Patterns of change and disclosures of difference

Family and gender in New Kingdom Egypt: titles of non-royal women

Reinert Skumsnes

PhD Dissertation

Centre for Gender Research

Institute of Cultural Studies and Oriental Languages

Faculty of Humanities

University of Oslo

2018

Reinert Skumsnes
Patterns of change and disclosures of difference.
Family and gender in New Kingdom Egypt: titles of nonroyal women.

This dissertation was publicly defended in Arne Næss auditorium, Georg Morgenstiernes hus (University of Oslo), on November 16th 2018, at 9.15 am, for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Abstract

Skumsnes' dissertation explores the monumental remains and the non-literary ostraca and papyri as different layers of situated material-discursive practices. The records are approached both in terms of patterns of change and disclosures of difference, while trying to make sense of what often comes down as contradictory information about family and gender in New Kingdom Egypt (1539-1077 BCE). The aim has been to disclose both sameness and difference in the records.

In terms of patterns of change, women seem to gradually become more visible. More specifically, the non-royal female titular imply that there were several temporal nexuses of female visibility through the New Kingdom, suggesting that the position of women waxed and waned accordingly: the change in reference to 'his wife' from *ḥmt.f* to *snt.f* in the monumental remains imply that the wife became increasingly recognized as 'his sister', alter ego and co-occupant of his tomb; and the increasing popularity of titles such as 'woman of the city' and 'musician of Amen' (or other gods) imply a change in focus from the domestic sphere to city/village and temple.

Skumsnes' main argument is, however, that any general claim about the relative position of men and women within society will fail to describe what was reality. In general, the monumental remains give a hyper-gendered account, while the non-literary ostraca and papyri are more ephemeral and less gender focused. Class and status stand out as equally, if not more important than gender. More specifically, there are variations between, even within, single records. Definitions of gender must therefore be understood as disclosures, which depend on a number of factors, such as the material, genre, purpose and context of the specific record; the selection of records; as well as the questions and perspectives of the interpreter.

The records seem to be more coherent in terms of what constituted the family. The dissertation demonstrates that, in terms of reaching the afterlife, multiple strategies coexisted: in addition to the traditional husband-wife duality, there is also strong symbolism in father-son, father-daughter, mother-son and mother-daughter relationships. Being family, moreover, was not only about biology, or being next of kin, but was more importantly about who actually (and symbolically) acted as family. Skumsnes claims that reciprocity and mutual dependency, through the correlation of support, burial and inheritance, was the very glue that tied families together. He calls this family contracts: through these contracts, individuals negotiated their position in society through their relations/encounters with others, both living and dead, human and non-human.

Trial lecture

November 15th, 4.15 pm, Arne Næss auditorium, Georg Morgenstiernes hus (University of Oslo), "Women at work in ancient Egypt."

Evaluation committee

- Professor emerita Lana Troy, Uppsala University (1st opponent)
- Professor Anne Ingvild Gilhus, University of Bergen (2nd opponent)
- Associate Professor Ragnhild Johnsrud Zorgati, University of Oslo (administrator)

Supervisors

- Professor emerita Sahninaz Amal Naguib, Department of Culture Studies and Oriental Languages, University of Oslo
- Professor Jorunn Økland, Centre for Gender Research, University of Oslo

[Intro]
I can see it happening
Happen, I can see it happening
I can see it happening
Happen, I can see it

[Verse 1]
Can't go with the flow, got to make waves
Even though I look at the sand, and I'm just one grain
But my intuition says there's a bigger mission I must embrace
So I'm, I'm pushing my thoughts to a new place

[Pre-Chorus]
I'm kicking and screaming
'Cause it won't be easy
To break all the patterns
If I'm not evolving
I'm just another robot
Taking up oxygen

[Chorus]
It's something bigger than me
I can feel it beginning
Something bigger than me
Yeah, I can feel it opening
Tried to ignore it
But it keeps on growing
Out of control
It's something bigger than me
And I can feel it happening

Acknowledgements

Writing this dissertation has been an incredible journey and one that has taken unexpected turns. Although it has been challenging to proceed at times, obstacles have been solved and overcome. The learning curve has been steep, but so rewarding. I am proud of the product this dissertation has become.

The initial idea behind this project stems back many years. I am particularly grateful to Dr. Lisa Sabbahy, Prof. Salima Ikram and Prof. Fayza Haikal at the American University in Cairo for their support in the early developing phases. Without the encouragement of Lisa, in particular, I think it is safe to say that this project would never have seen the light of day.

I am extremely grateful to the Centre for Gender Research, University of Oslo, for awarding me a four-year fellowship to carry out this research. It has not only been a great privilege to be part of this institution, it has also been academically stimulating to participate in a variety of interdisciplinary workshops, seminars, conferences, and not least, to interact with the fantastic scholars of this institution. I am particularly grateful to Amund R. Hoffart, Solveig Laugerud and Anna Young for our many conversations, both academically related and otherwise.

My supervisor Prof. Saphinaz Amal-Naguib has been my rock throughout this journey. Among others, it was she who guided me to the Centre for Gender Research some five years ago. The value of Saphinaz's experience and wisdom, patience and efficiency is beyond words. She has been the best mentor and guide I could possibly dream of. For this, I am forever grateful.

My second supervisor Prof. Jorunn Økland has also been important for the development of this project, or at least for some of the unexpected turns that it has taken. She has, among others, introduced me to gender theory, and challenged me to think in new and alternative ways. She has also pushed me to reflect on how ancient Egypt is relevant in the larger scheme of things, especially when it comes to understanding the concept of family and gender today.

Symbols and Abbreviations

…	et cetera, omission from original text
[…]	lacuna, damaged text
[nbt]-pr	suggested restoration, reconstructed text
šmꜥy(t)	grammatical addition, common omission/inconsistency
⟨t⟩	error, correction
?	reading uncertain

LPH	life, prosperity and heath (*ꜥnḫ wḏꜣ snb*)

oPetrie 16	ostracon Petrie 16
pNaunakhti I	papyrus Naunakhti I
sAmara	stela Amara

CT	Coffin text
BD	Book of the Dead

BCE	Before common era
CE	Common Era

Urk.	*Urkunden des Aegyptischen Altertums*. 8 vols. K.Sethe, H.W. Helck, H. Schäfer, H. Grapow, O.Firchow (eds.). 1903-1957. Leipzig ; Berlin
LD	*Denkmäler aus Ägypten und Äthiopien*. 6 vols. K. R. Lepsius (ed.). 1897-1913. Berlin
PM	*Topographical Bibliography of Ancient Egyptian Hieroglyphic Texts, Reliefs, and Paintings*. 8 vols. B. Porter, R. L. B. Moss, J. Malek (eds.). 1927-2012. Oxford
HPKMB	*Hieratische Papyrus aus den königlichen Museen zu Berlin*. A. Erman, A. H. Gardiner (eds.). 1901- . Leipzig
LRL	*Late Ramesside Letters, Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca IX*. J. Černý. 1939. Bruxelles
HO	*Hieratic Ostraca*. A. H. Gardiner, J. Černy. 1957. Oxford

RAD   *Ramesside Administrative Documents*. A. H. Gardiner. 1968 [1948]. Oxford

HOP   *Hieratische Ostraka und Papyri aus der Ramessidenzeit*. 2 vols, *Urkunden zum Rechtsleben im alten Ägypten 1*. A. Schafik. 1973. Tübingen

HTBM   *Hieroglyphic Texts from Egyptian Stelae etc. in the British Museum*. 12 vols. 1911- . London

KRI   *Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical*. 9 vols. K. A. Kitchen, J. A. Roberson. 1968-2018. Oxford

RITA   *Ramesside Inscriptions: Translated and Annotated*. 7 vols. K.A. Kitchen. 1993-2014. Oxford

Kampp   *Die thebanische Nekropole: zum Wandel des Grabgedankens von der 18. bis zur 20. Dynastie*. 2 vols. F. Kampp. 1996. Mainz

Davies MSS Theban tomb tracings made by Norman and Nina De Garis Davies. Griffith Institute Archive, Oxford University ; http://www.griffith.ox.ac.uk/gri/4daviest.html

MoA   Ministry of Antiquities, Arab Republic of Egypt

BM   British Museum, London

MMA   Metropolitan Museum of Fine Arts, New York

OI   Oriental Institute Research Archives, University of Chicago

GIA   Griffith Institute Archive, University of Oxford

UC   Petrie Museum, University College, London

PRM   Pitt Rivers Musuem, Oxford

Bibl. Nat.   Bibliotèque nationale de France, Paris

Table of content

Illustrations

Introduction

Ancient Egypt is often alluded to as exotic, and for being different. Yet, at the same time, it may also seem strangely familiar. It is often asked: Was the relative position between men and women in ancient Egypt as up-side-down as, for example, Greek historians have claimed? Was gender in ancient Egypt more similar to everywhere else? Was ancient Egypt a patriarchal society? Is it at all appropriate to speak of gender in ancient Egypt?

The starting point of this dissertation is the position of women in the social and administrative hierarchy, including their place in the home and role in public life through a study of the most common non-royal female titles as they appear in the records from New Kingdom Egypt (1539-1077 BCE).[1] My primary concern is, however, not men and women as such, but rather how different bodies are understood through the relationship of knowledge and power. I give attention to signifying systems – that is, the ways in which societies represent and articulate the rules of social relationships and organization in order to create meaning through hierarchies of difference based on practices of inclusion and exclusion, etc. The intention is not to study single origins, such as individual bodies or the domestic sphere alone, but rather to explore relations, and to conceive of interconnected processes that cannot be disentangled.

I start with the claim that «… neither equality nor inequality is given but both are culturally constructed and historically specific. … Equality is not the lack of structure, and hierarchy is not a precondition of historicity. There is not the *one* egalitarian society as opposed to different kinds of hierarchal system. Instead, notions and/or structures of both may be present. Aspirations to become or remain more equal than others are likely to be subject to continuous re-negotiations».[2]

I aim to show that women (like men) were not ascribed a specific position, i.e. women (like men) did not constitute one homogeneous group, but rather existed in a number of different social sub-strata, where different men and women, both young and old, were 'actors'. I also aim to show that family and gender are not static dimensions, but rather are constantly being

---

[1] The chronology used here is largely based on Hornung, Krauss, and Warburton 2006, 492-493 ; See also for example Shaw 2002, 479-483

[2] Kienlin 2012, 19

negotiated, and that their representations and interpretations are determined by the context of the evidence, its specific genre and purpose, as well as the perspectives and questions proposed by scholars.

The way in which I will compare and explore variation in the representations of family and gender can be seen through two main genres – the monumental remains (tomb iconography, stelae and statues) and the non-literary ostraca and papyri (letters, notes, legal documents, accounts and administrative documents) – in conjunction with the use and distribution of non-royal female titles. These are the records in which men and women, both young and old, figure together most prominently during the New Kingdom. It is also of significance that these records are the ones that speak explicitly of, and actually provide, small glimpses into material-discursive practices of family and gender in ancient Egypt.

The overall aim of this thesis is thus to explore the different layers of material-discursive practices and to try and make sense of what often comes down to us as contradictory information about the structures that made up family and gender – that is, the acceptability of the system in New Kingdom Egypt. My aim is not so much to answer what family and gender really were, but rather to open up and allow the different records to speak, and through me disclose the manifold expressions of what family and gender potentially could have been. I address family and gender as a myriad of potential material-discursive practices and hypothesise that any strict divide between men and women as two homogenous categories, as well as between the private and the public based on gender alone, is not applicable to the ancient Egyptian context. I also hypothesize that there was neither equality nor an unambiguous gender hierarchy in ancient Egypt, but rather a multitude of different family and gender constellations.

Research questions and methodology

The dissertation consists of six chapters. Chapter one, presented herein, introduces the reader to the thesis and its overall aims. Chapter two is a theoretical and methodological chapter. Here I position myself within a theoretical landscape and propose a three-dimensional perspective on gender as a framework for this dissertation, as follows:

- The political perspective: focuses on social belonging and group membership;
- The cultural-discursive perspective: focuses on institutionalized cultural norms/coded patterns of cultural value on the relative standing of social actors, and;
- The socio-economic perspective: focuses on social mechanisms and institutions that (re)produce individuals.

In addition to the differences and similarities between, and even within single records, these perspectives allow me to paint a complex and multi-layered picture of what family and gender potentially could have been like in New Kingdom Egypt.

Chapters three to five, follow the abovementioned perspectives chronologically. Chapter three is a macro-levelled comparative study focusing on the distribution of non-royal female titles in the monumental remains and non-literary ostraca and papyri. I have gathered all known examples (according to specific criteria) and arranged these into a custom-made database, which includes a summary of the main dataset. Chapter four and five are largely based on meso- and micro-levelled case studies focusing on specific records and individuals, material-discursive practices and processes of signification, that structured the life of men and women, both young and old. Chapter four will largely draw on the monumental remains, while chapter five is concerned with the non-literary ostraca and papyri.

Through the course of chapter three to five, I seek to answer the following research questions:

1. What is a title? What can titles tell us about the role and status of women?

2. How are titles gendered? Are they consequently gendered?

3. What titles were given to non-royal women in New Kingdom Egypt? Which titles were most common?

4. Is there a connection between female titles and those of her husband (or other family members)?

5. What is the connection (if any) between the titles and the source in which they appear?

6. What was the symbolic significance of the female element in reaching the afterlife?

7. Did women achieve the afterlife in the same manner as men? Did there exist alternative strategies for women?

8. Were women always inferior to men?

9. Did there exist particular family strategies intended to motivate mutual support? How did these strategies affect relations between family members? How did this affect the position of specific women within their family and society at large?

10. Did female networks extend beyond the family household? Who did they network with?

11. What tasks did women carry out? Could they carry out the same tasks as men?

12. Are there patterns of change?

In order to tackle these questions and to better understand the picture that the records paint, I have approached them from two different angles: one that seeks patterns of historical change, and another that seeks disclosures of difference. These two approaches are intended to balance each other. Together they highlight that although the different records that we have found and that are available at hand may suggest change, the records are only fragments, and do not necessarily give the full picture. They may not even be representative for most people, and what was common practice. The records that we have are, however, the closest we get right now, and only future finds will tell if the picture painted here will change.

The dataset

As mentioned above, the starting point of this study is non-royal female titles. I have thus gathered all known examples attested in the material records and then arranged these into a custom-made database designed by the author using FileMaker Pro 12. The database comprises of a total of 4631 entries of individual women from the New Kingdom (see database on USB). Each entry includes the woman' name(s), title(s), her (familial) relations (primarily husband, father/mother and son/daughter) and their titles, the name(s) of the record(s), date and provenance, current location and bibliography.

The lack of name(s) obviously makes identification difficult. In addition, certain names are very common, and unless additional information about (familial) relations is available, it is impossible to determine whether an individual referred to in one record is the same individual referred to in another. Records from Deir el-Medina are perhaps the exception, but even here there are difficulties. Although I have connected records that refer to the same woman, it is impossible to avoid duplicate entries altogether.

It must be noted that obtaining a complete overview of the different records of the New Kingdom is a daunting task. It has thus been absolutely necessary to narrow down my search. The criteria for inclusion in the database, includes:

1. Records which bear non-royal female title(s);
2. Records of a specific genre: the monumental remains (tomb iconography, stelae, statues) and non-literary ostraca and papyri (letters, notes, legal texts, accounts, administrative texts).

This means that I have omitted records such as literary texts, temple iconography and objects from burial assemblages (coffins, ushabtis etc.) in order to keep the database manageable. However, they certainly lend themselves to further study in an extended version of this research in the future.

The database is not exhaustive, but I have included all records that I have had access to, and gained knowledge of, by way of publications, museum collections and in situ monuments. Direct access to the research archives at the Oriental Institute, University of Chicago, has been particularly rewarding in this respect. The staff at the Griffith Institute, University of Oxford, has also been extremely helpful whenever I have had an inquiry about any of their archival material. I have visited as many collections in Egypt, Europe and Northern America as possible, but unfortunately, I have not been able to visit, nor locate, the relevant objects in all of them. I have visited all in situ tombs within Egypt that are open to the public and asked, and been granted, special permission for all case studies discussed herein.

In retrospect, I am aware that there are some shortcomings to the database, especially in terms of its usability. For example, I should have had one single space in each entry, rather than multiple spaces (which is the case today), to enter all the known title-strings. It would have also been helpful to have marked each title-string with their specific context and record (when

multiple). In the current database, in cases where there are multiple records, it is not clear which record the title comes from unless you return to the record or publication as given in the bibliography.

The biggest difficulty, as I see it, is how I have presented the information about the specific forms of the different titles. I should have used one standard transliteration for all the different titles, and then made a second space for specific features such as lack of feminine *t*, genitive, article, determinative, abbreviation, etc.

Finally, kings with the same name have been numbered using Roman numerals, which has made specific searches by reign difficult. For example, if searching for entries dated to the reign of Thutmose I, entries dated to the reigns of Thutmose I-IV will appear, because all of these have the Roman numeral I in them. This is similarly the case for Amenhotep and Ramses. Moreover, it is not always possible to narrow down a date to a specific king's reign, which means I have had to prescribe a date range – for example, Horemheb-Ramses II, Dynasty 18-Dynasty 19. In consequence, the entry will appear when searching for all dates given – i.e. Horemheb, Ramses II, Dynasty 18 and Dynasty 19. Date ranges are difficult to work with when analysing the distribution of the different titles. I have thus used the highest reign/dynasty in the distributional analysis in chapter three.

The case studies

Based on the analysis of the database, and some of the more significant finds, I have made a further selection of records for study in chapter four and five. The different records are explored as case studies with particular focus on named individuals. Some of the case studies include more than one record.

In chapter four, the selection of records is based on a careful analysis of all New Kingdom tombs that to my knowledge carry reference to the wife as both *ḥmt.f* and *snt.f*[3], while searching for potential patterns in the use of these two ways of referring to 'his wife'. I have selected six case studies of purely pragmatic reasons. As I see it, these are the tombs and scenes that provide the best information, that actually allows us to explore the change in the reference to 'his wife' from *ḥmt.f* to *snt.f*, as well as the potential symbolic significance of

---

[3] See illustration 4.1 in the appendix. See also the database for reference to each specific case.

the wife, in particular *ḥmt.f* or *mwt.f* as the signifier of the feminine element. The selected tombs are all from Thebes, and identified as follows.

