13.7 ^b | |
| | Men | 4.2 ^a | 8.1 | 19.1 ^b | |
| | Both sexes | 2.8 ^b | 6.4 | 16.9 ^b | |
| HR (unadjusted) | Women (n = 2723) | 0.40 (0.25-0.65) | Reference | 2.89 (1.78-4.68) | |
| | Men (n = 2082) | 0.52 (0.34-0.79) | | 2.37 (1.60-3.52) | |
| | Both sexes (n = 4805) | 0.44 (0.32-0.60) | | 2.65 (1.96-3.60) | |
| HR (adjusted) | Women (n = 2614) | 1.24 (0.72-2.14) | Reference | 1.65 (0.90-3.01) | |
| | Men (n = 2022) | 1.11 (0.70-1.75) | | 1.65 (1.04-2.62) | |
| | Both sexes (n = 4636) | 1.19 (0.84-1.68) | | 1.68 (1.16-2.42) | |
| | | hs-cTnI < 4.0ng/L (♀), < 6.0ng/L (♂) (HUNT 2); hs-cTnI ≥ 4.0ng/L (♀), ≥ 6.0ng/L (♂) (HUNT 3) | | hs-cTnI < 16.0ng/L (♀), < 34.0ng/L (♂) (HUNT 2); hs-cTnI ≥ 16.0ng/L (♀), ≥ 34.0ng/L (♂) (HUNT 3) | |
| | | No (n = 3747) | Yes (n = 235) | No (n = 4721) | Yes (n = 49) |
| Number of events | | 128 | 33 | 235 | 10 |
| Incidence rate (per 1000 patient years) | | 3.7 | 16.8 ^b | 5.5 | 25.1 ^b |

| | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| HR (unadjusted) | Reference | 4.61 (3.14-6.76) | Reference | 4.65 (2.47-8.75) |
| HR (adjusted) | Reference | 1.77 (1.16-2.70) | Reference | 3.01 (1.59-5.71) |
| | hs-cTnl \geq 4.0ng/L (♀), \geq 6.0ng/L (♂) (HUNT 3) | | hs-cTnl \geq 16.0ng/L (♀), \geq 34.0ng/L (♂) (HUNT 3) | |
| | No (n = 4221) | Yes (n = 584) | No (n = 4741) | Yes (n = 64) |
| Number of events | 162 | 87 | 237 | 12 |
| Incidence rate (per 1000 patient years) | 2.3 | 33.7 ^b | 5.5 | 23.2 ^b |
| HR (unadjusted) | Reference | 4.38 (3.38-5.69) | Reference | 4.26 (2.39-7.62) |
| HR (adjusted) | Reference | 1.71 (1.28-2.30) | Reference | 2.32 (1.26-4.27) |

^a $P < 0.01$, ^b $P < 0.001$ compared to reference (<50% change or no elevation in concentrations of hs-cTnl). Adjusted model includes age, sex, total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol, history of hypertension and diabetes mellitus, current smoking, BMI, eGFR, CRP. Models with relative changes in hs-cTnl additionally adjusted for hs-cTnl measured at HUNT 3.

Table 4. Incremental prognostic value of cardiac troponin I to established risk prediction algorithms

| | | Net reclassification index | Integrated discrimination improvement | c statistics |
|------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Women | SCORE (reference) | | | 0.854 (0.822-0.887) |
| | SCORE + hs-cTnI | 0.674 (0.482-0.866) ^c | 0.0263 (0.0092-0.0435) ^b | 0.835 (0.795-0.874) |
| | SCORE + hs-cTnI+ Δ hs-cTnI | -0.203 (-0.397- -0.009) ^a | 0.0007 (-0.0005-0.0018) | 0.834 (0.794-0.874) |
| Men | SCORE (reference) | | | 0.789 (0.755-0.823) |
| | SCORE + hs-cTnI | 0.475 (0.307-0.643) ^c | 0.0192 (0.0078-0.0306) ^c | 0.763 (0.722-0.803) ^a |
| | SCORE + hs-cTnI+ Δ hs-cTnI | -0.092 (-0.255-0.070) | 0.0008 (-0.0007-0.0022) | 0.762 (0.722-0.802) ^a |
| Both sexes | SCORE (reference) | | | 0.826 (0.803-0.849) |
| | SCORE + hs-cTnI | 0.624 (0.498-0.750) ^c | 0.0250 (0.0145-0.0356) ^c | 0.804 (0.777-0.832) ^a |
| | SCORE + hs-cTnI+ Δ hs-cTnI | -0.159 (-0.282- -0.035) ^a | 0.0008 (-0.0004-0.0017) | 0.804 (0.776-0.803) ^a |
| Both sexes | SCORE (reference) | | | 0.834 (0.806-0.861) |
| | SCORE + incident elevation hs-cTnI \geq 4.0ng/L (♀) and \geq 6.0ng/L (♂) | 0.293 (0.168-0.418) ^c | 0.0067 (-0.0001-0.0135) | 0.827 (0.799-0.855) |
| | SCORE (reference) | | | 0.830 (0.808-0.852) |
| | SCORE + incident elevation hs-cTnI \geq 16.0ng/L (♀) and \geq 34.0ng/L (♂) | 0.068 (0.016-0.199) ^a | 0.0053 (-0.0002-0.0107) | 0.827 (0.805-0.849) ^a |
| | SCORE (reference) | | | 0.826 (0.803-0.849) |
| | SCORE + single-time point elevation hs-cTnI \geq 4.0ng/L (♀) and \geq 6.0ng/L (♂) | 0.466 (0.345-0.587) ^c | 0.0093 (0.0029-0.0158) ^b | 0.819 (0.797-0.841) |
| | SCORE (reference) | | | 0.826 (0.803-0.849) |

| | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| SCORE + single-time point elevation hs-cTnl ≥ 16.0ng/L (♀) and ≥ 34.0ng/L (♂) | 0.077 (0.022-0.132) ^b | 0.0044 (-0.0004-0.0092) | 0.826 (0.804-0.848) |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|

Δ hs-cTnl, ≥50% increase in hs-cTnl vs rest. ^aP<0.05, ^bP<0.01, ^cP<0.001 compared to reference model.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Association of baseline age at HUNT 2 with risk of increasing concentrations hs-cTnI. Adjusted restricted cubic spline models centered at the median, 45.9 years (♀) and 45.2 years (♂). OR, odds ratio for trend above and below median.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier plots for the composite endpoint of cardiovascular death or admission for MI or HF. Subjects stratified according to **(A)** relative change in hs-cTnI from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3, **(B)** incident elevation ≥ 4.0 ng/L (♀), ≥ 6.0 ng/L (♂) from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3 and **(C)** incident elevation ≥ 16.0 ng/L (♀), ≥ 34.0 ng/L (♂) from HUNT 2 to HUNT 3.