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In this article, I investigate poetry written by two young Muslim people during their spare time. Adopting Shahab Ahmed’s (2016) understanding of Islamic in its plenitude and complexity, I ask how Islamic their texts are. The participants, Neda and Mohammed (both pseudonyms), grew up in Islamic countries where they were socialised in faith literacy practices, including practices around sacred and devotional texts, before moving to Norway in their teens. The data used for this article were collected during two linguistic ethnographies and include poems written in and outside of school, fieldnotes from classroom observations and transcripts from multiple semi-structured interviews. The interview questions concerned their poems and writing in general as well as their observations about living as Muslims in Norway. Although their poems include few or no explicit Islamic references, the findings support an argument that an Islamic lens gives meaning to the poems in terms of Islam as they engage with rather different Islamic norms and discourses. Whereas Mohammed’s texts are characterised by more prescriptive discourses leaving little room for alternative interpretations, Neda’s texts contain more wonder and perplexity and are thus in line with non-prescriptive discourses. I conclude by arguing that 1) the meanings of the poems are enriched in terms of Islam by viewing them through an Islamic lens and that 2) schools should provide safe spaces for young Muslims to develop their meaning-making and writing.
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1 Introduction

In this article, I investigate the poetry of two young Muslim people who were writing in a new country and in a language that was still relatively new to them. Mohammed and Neda (both pseudonyms) were born in Iraq and Afghanistan, respectively, and moved to Norway at the beginning of their adolescence. I analyse their text production in Norwegian and explore possible ways to understand their poetry as part of a larger Islamic textual universe, as well as address the challenges and gains of doing so. Central to my analysis is the seminal work of Shahab Ahmed (2016) and his conceptualisation of the terms Islam and Islamic, taking the adjectival form Islamic to refer to “Islam in its plenitude and complexity of meaning” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 5). Accordingly, I ask, “How Islamic are young Muslim people’s poems?”
At the time of the study, Mohammed and Neda were both active out-of-school writers, expressing their opinions and emotions when writing poetry in Norwegian. Dominant monolingualist orientations to multilingual writing have turned to a writer’s first language or “native” culture to make sense of particularities in terms of tone, style, organisation or discourse (see for example Golden & Hvistendahl, 2015). However, more dialogically oriented researchers have questioned these forms of generalisation and essentialisation as they have asserted that creating textual differences is “a strategic and creative choice by the author to attain his or her rhetorical objectives” (Canagarajah, 2006, p. 591) rather than unconscious errors. In this article, I do not try to pin down the poets’ rhetorical choices to Islam per se; rather, I attempt to apply an Islamic lens to explore what is gained or lost when trying to make sense of their poetry in terms of Islam. Canagarajah proposed that, ideally, researchers who are multilingual themselves should study writers composing in multiple languages to gain insight into how they negotiate meaning while shuttling between languages, contexts and discourses. Since I do not share Neda’s and Mohammed’s first languages, I restrict myself to their poetry written in Norwegian. Importantly, however, my analysis of their texts was possible only because of my ethnographic insights in terms of their at times explicit Muslim identities and commitment to Islam.

Like many children across the globe, Mohammed and Neda were socialised into faith literacy practices through some type of formal or informal afterschool education and through taking part in devotional acts. Researchers have used the term faith literacy to describe literacy practices taking place in faith-oriented settings, often but not necessarily institutionalised (Gregory, Choudhury, Ilankuberan, Kwapong, & Woodham, 2013; Rosowsky, 2015). A core characteristic of faith literacy is the centrality of a text. Sacred texts (e.g., the Bible, the Guru Grant Sahib and the Qur’an) and devotional texts (e.g., poetry, song and ritual) are transmitted from the older to the younger generation often as part of ritual and ceremony and are intertwined with the acquisition of reading practices in a familiar or unfamiliar language and script. These texts and textual practices are deeply embedded in people’s identity, both collective and individual (Rosowsky, 2015, p. 169). However, this traditional distinction between sacred and devotional religious texts in faith-oriented settings excludes texts written by young Muslim people in the private sphere, setting up an unfortunate religious–secular binary, which Ahmed (2016, pp. 384–386) warned against.

I will start by exploring and exemplifying Islamic art including text (Section 2) before presenting my study (Section 3) and analysing Mohammed’s and Neda’s writing (Section 4). I will conclude by reflecting upon the relevance of my findings for educational settings and writing instruction for multilingual students (Section 5).

2 What is Islamic art?

As part of a larger discussion on the concept of Islam, Ahmed (2016, pp. 5–6) claimed that prevailing conceptions view Islam as an object and Islamic as a category with clear and fixed boundaries. Further, Ahmed (2016) criticised and challenged these conceptions, as they “critically impair our ability to recognize central and crucial aspects of the historical reality of the very object-phenomenon ‘Islam’ that our conceptualizations seek to denote, but fall short of doing so” (p. 6). In his argumentative anthology entitled What is Islam? The Importance of Being
Islamic, Ahmed supplied a coherent object of meaning that conceptualises Islam as a theoretical object and an analytical category to unpack the capaciousness, complexity and contradictions within the historical phenomenon that began with the idea and reality of Divine Communication with Muhammad, the messenger of God. The greatest challenge to such conceptualisation is precisely the great diversity of those societies, persons, ideas and practices that identified with Islam across social and historical contexts (Ahmed, 2016, pp. 8–10).