Case # 1	TT100 = tomb of Rekhmire
Case # 2	TT96 = tomb of Sennefer and Senetnay/Meryt
Case # 3	TT80 = tomb of Djehutynefer
Case # 4	TT55 = tomb of Ramose
Case # 5	TT50 = tomb of Neferhotep
Case # 6	TT255 = tomb of Roy and Nebettawy

I have selected two more case studies, among the very few tombs that potentially belong to women. The aim here is to further explore the assumed maleness of the creative force of regeneration and transformation. The selected tombs are from Sakkara and Thebes:

Case # 7	Bub. I.20 = tomb of Maia
Case # 8	TT250 = tomb of Ramose and Mutemwia

In chapter five, the selection is based on records, primarily non-literary ostraca and papyri, which mention specific women and thus provides an alternative account of reality. These records indicate variation, which suggest that men could also be inferior to women, and above all, that care and support was a more general concern. I have selected 13 case studies, among others, based on variations of the recurring formulae *ỉrt n.ỉ nfr* (who was good to me) and *krs sw ỉwꜥ sw* (bury him and inherit him). Some of the case studies will include more than one record. The records are largely (but not only) from Deir el-Medina, as follows:

Case # 9	oNáprstek Museum P 2027 = oPrague 1826
Case # 10	pBM EA 10055 = pSalt 124
	sManchester 4588 = stela of Hesysunebef
	oUC 19614
	sBankes No. 9 = stela of Nakhuemmut
	oAshmolean Museum 272 = oGardiner 272
Case # 11	oBerlin P 10629
Case # 12	oBM EA 65936 = oNash 6

Case # 13	TR 17/5/25/8 = tomb of Mose
Case # 14	Oxford Bowl = PRM, No. 1887.27.1
Case # 15	pCairo 58092 = pBulaq 10
	oUC 39617 = oPetrie 16
Case # 16	sBrooklyn Museum 38.544 = sAmara = stela of Hori
Case # 17	pAshmolean Museum 1945.97 = pGardiner I = pNaunakhte I
	pAshmolean Museum 1945.95 = pGardiner III = pNaunakhte IV
	pStato Civile 7
	sBM EA 278 = stela of Kenherkhepeshef
Case # 18	pAshmolean Museum 1945.96 = pAdoption
Case # 19	pTurin 2021 + pGeneva D 409
Case # 20	oUC 39619 = oPetrie 18
Case # 21	pLeiden I 371

I have selected six more case studies, which allow us to take the question about female inferiority a step further. Through these records, I aim to explore how some women were able to operate on the edge of the family household, take part in administration, and more importantly, how they were able to network and possibly contribute to their own, as well as the family economy. Again, some of the case studies will include more than one record. The records are largely (but not only) from Medinet Habu.

Case # 22	pUC 32784 = pGurob III.1
Case # 23	oAshmolean Museum 1945.37 + oAshmolean Museum 1945.33 + oMichaelides 90
Case # 24	pGeneva D 191 = LRL No. 37
	pBM EA 10430 = LRL No. 42
	pBibl. Nat. 198, ii = LRL No. 46
	pTurin 1895 + 2006 = pTurin Taxation
Case # 25	pBM EA 10412 = LRL No. 36
Case # 26	pTurin CGT 54101 = LRL No. 38
	pTurin Cat. 2069 = LRL No. 39
	pTurin Cat. 1973 = LRL No. 2
	pBM EA 10100 = LRL No. 30

Case # 27	pBerlin P 10489 = LRL No. 35
	pBerlin P 10487 = LRL No. 21
	pBerlin P 10488 = LRL No. 34

I have, of course, made my own transliterations, translations and analysis, but have no doubt benefited from the ability to consult earlier work. The fact is that most of the records studied here are well known to Egyptologists, and have already been transliterated, translated and analysed, some even numerous times. I thus give reference to a selected bibliography for each case study.

The different case studies are explored individually, in constant dialogue with the secondary literature. In accordance with the material differences between tombs, on the one hand, and non-literary ostraca and papyri, on the other, the approach to the case studies is somewhat different for the two chapters. The case studies in chapter four focus exclusively on specific scenes and texts within the monuments. These are described and analysed. The case studies in chapter five usually give the transliteration and translation of the text in question, followed by their description and analysis. The focus in the discussion is obviously concerned with the larger aims and research questions of this study. Key finds are extracted and included in the conclusions that follow each chapter.

For the most part, each chapter sets out on the macro level, be it political, cultural-discursive and socio-economic, focusing on the larger structures and patterns. Chapter four and five, however, zoom in on the meso and micro levels, i.e. the individual in relation to others and daily life. The overall approach is dialectic and comparative. I attempt to move between levels in order to try and make the different levels speak to one another.

While the main focus is New Kingdom material, parallels in earlier and later periods of ancient Egyptian history are also consulted whenever relevant. When making reference to other textual records, I have largely followed existing translations with only minor variations. This is pointed out in each case.

As tables and figures are intended to support the text, they are all found embedded in the chapters presented here. However, because of the limited size of the tables and figures within

the text, some of the details are difficult to see. These can be studied in more detail from the USB that accompanies this dissertation.

The USB also contains the database. The database can be accessed in PDF, Excel and Filemaker Pro 12 format.

The appendix includes all the illustrations.

The limitations of the records

Despite an exceptionally dense archaeological record, our knowledge about people living in New Kingdom Egypt is scattered. Historically, most of our knowledge comes from temples and tombs, and textual records have often been privileged.

The textual records are few and ambiguous, and often relate to state/temple affairs – and although disputed, for the most they only reflect the lives of the male elite and upper middle class at single locations. They are set in specific contexts, in material-discursive practices that might differ from what was common elsewhere.[4] They are also subject to various degrees of decorum. For example, the binary real/ideal is constantly at play. There is simply no way around the fact that any analysis of the textual records alone will be skewed.

It is an open question whether texts may reflect the larger population. It is estimated that 80-90 % of the population, which most likely comprised peasants, workers, craftsmen, servants etc., is only vaguely known to us in terms of the available evidence.[5] The most important reason for this is estimated literacy rates of 1-5 % among the total male population.[6] Local variations, such as estimated literacy rates up to 40 % at Deir el-Medina,[7] and an arguably larger number of semiliterate people might somewhat improve this representation.[8] It is, however, a problem that most of the available records are made for, and by, men. They concern male activity, and only a limited number include women: the latter are usually mentioned briefly in 3rd person, or seldom as one of the main actors.

---

[4] See for example McDowell 1994
[5] Neunert 2018, 228 ; See also Frood 2014, 476 ; Moreno Garcia 2014, 234
[6] Baines 2007, 49 ; Fischer-Elfert 2001, 439
[7] McDowell 1999, 4
[8] Fischer-Elfert 2001, 442 ; Sweeney 1993 ; Bryan 1985

No doubt *the* most important site of investigation into matters of daily life during the New Kingdom is the village of Deir el-Medina. This site has left more records than any other, in particular non-literary ostraca and papyri. The non-literary ostraca and papyri can, however, be quite challenging to come to grips with. Although there are surely recognizable patterns, particularly in the papyri,[9] this genre of record is not as coherent and standardized as, for example, the monumental remains. While the latter were intended for eternity, non-literary ostraca and papyri are claimed to have been written largely as aide-mémoire in face-to-face dealings.[10] Černý has, for instance, argued that legal texts consist «of an oral deposition made by the party before the court or witnesses and written down by a professional scribe». It was not «the written word alone, but the spoken word subsequently recorded as an actual event on a papyrus or ostracon that conferred upon the document its legal validity».[11] More specifically, some scholars' claim that the actual need for written documents, as opposed to the more commonly assumed oral tradition, proves that they are anomalies to what was regular practice.[12] In these cases the documents are what Muhs terms *non-normative alternative depositions,* arguably understood as negotiated alternatives to what was common normative practice.[13] Other scholars have pointed out that it is the survival of papyri that is the exception, and not necessarily the written documents as such. In fact, Hagen asserts that if «the surviving fragments … are indicative of the general level of scribal activity then they represent only a tiny fraction», i.e. they need not be exceptional nor unrepresentative to their original context.[14] The key question here is – how do we define regular practice in the first place? As I see it, there is no reason to believe that all texts at all times were written down with the same purpose in mind, always bound to one single normative practice, nor that textual records were only of value to literate people. Practice should rather be understood as individually and contextually determined.

Texts can be small personal notes, indeed scribbles that make little or no sense at all for anyone other than the author, or they can be carefully written documents with detailed accounts of specific events. Either way, the records often allow for more than one possible

---

[9] Legal and administrative documents can be argued to be formal documents. They convey a simple descriptive, and indeed sometimes highly formulaic account, but allude to a socio-economic reality, and crucially, they are not ideologically loaded compared to for example the monumental records.
[10] See for example Eyre 2013
[11] Černý 1945, 42
[12] David 2010, 5-9 ; Eyre 2007, 233-234 ; Jasnow 2003, 292-293
[13] Muhs 2017
[14] Hagen and Soliman 2018, 75 ; See also David 2010, 160 ; Haring 2003 ; Janssen 1982, 256-257; 1997

interpretation. Translation is interpretation, and inevitably involves flattening out a conceptual system, as well as cultural variables that can be different from our own.[15] The often-lacking contexts of the records create further uncertainties connected to the transmission of content from past to present.[16] It is not just a matter of genre, material and context, the different records can also be quite challenging from the point of palaeography. The late Egyptian hieratic script might be difficult to identify and transcribe. Coherent spelling and correct grammar are often lacking, as many of these texts are full of inaccuracies, additions and omissions. The material might be broken with fragments missing and/or ink faded and unclear.[17] Frandsen has rightly pointed out that «anyone who has ever spent time turning the pages of publications of papyri and ostraca from Deir el-Medina will be familiar with the feelings of frustration that many of these documents give rise to, either because of their bad state of preservation or because they presuppose a knowledge of things, persons and actions that are in fact unknown to us».[18]

Since the records have only survived as bits and pieces of a larger picture, there are multiple possible interpretations. Although surely not without problems, employing theory is our best shot at critically filling in the blanks and making any sense of past realities. Without theory it may be argued that «we are left trying to assemble the ancient data in a 'common sense' way, ultimately creating a past which is a shadow of our own preconceptions, without the benefit of reflexivity as promoted by modern theories».[19]

I will return to the discussion about the records and the use of modern theory in chapter two.

---

[15] See for example Meskell 2002, 8-9

[16] Quirke 2004, 3, 15 ; Franke 1984; Ward has argued that interpretive translations of the ancient Egyptian language would require extensive discussions, often subjective and therefore inconclusive (Ward 1986, 1-2).

[17] For a discussion on the significance of the material, see for example Mandeville 2014, 7-11; Eyre 2013, 22-30 ; McDowell 1990, 3-9

[18] Frandsen 1992, 31

[19] Warden 2014, 3

Motivation: the gender paradox in Egyptology

> Different sources treat the role of women in different ways; all must be taken into account.[20]

There is an inevitable overlap between the political, cultural-discursive and socio-economic perspectives applied here, and their relative importance might vary according to each specific context. However, it is crucial for anyone addressing gender issues, that they do not reduce one perspective to another, but rather assume a holistic analysis incorporating all three perspectives. Moreover, any analysis of gender has to take all the available records into consideration, or at the very least, they have to take a qualified representative sample.

Earlier scholars were surely aware of this, but still arrived at very different interpretations of gender, often contradicting one another. In addition to a potential lack of balance in choice of records and perspectives, there may be temporal and spatial variations. Some scholars (particularly in the past) may have been coloured by, for example, the Greek historians Herodot and Diodorus Siculus descriptions of gender in ancient Egypt, as opposite everywhere else.[21] More importantly, there also seems to be some confusion in the use and understanding of concepts, such as body, sex, gender and sexuality, possibly caused by a lack of attention to the theoretical background of these concepts. One may of course argue that what seems to be conceptual and theoretical confusion is, in fact, an accurate representation of the lack of sharp distinction between the different concepts.

The aim here is not to give an extensive review of the state of current research, but rather to give a brief overview of existing 'definitions' of gender in ancient Egypt by those whom I consider to be among the most important contributors. The presentation of these definitions may be argued artificial (there is surely an overlap between them), superficial and taken out of context. By way of the definitions below, however, I attempt to set the stage for the larger discussion of this dissertation: what was family, and above all, gender in New Kingdom Egypt? In addition to this brief overview, chapters three to five have their own state of current research based on the perspective under study. The point here is that the different quotes, understood as definitions of gender, reveal what I describe as four main positions – what I have called *the gender paradox in Egyptology*.

---

[20] Baines and Eyre 2007 [1983], 88
[21] Herodot II:35 ; Diodorus Siculus I, 27:1-2

The first position includes those who downplay the significance of gender, and perhaps argue for a more gender fluid/neutral/equal society. It is curious to notice that three out the five contributions here are from the Nordic region. Among these scholars include Finnestad, Parkinson, Toivari-Viitala, Troy, Langráfová and Navrátilová:

> … behind the Egyptians' lack of interest in absolute ontological separations there lies a total conception of being as life – life generating and manifesting itself in a diversity of forms.[22]

> It is now widely accepted that sexuality as a dominant characteristic force was not recognised in the ancient world: sexual preferences were acknowledged, but only as one would recognise someone's taste in food without characterising him or her on that basis as a member of a sub-species of mankind. In Europe and the Mediterranean, personal identity seems not to have been defined in terms of sexual preferences before at least the seventeenth century AD…[23]

> As it is quite hard to identify strict divides based on gender, it seems feasible that such polarization was not the main principle which structured life at Deir el-Medina. … Despite the fact that the non-literary texts present only a fragmentary picture of life at Deir el-Medina, one strongly gets the impression that pragmatic considerations usually took precedence over "ideological" ones (such as ideal sex/gender roles). However, this is not to say that such roles did not exist, but that these could be defined and redefined when the need for it arose.[24]

> Gender was not biologically delimited in the symbolism of ancient Egypt. It was projected as a mode to which both biological sexes could, and did, have access. … The inclusion of women in the presentation of the power of the kingship was not an anomaly, but rather a regularly reoccurring feature that explicated the view that power was an androgynous realm.[25]

> It seems that in ancient Egypt we can find more similarities between the sexes.[26] … One might enquire why so much attention is paid to sexuality, especially if we end up claiming that the concept as such did not exist in ancient Egypt and that even the delimitations of gender roles (though perhaps not genders) in Egyptian society are expected to be rather fluid and not too restrictive. It is because modern understanding – indeed even modern concept understanding – of the role of sexuality in Egyptian

---

[22] Finnestad 1989, 33 ; Although Finnestad's concern is not gender as such, her claim about absolute ontological separation has significance for the understanding of gender as well.

[23] Parkinson 1995, 59 ; Parkinson's main concern here is sexuality, and not gender as such. I have, however, chosen to include Parkinson here because sexuality may be argued to impede on gender. See for example the definition by Robins below.

[24] Toivari-Viitala 2001, 237-238

[25] Troy 2003, 93

[26] Landgráfová and Navrátilová 2009, 61

society has influenced Egyptological readings of these texts, the manner we translate and interpret their imagery, and what we expect to have happened in the past.[27]

The second position includes those who stress the significance of gender and argue that society was patriarchal/hierarchal. Among these scholars include Lichtheim, Meskell and Joyce, Roth, Eyre and Graves-Brown:

It is mere hyperbole to claim, as is now sometimes done, that the women of ancient Egypt enjoyed full equality with men. True is that their social and legal rights was much above that of women elsewhere in the ancient world. Inter alia it included their ability to consecrate the funerary monuments of deceased male relatives.[28]

… Ancient Egypt was essentially patriarchal and succumbed to aspirations for male dominance in the representational and social sphere, to circumscribe female sexuality. Nonetheless, our sources reflect a certain ambivalence toward male sexuality. There are worrying ambivalences, such as the celebration of the male genitalia as signifiers of power, phallic intimidation, sexuality and fertility, juxtaposed with the trend against male nudity in the representational arts, in strict opposition to the portrayal of women. This gendered difference reveals a concern for the elite status of clothed men, in contrast to women's inherent sexualized representations. Despite what many scholars have argued for decades, Egyptian eroticism is not exclusively tied to fertility, fertility symbolism or even mutuality, since these characteristics are often at odds with creation myths that describe how mankind arose from an act of masturbation, spittle or tears of the eye – all fluid emanating from the autonomous, deified, male body.[29]

… the separation of their (the gods) sexes was essential to the definition of existence itself. Existence was defined by such contrast rather than by mere physical presence; nothing could exist unless it could be contrasted with something else. For men to have existed, women must have been in existence from the very same moment; the two sexes were therefore regarded as of equal antiquity and equally essential to the existence and functioning of the created universe. The maintenance of the boundaries between the two sexes and their contrasting expression in the gender roles of the population were thus essential to the existence of any people at all.[30]

The Egyptian social context is one of clear sexual division of roles in the functioning of the household as an economic unit: a woman must carry out the work of the house; a house without a woman is inconceivable; and for a man to take on that social role of a woman – to act socially as a woman – is at a higher level of social disruption than mere sexual aberrance. That is to say, a male household within

[27] Landgráfová and Navrátilová 2009, 219
[28] Lichtheim 1988, 37
[29] Meskell and Joyce 2003, 101
[30] Roth 2005, 212

which one partner took on an entire female social role – the permanent female gender role – and not merely a female sexual position for individual sexual acts, is probably outside the social experience.[31]

Women generally did not have the same rights or wealth as men. Ancient Egypt was a patriarchal society. … Not only do women … seem to be the chattel of men. … Men then, as now, dominated the administrative hierarchy. … While women worked just as hard as men, work in the home, or women's work then, as now, does not appear to have been so highly regarded as men's work.[32]

The third position includes those who point out the complex, and often contradictory nature of the records. Among these scholars include Johnson, Bryan, Wilfong, Szpakowska and Sabbahy:

From our earliest preserved records (Old Kingdom), the formal status of Egyptian women (unmarried, married, divorced, or widowed) was identical with that of men. Differences in social status between individuals are evident in almost all products of this ancient culture; its art, its texts, its archaeological record. … This distinction between women's legal status in ancient Egypt and their public or social status is of major importance in understanding how the Egyptian system worked.[33]

Our evidence derives from sources that must be evaluated in various ways. … All of these types of sources must be combined to form something resembling a full picture. Crucial to an understanding is the fact that ancient Egypt was not a monolithic society in which all women were viewed in the same way and received the same respect or lack of it. … Within this overarching structure, however, individual male attitudes towards women were disparate; sometimes we are able to glimpse true marital partnerships and, at other times, unmistakable gender bias.[34]

Gender can be defined, in its most basic sense, as the sets of social constructions relating to, arising from and imposed on biological sex. … Ancient Egypt was a highly gendered society: the Egyptians presented themselves in text and image in very specifically gendered ways, and the material culture of ancient Egypt reveals a complex system of gendered divisions. … This wide array of evidence for gender in ancient Egypt, though, is frequently ambiguous and often hard to interpret as a whole; it has been more common to focus on a single area of investigation: women in ancient Egypt. … A detailed examination of the Egyptian evidence, though, reveals a decidedly mixed picture of women's status and roles, which varied widely by status and individual circumstance, and changed over time.[35]

---

[31] Eyre 2007, 224-225
[32] Graves-Brown 2010, 4-5
[33] Johnson 1996, 175
[34] Bryan 1996, 25
[35] Wilfong 2007, 205-206

In all societies we can consider models of gender roles and of sexuality as projected in layers that vary by social context, as well as by media and purpose.[36] … skeletal malformations associated with repeated heavy lifting and physical labor were found in male and female skeletons of all social ranks. This suggests that gender differentiation in terms of work was perhaps not as strict as is suggested by visual representations.[37]

Ancient Egyptian society was highly gendered. Participation in most aspects of ancient Egyptian life depended on whether one was male or female. Positions, jobs, and almost all types of labour were divided by sex. … It does not appear that ancient Egyptian culture was sexist in the sense that women were demeaned and seen as the inferior gender. … Based on the written evidence preserved from ancient Egypt, women had the same legal rights as men.[38]