To flesh out his conceptualisation of Islam, Ahmed (2016) posed six fundamental questions. Of particular relevance for this article is the question of “whether there is such a thing as ‘Islamic art,’ and if there is, then what is actually Islamic about it?” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 46). Some critics (e.g. Shalem, 2012) have classified art as an expression of culture, rather than of religion, and thus not as a constitutive element of Islam, whereas others have grappled with the relationship between “unity” and “diversity” and what they have called “the myth of the unity of Islamic art” (as cited in Ahmed, 2016, p. 47). Ahmed noted that this question is particularly vexing when it comes to art objects such as winecups or figural paintings made for a widespread social practice that violates prohibitions of Qur’an-based Islamic law. The question arises: can Islamic winecups exist, or are they secular objects and therefore non-Islamic? In response to such questions, Ahmed warned against the religious–secular binary in conceptualising Islam, as it would limit Islam to its doctrinal absolutes. Instead, Ahmed argued that researchers should instead ask what the use of the qualifier adds to the meaning. Also, it is important to remember that the artist are Muslims, “speaking to and from a ‘community of discourse’ of Islam” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 232). Failing to call the art objects Islamic would impoverish their meaning, whereas interpreting them as Islamic enriches.

Another dichotomy when conceptualising Islam is the private–public binary. Ahmed (2016, pp. 384–386) criticised a view where art objects of societies of Muslims are categorised as simpler and less meaningful in private spaces while simultaneously more sophisticated and more meaningful in public spaces. He related the discussion to the idea and reality of the Universal Truth of Divine Creation, which is a prerequisite for the idea of higher and lower truths available to higher and lower knowers. Inherent to the Revelatory structure, differences between norms in the private and public space are not random and one-dimensional but rather logical and multi-dimensional. The fact that a particular discourse or practice remains in the private space does not disqualify it from being representative of Islam; instead, an art object in the private space is merely an expression of the spatial structure of Islam.

Central in Ahmed’s (2016) conception of human and historic Islam is the notion of Self and of explorative meaning-making by the Self. Critics (e.g. Robinson, 2003) may be sceptical of tying what appears to be a modern (Western) set of ideas to Islam. However, Ahmed maintained that questions about the meaning and the constitution of the Self have been central in discourses of Muslims throughout history (see also Street, 1984) and in particular as hermeneutical engagement with the phenomenon of Revelation producing “a trajectory of Self-interrogation, Self-contemplation, Self-affirmation, Self-articulation, and Self-action as means to meaning in terms of Islam” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 330). In other words, the sense of relationship between the Self and meaning is neither a modern and Westernised idea, nor one limited to closed social circles of Sufis (Muslim ascetics and mystics) and philosophers, but rather “a widespread and normal expression and condition of
the human and historical fact and experience of being Muslim down the centuries” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 333). Ahmed (2016, p. 335) warned against forgetting about or minimising this exploration of meaning for the Self and privileging prescriptive discourses when conceptualising Islam or when using the word Islamic.

A final, crucial question is with what source object of meaning the hermeneutical engagement of Islam is made (Ahmed, 2016, pp. 346–348). Importantly, hermeneutical engagement of Islam can occur with texts outside the Qur’an and Hadith. Ahmed argued that the Text of Revelation requires the assumption of an Unseen Reality or truth upon which to act. The Unseen Reality is thus ontologically prior to and larger than the textual product. Ahmed therefore called this dimension the “Pre-Text of Revelation” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 347). An equally important dimension to the hermeneutical engagement with Revelation is an act that is carried out in historical context (Ahmed, 2016, pp. 356–362). Ahmed (2016, p. 356) distinguished between what he called the “context” and “Con-Text of Revelation”. “Context” is used when Islam enters into new contexts (for example due to migration in the 21st century), where a larger number of elements have not acquired meaning in terms of Islam. The latter refers to Muslim communities with long historical traditions, where “the hermeneutical engagements are taking place in a context whose components have largely already acquired meaning in terms of Islam – these are contexts which are already highly populated by the Islamic” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 356; italics in original). Con-Text includes the following:

- epistemologies, interpretations, identities, persons and places, structures of authority, textualities and intertextualities, motifs, symbols, values, meaningful questions and meaningful answers, agreements and disagreements, emotions and affinities and affects, aesthetics, modes of saying, doing and being, and other-truth claims and components of existing exploration and meaning-making in terms of Islam that Muslims acting as Muslims have produced and to which Muslims acting as Muslims have attached themselves during the process of hermeneutical engagement with Revelation. (Ahmed, 2016, p. 356; italics in original)

In sum, the question of “what is Islamic art?” is really a question of “what does this art object mean in terms of Islam? (and how does it mean)?” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 409; italics in original). These questions should enable researchers to move beyond the secular–religious dichotomy and acknowledge the object’s multiple (contradictory) values and meanings in Islam. Conceptualising Islam as hermeneutical engagement with Revelation helps develop an analytical gaze of identifying and locating Islamic norms, not in disciplinary isolation, but “as they are generated and articulated in social and discursive and praxical diffusion in the lives of Muslims” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 407; italics in original). Ahmed concluded that researchers should not only be looking for Islamic meaning in the discourses of law or in Sufism and philosophy, but “also [in] those pre-eminent registers of meaning-making and self-expression: the imaginative and fictional discourses of poetry, narrative, music and art” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 407). Similarly, we should not be locating Islamic norms in unilateral and prescriptive statements of authoritative epistemological prescription but rather “in an ongoing multilateral conversation and negotiation of the different Truth-projects undertaken by Muslims” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 408).