The fourth position includes those who focus on the dynamic/discursive nature of gender. Among these scholars include Sweeney, Robins and Williamson:

An individual's "sex" may be defined as whether the body of that individual is identified as male or female, or as one of various possible manifestations of intersexuality … Gender, on the other hand, may be defined as the sum of constantly changing associations, attitudes, and practices prescribed by human social groups for their members according to their sexed bodies. Individuals may engage in socially prescribed practices and assume socially prescribed attitudes in different degrees; one would thus speak of masculinity or masculinities (different ways of "being a man" in different settings), femininity and femininities, and other genders. Although sex is based on an individual's physical body, and is normally assigned by primary sexual characteristics at birth, it is nonetheless a cultural concept …[39]

Although based on assigned sex, gender goes far beyond any biological differences that may be thought to dictate the way individuals of different sexes are supposed to behave, thus expanding the differences into areas where they become completely artificial. Gender is not, therefore, a biological outcome, but a social construct that must be learned from birth. It is created through performance by and between individuals, and is only meaningful when enacted in relation to other individuals within a social context in which all the actors are participants. Socially acceptable presentations of gender construct, maintain, and reinforce a culture's gender system … Like gender, ideas of sexuality are based on, but go beyond, biological sex. Although sexuality is to some extent biologically driven, the result of this drive can be constructed into a system of socially acceptable sexual behaviour … sexuality is enacted differently in different contexts and across different cultures … Sexuality and gender are closely intertwined, and the norms of the former may be dictated by the constructions of the latter. Therefore, such questions as what it means to be male or female can be answered in terms of both gender and sexuality.[40] … To

---

[36] Szpakowska 2007, 394
[37] Szpakowska 2012, 33
[38] Sabbahy 2013, 2876-2878
[39] Sweeney 2011, 1
[40] Robins 2015, 121

examine sexuality in art, we must go beyond the current western fixation on sexual orientation to look at all aspects of sexual experience: attractiveness, desire, arousal, physical sex, conception, pregnancy, and childbirth. We also need to understand the role of sex in ideas about the creation and maintenance of the cosmos.[41]

Gender and status are not universal and ahistorical givens, but rather ways to account for social relations that are different in every society. By trying to look not for power or agency, but for what a special culture valued as important, we can start to reveal women in more roles of significance, instead of consigning them to the single heading of "disempowered".[42]

The key to understand what I have called the gender paradox in Egyptology is the history of the discipline itself. Although argued to be a prolific branch of anthropology, that would illuminate the general history of mankind more than any other discipline,[43] interaction with other disciplines is not the true story of Egyptology in the 20th century. Egyptology has clearly privileged narrow cultural-historical approaches, focusing on textual and archaeological details instead of taking part in broader theoretical discussions.[44]

Despite its cross-disciplinary potential, Egyptology has only had limited connection to other academic disciplines,[45] and the vast dataset has even, by some, been described as *closed territory*.[46] The interdisciplinary potential between art historians, philologists and archaeologists has also not been recognized.[47] Even today, it is argued that the discipline occupies «a curious position within this academic landscape, somewhere between archaeology and history»,[48] and that «the development of theory continues to be a relatively niche approach within Egyptology».[49]

---

[41] Robins 2015, 129
[42] Williamson 2015, 192
[43] Griffith 1901, 9 ; This claim is a mystery to me. I recognize, of course, that the American and UK educational model usually classify Egyptology together with archaeology as sub- and sister-disciplines of social and cultural anthropology. This, however, is not the tradition in the European and Scandinavian educational system, where Egyptology is usually classified under history and language. Although clearly a study of culture, different from our own both temporally and spatially, with great potential outside Egyptology, the discipline must not be mistaken to be anything but a historical study.
[44] See for example Howley and Nyord 2018, vi ; Nyord 2018, 73
[45] Carruthers 2015, particularily part I, 17-77
[46] Riggs 2014, 2
[47] Weeks 1979; «History may tend to privilege written above material context, without discussion, while archaeology may eject all written sources as elite, without defining elite (Scott 2008, 27).
[48] Quirke 2015, 4
[49] Olabarria 2018, 89

I am not saying that Egyptology has been completely siloed,[50] but research has often been purely based on Egyptian art, text or archaeology, not a combination. It is also of significance that although the overarching thematic focus of Egyptology has shifted over the years, the lack of attention to other disciplines, has caused Egyptology to lag behind the general research front.[51] As in historical and archaeological research more generally, one can chronologically distinguish between the focus on the long lines of political history, studies of previously inaccessible topics, studies that challenge established knowledge of traditional topics, and studies that problematize social organization and power dimensions in a new way.[52]

Although the remnants of the past are still very much present within Egyptology, over the last decade increased attention has been given to the history of the discipline itself, leading scholars and institutions (museums and universities) to become more self-aware and reflective on their role, both politically and ethically, and consequently interpretations and biases are being questioned to a larger degree than ever before.[53]

There is a strong tradition of women studies within Egyptology, but true gender research has only recently gained momentum. This new wave, or moment of scholarly interest,[54] is radically different from the earlier tradition. In today's demanding scholarly climate where there is much emphasis placed on the impact of research, closed disciplines are no longer viable. Instead, cross- and interdisciplinary research is hailed as the future and potential key to the subject's survival. Theoretical input from other disciplines and methodological awareness, together with the rich archaeological record, appear as the driving force.[55] Old paradigms are being questioned, and the records are being viewed from new perspectives. It is my claim that this approach is far-reaching, particularly when it comes to learning more about the manifold expressions of what family and gender potentially could have been in New Kingdom Egypt. Moreover, only by taking seriously the differences and similarities in the records, in different

---

[50] It has for example been argued that the work of some of the more important scholars do «reflect knowledge of, but rarely establish explicit links to, relevant anthropological literature» (O'Connor 1997, 2).
[51] Carruthers 2015
[52] See for example Scott 1999 [1983]
[53] Meskell 2007, 28; 2012
[54] For the argument about moments rather than the more well-known wave metaphor, see for example Masterson, Rabinowitz, and Robson 2015, 3 ; Griselda Pollock also mentioned this in the lecture "Is Feminism a Bad Memory? Or Have we Created a Bad Memory of Feminism?" that she gave at the University of Oslo, 3. November 2017. See Pollock 2019
[55] See for example Wendrich 2018 ; Bussmann 2015

spatial and temporal contexts, can we hope to find new and potentially better ways to grapple with the complications inherent in our own assumptions and categorizations.

The historical context: New Kingdom Egypt

New Kingdom Egypt is a period of about 500 years, stretching from ca. 1539 to 1077 BCE. The period is divided into three dynasties: the 18th Dynasty from ca. 1539 to 1292 BCE; the 19th Dynasty from 1292 to 1191 BCE; and the 20th Dynasty from 1190 to 1077 BCE. The latter two dynasties are also known as the Ramesside Period (1292-1077 BCE). For more details about dynasties and reigns, see illustration 1 in the appendix.

The New Kingdom was established after years of civil war between the 17th Dynasty Theban rulers in the south, and the 15th Dynasty Hyksos, who ruled from the northern capital Avaris. The victorious Thebans continued the wars after having united the country and expanded Egyptian influence to the areas of Euphrates/Tigris in the northeast, and far into Nubia in the south. During the 18th Dynasty, Egypt was *the power* in the Near Middle East controlling resources from a vast empire. For more details about the geography, see illustration 2 in the appendix.

It is of particular interest to this study that a potentially large number of men were away from their homes, conscripted to royal expeditions of war, building projects and mining activities. In fact, David has suggested that as many as 1/10 of all men could be enrolled during the reign of Ramses II.[56] Conclusively, civil war and later *imperialism*[57] may have had similar effects on men and women in New Kingdom Egypt, as both the First and Second World Wars had in more recent history. It is also of significance that the Theban royal women seem to have «played key roles in the fight to regain independence from the Hyksos, which extended for several generations. Their participation was encouraged by the men of the family and they were honoured for their contributions».[58] It is hypothesized that these royal women set the standard, and stand to represent what is to come, the golden era of the New Kingdom, a period that indeed has been dubbed by scholars as 'formidably feminine'.[59]

As a consequence of the empire, there was significant contact across borders, no doubt making an impact on men and women, both young and old. It seems likely, however, that Lower Egypt (the Delta) had more contact with the Mediterranean world, while Upper Egypt

---

[56] David 1998, 171, 272 ; There is to date little knowledge about conscription of women in ancient Egypt. Recent studies of the human remains at the North Tomb Cemetery at Tell el-Amarna suggest that young girls were also part of the conscripted (or forced) workforce. See for example Stevens and Dabbs 2018, 12-13
[57] See for example Morris 2005
[58] Ziegler 2008, 193
[59] See for example Lesko 1996, 13-26 ; Onstine 2005, 29 ; Whale 1989, 241

(the Nile valley) had more contact with Nubia. Urban settlements, moreover, are likely to have been more exposed to influence than sparsely populated areas.

During the 18th Dynasty, the royal administration was moved from Thebes, and remained for most of the New Kingdom in Memphis, strategically located between Upper and Lower Egypt, just south of modern day Cairo. During the reign of Ramses II (1279-1213 BCE), Pi-Ramesses, which was located within the Delta to the northeast of modern day Cairo, became the capital. The religious stronghold was Thebes, by modern day Luxor. The provincial administration, consisted of 22 nomes (districts) in Upper and 21 nomes in Lower Egypt that took care of business at the local level.[60]

The significance of the river Nile cannot be exaggerated. The Nile was not only the place of travel, but also the life force of the country. People lived along the river, and the size of settlement populations «depended on the area of land cultivated and its carrying capacity».[61] In line with the political situation during the New Kingdom, moreover, the demographic centre of gravity is argued to have moved from Upper to Lower Egypt. This was not just caused by movement within the country, but also because of immigration from the Levant and later also Libya.[62] The estimated population of Egypt during the New Kingdom varies between three and five million.[63] It is assumed that 2/3 of the population lived in Lower Egypt, while 1/3 lived in Upper Egypt.[64] With the exception of the oases, the deserts were largely barren.

As an empire, Egypt prospered, arguably with «wealth in plenty stored and in circulation, offering to all the prospect or the dream of a life far above subsistence level».[65] The temples of Egypt, those of Amen, in particular, were awarded in plenty for the success of the empire, and as a consequence they and their priesthood grew large and powerful. During the Ramesside Period, however, what is known as the *Dark Ages* saw gradual, but dramatic changes in the larger Mediterranean world.[66] Although this period was not as disastrous for

---

[60] Snape 2014, 60-63 ; «Focal points conceived to organize and collect agricultural revenue from the fields under their control dotted the Egyptian countryside (Moreno Garcia 2014, 246).
[61] Eyre 2014, 303
[62] Meskell 2002, 52
[63] See for example Kemp 2006, 49-51 ; Trigger et al. 1983, 190; Butzer 1976, 80-87
[64] Hagen and Soliman 2018, 74
[65] Kemp 2006, 333
[66] See for example Cline 2014

Egypt as it was for some of its neighbours, Egypt was no longer the unchallenged power, and together with other social, economic and political factors, including the powerful priesthood, royal power gradually declined,[67] and in the end dissolved into the Third Intermediate Period (ca.1076-723 BCE).

---

[67] See for example Cline and O'Connor 2012

Conclusion

It may seem strange, and out of place, to include the lyrics of Katy Perry in a dissertation such as this. Not only have I listened to this song more times than I can count while writing this dissertation, there is also something about the lyric that resonates with what I am trying to say. Although I can only see the world from my own point of view (the ego), my view is only one out of many. Although I may feel like I am in charge of my own life, making major decisions, I am continuously affected by forces that are outside my reach. I am only a small piece in the bigger picture.

<div align="center">***</div>

The scholarly focus has up until quite recently been on the macro level of society only. The social structures of ancient Egypt have been described as strongly hierarchal, often referring to a social pyramid that comprises of king, elite and rest. There has also been a tendency of prioritising the national level, rather than the provincial and local levels.[1041] During the last decades, the exclusive focus on the macro level and the larger structures of society have, among others, been challenged by studies of daily life, studies that focus on the meso and micro levels of society. Meskell has, for example, advocated strongly for a larger focus on the individual experience.

It has no doubt been necessary to adjust the focus. It is my claim, however, that an exclusive focus on the micro level is equally problematic to that of the macro level. Although it is true that the micro world is the social reality for most individuals,[1042] the micro level is not detached from the macro level.[1043] It cannot be a question of the one or the other. Rather, it is my claim that the different levels form a symbiotic system, and the extended household was the beating heart of this system. Urban settlements are also good examples of how individuals, families, extended households and state co-existed and to some extent merged, each being heavily dependent on the other. This system not only affected the individual, but also attached the settlement to its periphery through large networks of patronage, way beyond the household unit. In order to grasp the ramifications of this system, it is of the utmost

---

[1041] See for example Trigger 2004, 51-54
[1042] Neunert 2018, 228
[1043] Frood 2014

importance to strive for an account that does not miss the overarching look into the detailed. The specific can only be understood through the system that it belongs to.

I have thus proposed a three-dimensional perspective on family and gender as a framework for this dissertation, as follows:

- The political perspective: focuses on social belonging and group membership;
- The cultural-discursive perspective: focuses on institutionalized cultural norms/coded patterns of cultural value on the relative standing of social actors;
- The socio-economic perspective: focuses on social mechanisms and institutions that (re)produce individuals.

I have claimed that anyone addressing gender issues, must not reduce one perspective to another, but rather assume a holistic analysis incorporating all three perspectives. I have also claimed that a representative sample of all available records has to be taken into consideration.

In order to better understand the picture that the records paint, I have approached them from two different angles: one that seeks patterns of historical change, and another that seeks disclosures of difference.

Patterns of historical change

In terms of historical change, I have found that women become more visible in the records over the course of the New Kingdom, in particular from the 18th to the 19th Dynasty, and interpret this as a sign of increased recognition of women within the Egyptian society. For example, the change in reference to 'his wife' from *ḥmt.f* to *snt.f* in the monumental remains suggest that relations between husband and wife shifted. I have traced this shift as a gradual historical change that may have started in the Middle Kingdom, motivated both from above and below in the social hierarchy. This change is most visible in the New Kingdom tomb iconography from the reign of Hatshepsut/Thutmose III onwards.

I have suggested that, among others, this change may have to do with a shift in the focus of the Solar-Osirian cycle, from a stronger emphasis on the Osirian part in the early 18th Dynasty, to the Solar part gradually becoming more important, in particular in the Ramesside Period. It has been suggested here that for the Osirian cult, women were primarily needed as a medium, i.e. the feminine element, to stimulate and facilitate transformation. In the Solar cult,

it is suggested that the wife became less important as a medium, but more important in her own right. In the Solar cult it was rather the gods, and Hathor in particular, who stimulated and facilitated the transformation of the deceased.

More specifically, it is a common feature that certain reigns have an overall higher frequency of non-royal female titleholders than others. There are three main clusters of higher frequency: 1) from the reign of Hatshepsut to Amenhotep IV/Akhenaten, with peaks in the reigns of Thutmose III and Amenhotep III; 2) from Horemheb to Merenptah, with a peak in the reign of Ramses II; and 3) around the reign of Ramses III. We may assume that the clusters, and particularly the peaks, illustrate temporal nexuses of female visibility. The 19th Dynasty, and the reign of Sety I in particular, has the highest annual number of titleholders.

From the reign of Thutmose IV, there is a clear decrease in the use of *ḥmt* (wife), and this occurs proportionally with an increase in the use of *snt* (sister). This change is primarily caused by a radical change in the reference to 'his wife' in the monumental remains. It is curious that there is no trace of this change in the non-literary ostraca and papyri. This suggests that there may be a symbolic significance to the change in reference to 'his wife' in the monumental remains.

I have suggested that *ḥmt.f* and *mwt.f/.s* signified the feminine element in the tomb, and that *snt.f* had less to do with the symbolic feminine element, and more to do with an increasing recognition of the wife as 'his sister', as his alter ego and co-occupant of the tomb. I have also suggested that *ḥmt.f* may imply a pre-transformation state, while *snt.f* may imply a post-transformation state. This later suggestion is, however, inconclusive.

In the non-literary ostraca and papyri, phrases such as *iw.st m-di* (she is with) and *iw.st m ḥmt n* (she is the wife of), and the title *ḥbsyt* (wife), are rare, but seemingly used interchangeably with the more common *ḥmt* (wife). It is thus unclear from the records whether or not they indicate altogether different kinds of relationships or, simply, are different ways of communicating the same thing.

In addition to what I have called relational titles, women are also often referred to by institutional titles. The title *nbt-pr* (lady of the house) is the most common title in the corpus. Different from the Middle Kingdom, where this title is found in various genre of records, it is

particular to the New Kingdom that this title is, with few exceptions, is only found in the title-strings of women in the monumental remains. Different from the Middle Kingdom, where it is suggested that the titleholder held some kind of socio-economic independence,[1044] it is for the New Kingdom difficult to see any particular significance to this title.[1045] The variations *nbt-pr.f* and *nbt-pr nb.s* are interesting, and may imply that the traditional form signifies more independence in relation to the household. This is inconclusive, however, and may have more to do with the records in question rather than then position of the titleholder as such.

Another important change is the increasing popularity of titles such as *ꜥnḫt nt niwt* (woman of the city) and *šmꜥyt nt imn* (musician of Amen). I have suggested that these titles imply a change in focus from the domestic sphere of the household, to the public, in particular city/village and temple.

More specifically, the title *ꜥnḫt nt niwt* becomes common in the Ramesside Period, and particularly in the late 20th Dynasty. It is with few exceptions only found in the non-literary ostraca and papyri. It seems to belong to the daily life sphere and is often found in (semi-) formal and socio-economic contexts. It is also suggested that the titleholder may have been connected to the state and/or a state initiated urban settlement in some way.

The title *šmꜥyt* (musician) becomes common from Hatshepsut/Thutmose III onwards. This title is often found in the title-strings of women in the monumental remains, and it is thus difficult to see its particular significance. I have suggested that the purpose of the *šmꜥyt* (together with other musicians and dancers) was to invoke and attract the divine – in particular, the presence of Hathor, who was *the* feminine element in the Ramesside Period. The *šmꜥyt* is, however, also found in other contexts, such as in the Late Ramesside Letters and administrative documents. Based on the latter context, I have suggested that, in addition to their ritual and performative function in temple and tomb, the title holder could also be responsible for the daily offerings and the magazines of Amen-Re, as well as management of people, land, animals and the produce made by, and of, the former.

The title *wrt ḫnrwt nt imn-rꜥ* (chief of the female clergy of Amen-Re) is most common in the Ramesside Period and is primarily (but not only) found in the monumental remains.

[1044] See for example Stefanović and Satzinger 2015, 337
[1045] See for example Schreiber 2015, 18 ; Toivari-Viitala 2001, 18

Interestingly, this is the only title that may be argued directly related to the titles of other family members, in particular husband, father and/or son. Although over 80 per cent of all women referred to as *wrt ḫnrwt nt ỉmn-rˁ* had other family members who were affiliated to Amen-Re, or other related gods, only about 30 per cent had a husband or father who were *ḥm-nṯr tpy n ỉmn-rˁ* (high priest of Amen-Re).

The title *wsỉr* (Osiris) is commonly found in the monumental remains and is also used to refer to deceased women (not only men) from the reign of Tutankhamen onwards. The use of this title is a clear example that women also aspired to be transformed into a new state of being and to remain with their family, in much the same way as men did. It is curious to note that women are never referred to as *wsỉr* and *ḥmt.f* or *snt.f* in the same title-string. They may, however, be referred to as *nbt-pr* and/or *šmˁyt* in the same title-string.

In some few cases, specific women are represented in more than one record, referred to by altogether different titles. The change in titles obviously reflects the context and relation to the primary individual in the record. It may also indicate a time difference, and that his/her rank and position had changed. Specific titles are also tied to different contexts, in particular the genre of the different records. For example, the titles *sȝt* (daughter), *snt* (wife) and *nbt-pr* (lady of the house) are typically found on the monumental remains, while *šrỉt* (daughter), *ḥmt* (wife) and *ˁnḫt nt nỉwt* are typically found in the non-literary ostracon and papyri. The title *šmˁyt nt ỉmn* (musician of Amen) overlaps and is common in both genre of records, but never occur together with *ˁnḫt nt nỉwt* in the same record. A woman can, however, be referred to as *šmˁyt nt ỉmn* in one record and *ˁnḫt nt nỉwt* in another.

Disclosures of difference

The main find of this dissertation is that gender in ancient Egypt cannot be described unilaterally. Instead, gender must be defined according to situated material-discursive practices – that is, as these practices appear in each specific relation, at each specific encounter. It must be acknowledged that there are variations between, and even within, single records.

Definitions are disclosures – that is, they are one out of many interpretations, that are dependent on a number of factors, such as the genre and purpose of specific records. In general, the monumental remains give a hyper-gendered account, where individual actors are

explicitly marked as either male or female, both textually and visually. However, although still suggesting that society was strongly hierarchal, women and others (children, larger family members, colleagues etc.) seem to become more visible in the records. The non-literary ostraca and papyri are more ephemeral and less gender focused. They do, however, suggest that society was becoming more egalitarian, and that class and status stand out as equal, if not more important than, gender.

Non-royal female titles are case in point. Titles were used as identification – as a basic, indeed explicit expression of sex, group membership and/or social belonging. Their primary function was to indicate where the titleholder's place in society were. In theory, titles differentiate between men and women by the so-called feminine t ending, determinative, as well as more or less gender specific titles. In practice, titles often come in title-strings, followed by a name and determinative, and although the individual title may not reveal the sex of its owner, the full title-string, name and determinative most likely do. In this regard, it seems that the monumental records were more standardized than the non-literary ostraca and papyri. In the latter, we often find abbreviations, the genitive and feminine t is often lacking, making both name and determinative the prime markers of sex. In Late Egyptian, articles are usually the safest marker, but there are also spelling mistakes that sometimes make the sex of individuals difficult to determine, if at all possible.

It is my claim that family contracts based on reciprocal bonds of mutual support had significant ramifications for the relationship between generations, but also for the relationship between men and women: the status, position, authority and well-being of the individual, women and men alike, depended on his/her possessions, social belonging, and his/her networks of reciprocity and patronage.

Some women clearly inherited, owned and disposed of their own, as well as others, property. There is no doubt about it. It remains an open question, however, if this ability to administer and dispose of their own property was caused by the fact that the woman in question was widowed, because her children were still young, because her husband allowed her to, or simply because she had the means to do so.

It is also curious that, in the case of Mose's family, both his grandmother and mother, lost their rights to the guardianship, and only regained this right when they joined forces with their sons. Although gender may be the main reason for this, there may be other reasons as well.

Moreover, the existence of the guardianship suggests that one often strove to keep landed property intact, to prevent them from becoming too small to be run efficiently. It seems clear from the documents that have survived that family property was divided among heirs, but that strategies, such as adoption, could be employed to keep property in the hands of certain chosen individuals. Although it is reasonable to assume that endogamy was common practice, I have suggested that the division of family property may not only have been a matter of keeping property within the family, but also about sharing the right amount of property, in order to attract new and favourable family members – family members who came from wealthy backgrounds and were already property owners. As such, the ultimate aim of sharing property, could actually be to expand on existing family property. In this system of keeping and sharing property, it seems that women had a significant role to play.

I have speculated whether the legal practice (laws/sayings of Pharaoh, precedent and oracular consultations) could be understood as measures that at some point had been taken to secure the rights of the individual, indeed women's legal rights. For example, during the New Kingdom it is a recurring theme that 1/3 of the joint property belonged to the wife, husband and children respectively,[1046] but also that s/he who supported in old age and/or buried should inherit. Although inheritance may have been a normative given, it clearly functioned as a security mechanism that could be altered – that is, you had to earn your share.

The genre of record seems to matter less when it comes to family. Instead, both the monumental remains and the non-literary ostraca and papyri seem largely to agree that reciprocal bonds existed between husband and wife, between siblings, and above all, between generations. For example, it seems quite clear that the potential life-giving force was not thought possible or sustainable without the manifestation and indeed participation of both the masculine and feminine elements. In general, it is claimed that the masculine and feminine

---

[1046] There is only one record (oDM 764) that specifies that 1/3 of the joint property belonged to the wife, husband and children respectively. It is more common that the division between husband and wife is said to be 2/3 and 1/3 respectively. However, it is assumed that if the couple had children, the husband only safeguarded the children's share until they came of age. See Toivari-Viitala 2003 ; See also Muhs 2016, 101 ; Jasnow 2003, 322-336

elements together enacted a continuous flow of becoming in the eternal cycle of life. More specifically, it seems that there was not one single strategy for reaching the afterlife, but instead, multiple strategies coexisted. In addition to the traditional form based on complementarity between the male and female elements, as seen by the husband-wife relationship, we also see that the son-mother relationship, as well as the daughter-mother relationship holds a strong symbolism. Finally, in order for the cyclic existence to continue, the deceased was also dependent on offerings and ritual activity from the living, as seen by the father-son relationship.

I have also found that family was not only about biology, or being next of kin, but was more importantly about who actually acted as family. It was about reciprocity and patronage. I have thus claimed that mutual support, in fact dependency, through the correlation of care, burial and inheritance, was the very glue that tied families together, both in life and death. I have called this family contracts, and through these contracts individuals negotiated their position in society through their relations/encounters with others, the living and the dead.

Finally, although it is true that women are not commonly found in the position of bureaucracy and administration, there is no immediate logic to explain the few examples of the opposite as anomalies, and that these few women only filled in for their indisposed husbands of a temporary basis. The absence of evidence is not the evidence of absence! In the few examples that exist, women are represented while interacting together with, and alongside men and women. It was not an either-or situation. Rather, these examples indicate a dynamic system that was constantly negotiated and where gender alone did not exclude women from certain tasks in society. The Late Ramesside Letters are case in point. Although women, such as Henuttauy, Mutenipet, Hereret and Nodjemet, may not be representative for most women, they are likely to represent women of their social standing. It follows from this that women at large cannot have been secluded to the household unit, but were rather, as their menfolk, actors in networks of reciprocity and patronage, arguably the very fabric that made up society in New Kingdom Egypt.

My hypotheses are thus confirmed. Strict divides between men and women as two homogenous categories, and between the private and the public based on gender alone, is not applicable to the ancient Egyptian context. There was neither equality nor an unambiguous

gender hierarchy in ancient Egypt, but rather a multitude of different family and gender constellations.

Moving further

This dissertation has had non-royal female titles as its starting point. It has favoured the textual and visual remains, not only because titles are text, but also because it is the textual and visual remains that give an explicit account of family and gender. The archaeological, material and human remains, on the other hand, do not.

My attempt to see the full picture, to take a 'qualified' sample of all the available records into consideration, and give a holistic account of family and gender, is obviously skewed towards the textual and visual remains. In the future, I hope to mend this imbalance. By letting go of titles as my starting point and main focus, and move on to the question of space, in particular the private/public divide, I hope to cover a fuller spectrum of available records. The main tenets of this dissertation will, however, remain, and I will ask the following questions: Was space gendered in ancient Egypt? Was there a private/public divide? Is it at all possible to gender space based on archaeology and architecture alone, or are we dependent on textual, visual and/or human remains in order to qualify our interpretations? What are facts, and what are assumptions? What accounts for reality, and what is representational? What are the differences and similarities in the records?

Literature

al-Ayedi, Abdul Rahman, (2006). *Index of Egyptian administrative, religious and military titles of the New Kingdom* Ismailia, Egypt: Obelisk Publications.

Alberti, Benjamin, (forthcoming) The Sex of Archaeological Things: Lessons from Prehistory. In *Hierarchy and Equality - Representations of Sex/Gender in the Ancient World*, edited by R. Skumsnes, L. O. Johannessen, A. Martinsen and J. Økland, Athen: The Norwegian Institute in Athens.

Allam, Schafik, (1969). Zur Gottesgerichtsbarkeit in der altägyptischen Arbeitersiedlung von Deir el-Medineh. *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo* 24, 10-15.

———, (1973). *Das Verfahrensrecht in der altägyptischen Arbeitersiedlung von Deir El-Medineh*. Tübingen: Im Selbstverlag des Herausgebers.

———, (1973). *Hieratische Ostraka und Papyri aus der Ramessidenzeit*. 2 vols, *Urkunden zum Rechtsleben im alten Ägypten 1*. Tübingen: [Selbstverlag].

———, (1974). An Allusion to an Egyptian Wedding Ceremony? *Göttingen Miszellen* 13, 9-11.

———, (1977). Les obligations et la famille dans la société égyptienne ancienne. *Oriens Antiquus* 16, 89-97.

———, (1981). Quelques aspects du mariage dans l'Égypte ancienne. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 67, 116-135.

———, (1989). Some remarks on the trial of Mose. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 75, 103-112.

———, (1990). A New Look at the Adoption Papyrus (Reconsidered). *The Journal og Egyptian Archaeology* 76, 189-191.

———, (1993) Papyrus Turin 2021: another adoption extraordinary. In *Individu, société et spiritualité dans l'Égypte pharaonique et copte: mélanges égyptologiques offerts au Professeur Aristide Théodoridès*, edited by C. Cannuyer and J.-M. Kruchten, 23-28. Ath; Bruxelles; Mons: Association Montoise d'Égyptologie.

Anthes, Rudolf, (1940). Das Bild einer Gerichtsverhandlung und das Grab des Mes aus Sakkara. *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Instituts für Ägyptische Altertumskunde in Kairo* 9, 93-119.

Armour, Robert A., (2001 [1986]). *Gods and Myths of Ancient Egypt*. Cairo ; New York: The American University in Cairo Press.

Asher-Greve, Julia M., and Sweeney Deborah, (2006) On Nakedness, Nudity, and Gender in Egyptian and Mesopotamian Art. In *Images and Gender: Contributions to the Hermeneutics of Reading Ancient Art*, edited by S. Schroer, 125-176. Friburg; Göttingen: Academic Press; Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Assmann, Jan, (1991). *Stein und Zeit: Mensch und Gesellschaft im Alten Ägypten*. München: Wilhelm Fink Verlag.

———, (2001). *The search for God in ancient Egypt*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press.

―――, (2003) The Ramesside tomb and the construction of sacred space. In *The Theban Necropolis: Past, Present and Future*, edited by N. Strudwick and J. H. Taylor, 46-52. London: The British Museum Press.

―――, (2005 [2001]). *Death and salvation in ancient Egypt [Tod und Jenseits im alten Ägypten]*. Ithaca; London: Cornell University Press.

―――, (2015) Tradition, Writing and Canonisation: Structural Changes of Cultural Memory. In *The Formative Past and the Formation of the Future: Collective Remembering and Identity Formation*, edited by T. Stordalen and S.-A. Naguib, 115-132. Oslo: Novus Press.

Ayad, Mariam F., (2009). *God's Wife, God's Servant*. London; New York: Routledge.

Bahrani, Zainab, (2003). *The Graven Image: Representation in Babylonia and Assyria*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

―――, (2014). *The Infinite Image: Art, Time and the Aesthetic Dimension in Antiquity*. London: Reaktion Books Ltd.

Baines, John, (1990). Restricted knowledge, hierarchy, and decorum: modern perceptions and ancient institutions. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 27, 1-23.

―――, (1991) Society, Morality and Religious Practice. In *Religion in ancient Egypt: Gods, Myths, and Personal Practice*, edited by B. E. Shafer, 123-199. Ithaca; London: Cornell University Press.

―――, (2006) Public ceremonial performance in ancient Egypt: exclusion and integration. In *Archaeology of performance: theaters of power, community, and politics*, edited by T. Inomata and L. S. Coben, 261-302. Lanham, MD: AltaMira.

―――, (2007) Orality and literacy. In *Visual and written culture in ancient Egypt*, edited by J. Baines, 146-171. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

―――, (2007). *Visual and Written Culture in Ancient Egypt*. Oxford & New York: Oxford University Press.

―――, (2007 [1983]) Literacy and ancient Egyptian Society. In *Visual and written culture in ancient Egypt*, edited by J. Baines, 33-62. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

―――, (2007 [1985]) Theories and universals of representation: Heinrich Schäfer and Egyptian art. In *Visual and written culture in ancient Egypt*, edited by J. Baines, 207-235. Oxford; New York: University of Oxford Press.

―――, (2007 [1994]) On the status and purposes of ancient Egyptian art. In *Visual and written culture in ancient Egypt*, edited by J. Baines, 298-337. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

―――, (2013). *High Culture and Experience in Ancient Egypt*. Sheffield; Bristol: Equinox Publishing Ltd.

Baines, John, and Christopher J. Eyre, (2007 [1983]) Four notes on literacy. In *Visual and textual culture in ancient Egypt*, edited by J. Baines, 63-94. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

Baines, John, and Elizabeth Frood, (2011) Piety, Change and Display in the New Kingdom. In *Ramesside Studies in Honour of K. A. Kitchen*, edited by M. Collier and S. Snape, 1-17. Bolton: Rutherford Press Limited.

Baines, John, and Peter Lacovara, (2002). Burial and the dead in ancient Egyptian society: respect, formalism, neglect. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 2, 5-36.

Baines, John, and Jaromír Málek, (1984). *Atlas of Ancient Egypt*. Oxford: Equinox.

Bakir, Abd el-Mohsen, (1952). *Slavery in Pharaonic Egypt, Supplément aux Annales du Service des Antiquités de l'Egypte 18*. Le Caire: Imprimerie de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

Barad, Karen, (2007). *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Durham; London: Duke University Press.

———, (2008 [2003]) Posthumanist Performativity: Toward an Understanding of How Matter Comes to Matter. In *Material Feminisms*, edited by S. Alaimo and S. Hekman, 120-154. Bloomington; Indianapolis: Indiana Univeristy Press.

Baud, Marcelle, and Étienne Drioton, (1935) Tombes thébaines: Nécropole de Dirâ' Abû 'n-Nága, Le tombeau de Roy. In *Tombes thébaines: Nécropole de Dirâ' Abû'n-Naga: Le tombeau de Roÿ (tombeau no. 255); Le tombeau de Panehsy (tombeau no. 16); Le tombeau d'Amonmos (tombeau no. 19); Le tombeau d'Amon-am-anit (tombeau no. 277)* edited by M. G. Foucart, 1-53. Le Caire: Institut Francais s'Archéologie Orientale.

Bell, Lanny, (2007 [1997]) The New Kingdom «divine» temple: the example of Luxor. In *Temples in ancient Egypt*, edited by B. E. Shafer, 130-132. Cairo: The American University in Cairo Press.

Bénédite, Georges, (1894 [1893]). Tombeau de Neferhotpou, fils d'Amenemanit. *Memoires Publiés de la Mission Archéologique Francaise du Caire 5, fasc. 3*, 489-540.

Berlev, O. D., (1971). Les Prétendus "Citadins" au Moyen Empire. *Revue d'Egyptologie 23*, 23-48.

Bestock, Laurel, (2018). *Violence and Power in Ancient Egypt: Image and Ideology before the New Kingdom, Routledge Series in Egyptology*. New York: Routledge.

Bierbrier, Morris L., (1973). Hrere, Wife of the High Priest Paiankh. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies 32* (3), 311.

———, (1975). *The late New Kingdom in Egypt (c. 1300-664 B.C.) : a genealogical and chronological investigation*: Liverpool Monographs in Archaeology and Oriental Studies Published for the School of Archaeology and Oriental Studies, The University of Liverpool.

———, (2000) Paneb rehabilitated? In *Deir el-Medina in the third millenium AD : a tribute to Jac. J. Janssen* edited by R. J. Demarée and A. Egberts, 51-54. Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.

Billing, Nils, (2002). Nut: The Goddess of Life in Text and Iconography, Department of Archaeology and Ancient History, Uppsala University, Uppsala.

Blackman, Aylward M., (1921). On the Position of Women in the Ancient Egyptian Hierarchy. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology 7* (1/2),

Bleiberg, Edward, (1996). *The Official Gift in Ancient Egypt*. Norman; London: University of Oklahoma Press.

———, (2007) State and private enterprise. In *The Egyptian World*, edited by T. Wilkinson, 175-184. London; New York: Routledge.

Blok, Henri P., (1924). Der hieratische Papyrus Leiden I 371. *Acta Orientalia 3*, 109-135.

Bourdieu, Pierre, (1977 [1972]). *Outline of Theory of Practice [Esquisse d'une théorie de la pratique, précédé de trois études d'ethnologie kabyle]* Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.

———, (2010 [1979]). *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste [La Distinction, Critique sociale du judgement].* London; New York: Routledge.

Brock, Lyla Pinch, (2001) The Tomb of Sennefer. In *Valley of the Kings: The Tombs and the Funerary Temples of Thebes West*, edited by K. R. Weeks, 376-383. Vercelli; WhiteStar; Cairo: The American University in Cairo Press.

Broekman, Gerard P. F., (2002). The founders of the twenty-first dynasty and their family relationships. *Göttinger Miszellen* 191, 11-18.

Broze, Michèle, (1996). *Mythe et roman en Égypte ancienne: les aventures d'Horus et Seth dans le papyrus Chester Beatty I, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 76.* Leuven: Peeters.

Brunner-Traut, E., (1990) Mädchenbildung und gesellschaftliche Stellung der Frau im altem Agypten. In *Der weite Schulweg der Mädchen*, edited by J. G. v. Hohenzollern and M. Liedtke, 45-56. Bad Heilbrunn.

Bruyère, Bernard, (1927). *Rapport sur les fouilles de Deir el Médineh (1926).* Le Caire: L'Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

———, (1952). *Rapport sur les fouilles de Deir el Médineh (1935-1940). Quatrième partie. Fascicule III: notes à propos de quelques objets trouvés en 1939 et 1940, Fouilles de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale 20 (3).* Le Caire: L'Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

Bryan, Betsy M., (1982). The Etymology of Hnr "Group of Musical Performers". *Bulletin of the Egyptological Seminar* 4, 35-54.

———, (1985). Evidence for Female Literacy. *Bulletin of the Egyptological Seminar* 6, 17-32.

———, (1986). Non-Royal Women's Titles in the 18th Egyptian Dynasty. *American Research Center in Egypt Newsletter* (134), 13-16.