Thus, rephrasing Ahmed (2016), I pose the following questions in my analysis in Section 4: What do the young people’s poems mean in terms of Islam? How do
the poems mean it? What difference does it make to the poems if they possess or fail to possess meaning in terms of Islam? What does the poem gain in meaning when it is seen as Islamic poetry, and what do we gain from seeing it as Islamic; conversely, what do we and the object lose from our non-recognition?

3 Research site and data

Between 2013 and 2018, I conducted two linguistic ethnographic studies of the literacy practices of multilingual young people in and outside of school. Linguistic ethnography generally holds that language and the social world are mutually shaping and that close analysis of situated interaction provides insights into larger mechanisms constructing everyday life (Rampton et al., 2004, p. 2). In addition to the study of interaction, a well-established strand of the field concerns literacy practices, including community-based literacy research, multilingual literacy and cross-cultural literacy in particular (for an overview, see Creese, 2008, p. 233), and this study contributes to this strand. In line with a linguistic ethnographic tradition, the data in the studies at hand are fieldnotes based on classroom observations and spare-time arenas, student texts written inside and outside of school, interview transcripts from semi-structured interviews with young people and their teachers, and pictures from linguistic landscapes.

The national context of the study is Norway. While Norway is historically a Christian country, the largest non-Christian religion is Islam, with Muslims accounting for approximately 3% of the population (Statistics Norway, 2017). Muslims and Islam receive disproportionally much attention, mostly negative, in Norwegian media (IMDi, 2009). A report from the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (2015) indicated that Muslims are increasingly associated with terrorism and violence in Norway and that the ways Muslims are portrayed in the media seldom challenge stereotypes and generalisations.

The setting for the studies was a large upper secondary school located in the east of Norway. In the first study, I carried out one year of fieldwork in multiple mainstream classes, while my second study involved one year of fieldwork in an introduction class for newly arrived students. In both studies, the fieldwork started with observation of the general classroom activity during the first half of the year before I selected students who were active spare-time writers and followed them more closely during the second half of the year. In this article, I focus on two of the young people, Mohammed from the first study and Neda from the second study. I have chosen them because of the way they made tangential mentions of their Muslim background in our running field conversations and while the interviews on their poetry, use of technology (e.g., Qur’anic apps and Instagram) and spare-time writing sometimes produced explicit statements.

A researcher’s positionality very much contributes to shaping data collection and analysis (Copland & Creese, 2015). My positionality differed slightly in the two studies. In both studies, I clearly allied with the students and not with their teachers. For example, I would dress casually, come and go with the students, and sit together with them during breaks. At the beginning of the first fieldwork, I told the students that I was especially interested in multilingualism, and in the second study I specified that I was interested in multilingualism in combination with writing. Whereas in the first study I admitted that I had no experience in studying young people and thus was reliant on their input, I was more confident as a youth researcher in the second study. In addition, I let them know about my
non-Muslim background and lack of expertise about Islam- and Muslim-related issues and clearly positioned the students as experts. In contrast to my previous research with teachers, the young people never gave me the impression that they felt evaluated, nor did they ask me for advice.

Early in my fieldwork, I discovered that both Mohammed and Neda used creative writing to “make sense of life around them and their own identity” (Barton, Ivanić, Appleby, Hodge, & Tusting, 2007, p. 71). Their writings became “vehicles for self-expression and for management of life issues and of their emotions” (Barton et al., 2007, p. 71). As part of the study, Mohammed shared diary jottings and poems with me, two of them written at home and three during interviews after school hours. Neda shared eight poems, six of them written at home and two during Norwegian classes that I observed. To gain a greater understanding of their texts and the role their writing played in their lives, I interviewed them five times each over a period of five weeks. I also contacted them by phone or by Facebook or Instagram messaging several times during the analysis process to ask additional questions. Beyond questions related to their writing, I asked how they experienced living as a Muslim in Norway, including going to the mosque, listening to recitations of the Qur’an via an app and reading religious poetry on Instagram. Sometimes, I would read the poems silently to myself, or Mohammed or Neda would read them aloud on their own initiative. With poems written at home, I would ask them to situate their writing in time and space and describe the process, both generally and specifically for each poem. Very often, I would return to the poem the next time we met to direct our discussion to issues that had attracted my analytical attention while leaving space for “doubts, ambiguities and interpretative uncertainties” (Copland & Creese, 2015, p. 34). As a researcher, I thus took an active role in creating a certain space for writing and reflection upon writing that was different from and in the margins of ordinary school teaching. I believe this approach was crucial to understanding school and spare-time discourses regarding these particular literacy practices.

Before I started my studies, the Islamic textual universe was not a specific topic of interest; rather, it became a new focus that emerged during my fieldwork and my initial reading of my fieldnotes, interview transcripts and texts. During the second stage, I studied understandings of Islamic art (especially those by Ahmed, 2016) before returning to the Mohammed’s and Neda’s poems. This process is best described as abduction (Alvesson & Sköldberg, 2009; Bruhn Jensen, 2012), constantly oscillating between the empirical data and the theoretical perspectives. Three main categories emerged: 1) the relationship with God, 2) self-exploration, and 3) the value of love. In the following section, I will present and analyse these categories. I will show how Mohammed’s and Neda’s texts may be understood in dialogue with different discourses, more or less prescriptive and explorative when making meaning in terms of Islam.

As noted in the introduction, Mohammed and Neda wrote in Norwegian using linguistic resources they were still acquiring at the time of the study. Consequently, the language in the texts sometimes deviates from the standard in terms of grammar, orthography and idiomatic expressions. These deviations occasionally made it difficult to understand their phrasing and to translate their words into English for the purpose of this article. I translated the linguistic expressions closely, sometimes at the expense of the meaning content. However, it is inevitable that some nuances were lost during this translation process. For reasons of clarity, I have sometimes added an explanation using double round brackets.
4 How Islamic are Mohammed’s and Neda’s poems?

In this section, I explore how Mohammed’s and Neda’s poetry can be understood applying Ahmed’s Islamic lens of a hermeneutics of Revelation (see Ahmed, 2016) and more specifically with regard to the themes of the relationship with God (4.1), self-exploration (4.2), and the value of love (4.3) relating these to larger prescriptive and exploratory societal discourses in Islam.

4.1 The relationship with God

The relationship between God and religious leaders is an important theme in Islamic art. For example, the Muslim devotional genre in Hindi film, Devotional Muslim, comprises films that focus on the relationship between God and key religious leaders and encourage audiences to participate in the religious belief and experience portrayed (Dwyer, 2010, p. 127). These films foreground the importance of prayer and belief in gaining divine intervention, often after having suffered and undergone hardship, substantiating the belief of adhering to a singular truth on pain of sanction. Conversely, drawing on historical and contemporary examples, Ahmed (2016, p. 278) has illustrated how a much less explored discourse of perplexity circulated in vernacular Islamic poetry as well. At the basis of perplexity is a condition of non-resolution of Truth, which is perceived as legitimate when Muslims make sense of Divine Truth.

The relationship with God is also a recurrent theme in my field conversations with Mohammed and Neda as well as in their poems. For example, during an interview, Mohammed spontaneously stated, “God and then family and then friends. That’s everything for me” (fieldnote, 30 April 2014; my translation). He not only repeatedly brought up this topic in later field conversations and interviews, but he also recycled it on his Facebook page. For example, in connection with the Muslim festival Eid, he wrote the following message in Arabic: “Blessed Eid if God wills Allah is the greatest God is great and God be thanks” (my translation). Neda also reflected upon her relationship with God during spontaneous field conversations as well as planned interviews. In addition, the topic recurred in the proverbs and short poems that she posted on Instagram, such as “Allah is watching us / And Angels are writing / What we are doing”.

In the following, I will explore how the two young Muslim people engage with and make sense of this theme in their poems. In Poem 1, Mohammed describes his personal relationship with God.

Poem 1. Mohammed, 1 June 2014

1  For det meste Gud er alt for
2  meg, her i live. Jeg føler etter han
3  etter Gud, og det er han eneste kan
4  hørt meg se meg. Kan føle at
5  han er rundt meg. [uleselig] jeg ville
6  aldri ha sagt noe dumt om han
7  det er han som skapte oss, og jeg er
8  takker han at jeg er den jeg er
9  Gud betyr mye for meg. mange
10  andre her det ikke bra men man
11  må takk gud av det man her.  [Mostly God is everything to
12  me, here in life. I follow him
13  follow God, and it’s only him who can
14  hear me see me. Can feel that
15  he is around me. [unreadable] I would
16  never have said anything stupid about him
17  it is he who created us. and I am
18  thank him that I am the person I am
19  God means a lot to me. many others
20  don’t do well but you
21  have to thank god for what you have.]
In his relationship with God, Mohammed indicates a hierarchical structure (“it’s only him who can hear me see me”, lines 3–4). He also shows affinity (“Mostly God is everything to me, here in life”, lines 1–2, and “God means a lot to me”, line 9) and gratitude (“you have to thank god for what you have”, lines 10–11) towards him. Throughout his poem, Mohammed uses the first person singular pronouns “I” and “me” except when referring to the creation of humankind; there, he uses the plural form (“us”), emphasising his personal experience and involvement. When I asked Mohammed to explain the line “many others don’t do well” (lines 9–10), he stated that he was thinking about relatives in Iraq and the difficult times they went through due to the presence of and destruction caused by the Islamic State (IS) in the area. His concluding line, “but you have to thank god for what you have”, is reminiscent of the way God is portrayed in Devotional Muslim films and the belief in a singular truth (Dwyer, 2010).

As in Poem 1, Mohammed describes his relationship to God as hierarchical in Poem 2.