———, (1996) In woman good and bad fortune are on earth: Status and roles of woman in Egyptian culture. In *Mistress of the House, Mistress of Heaven; Woman in ancient Egypt*, edited by A. K. Capel and G. E. Markoe, New York: Hudsen Hills Press.

———, (2001) Painting techniques and artisan organization in the tomb of Suemniwwet, Theban tomb 92. In *Colour and Painting in Ancient Egypt*, edited by W. V. Davies, 63-72. London: The British Museum Press.

———, (2005) The temple of Mut: new evidence on Hatshepsut's building activity. In *Hatshepsut: from queen to pharaoh*, edited by C. H. Roehrig, R. Dreyfus and C. A. Keller, 181-183. New York ; New Haven: Metropolitan Museum of Art ; Yale University Press.

Bussmann, Richard. 2015. Egyptian Archaeology and Social Anthropology. In *Oxford Handbooks Online.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Butler, Judith, (1993). *Bodies that Matter. On the Discursive Limits of "Sex".* New York & London: Routledge.

———, (1997). *The Psychic Life of Power: Theories in Subjection.* Stanford: Stanford University Press.

———, (2004). *Undoing Gender.* New York; London: Routledge.

———, (2015). *Senses of the Subject.* New York: Fordham Univeristy Press.

Butzer, K. W., (1976). *Early Hydraulic Civilization in Egypt: A Study in Cultural Ecology.* Chicago: London.

Cadden, Joan, (1993). *Meanings of sex difference in the Middle Ages: Medicine, science, and culture.* Cambridge ; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Callender, Vivienne G., (1994). The Nature of the Egyptian "Harim": Dynasties 1-20. *Bulletin of the Australian Centre for Egyptology* 5, 7-25.

———, (2012). *In Hathor's Image I: The Wives and Mothers of Egyptian Kings from Dynasties I-VI*: Czech Institute of Egyptology.

Calmettes, Marie-Astrid, (2007). La Tombe de Sennefer: Réflexions sur le programme décoratif du caveau (TT 96B). *Egypte, Afrique et Orient* 45, 53-64.

Carruthers, William, ed. (2015). *Histories of Egyptology: Interdisciplinary Measures*, *Routledge Studies in Egyptology*. New York; London: Routledge.

Castelli, Elizabeth A., David M. Halperin, Ann Pellegrini, Ken Stone, Natalie Boymel Kampen, Deirdre Good, and Bernadette J. Brooten, (1998). Lesbian Historiography before the name? *GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay Studies* 4 (4), 557-630.

Castro, Eduardo Viveiros De, (2017 [2009]). *Cannibal Metaphysics (Univocal) [Métaphysiques cannibales]*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Čermák, Michal, (forthcoming) «Then they spat in the face of Horus»: A critical look on the «homosexual episode» of the Contendings of Horus and Seth. In *Proceedings from the conference «Hierarchy and Equality - Representations of Sex/Gender in the Ancient World»*, edited by R. Skumsnes, L. O. Johannessen, A. Martinsen and J. Økland, Athens: The Norwegian Institute at Athens.

Černý, Jaroslav, (1927). Le culte d'Amenophis Ier chez les ouvriers de la nécropole thébaine. *Bulletin de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale* 27, 159-197.

———, (1929). Papyrus Salt 124 (Brit. Mus. 10055). *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 15 (3/4), 243-258.

———, (1945). The Will of Naunakhte and the Related Documents. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* Vol. 31, 29-53

———, (1954). Consanguineous Marriages in Pharaonic Egypt. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 40, 23-29.

———, (1958). *Egyptian Stelae in the Bankes Collection*. Oxford: Printed for hte Griffith Institute at the University Press by Charles Batey.

———, (1965) Egypt from the death of Ramesses III to the end of the Twenty-first Dynasty (volume II, chapter XXXV). In *The Cambridge ancient history. Volumes I & II*, edited by I. E. S. Edwards, C. J. Gadd, and N. G. L. Hammond, fascicle 27, 1-60. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

———, (1973). *A community of workmen at Thebes in the Ramesside period*. Le Caire: Institut francais d'archeologie orientale du Caire.

Černý, Jaroslav, and Sarah I. Groll, (1975). *A Late Egyptian Grammer*. Oxford: Alden Press.

Černý, Jaroslav, and T. E. Peet, (1927). A Marriage Settlement of the Twentieth Dynasty: An Unpublished Document from Turin. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 13 (1/2), 30-39.

Cherpion, Nadine, (1999). *Deux tombes de la XVIIIe dynastie à Deir el-Medina: nos 340 (Amenemhat) et 345 (anonyme)*, *Mémoires publiés par les membres de l'Institut Français d'Archéology Orientale (IFAO) du Cairo 114*. Cairo: Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

Cline, Eric H., (2014). *1177 B.C.: The Year Civilization Collapsed*. Princeton ; Oxford: Princeton University Press.

Cline, Eric H., and David O'Connor, eds. (2012). *Ramesses III: The Life and Times of Egypt's Last Hero*. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press.

Cooney, Kathlyn M., (2003). Review: Private Life in New Kingdom Egypt (2002) by Lynn Meskell. *American Journal of Archaeology* 107 (4), 675-676.

———, (2006) An informal workshop: textual evidence for private funerary art production in the Ramesside period. In *Living and Writing in Deir el-Medine: Socio-historical Embodiment of Deir el-Medine Texts*, edited by A. Dorn and T. Hofmann, 43-55. Basel: Schwabe.

———, (2007). *The Cost of Death: The Social and Economic Value of Ancient Egyptian Funerary Art in the Ramesside Period* Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.

———, (2007) Labour. In *The Egyptian World*, edited by T. Wilkinson, 160-174. London; New York: Routledge.

———, (2008) The Problem of Female Rebirth in New Kingdom Egypt: The Fragmentation of the Female Individual in her Funerary Equipment In *Sex and Gender in ancient Egypt*, edited by C. Graves-Brown, 1-25. Swansea: The Classical Press of Wales.

———, (2009) Where does the Masculine Begin and the Feminine End? The Merging of the Two Genders in Egyptian Coffins during the Ramesside Period In *Ehrenmord und Emanzipation: Die Geschlechterfrage in Ritualen von Parallelgesellschaften*, edited by B. Heininger, 99-124. Münster: LIT Verlag.

———, (2010). Gender Transformation in Death: A Case Study of Coffins from the Ramesside Period. *Near Eastern Archaeology* 73 (4), 224-237.

Cruz-Uribe, Eugene, (1988). A New Look at the Adoption Papyrus. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 74, 220-223.

Cucchiari, Salvatore, (1992) The gender revolution and the transition from bisexual horde to patrilocal band: The Origins of Gender Hierachy. In *Sexual Meaning: The Cultural Construction of Gender and Sexuality*, edited by S. B. Ortner and H. Whitehead, 31-79. Cambridge; New York; Victoria: Cambridge University Press.

Dassow, Eva Von, ed. (1998). *The Egyptian Book of the Dead: The Book of Going Forth by Day, being the Papyrus of Ani (Royal Scribe of Divine Offerings), written and illustrated circa 1250 BCE, by scribes and artists unknown, including the balance of chapters of the Book of the Dead known as the Theban recension, compiled from ancient texts, dating back to the roots of Egyptian civilization*. 2 ed. San Francisco: Chronicle Books.

David, Arlette, (2010). *The Legal Register of Ramesside Private Law Instruments*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag.

David, Rosalie, (1998). *Handbook to Life in Ancient Egypt*. 2 ed. Manchester: Oxford University Press.

Davies, Benedict G., (1999). *Who's Who at Deir el-Medina: A Prosopographic Study of the Royal Workman's Community, Egyptologische Uitgaven XIII*. Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.

Davies, Nina de Garis, (1963). *Scenes from some Theban Tombs (Nos. 38, 66, 162, with excerpts from 81)*. Oxford: Griffith Institute, University Press.

Davies, Norman de Garis, (1903-1908). *The rock tombs of El Amarna*. 6 vols. London: Egypt Exploration Fund.

———, (1917). *The Tomb of Nakht at Thebes*. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

———, (1925). *The tomb of two sculptors at Thebes*. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

———, (1935). *Paintings from the Tomb of Rekh-mi-re at Thebes*. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art Egyptian Expedtion.

———, (1941). *The Tomb of the Vizier Ramose*, *Mond Excavations at Thebes*. London: The Egypt Exploration Society.

———, (1943). *The Tomb of Rekh-mi-re at Thebes*. 2 vols. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art Egyptian Expedition.

Davies, Norman de Garis, and Raymond O. Faulkner, (1947). A Syrian Trading Venture to Egypt. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 33 (40-46),

Demarée, Robert J., (2002). *Ramesside ostraca*. London: The British Museum Press.

Demarée, Robert J., and Dominique Valbelle, (2011). *Les Registres de Recensement du Village de Deir el-Médineh (Le «State Cevile»)*. Leuven; Paris; Walpole, MA: Peeters.

Depauw, Mark, (2003). Notes on Transgressing Gender Boudaries in Ancient Egypt. *Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 130, 49-59.

Depla, Annette, (1994) Women in Ancient Egyptian Wisdom Literature. In *Women in Ancient Societies: An Illusion of the Night*, edited by L. J. Archer, S. Fischler and M. Wyke, 24-52. Houndmills, Basingstoke; London: The MacMillan Press Ltd.

Derrida, Jacques, (1997 [1967]). *Of Grammatology [De la Grammatologie]*. Baltimore; London: The John Hopkins University Press.

Desroches-Noblecourt, Christiane, (1953). "Concubines du mort" et mères de famille au Moyen Empire: à propos d'une supplique pour une naissance. *Bulletin de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale* 53, 7-47.

Desroches-Noblecourt, Christiane, Michel Duc, Eva Eggebrecht, Fathy Hassanein, MArcel Kurz, and Monique Nelson, (1986). *Sen-nefer: Die Grabkammer des Bürgermeisters von Theben*. Mainz am Rhein: Verlag Philipp von Zabern.

DeVun, Leah, (2015). Erecting Sex: Hermaphrodites and the Medieval Science of Surgery. *Osiris* 30, 17-37.

Dodson, Aidan, and Dyan Hilton, (2004). *The Complete Royal Families of Ancient Egypt*. London: Thames & Hudson Ltd.

Dodson, Aidan, and Salima Ikram, (2008). *The Tomb in Ancient Egypt: Royal and Private Sepulchres from the Early Dynastic Period to the Romans*. Cairo: The American University in Cario.

Donker van Heel, Koenraad, (2014). *Mrs. Tsenhor: A female entrepreneur in ancient Egypt*. Cairo; New York: The American University in Cairo Press.

———, (2016). *Mrs. Naunakhte & Family: The Women of Ramesside Deir el-Medina*. Cairo; New York: The American University in Cairo Press.

Dorman, Peter F., (1995) Two Tombs and One Owner. In *Thebanische Beamtennekropolen: neue Perspektiven archäologischer Forschung. Internationales Symposion, Heidelberg, 9. - 13.6.1993*, edited by J. Assmann, E. Dziobek, H. Guksch and F. Kampp, 141-154. Heidelberg: Heidelberg Orientverlag.

———, (2003) Family burial and commemoration in the Theban necropolis. In *The Theban Necropolis: Past, Present and Future*, edited by N. Strudwick and J. H. Taylor, 30-41. London: The British Museum Press.

Dousset, Laurent, and Serge Tcherkézoff, eds. (2012). *The Scope of Anthropology: Maurice Godelier's Work in Context*. New York; Oxford: Berghahn.

Doxey, Denise M., (1998). *Egyptian non-royal epithets in the Middle Mingdom: A Social and Historical Analysis* Edited by W. Schenkel and D. B. Redford, *Probleme der Ägyptologie*. Leiden ; Boston ; Köln: Brill.

DuQuesne, Terence, (2007) Private Devotion and Public Practice: aspects of Egyptian art and religion as revealed by the Salakhana Stelae. In *Egyptian Stories: A British Egyptological Tribute to Alan B. Lloyd on the Occasion of his Retirement*, edited by T. Schneider and K. Szpakowska, 55-73. Münster.

———, (2008) Power on Their Own: Gender and Social Roles in Provincial New Kingdom Egypt. In *Sex and Gender in Ancient Egypt: 'Don your wig for a joyful hour'*, edited by C. Graves-Brown, 47-62. Oxford: Oxford Books.

———, (2009). *The Salakhana Trove: votive stelae and other objects from Asyut, Oxfordshire Communications in Egyptology 7*. London: Darengo Publications.

Eaverly, Mary Ann, (2008) Dark men, light women: origins of color as gender indicator in ancient Egypt. In *The world of women in the ancient and classical Near East*, edited by B. A. Nakhai, 1-12. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

———, (2013). *Tan Men/Pale Women: Color and Gender in Archaic Greece and Egypt. A Comparative Approach* Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press.

el-Saddik, Waafa, (1998) The Burial. In *Egypt: The World of the Pharaohs*, edited by R. Schulz and M. Seidel, 471-480. Cologne: Könemann.

Engelmann-von Carnap, Barbara, (1999). *Die Struktur des thebanischen Beamtenfriedhofs in der ersten Hälfte der 18. Dynastie. Analyse von Position, Grundrissgestaltung und Bildprogramm der Gräber*. Berlin: Achet.

Erichsen, W., (1933). *Papyrus Harris I: Hieroglyphische Transkription*. Edited by B. A. V. Bruxelles: Édition de la Fondation Égyptologique Reine Élizabeth.

Eriksen, Thomas Hylland, (2010). *Small Places, Large Issues*. 3 ed. London; New York: Pluto Press.

Erman, Adolf, and Hermann Grapow, (1926-1971). *Wörterbuch der ägyptische Sprache*. 7 vols. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.

Exell, Karen, (2006) The senior scribe Ramose (1) and the cult of the king: a social and historical reading of some private votive stelae from Deir el-Medina in the reign of Ramesses II. In *Current research in Egyptology 2004: proceedings of the fifth annual symposium which took place at the University of Durham January 2004*, edited by R. J. Dann, 51-67. Oxford: Oxbow Books.

———, (2006). A social and historical interpretation of Ramesside period votive stelae, Department of Archaeology, University of Durham.

———, (2009). *Soldiers, sailors and sandalmakers: a social reading of Ramesside period votive stelae, GHP Egyptology 10*. London: Golden House.

Eyre, Christopher J., (1984). Crime and Adultery in Ancient Egypt. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 70, 92-105.

———, (1992). The Adoption Papyrus in Social Context. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 78, 207-221.

———, (1994) Feudal Tenure and Absentee Landlords. In *Grund und Boden (Rechtliche und socio-ökonomische verhältnisse)*, edited by S. Allam, 107-133. Tübingen: Im Selbstverlag des Herausgebers.

———, (1998) The Market Women of Pharaonic Egypt. In *Le commerce en Égypte ancienne*, edited by N. Grimal and B. Menu, 173-192. Le Caire: Institut Francais d'Arcéologie Orientale.

———, (2004) How Relevant was Personal Status to the Functioning of the Rural Economy in Pharaonic Egypt? In *La dépendence rurale dans l'Antiquité égyptienne et proche-orientale*, edited by B. Menu, 157-186. Le Caire: Institut Francais d'Archéologie Orientale.

———, (2007). The evil stepmother and the rights of a second wife. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 93, 223-243.

———, (2011). Patronage, Power, and Corruption in Pharaonic Egypt. *International Journal of Public Adminstration* 34, 701-711.

———, (2013). *The Use of Documents in Pharaonic Egypt*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———, (2014) The Economy: Pharaonic. In *A Companion to Ancient Egypt*, edited by A. B. Lloyd, 291-308. Malden, MA ; Oxford ; Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley Blackwell.

———, (2015) Economy and Society in Pharaonic Egypt. In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Congress of Egyptologists: University of the Aegean, Rhodes. 22-29 May 2008*, edited by P. Kousoulis and N. Lazaridis, 707-725. Leuven: Peeters.

Fairman, H. W., (1938). Preliminary Report on the Excavations at Sesebi (Sudla) and Amarah West, Anglo-Egyptian Sudan, 1937-1938. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 24 (2), 151-156.

Faulkner, Raymond O. 1962. A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian. Oxford: Griffith Institute.

———, (2004 [1973]). *The ancient Egyptian coffin texts: Spells 1-1185 & Indexes*. Oxford: Aris & Phillips.

Ferraro, Gary P., (2007). *Cultural anthropology: an applied perspective*. 7 ed. Belmont, CA: Thomson.

Finnestad, Ragnhild Bjerre, (1989). Egyptian Thought About Life as a Problem of Translation. Paper read at The Religion of the Ancient Egyptians: Cognitive Structures and Popular Expressions, at Proceedings of Symposia in Uppsala and Bergen 1987 and 1988, 29-40

———, (1989) The pharaoh and the "democratization" of post-mortem life. In *The religion of the ancient Egyptians: cognitive structures and popular expressions. Proceedings of symposia in Uppsala and Bergen 1987 and 1988*, edited by G. Englund, 89-93. Uppsala: S. Academiae Ubsaliensis.

Fischer, Henry G., (1963). Varia aegyptiaca. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 2, 17-51.

———, (1976). *Varia, Egyptian Studies I*. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

———, (1989) Women in the Old Kingdom and the Heracleopolitan Period. In *Women's earliest records: from ancient Egypt and western Asia : proceedings of the Conference on Women in the Ancient Near East, Brown University,*

Providence, Rhode Island, November 5-7, 1987, edited by B. S. Lesko, 5-30. Atlanta, Ga.: Scholars Press.

———, (2000). *Egyptian Women of the Old Kingdom and of the Heracleopolitan Period*. 2 ed. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

Fischer-Elfert, Hans-W., (2001) Education. In *The Oxford Encyclopedia of Ancient Egypt*, edited by D. B. Redford, 438-442. Cairo: The American University of Cairo.

———, (2005). *Abseit von Ma'at: Fallstudien zu Aussenseitern im alten Ägypten, Wahrnehmungen und Spuren Altägyptens - Kulturgeschichtliche Beiträge zur Ägyptologie 1*. Würzburg.

Fisher, Kate, and Rebecca Langlands, eds. (2015). *Sex, knowledge, and receptions of the past. Classical presences*. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

Fitzenreiter, Martin, (1995). Totenverehrung und soziale Repräsentation im thebanischen Beamtengrab der 18. Dynastie. *Studien zur altägyptischen Kultur* 22, 95-130.

Fluehr-Loban, Carolyn, and Kharyssa Rhodes, eds. (2004). *Race and Identity in the Nile Valley: Ancient and Modern Perspectives*: Africa Research & Publications.

Foucault, Michel, (1980). *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings 1972-1977* New York: Pantheon Books.

———, (1984-1988 [1976-1984]). *The History of Sexuality [L'Histoire de la sexualité]*. 3 vols. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

———, (1997) What is Critique? In *The Politics of Truth*, edited by S. Lotringer and L. Hochroth, New York: Semiotext(e).

———, (2002 [1966]). *The Order of Things [Les Mots et les choses]*. Abingdon, Oxon, UK ; New York: Routledge.

———, (2002 [1969]). *The Archaeology of Knowledge [L'Archéologie du savoir]*. London ; New York: Routledge.