**Poem 2. Mohammed, 6 February 2015**

12  
Tema om satan  
13  
vi muslimer er ikke venner med satan og det er  
14  ikke han heller. Jeg sier satan ingen liker han  
15  og ingen ville være i nærheten av. Og var  
16  gang vi er langt unna han jo bedre det er for  
17  oss og bedre tanker og live i framtiden. Men  
18  hvis vi er i nærheten da blir det bare  
19  brøplemer mer og mer, og gjør at vi skal  
20  gleme gud og bøn og be til vår ene Gud  
21  som han er det meste for oss. Vi elsker og være i  
22  nære av guden våres der finner vi gleden og  
23  sover med god tanker og gode hjerte. Ingen vil  
24  vekk før vi sier Gud er med deg.  
25  Til å med mammaen min sier var gang jeg drar  
26  ut sier hu pass på sønnen min Gud er med deg  
27  sier hu, det betyer at jeg må var god og snill  
28  med alle som Gud er god med alle. Gud er den  
29  meste som vel hva vi er og hvem vi er med  
30  og hva vi gjør.  

[Theme about satan  
we Muslims are not friends with satan and he is  
not either. I say satan no one likes him  
and no one wants to be near him. And ech time  
we are far away from him the better it is for  
us and better thoughts and life in the future. But  
if we are near then it becomes just  
problems more and more, and make that we will  
forget god and prayr and pray to our only God  
which he is the most for us. We love to be  
neare our god and there we find joy and  
sleep with good thoughts and good heart. No on  
wants to leave without us saying God is with  
you. Even my mum says ech time I go  
out she says take care of my son God is with you  
she says, that means I have to be good and kind  
with all those God is good with. God is the  
most we know what we are and who we are with  
and what we do.]

Here, Mohammed uses the first person plural pronoun “we” to a greater extent than in Poem 1. In doing so, he positions himself as part of and as showing unity with a Muslim community (e.g., “we Muslims”, line 13). New here is also how this relationship permeates daily routines, such as sleeping (“We love to be near our god and there we find joy and sleep with good thoughts and good heart”, lines 21–23) and saying goodbye (“Even my mum says ech time I go out she says  
take care of my son God is with you she says”, lines 25–27). Again, all statements  
draw in the same direction, leaving little room for alternative interpretations of  
the Divine Truth.

The question then arises: what do Mohammed’s poems potentially mean in  
terms of Islam, and how do they mean it (see Ahmed, 2016)? Locating  
Mohammed’s description of his relationship with God (and with Satan) in terms  
of Islam makes visible a certain discourse in Islam in terms of adherence to a  
single truth. Poem 1 has no textual references to Islam, whereas Poem 2 speaks
more clearly to and from a community of Islam. Nevertheless, an Islamic lens could be said to enrich our understanding of the poems as it allows us to locate and identify Islamic norms and interpret the way Mohammed reflects upon his relationship with God and the consequences of his actions accordingly. Mohammed also explicitly referred to them in the interviews.

Like Mohammed, Neda explores her relationship with God in two poems. In Poem 3, she describes how her feelings are dead and that she is ready to die, whereas in Poem 4 she writes about accepting fate.


31 Mine følelser er død
32 Jeg vet ikke lenger
33 det som banker inne i
34 meg er i livet
35 Jeg ler og smiler
36 men bak det
37 smilet mitt er
38 tusen ansikt
39 Jeg er klar til å dø,
40 men jeg er ikke klar til å svare
41 Gud det
42 jeg gjorde
43 for deg

Poem 4. Neda, 19 May 2016

44 Noen ganger jeg antar det eneste
45 du kan gjøre er å akseptere at ting
46 vil være slik at de er, og
47 du ikke kan endre skjebnen, så
48 du må bare gi opp og godta skjebne

In the last lines of Poem 3, Neda portrays her relationships to God (“I am not ready to answer God what I did for you”, lines 40–43); similarly, in Poem 4, she uses the noun “fate” to describe this relationship (“so you just have to give up and accept fate”, lines 47–48), thus implicitly referring to God’s will. In both poems, some lines may be interpreted as signs of creative and explorative actions where Neda explores reality rather than taking reality as prescription. In Poem 3, she writes that she is not ready to answer God, which I interpret as an act of agency. In Poem 4, the collocation “I guess” in the first line is often used to mark and modify a statement or knowledge which is the result of another source (see Chafe, 1986). Both statements can be said to open up for perplexity, in line with more non-prescriptive and non-orthodoxizing discourses.

Identifying how Neda’s poems are Islamic may not be straightforward as with Mohammed’s. She makes no explicit textual references to Islam. As such, her poems could have been written by and appeal to any (non-Muslim) young person. However, I chose to investigate whether they mean something in terms of Islam (see Ahmed, 2016). The analysis above shows that they can be located within non-
prescriptive and non-orthodoxizing discourses of Islam, which Ahmed (2016) acknowledged as lesser known but nevertheless important discourses in Islam.

In sum, interpreting the relationship with God in Mohammed’s and Neda’s poems with an Islamic lens enriches the poems as it allows us to interpret them in light of different Islamic norms and discourses. Specifically, Mohammed’s portrayal appears to be more unilateral, searching for a single truth, whereas Neda’s depiction contains more wonder and perplexity, making them more in dialogue with non-prescriptive discourses. This diversity is important as it highlights a complexity of how Islam and Islamic may be conceptualised by young Muslim people in Norway.

4.2 Self-exploration

Another historical preoccupation of Muslims is their engagement with self-exploration in terms of Islam (see Ahmed, 2016). As already noted, well-known examples in Islamic poetry are the verses of Rūmī and of Bullhē. Ahmed (2016) related self-exploration to broader meaning-making and “the involvement of the self and of the agency of the self in the ascertaining of Truth” (p. 339; italics in original). He distinguished between two modes of authority that can be found throughout history: prescriptive authority and explorative authority. Ahmed (2016) noted that, whereas “the proponent of prescriptive authority views his authority as a license to prescribe to another, the bearer of explorative authority views his authority as a license to explore (by) himself” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 282; italics in original). As touched upon in the previous subsection, self-exploration is also visible in Mohammed’s and Neda’s poems, which I will explore further in this section.

In Poem 5, Mohammed reflects upon the lives people should be living.

Poem 5. Mohammed, 16 May 2014


One day we will all understand what is happening here and why we are here. Life is like a test you take in school, either you fail or you are a good student who is in school and does as he is told. Listen carefully and do things right, or in the end you will regret it. Smile and everything will be ok have fun with your good friends and an important thing in life, you will never regret taking life with a smile, then you will make those who love you happy at the same time as you are ok. I always say never take things out on your good friends or family, because one day things will be ok. You must go on in life. You can’t make noise like an old car standing still instead live life like everybody else does, but in the right way and right time.

The prescriptive message of the poem is evident in both content and style. The opening line “one day we will all understand what is happening here and why we are here” can be taken to refer to God’s almighty authority. The next line, “Life is like a test you take in school, either you fail or you are a good student who is in school and does as he is told”, signals that there is only one, correct Truth and
thus little room for exploration. The style further emphasises the prescriptive message, including several imperative clauses, such as “Listen carefully and do things right” (lines 53–54) and “Smile and everything will be ok” (line 55). Further, when commenting on his poem during an interview, Mohammed explained how the last line, “live life like everybody else does, but in the right way and right time”, illustrated a particular moral dilemma he was facing. Many of his peers participated in the russefeiring, which is a traditional celebration to mark the end of their secondary schooling in Norway. Drunkenness, which many Muslims consider unlawful, is often a part of the celebration. In considering this, Mohammed stated, “Party is ok, but like, doing something that is over the limit, Muslim rules say no, so, then it’s bad time and bad place” (my translation).

Mohammed elaborated that he wished to be part of Norwegian society without compromising his beliefs embedded in an Islamic worldview.

This understanding again raises questions about what Mohammed’s poems means in terms of Islam, and how does it mean it (Ahmed, 2016). While he makes no explicit reference to Islam in the poem, Mohammed made an explicit link in an interview. In terms of gains regarding Islam, Mohammed’s poem and statement offer insight into a young Muslim’s self-exploration in relation to prescriptive Islamic norms and discourses.

Neda is also concerned with meaning-making, but in a more explorative way than Mohammed. In Poem 6, she reflects upon how people should live life.


```
66 Noen ganger tenker jeg [Sometimes I think
67 hvordan ville livet vært
68 uten anger, uten tårer,
69 uten lidelse, uten ensomhet,
70 uten hat, uten krangling
71 Hvordan ville vi vært…?
[how would life have been
without regret, without tears,
without suffering, without loneliness,
without hate, without fighting
How would we have been…?]

72 Andre ganger tenker jeg på
73 at uten anger, lidelse, tårer,
74 ensomhet, hat, krangling
75 Ville vi vært en kald person
76 med uten følelser
77 Vi ville vært egoistiske, bleke
78 som vi er tom for blod i kroppen
79 Vi ville vært onde
80 Vi ville ikke brydd oss, fordi
81 vi er lykkelig
82 Vi ville ikke lært oss noe
83 Livet har balanse
84 Vi må leve gjennom harde og gode
dager

[Nother times I think about
that without regret, suffering, tears,
loneliness, hate, fighting
We would be a cold person
with no feelings
We would be selfish, pale
as if we are empty for blood in our body
We would be evil
We would not have cared, because
we are happy
We would not have taught us anything
Life has balance
We have to live through tough and good
days]
```

Neda starts her poem with “I think”, a collocation which is often used by speakers or writers to assert their own opinion about a topic (see Chafe, 1986). Moreover, she explores how life would be without certain negative feelings (“how would life have been without regret, without tears, without suffering, without loneliness, without hate, without fighting”, lines 67–70). She takes the authority to explore, and she signals that there is meaning and value to be obtained from such
exploration. Ahmed (2016) noted “that exploration itself is of value and meaning” (p. 283; italics in original). This mode of authority is central in human and historical Islam in the search for meaning and value. In a subsequent interview, Neda elaborated that she wanted people to make up their own minds instead of uncritically listening to their elders and added that she has often been criticised by family and friends for making her own choices. In the second verse, Neda repeats the feelings, concluding that we would be selfish without them. These contradictory emotions do not merely reflect a tension between legal and non-legal norms, but “the very ethos of a lived reality comprising a plurality of evidently contradictory meanings in life” (Ahmed, 2016, p. 36). In a subsequent interview, Neda affirmed that life should always be in balance, adding that “I often- People have to choose their own life, do what they want even if it is right or wrong because we are punished by God, not by mum and dad” (my translation). Again, neither this utterance nor her poem is explicitly linked to Islam. However, interpreting the poem through an Islamic lens highlights certain non-prescriptive discourses when conceptualising Islam, which offers valuable insights into Islam.