Frandsen, Paul J., (1992) The Letter to Ikhtay's Coffin: O. Louvre Inv. No 698. In *Village Voices: Proceedings of the Symposium "texts From Deir El-medina and Their Interpretation", Leiden, May 31-june 1, 1991*, edited by R. Demarée and A. Egberts, 31-49. Leiden.

Franke, Detlef, (1983). *Altägyptische Verwandtschaftsbezeichnungen im Mittleren Reich*. Hamburg: Verlag Borg.

———, (1984). Probleme der Arbeit mit altägyptischen Titeln des Mittleren Reiches. *Göttinger Miszellen : Beiträge zur ägyptologischen Diskussion* 83, 103-124.

———, (1990). Review: Essays on Feminine Titles of the Middle Kingdom and Related Subjects. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 76, 228-232.

Fraser, Nancy, (1995). From redistribution to recognition? Dilemmas of justice in a 'post-socialist' age. *New Left Review* 1 (212), 68-93.

———, (2000). Rethinking recognition? *New Left Review* 3, 107-120.

———, (2009). *Scales of Justice: Reimagining Political Space in a Globalizing World*. New York: Columbia University Press.

———, (2013). *Fortunes and Feminism*. London; New York: Verso.

———, (2014). Behind Marx's hidden abode: for an expanded conception of Capitalism *New Left Review* 86, 55-72.

Fraser, Nancy, and Axel Honneth, eds. (2003). *Redistribution or Recognition? A Political Exchange*. London; New York: Verso.

Freed, Rita E., (2001) The Tomb of Ramose. In *Valley of the Kings: The Tombs and the Funerary Temples of Thebes West*, edited by K. R. Weeks, 408-413. Cairo: The American University in Cairo Press.

———, (2001) The Tomb of Rekhmire. In *Valley of the Kings: The Tombs and the Funerary Temples of Thebes West*, edited by K. R. Weeks, 376-383. Cairo: American University in Cairo Press.

Frood, Elizabeth, (2006). Review: Private Life in New Kingdom Egypt (2002) by Lynn Meskell. *American Journal of Archaeology* 110 (1), 173-175.

———, (2014) Social Structure and Daily Life: Pharaonic. In *A Companion to Ancient Egypt*, edited by A. B. Lloyd, 469-490. Malden, MA; Oxford; Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley Blackwell.

Gaballa, Gaballa Ali, (1977). *The Memphite tomb-chapel of Mose*. Warminster: Aris & Phillips.

Galvin, Marianne, (1981). Priests and Priestesses of Hathor in the Old Kingdom and the First Intermediate Period, Brandeis University.

Gardiner, Alan H., (1905). *The Inscription of Mes: A Contribution to the Study of Egyptian Juridical Procedure*. Edited by K. Sethe, *Untersuchungen zur Geschichte und Altertumskunde Ägyptens: IV. Band Heft 3*. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs'sche Buchhandlung.

———, (1906). Four Papyri of the Eighteenth Dynasty from Kahun. *Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 43, 27-47.

———, (1932). *Late Egyptian Stories*. Bruxelles: Édition de la Fondation Égyptologique Reine Élisabeth.

———, (1941). Adoption Extraordinary *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* Vol. 26, 23-29.

———, (1941). Ramesside Texts Relating to the Taxation and Transport of Corn. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 27, 19-73.

———, (1941-1952). *The Wilbour papyrus*. 4 vols. London: Oxford University Press.

———, (1951). A word for 'representative', 'substitute', or the like. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 37, 111.

———, (1968 [1948]). *Ramesside Administrative Documents*. Oxford: Griffith Institute, Ashmolean Museum.

Gardiner, Alan H., and Jaroslav Černý, (1957). *Hieratic Ostraca, volume I*. Oxford: Griffith Institute ; Oxford University Press.

Gardiner, Alan H., and Kurt Sethe, (1928). *Egyptian Letters to the Dead: mainly from the Old and Middle Kingdoms*. London: Egypt Exploration Society.

Gilchrist, Roberta, (2004) Archaeology and the life course: a time and age for gender. In *A Companion to Social Archaeology*, edited by L. Meskell and R. W. Preucel, 142-160. Oxford: Blackwell.

Gillam, Robyn A., (1995). Priestesses of Hathor: Their Function, Decline and Disappearance. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 32, 211-237.

Gobeil, Cédric. 2015. The IFAO Excavations at Deir el-Medina. *Oxford Handboks Online*.

Godelier, Maurice, (2009). *In and out of the West: Reconstructing Anthropology*. London: Verso.

Goedicke, Hans, and Edward F. Wente, (1962). *Ostraka Michaelides*. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.

Goldwasser, Orly, (1995). *From Icon to Metaphor: Studies in the Semiotics of the Hieroglyphs, Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis 142*. Fribourg; Göttingen: University Press; Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Grajetzki, Wolfram, (2003). *Burial Customs in Ancient Egypt: Life and Death for Rich and Poor*. London: Duckworth.

———, (2010) Class and Society: Position and Possession. In *Egyptian Archaeology*, edited by W. Wendrich, 180-199. Malden, MA, Oxford; Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell.

Grandet, Pierre. 2014. Early to Mid-20th Dynasty. In *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, edited by W. Wendrich, J. Dieleman, E. Frood, W. Grajetzki and J. Baines. Los Angeles.

Graves-Brown, Carolyn, (2010). *Dancing for Hathor: Women in Ancient Egypt*. London & New York: Continuum International Publishing Group.

Griffith, Francis L., (1898). *The Petrie Papyri, Hieratic Papyri from Kahun and Gurob (principally of the Middle Kingdom), Plates*. 2002 ed. [S.l]: ETANA.

———, (1898). *The Petrie Papyri, Hieratic Papyri from Kahun and Gurob (principally of the Middle Kingdom), Text*. 2002 ed. [S.l]: ETANA.

———, (1901). *The Study of Egyptology: Inaugoral Lecture Delivered in the Ashmolean Museum on May 8, 1901*. Hart: Oxford.

Grosz, Elizabeth, (1994). *Volatile Bodies: Toward a Corporeal Feminism*. Bloomington; Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.

———, (2008) Darwin and Feminism: Preliminary Investigations for a possible Alliance. In *Material Feminisms*, edited by S. Alaimo and S. Hekman, 23-51. Bloomington; Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.

Guilmot, Max, (1973). Lettre à une épouse défunte (Pap. Leiden I, 371). *Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 99, 94-103.

Gunn, Battiscombe, (1930). Review: Egyptian Letters to the Dead, Mainly from the Old and Middle Kingdoms by Alan H. Gardiner and Kurt Sethe. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 16 (1/2), 147-155.

Habachi, Labib, (1972). *The second Stela of Kamose and his struggle against the Hyksos ruler and his capital*. Glückstadt: J. J. Augustin.

Hagen, Fredrik, (2010) Local Identities. In *The Egyptian World*, edited by T. Wilkinson, 242-251. London & New York: Routledge.

Hagen, Fredrik, and Daniel Soliman, (2018) Archives in Ancient Egypt, 2500-1000 BCE. In *Manuscripts and Archives: Comparative Views on Record-Keeping*, edited by A. Bausi, C. Brockmann, M. Friedrich and S. Kienitz, 71-170. Hamburg: De Gruyter.

Halperine, David M., (1990). *One Hundred Years of Homosexuality and Other Essays on Greek Love*. New York: Routledge.

Hannig, Rainer, (2006). *Grosses Hanwörterbuch Ägyptisch - Deutsch (2800-950 v. Chr.)*. Mainz: Philip von Zabern.

Haraway, Donna J., (2003). *The companion species manifesto: dogs, people, and significant otherness*. Chicago: Prickly Paradigm.

———, (2016). *Staying with the Trouble: Making Kin in the Chthulucene*. Durham; Lundon: Duke University Press.

Hari, Robert, (1985). *La tombe thébaine du père divin Neferhotep (TT 50), Collection epigraphica*. Genève: Editions de Belles-Lettres.

Haring, Ben, (2003). From Oral Practice to Written Record in Ramesside Deir el-Medina. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 46 (3), 249-272.

Harrington, Nicola, (2013). *Living with the Dead: Ancestor Worship and Mortuary Ritual in Ancient Egypt.* Oxford; Oakville: Oxbow Books.

———, (2016) The Eighteenth Dynasty Egyptian Banquet: Ideals and Realities. In *Dining and death: interdisciplinary perspectives on the 'funerary banquet' in ancient art, burial and belief*, edited by C. M. Draycott and M. Stamatopoulou, 129-172. Leuven: Peeters.

Hartwig, Melinda, (2004). *Tomb Painting and Identity in Ancient Thebes, 1419-1372 BCE.* Turnhout, Belgium: Brepols Publishers.

Heikal, Fayza, (2008) Cultural Similarities, Kinship Terminology and Ethno-Egyptology. In *Egypt and beyond: essays presented to Leonard H. Lesko upon his retirement from teh Wilbour Chair of Egyptology at Brown University*, edited by S. E. Thompson and P. D. Manuelian, 145-148. Portland.

Hekman, Susan, (2010). *The Material of Knowledge - Feminist Disclosures.* Bloomington; Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.

Helck, Wolfgang, (1958). *Zur Verwaltung des Mittleren und Neuen Reichs* Leiden: Brill.

———, (1962). Soziale Stellung und Grablage. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 5 (3), 225-243.

———, (1975). *Wirtschaftsgeschichte des Alten Ägypten im 3. und 2. Jahrtausend von Chr. Handbuch der Orientalistik, erste Abteilung: Der Nahe und Mittlere Osten / Handbook of Oriental Studies, section 1: The Near and Middle East 1 (5).* Leiden; Köln: E. J. Brill.

Hodder, Ian, (2004) The «Social» in Archaeological Theory: An Historical and Contemporary Perspective. In *A Companion to Social Archaeology*, edited by L. Meskell and R. W. Preucel, 23-42. Malden, MA; Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.

———, (2012). *Entangled: An Archaeology of the Relationships Between Humans and Things.* Malden, MA; Oxford; Chichester, West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons.

Hodel-Hoenes, Sigrid, (2000 [1991]). *Life and Death in Ancient Egypt: Scenes from Private Tombs in New Kingdom Egypt [Leben und Tod im Alten Ägypten: …].* Translated by D. A. Warburton. Ithaca; London: Cornell University Press.

Hofmann, Tobias, (2006) Arbeitseinsätze und Löhne der sogenannten Sklavinnen von Deir el-Medine. In *Living and Writing in Deir el-Medine: Socio-historical Embodiment of Deir el-Medine Texts*, edited by A. Dorn and T. Hofmann, 113-118. Basel: Schwabe Verlag.

Holbraad, Martin, and Morten Axel Pedersen, (2017). *The Ontological Turn: An Anthropological Exposition*, *New Departures in Anthropology*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Hollis, Susan Tower, (2008 [1982]). *The Ancient Egyptian"Tale of the Two Brothers": A Mythological, Religous, Literary, and Historico-Politcal Study.* Oakville, CT: Bannerstone Press.

Holmes, Brooke, (2012). *Gender: antiquity & its legacy*, *Ancients and Moderns*. Oxord ; New York: Oxford University Press.

———, (2017) The Body of Western Embodiment: Classcal Antiquity and the Early History of a Problem. In *Embodiment: A History*, edited by J. E. H. Smith, 17-53. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———, (forthcoming) Letting Go of Laqueur: Towards New Histories of the Sexed Body. In *Proceedings from the conference «Hierarchy and Equality - Representations of Sex/Gender in the Ancient World»*, edited by R. Skumsnes, L. O. Johannessen, A. Martinsen and J. Økland, Athens: The Norwegian Institute at Athens.

Hornung, Erik, (1982). *Conceptions of god in ancient Egypt: The one and the many*. Ithaka; New York: Cornell University Press.

Hornung, Erik, Rolf Krauss, and David A. Warburton, eds. (2006). *Ancient Egyptian Chronology*. Leiden ; Boston: Brill.

Hotabi, Heike Sternberg-el, Fabio Berdozzo, and Barbara Böhm, (2005). Nicht-königliche Frauen des Neuen Reiches: Ein biographisches und prosopographisches Lexikon. Perspektiven und erste Ergebnisse. *Göttinger Miszellen* 203, 105-112.

Howley, Kathryn, and Rune Nyord, (2018). Egyptology and Anthropology: Historiography, Theoretical Exchange, and Conceptual Development. *Journal of Ancient Egyptian Interconnections* 17, vi-ix.

Huebner, Sabine R., (2013). *The Family in Roman Egypt: A Comparative Approach to Intergenerational Solidarity and Conflict*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Häggman, S., (2002). *Directing Deir el-Medina. The Exteral Administration of the Necropolis*, *Uppsala Studies in Egyptology 4*. Uppsala: Department of Archaeology and History.

Ivanov, Sergei V., (2012) The Tomb of Thay (TT23). In *Achievements and Problems of Modern Egyptology: Proceedings of the International Conference held in Moscow on September 29–October 2, 2009*, edited by G. A. Belova and S. V. Ivanov, 157-164. Moscow.

Janssen, Jac J., (1979) The role of the temple in the Egyptian economy during the New Kingdom. In *State and temple economy in the Ancient Near East: proceedings of the international conference organized by the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven from the 10th to the 14th of April 1978*, edited by E. Lipinski, 505-515. Leiden: Departement Orientalistiek.

———, (1982). Gift-giving in ancient Egypt as an economic feature. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 68, 253-258.

———, (1982) Two personalities. In *Gleaning from Deir el-Medina*, edited by R. J. Demarée and J. J. Janssen, 109-131. Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.

———, (1991). *Late Ramesside Letters and Communications*, *Hieratic Papyri in the British Museum VI*. London: British Museum Press.

———, (1994). Debts and Credit in the New Kingdom. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* Vol. 80, pp. 129-136.

———, (1997) Women and Gifts. In *Village Varia: Ten Studies on the History and Administration of Deir el-Medina*, edited by J. J. Janssen, 55-86. Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor Het Nabije Oosten.

———, (1999) A Marital Title from the New Kingdom. In *Gold of Praise: Studies on Ancient Egypt in Honor of Edward F. Wente*, edited by E. Teeter and J. A. Larson, Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago.

———, (2010) Foreward: Earning a Living in a New Kingdom Village. In *Commerce and Economy in Ancient Egypt: Proceedings of the Third International Congress for Young Egyptologists 25-27 September 2009, Budapest*, edited by A. Hudecz and M. Petrik, 1-4. Oxford: Archaeopress.

Janssen, Jac J., and P. W. Pestman, (1968). Burial and inheritance in the community of the necropolis of workmen at Thebes. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 11, 137-170.

Janssen, Rosalind M., (2006). The Old Women of Deir el-Medina *Buried History* 42, 3-10.

Janssen, Rosalind M., and Jac. J. Janssen, (2007). *Growing up and Getting old in Ancient Egypt*. London: Golden House Publications.

Jasnow, Richard, (2003) New Kingdom. In *A history of ancient Near Eastern Law*, edited by R. Westbrook, 289-359. Leiden; Boston: Brill.

Johnson, Janet H., (1996) The legal status of women in ancient Egypt. In *Mistress of the House, Mistress of Heaven: women in ancient Egypt*, edited by A. K. Capel and G. E. Markoe, 175-186. New York: Hudson Hills Press, in association with Cincinnati Art Museum.

———, (1998) Women, Wealth and Work in Egyptian Society of the Ptolemaic Period. In *Egyptian Religion the last thousand years: part II*, edited by W. Clarysse, A. Schoors and H. Willems, 1393-1421. Leuven: Uitgeverij Peeters en Departement Oosterse Studies.

———, (2009) The Social, Economic and Legal Status of Women in Ancient Egypt. In *The Life of Meresamun; A Templesinger in Ancient Egypt*, edited by E. Teeter, Chicago: The Oriental Institute Museum Publications.

———, (2015) Women, Property, and Legal Documents: a case study from the Persian Period. In *Joyul in Thebes: Egyptological Studies in Honor of Betsy M. Bryan*, edited by R. Jasnow and K. M. Cooney, 283-292. Atlanta, Georgia: Lockwood Press.

Jones, Dilwyn, (2000). *An Index of Ancient Egyptian Titles, Epithets and Phrases of the Old Kingdom*. 2 vols, *BAR International Series 866 (I)*. Oxford: Archaeopress.

Jones, Ellen, (2018). Three's a Crowd: the Possobility of Polygyny in New Kingdom Thebes. Paper read at Current Research in Egyptology 2017: Proceedings of the Eighteenth Annual Symposium, at University of Naples, «l'Orientale» 3-6 May 2017, 88-97

Jursa, Michael, and Juan Carlos Moreno Garcia, (2015) The ancient Near East and Egypt. In *Fiscal Regimes and th Political Economy of Premodern States*, edited by A. Monson and W. Scheidel, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kampp, Friederike, (1992) Bemerkungen zur Datierung der Gräber TT 80 und 104 nach topographischen und architektonischen Gesichtspunkten. In *Eine ikonographische Datierungsmethode für thebanische Wandmalereien der 18. Dynastie*, edited by E. Dziobek, T. Schneyer and N. Semmelbauer, 66-70. Heidelberg: Heidelberger Orientverlag.

———, (1995) Zur Konzeption doppelter Bestattungsanlagen. In *Thebanische Beamtennekropolen: neue Perspektiven archäologischer Forschung. Internationales Symposion, Heidelberg, 9. - 13.6.1993*, edited by J. Assmann, E. Dziobek, H. Guksch and F. Kampp, 205-218. Heidelberg: Heidelberger Orientverlag.

———, (1996). *Die thebanische Nekropole: zum Wandel des Grabgedankens von der 18. bis zur 20. Dynastie*. 2 vols, *Theben 13*. Mainz: Zabern.

Kampp-Seyfried, Friederike, (1998) Overcoming death – the private tombs of Thebes. In *Egypt: The World of the Pharaohs*, edited by R. Schulz and M. Seidel, 249-263. Cologne: Könemann.

———, (2003) The Theban necropolis: an overview of topography and tomb development from the Middle Kingdom to the Ramesside period. In *The Theban necropolis: past, present and future*, edited by N. Strudwick and J. H. Taylor, 2-10. London: British Museum Press.

Kanawati, Naguib, (1977). *The Egyptian Administration in the Old Kingdom*. Warminster: Aris and Phillips.

———, (2003). Nepotism in the Egyptian Sixth Dynasty. *Bulletin of the Australian Centre for Egyptology* 14, 39-59.

———, (2010) The Vizier Nebet and the Royal Women of the Sixth Dynasty. In *Thebes and Beyond; Studies in Honour of Kent R. Weeks*, edited by Z. A. Hawass and S. Ikram, Le Caire.

Karl, Doris, (2000). Funktion und Bedeutung einer weisen Frau im alten Ägypten. *Studien zur altägyptischen Kultur* 28, 131-160.

Katary, Sally L. D., (1989). *Land Tenure in the Ramesside Period*. London; New York: Kegan Paul International.

———, (1999) Land-Tenure in the New Kingdom: The Role of Women Smallholders and the Military. In *Agriculture in Egypt: From Pharaonic to Modern Times*, edited by A. K. Bowman and E. Rogan, 61-82. New York: Oxpford University Press.