In sum, the analyses show that self-exploration occupies a central constitutive place in Mohammed’s and Neda’s poems, with Mohammed drawing on prescriptive discourses and Neda on explorative discourses to conduct such Self-exploration. The analyses have shown that an Islamic lens can illustrate a breadth of what may be Islam and Islamic to young Muslim people in Norway today as evident in their self-explorative poems.

4.3 The value of love

The value of love is a pre-eminent topic in Islamic poetry. The most well-known type of love poetry in Islamic culture is the ghazal, a type of poem written in rhyme in the voice of a lover on the theme of loving an unattainable beloved. In the period between the 15th and the late-19th centuries, the ghazal was performed by educated Muslims at drinking assemblies which include alcohol in what Ahmed has referred to as the temporal-geographical entity of the Balkans-to-Bengal complex, stretching from the Balkans to the Bay of Bengal, including Iran, Central Asia, Afghanistan and North India, where the majority of the Muslims resided. This socially valorised literary form was a means for self-expression and self-articulation (Ahmed, 2016, p. 32).

The most famous book of ghazals is the Dīvān of Shams-ud-Din Muḥammad Ḥāfiz of Shirāz (1320–ca. 1390), consisting of about 500 ghazals. Ambiguity and ambivalence are important characteristics of the Ḥāfizian ghazal’s register. Love is at once carnal and spiritual love, and the beloved is at once a physical desire and a beautiful youth that reflects the beauty of the Divine or is simply God Himself. Ahmed (2016, pp. 35–36) noted that the language of the ghazal indexes not just a tension between legal and non-legal norms, but the very ethos of a lived reality with all its contradictions and plurality. Ahmed acknowledged an inadequate awareness of the centrality of the idea of love in Muslim historical discourse and practice, not in sharp contrast to the idea of knowledge but as a register of knowing. Specifically, Ahmed explained this idea as follows:

the experience of love is a learning experience (or an experience of learning) that teaches the lover how to identify value (i.e., what is valuable) and to constitute the human being –both as individual and as society– accordingly, in terms of those values. (Ahmed, 2016, p. 42; italics in original)
Mohammed and Neda had no knowledge of the Ḥāfīzian ghazals. However, in this article, I take the ghazals as an analytical example and a reminder of the complexity, the ambiguity and the ambivalence of love in an Islamic sense before turning to the poems written by Mohammed and Neda on the topic of love.

As with other Islamic writers, the value of love is an important topic in the poetry of Neda and Mohammed. In the extract of Poem 7 below, Neda writes about a girl who thinks back on her childhood.


[...]  
86 Hvis en gang, bare en gang hun kunne gå  
87 tilbake tiden, ville hun ikke endret noe. Hun  
88 ville bare ha enda en sjanse for å føle alt på  
89 nytt  
90 I dag er hun våken fra drømmen som hun  
91 aldri ville forlate  
92 Hun sitter her og lever i den virkelige  
93 verdenen  
94 Hun forstår hva lidelse er  
95 Hun forstår hva kjærlighet er  
96 Hun forstår hva glede er  
97 Men hun forstår ikke livet ennå

If once, just once she could go back the time,  
she wouldn’t change a thing. She would just  
have another chance to feel everything  
again  
Today she is awake from the dream that  
she would never leave  
She sits here and lives in the real  
world  
She understands what suffering is  
She understands what love is  
She understands what happiness is  
But she doesn’t understand life yet]

As in Poem 6, Neda writes about contradictory emotions in life, this time in relation to those a girl experiences during her childhood. The three last lines are particularly interesting because the emotions of love and happiness are linked to knowledge (“She understands what love is; She understands what happiness is”, lines 94–95). The last line concludes that, although she understands what suffering, love and happiness are, she does not understand life yet. The experience of different emotions teaches the girl in the poem about certain values in life. Applying an Islamic lens, the girl’s meaning-making process could be interpreted as a way of trying to link carnal to spiritual love, that is, to the Revelation and search for Truth (Ahmed, 2016), indexing the centrality of the idea of love in historical Islamic discourse and practice, which is also what is gained in Islamic terms.

Similarly, in Poem 8, Mohammed writes about love, but he focuses on his love for his home country Iraq.

Poem 8. Mohammed, 28 May 2014

[Ya Iraq alt som skjer in der, det bare  
gjøre oss mer og mer glade i deg. Jeg borte  
fra deg og vet så lite hvordan du er hvordan  
du klarer og gå fram med masse din  
triste sanne ditt, massse blø og kjærlighet er  
ordlag deg hva skjer inne der. Jeg sævner deg  
jeg ofte tenker på deg. Og ser opp til deg det  
er sant at jeg dro av deg men jeg lover jeg og  
mitt live er der tenker og tenker på deg.  
aldri vart så såra som jeg er nå, ting man  
blir tvung til å gjør og dra fra deg, ønsker  
meg bare å komme og lukte på sanna ditt og  
vare ditt familie mitt alt det der mangler jeg  
og treng jeg.

Ya Iraq everything that happens in there, it just  
makes us love you more and more. I’m away  
from you and know so little about how you are  
how you are coping and continue with a lot your  
sad true you, a lot of blood and love is  
destroy you what happens in there. I miss you  
I often think about you. And I look up to you it’s  
true that I left you but I promise you and  
my life is there thinking and thinking about you.  
have never been so hurt as I am now, things you  
are fortc to do and leave from you, wishing  
me just to come back and smell your sand and be  
yours my family and everything there I miss  
and I need you]
In Poem 8, Mohammed makes meaning of having left his home country in a state of war and destruction. His love for Iraq is juxtaposed with feelings of hurt as well as being forced by others to leave his home behind (“a lot of blood and love is destroy you”, lines 102-103, and “have never been so hurt as I am now, things you are forct to do and leave from you”, lines 107-108) enforced by others. Thinking about Iraq and wanting to go back presents a moral, intellectual and existential valorisation of his home country. In a subsequent interview, Mohammed did not explicitly link his carnal love for Iraq to spiritual love in terms of Islam. However, analysing the poem in terms of Islam as hermeneutical engagement with the Revelation (Ahmed, 2016), we may identify and locate Islamic norms which are important in the life of Mohammed as a Muslim and very much in contrast to the values of IS, which he frequently talked about in other interviews (see also Poem 2). In other words, the way Mohammed experiences love for his home country may contribute to teaching him certain values embedded in Islam.

In sum, even though neither young person’s poems are explicitly Islamic, Neda explores the relationship between the virtue of love and knowledge by reflecting upon the contraries inside of her, and Mohammed writes more in line with prescriptive discourses as he reflects upon contrasts between unwanted actions outside of him and the norms he knows he has to follow. These approaches add an extra dimension to the poems in terms of Islam.

5 Discussion and concluding remarks

Ahmed (2016, pp. 408-409) has argued that all artistic forms are produced and reproduced by a society because members in that society engage with them, giving them value and meaning. In this article, I asked to what extent these young people’s poems are Islamic. Rephrasing Ahmed (2016), I posed the following questions in my analysis: What do the young people’s poems mean in terms of Islam? How do the poems mean it? What difference does it make to the poems if they possess or fail to possess meaning in terms of Islam? What does the poem gain in meaning when it is seen as Islamic poetry, and what do we gain from seeing it as Islamic; conversely, what do we and the object lose from our non-recognition?

Before answering these questions, it is important to return to Ahmed’s (2016, pp. 356–362) notions of Con-Text and context. Mohammed and Neda live in Norway, which is a context that is not populated with Islamic symbols. Trying to understand potential Islamic elements in their poetry has nevertheless been a valuable task, albeit not an easy one. I found myself concerned with understanding the multiple Islamic discourses, both prescriptive and explorative, they engage with in their texts, making visible a complexity of what the category ‘Islamic’ may mean. Not viewing their poems as Islamic would overlook these discourses and consequently reduce the poems’ meaning. Conversely, seeing the poems as Islamic enables us to take the young people’s life worlds seriously and engage in dialogue with how they make sense of the world.

My analysis of Mohammed’s and Neda’s writing shows how their poems can be understood within an Islamic framework as they make meaning of life around them and their own identity (see Barton et al., 2007). It also brings to light different ways of being Islamic, possibly knocking down the divide between religions and between the religious and the secular, which is important
considering the increasing intolerance towards Muslims in society (see European Commission against Racism and Intolerance, 2015; IMDi, 2009).

A final pressing question is what relevance my findings have for schools and for writing instruction for multilingual students. Research from Sweden has shown that mainstream schools silence the experiences of young Muslims (Berglund, 2009, 2017). The current curriculum for the subject Norwegian states that the subject Norwegian provides a space where students “are given the opportunity to find their own voices, utter themselves, be heard and get answers” through oral and written communication (Norwegian Directorate for Education and Research, 2013; my translation). Mohammed and Neda are both active spare-time writers who cherish this space and use it for personal reflection in Norwegian, a language that is still relatively new to them. Their poems give us unique insights into their life worlds and into how they relate to Islamic discourses in a non-Islamic country. A “safe space” (Pratt, 1991) like the one I created during my interviews can be seen as a first step in moving from the private to the public sphere. Similar spaces in schools would enable young people to explore their Islamic identities in a new country and develop their meaning-making and writing in a second language, perhaps creatively meshing other linguistic resources they bring with them (see for example Canagarajah, 2019; Evensen, 2017). Exploring the features of these spaces is a much needed avenue for future research.
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Endnotes

1 In contrast, the term religious literacy has most commonly referred to knowledge about religion and is thus more loosely connected to actual literacy practices (see for example Dinham & Francis, 2016).
2 The first study was my section of the NordForsk funded project Learning Spaces for Inclusion and Social Justice: Success Stories from Immigrant Students and School Communities in Four Nordic Countries (53704), directed by Hanna Ragnarsdóttir between 2013 and 2016. The second study was my postdoctoral study Multilingual Young People as Writers in and outside of School, funded by the Department of Teacher Education and School Research at the University of Oslo between 2015 and 2018.
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