———, (2009-2010). Distinguishing subclasses in New Kingdom society on the evidence of the Wilbour Papyrus. *Cahiers de Recherches de l'Institut de Papyrologie et d'Égyptologie de Lille* 28, 263-319.

Kemp, Barry J., (1972) Temple and Town in Ancient Egypt. In *Man, settlement and urbanism*, edited by P. J. Ucko, R. Tringham and G. W. Dimbleby, 657-680. London: Duchworth.

———, (1984). In the Shadow of Texts: Archaeology in Egypt. *Archaeological Review from Cambridge* 3 (2), 19-28.

———, (1989). *Ancient Egypt: Anatomy of Civilization*. 1 ed. London Routhledge.

———, (1995). How religious were the ancient Egyptians? *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 5 (1), 25-54.

———, (2006). *Ancient Egypt: Anatomy of a Civilization*. 2 ed. London: Routledge.

———, (2012). *The City of Akhenaten and Nefertiti: Amarna and its People* London: Thames & Hudson Ltd.

———, ed. (1984). *Amarna reports I, Egypt Exploration Society, Occasional Publications 1*. London: Egypt Exploration Society.

Kemp, Barry J., and Anna Stevens, (2010). *Busy Lives at Amarna: Excavations in the Main City*. 2 vols. London: Egypt Exploration Society.

Kemp, Barry J., Anna Stevens, Gretchen R. Dabbs, Melissa Zabecki, and Jerome C. Rose, (2013). Life, death and beyond in Akhenaten's Egypt: excavating the South Tombs Cemetary at Amarna. *Antiquity* 87, 64-78.

Keynes, John Maynard, (1936). *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*. London: Macmillan.

Kienlin, Tobias L., (2012) Beyond Elites: An Introduction. In *Beyond Elites: Alternatives to Hierarchal Systems in Modelling Social Formations*, edited by T. L. Kienlin and A. Zimmermann, 15-32. Bonn: Verlag Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH.

Kienlin, Tobias L., and Don H. Zimmerman, eds. (2012). *Beyond Elites: Alternatives to Hierarchal Systems in Modelling Social Formation*. Bonn: Verlag Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH.

King, Helen, (2013). *The One-Sex Body on Trail: The Classical and Early Modern Evidence. The History of Medicine in Context* Farnham: Ashgate; Burlington (VT).

Kitchen, Kenneth A., (1986). *The Third Intermediate Period in Egypt (1100-650 B.C.) [Second Edition with Supplement]*. Warminster: Aris & Phillips Ltd.

———, (1991). The Royal Secretary Tjay: His Family and Nameplate. *Bulletin of the Australian Centre for Egyptology* 2, 69-74.

Knappett, Carl, (2012) Materiality. In *Archaeological Theory Today*, edited by I. Hodder, 188-207. Cambridge; Malden, MA: Polity.

Koltsida, Aikaterini, (2001). Male Versus Female Areas in Ancient Egypt? Space and Gender in the Standard Amarna Villa. Paper read at Symposium on Mediterranean Archaeology: Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Meeting of Postgraduate Researchers, 23-25 February 2001, at University of Liverpool, 183-192

———, (2007). *Social Aspects of Ancient Egyptian Domestic Architecture, Bar International Series (Book 1608)*: British Archaeological Reports.

Kóthay, Katalin, (2001) Houses and households at Kahun: Bureaucratic and domestic aspects of social organization during the Middle Kingdom. In *"Le lotus qui sort de terre": Mélanges offerts à Edith Varga*, edited by H. Györy, 349-368. Budapest: Musée Hongrois des Beaux-Arts.

Kozloff, W. Raymond, (2015) More than skin-deep: red men and yellow women in Egyptian Art. In *Jyful in Thebes: Egyptological Studies in Honor of Betsy M. Bryan*, edited by R. Jasnow and K. M. Cooney, 323-326. Atlanta, Georgia: Lockwood Press.

Küllmer, Hella, (2007). Marktfrauen, Priesterinnen und ‚Edle des Königs'; Untersuchung über die Position von Frauen in der sozialen Hierarchie des Alten Ägypten bis zum Ende der 1. Zwischenzeit. PhD Thesis, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg.

Laboury, Dimitri, (2007). Sennefer et Aménémopé: une affaire de famille. *Égypte, Afrique & Orient* 45, 43-52.

Labrousse, Audran, (2010) Huit épouses de roi Pépy Ier. In *Egyptian Culture and Society. Studies in Honour of Naguib Kanawati*, edited by A. Woods, A. McFarlane and S. Binder, 297-314. Cairo: Supreme Council of Antiquities.

Landgráfová, Renata, and Hana Navrátilová, (2009). *Sex and the Golden Goddess I: Ancient Egyptian Love Songs in Context*. Prague: Czech Institute of Egyptology.

Laqueur, Thomas, (1990). *Making sex. Body and gender from the Greeks to Freud.* Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Lehner, Mark, (2000) Fractal house of pharaoh: Ancient Egypt as a complex adaptive system, a trial formulation. In *Dynamics in human and primate societies: Agent-based modelling of social and spatial processes*, edited by T. Kohler and G. Gumerman, 275-353. New York; Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Lemke, Yvonne G., (2008). Die nichtköniglichen Priesterinnen des Alten Reiches (4. – 6. Dynastie). PhD Thesis, Philosophischen Fakultät, Universität Würzburg, Würzburg.

Lesko, Barbara S., (1996). *The Remarkable Women of Ancient Egypt.* Providence: B.C. Scribe Publications.

―――, (2010) The Women of Karnak. In *Perspectives on Ancient Egypt: Studies in Honor of Edward Brovarski*, edited by Z. Hawass, P. D. Manuelian and R. B. Hussein, 221-227. Le Caire: Conseil Suprême des Antiquités.

Lesko, Leonard H., (1998) The Perception of Women in Pharaonic Egyptian Wisdom Literature. In *Ancient Egyptian and Mediterranean Studies in Memory of William A. Ward*, edited by L. H. Lesko, 163-171. Providence, Rhode Island: Brown University.

Lesko, Leonard H., Barbara S. Lesko, and Stephen E. Thompson, (1982-1990). *A Dictionary of Late Egyptian.* 5 vols. Providence: B.c. Scribe Publications.

Levi-Strauss, Claude, (1969 [1949]). *The Elementary Structures of Kinship [Les Structures élémentaires de la parenté]*. Edited by R. Needham. Boston: Beacon Press.

Li, Jean, (2017). *Women, Gender and Identity in Third Intermediate Period Egypt: The Theban Case Study.* London ; New York: Routledge.

Lichtheim, Miriam, (1988). *Ancient Egyptian Autobiographies Chiefly from the Middle Kingdom: A Study and an Antology*, *Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis 84*. Vandenhoeck; Ruprecht Göttingen: Universitätsverlag Freiburg Schweiz.

―――, (2006). *Ancient Egyptian Literature: The Late Period.* 3 vols. Vol. 3. Berkeley; Los Angeles; London: University of California Press.

―――, (2006). *Ancient Egyptian Literature: The New Kingdom.* 2 ed. 3 vols. Vol. 2. Los Angeles: University of California Press.

―――, (2006). *Ancient Egyptian Literature: The Old and Middle Kingdom.* 3 vols. Vol. 1. Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Lippert, Sandra, (2008). *Einführung in die Altägyptische Rechtsgeschichte.* Edited by L. Gestermann and C. Leitz. Vol. 5, *Einführungen und Quellentexte zur Ägyptologie*. Berlin: LIT Verlag.

Litherland, Piers, (2015). *The western wadis of the Theban necropolis: a re-examination of the western wadis of the Theban necropolis by the joint-mission of the Cambridge Expedition to the Valley of the Kings and the New Kingdom Research Foundation, 2013-2014.* London: New Kingdom Research Foundation.

Loprieno, Antonio, (2000) Puns and Word Play in Ancient Egypt. In *Puns and Pundits: Word Play in the Hwbrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*, edited by S. B. Noegel, Bethsheda; Maryland: CDL Press.

Loret, Victor, (1901). La grande inscription de Mes à Saqqarah. *Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 39 (1), 1-10.

Lorton, David, (1977). The Treatment of Criminals in Ancient Egypt: Through the New Kingdom. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 20 (1), 2-64.

Manassa, Colleen, (2013). *Imagining the Past: Historical Fiction in New Kingdom Egypt*. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

Mandeville, Richard, (2014). *Wage Accounting in Deir el-Medina*: Abercromby Press.

Manley, Bill, (2002). Some images of the king and queen together in stele of Ahmose I. *Trabajos de Egiptología. Papers on Ancient Egypt* 1, 35-44.

Manniche, Lise, (1987). *Sexual Life in Ancient Egypt*. London: KPI Ltd.

―――, (1997) Reflections on the banquet Scene. In *La peinture égyptienne ancienne: un monde de signes à préserver: actes du Colloque international de Bruxelles, avril 1994*, edited by R. Tefnin, 29-36. Bruxelles: Fondation Égyptologique Reine Élisabeth.

―――, (2003) The so-called scenes of daily life in the private tombs of the Eigteenth Dynasty: an overview. In *The Theban Necropolis: Past, Present and Future*, edited by N. Strudwick and J. H. Taylor, 42-45. London: The British Museum Press.

―――, (2006). In the Womb. *The Bulletin of the Australian Centre for Egyptology* 17, 97-112.

Manning, Joseph G., (1995). Demotic Egyptian Instruments of Transfer as Evidence for Private Ownership of Real Property. *Chicago-Kent Law Review* 71 (1), 237-268.

―――, (2003). *Land and Power in Ptolemaic Egypt: The Structure of Land Tenure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

―――, (2011) Networks, Hierachies, and Markets in the Ptolemaic Economy. In *The economies of Hellenistic societies, third to first century BC*, edited by Z. H. Archibald, J. K. Davies and V. Gabrielsen, 296-323. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Manning, Joseph G., Francis Ludlow, Alexander R. Stine, William R. Boos, Michael Sigl, and Jennifer R. Marlon, (2017). Volcanic suppression of Nile summer flooding triggers revolt and constrains interstate conflict in ancient Egypt. *Nature Communications* 8 (900), 1-9.

Manuelian, Peter der, (1999) Semi-literacy in Ancient Egypt. In *Gold of Praise: Studies on Ancient Egypt in Honor of Edward T. Wente*, edited by E. Teeter and J. A. Larson, 285-298. Chicago: Oriental Institute.

Marshall, Amandine, (2013). *Être un enfant en Égypte ancienne*. Monaco: éditions du Rocher.

―――, (2015). *Maternité et petite enfance en Égypte ancienne*. éditions du Rocher: Monaco.

Masterson, Mark, Nancy Sorkin Rabinowitz, and James Robson, eds. (2015). *Sex in Antiquity: Exploring Gender and Sexuality in the Ancient World*. London; New York: Routledge.

Mattha, Girgis, and George R. Hughes, (1975). *The Demotic Legal Code of Hermopolis West, Bibliothèque d'Étude 45*. Le Caire: Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

Mauss, Marcel, (1990 [1925]). *The Gift: forms and functions of exchange in archaic societies [Essai sur le don: Forme et raison de l'échange dans les sociétés archaïques]*. London: Routledge.

McCarthy, Heather Lee, (2002). The Osiris Nefertari: A Case Study of Decorum, Gender, and Regeneration. *Journal of American Research Center in Egypt* 39, 173-195.

McDowell, Andrea G., (1990). *Jurisdiction in the workmen's community of Deir el-Medîna.* Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.

―――, (1992) Awareness of the past in Deir el-Medina. In *Village voices: proceedings of the symposium "Texts from Deir el-Medina and their interpretation", Leiden, May 31-June 1, 1991*, edited by R. J. Demarée and A. Egberts, 95-109. Leiden: Centre of Non-Western Studies.

―――, (1994) Contact with the Outside World. In *Pharaoh's workers: the villagers of Deir el Medina*, edited by L. H. Lesko, 41-59. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

―――, (1998) Legal aspects of care of the elderly in Egypt to the end of the New Kingdom In *The Care of the Elderly in the Ancient Near East*, edited by M. Stol and S. P. Vleeming, 199-221. Leiden; Boston.

―――, (1999). *Village Life in Ancient Egypt: Laundry Lists and Love Songs.* 2 ed. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press.

Merleau-Ponty, Maurice, (1968 [1964]). *The Visible and the Invisible: Followed by Working Notes [Le Visible et l'invisible: Suivi de notes de travail].* Evanston: Northwestern University Press.

Meskell, Lynn M., (1998). An Archaeology of Social Relations in an Egyptian Village. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 5 (3), 209-243.

―――, (1999). *Archaeologies of Social Life. Age, Sex, Class et cetera in Ancient Egypt.* Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

―――, (1999) Writing the Body in Archaeology. In *Reading the Body: Representations and Remains in the Archaeological Record*, edited by A. E. Rautman, 13-21. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

―――, (2000). Cycles of life and death: narrative homology and archaeological realities. *World Archaeology* 31 (3), 423-441.

―――, (2002). *Private life in New Kingdom Egypt.* Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.

―――, (2004). *Object Worlds in Ancient Egypt: Material Biographies Past and Present.* London; Oxford; New York; New Dehli; Sydney: Bloomsbury Academic.

―――, (2007) Archaeologies of identity. In *The Archaeology of Identities*, edited by T. Insoll, 23-43. London; New York: Routledge.

―――, (2008) Memory Work and Material Practices. In *Memory Work: Archaeologies of Material Practices*, edited by B. J. Mills and W. H. Walker, 233-243. Santa Fe, New Mexico: School for Advanced Research Press.

―――, (2012) The Social Life of Heritage. In *Archaeological Theory Today*, edited by I. Hodder, 229-250. Cambridge; Malden, MA: Polity.

Meskell, Lynn M., and Rosemary A. Joyce, (2003). *Embodied Lives: Figuring Ancient Maya and Egyptian Experience.* London; New York: Routledge.

Millard, Anne. 1976. The Position of Women in the Family and in Society in Ancient Egypt, with special reference to the Middle Kingdom. London: Department of Egyptology, University College.

Miller, Daniel, ed. (2005). *Materiality (Politics, History, and Culture)*: Duke University Press.

Moeller, Nadine, (2007) Urban life. In *The Egyptian World,* edited by T. Wilkinson, 57-72. London; New York: Routledge.

Moi, Toril, (1999). *What is a woman?* Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

Moje, Jan, (2007). *Untersuchen zur Hieroglyphischen Paläographie und Klassifizierung der Privatstelen der 19. Dynasie.* Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag.

Montserrat, Dominic, (2000). *Akhenaten: History, fantacy and ancient Egypt.* London; New York: Routledge.

Moore, Henrietta L., (1993) The Difference Within and the Difference Between. In *Gendered Anthropology,* edited by T. d. valle, 193-204. London: Routledge.

Moreno Garcia, Juan Carlos, (2010) Oracles, Ancestor Cults and Letters to the Dead: The Involvement of the Dead in Public and Private Family Affairs in Pharaonic Egypt. In *Perceptions of the Invisible: Religion, Historical Semantics and the Role of Perceptive Verbs,* edited by A. Storch, 133-153. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe Verlag.

———. 2012. Households. In *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology,* edited by E. Frood and W. Wendrich. Los Angeles: UCLA: Department of Near Eastern Languages and Cultures.

———, (2013) Conflicting interests over the possession and transfer of institutional land: individual versus family strategies. In *Decorum and experience: essays in ancient culture for John Baines,* edited by E. Frood and A. McDonald, 258-263. Oxford: Griffith Institute.

———, (2014). Recent Developments in the Social and Economic History of Ancient Egypt. *Journal of Ancient Near Eastern History* 1 (2), 231-261.

———, (2016). Trade and Power in Ancient Egypt: Middle Egypt in the LAte Third/Early Second Millenium BC. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 24 (4),

———, ed. (2013). *Ancient Egyptian Administration, HdO.* Leiden; Boston: Brill.

Moret, Alexandre, (1901). Un procès de famille sous la XIXe dynastie. *Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 39 (1), 11-38.

Morris, Ellen F., (2002). Review: Archaeologies of Social Life: Age, Sex, Class et cetera in Ancient Egypt (1999) by Lynn Meskell. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 88, 264-265.

———, (2005). *The Architecture of Imperialism: Military bases and the Evolution of Foreign Policy in Egypt's New Kingdom.* Leiden; London: Brill.

Muhs, Brian P., (2015) Property Title, Domestic Architecture, and Household Lifecycles in Egypt In *Household Studies in Complex Societies,* edited by M. Müller, 321-337. Chicago: The University of Chicago.

———, (2016). *The Ancient Egyptian Economy: 3000-30 BC.* Cambridge: The Cambridge University Press.

———, (2017). Gender Relations and Inheritance in Legal Codes and Legal Practices in Ancient Egypt. Paper read at Structures of Power: Law and Gender across the Ancient Near East and Beyond, at Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, March 6-7, 2015, 15-25

———, (forthcoming). Egyptian Scholars, Priests and Temples between Autonomy and State Authority.

Müller, Miriam, ed. (2015). *Household Studies in Complex Societies: (Micro)archaeological and textual approaches.* Chicago: The University of Chicago.

Naguib, Saphinaz-Amal, (1990). The Festivals of Opet and Abul Haggag: Survival of an Ancient tradition? *Temenos* 26, 67-84.

―――, (1990). *Le clergé féminin d'Amon Thébain à la 21e dynastie.* Louvain: Dep. Orientalistiek : Peeters.

―――, (1991). The Beautiful Feast of the Valley. *Understanding and History in Arts and Sciences* 1, 21-32.

―――, (1994). Interpreting Abstract Concepts. Towards an Attempt to Classify the Ancient Egyptian Notion of Person. *Discussions in Egyptology* 29, 99-124.

Nelson-Hurst, Melinda G., (2011) The increasing emphasis on collateral and female kin in the late Middle Kingdom and Second Intermediate Period: the vivification formula as a case study. In *Current research in Egyptology 2010: proceedings of the eleventh annual symposium, Leiden University 2010*, edited by M. Horn, R. Mairs, J. Kramer, A. Stevenson, D. Soliman, N. Staring, C. v. d. Hoven and L. Weiss, 116-123. Oxford: Oxbow.

Neunert, Gregor, (2018) Re-/Constructing Ramesside society? Arguing in favout of a network of micro-worlds. In *The Ramesside Period in Egypt. Studies into Cultural and Historical Processes of the 19th and 20th Dynasties. Proceedings of the International Symposium held in Heidelberg, 5th to 7th June 2015*, edited by S. Kubisch and U. Rummel, 227-237. Berlin ; Boston: De Gruyter.

Newberry, Percy E., (1900). *The Life of Rekhmara: Vezîr of Upper Egypt under Thotmes III and Amenhetep II (circa B.C. 1471-1448) with twenty-two plates.* Westminster: Archibald Constable and Co Ltd.

Niwiński, Andrzej, (1979). Problems in the Chronology and Genealogy of the XXIst Dynasty: New Proposals for their Interpretation. *Journal of American Research Center in Egypt* 16, 49-68.

Nord, Del, (1981) The term xnr: 'Harem' or 'Musical Performers'? . In *Studies in Ancient Egypt, in the Aegean and the Sudan*, 137-145. Boston: Department of Egyptian and Ancient Near Eastern Art, Museum of Fine-Arts.

Nyord, Rune, (2015). «Mennesket» bag mennesket: Det oldægyptiske ka-begrep. *Papyrus* 35 (1), 30-37.

―――, (2018). «Taking Ancient Egyptian Mortuary Religion Seriously»: Why Would We, and How Could We? . *Journal of Ancient Egyptian Interconnections* 17, 73-87.

―――, ed. (forthcoming). *(En)gendering the World in Ancient Egypt.* Edited by R. Skumsnes, L. O. Johannessen, A. Martinsen and J. Økland, *Hierarchy and Equality - Representations of Sex/Gender in the Ancient World.* Athens: The Norwegian Institute in Athens.

O'Connor, David, (1983) New Kingdom and Third Intermediate Period, 1552-664 BC. In *Ancient Egypt: a social history*, edited by B. G. Trigger, B. J. Kemp, D. O'Connor and A. B. Lloyd, 183-278. Cambridge; New York; Port Melbourne; Madrid; Cape Town: Cambridge University Press.

―――, (1997) Ancient Egypt: Egyptology and Anthropological Perspectives. In *Anthropology and Egyptology: A Developing Dialogue*, edited by J. Lustig, 13-24. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press.

Olabarria, Leire, (2018). A Question of Substance: Interpreting Kinship and Relatedness in Ancient Egypt. *Journal of Ancient Egyptian Interconnections* 17, 88-113.

———, (forthcoming). Formulating relations: an approach to the smyt-formula. *Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde*,

Olsen, Bjørnar, (2010). *In Defence of Things: Archaeology and the Ontology of Objects* Lanham; New York; Toronto; Plymouth, UK: Altamira Press.

Onstine, Suzanne Lynn, (2005). *The Role of the Chantress in Ancient Egypt.* Oxford: Archaeopress.

Orriols-Llonch, Marc, (2015) Semen Ingestion and Oral Sex in Ancient Egyptian Texts. In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Congress of Egyptologists: University of the Aegean, Rhodes. 22-29 May 2008*, edited by P. Kousoulis and N. Lazaridis, 837-848. Leuven: Peeters.

Ortner, Sherry B., (1992) Gender and sexuality in hierarchal societies: the case of Polynesia and some comparative implications. In *Sexual Meanings: The Cultural Construction of Gender and Sexuality*, edited by S. B. Ortner and H. Whitehead, 359-409. Cambridge; New York; Victoria: Cambridge University Press.

Ortner, Sherry B., and Harriet Whitehead, eds. (1992). *Sexual Meanings: The Cultural Construction of Gender and Sexuality.* Cambridge; New York; Victoria: Cambridge University Press.

Park, Katharine, (2010). Cadden, Laqueur, and the «One-Sex Body». *Medieval Feminist Forum* 46 (1), 96-100.

Parkin, T. G., (2005) The Ancient Greek and Roman Worlds. In *A History of Old Age*, edited by P. Thane, 31-70. London ; Los Angeles: Thames and Hudson and Paul Getty Museum.

Parkinson, Richard B., (1995). 'Homosexual' Desire and Middle Kingdom Literature. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* Vol. 81, pp. 57-76.

———, (2002). *Poetry and Culture in Middle Kingdom Egypt: A Dark Side to Perfection Studies in Egyptology and the Ancient Near East* London; Oakville, CT: Equinox.

———, (2008) 'Boasting about hardness': Constructions of Middle Kingdom Masculinity In *Sex and gender in ancient Egypt : 'don your wig for a joyful hour'*, edited by C. Graves-Brown, Swansea: Classical Press of Wales.

Peck, William H., (2013). *The Material World of Ancient Egypt.* New York: Cambridge University Press.

Peet, T. Eric, (1932) The Egyptian words for "money", "buy", and "sell". In *Studies Presented to F. Ll. Griffith*, edited by S. R. K. Glanville, 122-127. London: Egypt Exploration Society.

———, (1977). *The Great Tomb-Robberies of the Twentieth Egyptian Dynasty.* Hildesheim; New York: Georg Olms Verlag.

Pestman, P. W., (1961). Marriage and matrimonial property in ancient Egypt: a contribution to establishing the legal position of the woman, Brill, Leiden.

Picardo, Nicholas, (2015) Hybrid Households: Instituional Affiliations and Household Identity in the Town of Wah-sut (South Abydos). In *Household Studies in Complex Societies*, edited by M. Müller, 243-287. Chicago: The University of Chicago.

Pinch, Geraldine, (1993). *Votive offerings to Hathor.* Oxford: Griffith Institute.

———, (2004). *Egyptian Myth: A Very Short Introduction.* Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

Polanyi, Karl, (2001 [1944]). *The Great Transformation: The Political and Economic Origins of Our Time*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Pollock, Griselda, (2019). *Feminism: A Bad Memory*: Verso.

Polz, Daniel, (1990). Bemerkungen zur Grabbenutzung in der thebanischen Nekropole. *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo* 46, 301-336.

―――, (1997). *Das Grab des Hui und des Kel: Theban Nr. 54, Archäologische Veröffentlichungen, Deutsches Archäologisches Institut, Abteilung Kairo 74*. Mainz: Verlag Philipp von Zabern.

―――, (2005) The royal and private necropolis of the Seventeenth and early Eighteenth Dynasties at Dra' Abu el-Naga. In *Studies in honor of Ali Radwan*, edited by K. Daoud, S. Bedier and S. A. El-Fattah, 233-245. Le Caire: Conseil Suprême des Antiquités.

―――, (2007). *Der Beginn des Neuen Reiches: zur Vorgeschichte einer Zeitenwende, Sonderschrift, Deutsches Archäologisches Institut, Abteilung Kairo 31*. Berlin; New York: Walter de Gruyter.

Porter, Bertha, and Rosalind L. B. Moss, (1937). *V Upper Egypt: Sites, Topographical Bibliography of Ancient Egyptian Hieroglyphic Texts, Reliefs, and Paintings*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

―――, (1960). *Topographical Bibliography of Ancient Egyptian Hieroglyphic Texts, Reliefs, and Paintings: I. The Theban Necropolis Part 1. Private Tombs*. 2 ed. Oxford: Griffith Institute, Ashmolean Museum.

Posener, George, (1964). Néshi du procès de Mès. *Revue d'égyptologie* 16, 213-214.

Quack, Joachim F., (1994). *Die Lehren des Ani: Ein neuägyptischer Weisheitstext in seinem kulturellen Umfeld, Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis 141*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Quibell, J. E., R. F. E. Paget, and A. A. Pirie, (1898). *The Ramesseum / The tomb of Ptah-Hetep, British School of Archaeology in Egypt and Egyptian Research Account [2]*. London: Bernard Quaritch.

Quirke, Stephen, (2004). *Titles and Bureaux of Egypt 1850-1700 BC*. London: Golden House Publishing.

―――, (2007) Women of Lahun (Egypt 1800 BC). In *Archaeology and Women: Ancient and Modern issues*, edited by S. Hamilton, R. D. Whitehouse and K. I. Wright, 246-262. Walnut Creek: Left Coast Press, Inc.

―――, (2015). *Exploring Religion in Ancient Egypt*. Malden, MA; Oxford; Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley Blackwell.

Redford, Donald B., (2001). *The Oxford Encyclopedia of ancient Egypt*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Revez, Jean, (2003). The Metaphorical Use of the Kinship Term sn "Brother" *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 40, 123-131.

Richards, Janet, (2005). *Society and Death in Ancient Egypt: Mortuary Landscapes of the Middle Kingdom*. Cambridge; New York; etc.: Cambridge University Press.

Riggs, Christina, (2014). *Unwrapping Ancient Egypt*. London; New Dehli; New York; Sydney: Bloomsbury.

Ritner, Robert K. , (1993). *The mechanics of ancient Egyptian magical practice*, *Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilization 54*. Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago.

Robins, Gay, (1979). The Relationship Specified by Egyptian Kinship terms of the Middle and New Kingdoms. *Chronique d'Egypte* LIV, 197-217.

———, (1988). Ancient Egyptian Sexuality. *Discussions in Egyptology* 11, 61-72.

———, (1989) Some Images of Women in New Kingdom Art and Literature. In *Women's earliest records: from ancient Egypt and western Asia : proceedings of the Conference on Women in the Ancient Near East, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, November 5-7, 1987*, edited by B. S. Lesko, XL, 350 s. Atlanta, Ga.: Scholars Press.

———, (1993) The God's Wife of Amun in the 18th Dynasty in Egypt. In *Images of women in antiquity*, edited by A. Cameron and A. Kuhrt, XVIII, 334 s. London: Routledge.

———, (1993). The representation of sexual characteristics in Amarna art. *Journal of the Society for the Study of Egyptian Antiquities* 23, 29-41.

———, (1993). *Women in ancient Egypt*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

———, (1994). Some Principles of Compositional Dominance and Gender Hierarchy in Egyptian Art. *Journal of American Research Center in Egypt* 31, 33-40.

———, (1997) The 'Feminization' of the Male Figure in New Kingdom Two-Dimensional Art. In *Chief of Seers: Egyptian Studies in Memory of Cyril Aldred*, edited by N. R. E. Goring, J. Ruffle, 251-265. London; New York: Kegan Paul International.

———, (1997) Women and Votive Stelae in the New Kingdom. In *Ancient Egypt, the Aegean, and the Near East: Studies in Honour of Martha Rhoads Bell*, edited by J. Phillips, 445-454. Van Siclen Books.

———, (1999). Hair and the Construction of Identity in Ancient Egypt, c. 1480-1350 BC. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 36, 55-69.

———. 2001. Color Symbolism. In *The Oxford Encyclopedia of Ancient Egypt*, edited by D. B. Redford. Cairo The American University in Cairo Press.

———, (2003) Image and Reality in Amarna Art. In *Hommages à Fayza Haikal*, edited by N. Grimal, A. Kamel and C. May-Sheikholeslami, 225-229. Institut Francais d'Archéologie Orientale.

———, (2005) Cult statues in ancient Egypt. In *Cult image and divine representation in the ancient Near East*, edited by N. H. Walls, 1-12. Boston: American Schools of Oriental Research.

———, (2007). *The Art of Ancient Egypt*. 2 ed. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

———, (2007) Male bodies and the construction of masculinity in New Kingdom Egyptian Art. In *Servant of Mut: Studies in Honour of Richard A. Fazzini*, edited by S. H. D'Auria, 208-215. Leiden: IDC Publishers, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers and VSP.

———, (2015) Gender and Sexuality. In *A Companion to Ancient Egyptian Art*, edited by M. K. Hartwig, 120-140. Malden, MA; Oxford; Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley Blackwell.

———, (2016) Constructing Elite Group and Individual Identity within the Canon of 18th Dynasty Theban Tomb Chapel Decoration. In *Problems of Canonicity*

and Identity Formation in Ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia, edited by K. Ryholt and G. Barjamovic, 201-216. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.

———, (2016) Meals for the dead: the image of the deceased seated before a table of offerings in ancient Egyptian art. In *Dining and death: interdisciplinary perspectives on the 'funerary banquet' in ancient art, burial and belief*, edited by C. M. Draycott and M. Stamatopoulou, 111-127. Leuven: Peeters.

Robinson, Joanne-Marie, (2017) 'Your mouth speaks, your feet walk': Representations of physical abnormalities and perceptions of the human body in ancient Egyptian funerary iconography. In *In Sickness and In Health: Interdisciplinary Approaches to the Study of Disease and Deformity in Past Populations*, edited by E. W. Parkinson and L. Talbot, 6-33. Cambridge.

Roehrig, C., (1990). The Eighteenth Dynasty Titles Royal Nurse (mnAt nswt), Royal Tutor (mnA nsw), and Foster Brother/Sister of the Lord of the Two Lands (sn/snt mnA n nb tawy), Near Eastern Department, University of California, Berkeley, Ann Arbor.

Roth, Ann Macy, (1995). Building bridges to Afrocentrism: a letter to my egyptological colleagues (1). *Newsletter of the American Research Center in Egypt* 167 (1), 14-17.

———, (1999). The Absent Spouse: Patterns and Taboos in Egyptian Tomb Decoration. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 36, 37-53.

———, (2000) Father Earth, Mother Sky: Ancient Egyptian Beiefs about Conception and Fertility. In *Reading the Body: Representations and Remains in the Archaeological Record*, edited by A. E. Rautman, 187-201. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

———, (2005) Gender Roles in Ancient Egypt. In *A Companion to the Ancient Near East*, edited by D. C. Snell, 211-218. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.

———, (2006) Little women: gender and hierarchic proportion in Old Kingdom mastaba chapels. In *The Old Kingdom art and archaeology: proceedings of the conference held in Prague, May 31 - June 4, 2004*, edited by M. Bárta, 281-296. Prague: Czech Institute of Egyptology, Faculty of Arts, Charles University in Prague.

———, (2015). Passivity and Power in Egyptian Art. Paper read at International Congress of Egyptologists XI, at Florence, Italy 23 - 30 August 2015,

———, (2015) Representing the Other. In *A Companion to Ancient Egyptian Art*, edited by M. K. Hartwig, 155-174. Malden, MA; Oxford; Chichester, Sussex: Wiley Blackwell.

Rubin, Gayle, (2006 [1975]) The Traffic in Women: Notes on the "Political Economy" of Sex. In *Feminist Anthropology: A Reader*, edited by E. Lewin, 87-106. Malden: Blackwell Publishing.

Ryholt, Kim, and Gojko Barjamovic, eds. (2016). *Problems of Canonicity and Identity Formation in Ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia*, *CNI Publications 43*. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.

Römer, Malte, (2007). Die Aussagekraft der Quellen für das Studium ägyptischer Wirtschaft und Verwaltung. *Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 134 (1), 66-106.

Sabbahy, Lisa K., (2013) Gender, Pharaonic Egypt. In *The Encyclopedia of Ancient Egypt*, edited by R. S. Bagnall, K. Brodersen, C. B. Champion, E. Andrew and S. R. Huebner, 2876-2878. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

Salim, Rana, (2013). Cultural Identity and Self-presentation in Ancient Egyptian Fictional Narratives. An Intertextual Study of Narrative Motifs from the Middle to the Roman Period, Department of Cross-Cultural and Regional Studies, University of Copenhagen.

Scheil, Jean-Vincent, (1894 [1889]). *Tombeaux thébains de Mâi, des Graveurs, Rat'eserkasenb, Pârj, Djanni, Apoui, Montou-m-hat, Aba, Mémoires publiés par les membres de la Mission Archéologique Française au Caire 5*. Paris: Ernest Leroux.

Schott, Siegfried, (1953). *Das schöne Fest vom Wüstentale: Festbräuche einer Totenstadt., Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur (Mainz): Abhandlungen der Geistes- und sozialwissenschaftliche Klasse 1952 (11)*. Meinz ; Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner.

Schreiber, Gábor, (2015). *The tomb of Amenhotep, chief physician in the domain of Amun, Theban Tomb -61-: archaeology and architecture, Studia Aegyptiaca Series Maior 4*. Budapest: Archaeolingua.

Schäfer, Heinrich, (2002 [1919]). *Principles of Egyptian Art [Von ägyptischer Kunst]*. Edited by E. Brunner-Traut and J. Baines. Oxford: The Alden Press.

Scott, Joan W., (1992 [1991]) Experience. In *Feminists Theorize the Political*, edited by J. Butler and J. W. Scott, 22-40. New York; Oxon: Routledge.

―――, (1999 [1983]) Women's history [Women in History: The Modern Period]. In *Gender and the Politics of History*, edited by J. W. Scott, 15-27. New York: Columbia University Press.

―――, (1999 [1986]) Gender: A Useful Category of Historical Analysis. In *Gender and the Politics of History*, edited by J. W. Scott, 28-50. New York: Columbia University Press.

―――, (1999 [1988]). *Gender and the Politics of History*. Revised ed. New York: Columbia University Press.

―――, (2008) Modes of power and the reconceptualisation of elites. In *Remembereing Elites*, edited by M. Savage and K. Willems, 27-43. Malden, MA: Blackwell.

―――, (2011 [2001]) Fantasy Echo: History and the Construction of Identity. In *The Fantacy of Feminist History*, edited by J. W. Scott, 45-67. Durham; London: Duke University Press.

Seidl, E., (1957). Vom Erbrecht der alten Ägypter. *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Geseltschaft* 107, 270-281.

Seyfried, Karl-Joachim, (1987) Entwicklungen in der Grabarchitecture des Neuen Reiches als eine weitere Quelle für theologische Konzeptionen der Ramessidenzeit. In *Problems and Priorities in Egyptian Archaeology* edited by J. Assmann, G. Burkard and V. Davies, 219-253. London: Routledge.

―――, (1995) Generationeneinbindung. In *Thebanische Beamtennekropolen: neue Perspektiven archäologischer Forschung. Internationales Symposion, Heidelberg, 9. - 13.6.1993*, edited by J. Assmann, E. Dziobek, H. Guksch and F. Kampp, 219-231. Heidelberg: Heidelberger Orientverlag.

Shaw, Ian, (2002). *The Oxford History of Ancient Egypt*. 2 ed. New York: Oxford University Press.

Shaw, Ian, and Paul Nicholson, eds. (2002). *The British Museum Dictionary of Ancient Egypt*: British Museum Press.

Shedid, Abdel Ghaffar, (1988). *Stil der Grabmalereien in der Zeit Amenophis' II. untersucht an den Thebanischen Gräbern Nr. 104 und Nr. 80, Archäologische Veröffentlichungen, Deutsches Archäologisches Institut, Abteilung Kairo 66*. Mainz: Zabern.

Sheikholeslami, Cynthia M. 2011. Hathor's Festival of Drunkenness: Evidence from the New Kingdom and Third Intermediate Period. In *62nd Annual Meeting of the American Association of the AMerican Research Center in Egypt, 1-3 April 2011*. Chicago: Unpublished.

Shirley, J. J., (2010) One tomb, two owners: Theban tomb 122 - re-use or planned family tomb? In *Millions of jubilees: studies in honor of David P. Silverman*, edited by Z. Hawass and J. H. Wegner, 271-301. Le Caire: Conseil Suprême des Antiquités.

———, (2011) What's in a title? Military and Civil Officials in the Egyptian 18th Dynasty Military Sphere. In *Egypt, Canaan and Israel: History, Imperialism, Ideology and Literature*, edited by S. Kar, D. Kahn and J. J. Shirley, 291-319. Leiden ; Boston: Brill.

Simpson, William Kelly, ed. (2003). *The Literature of Ancient Egypt: An Anthology of Stories, Instructions, Stelae, Autobiographies, and Poetry* 3ed. New Haven & London: Yale University Press.

Skumsnes, Reinert, (2009). Kvinner i det gamle Egypt - Ein komparativ studie. MA Thesis, Department of Archaeology, History, Culture studies and Religion, University of Bergen, Bergen.

Smith, Mark. 2009. Democratization of the Afterlife. In *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, edited by W. Wendrich, J. Dieleman, E. Frood and J. Baines. Los Angeles.

———, (2017). *Following Osiris: Perspectives on the Osirian Afterlife from Four Millennia*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Snape, Stephen, (2011). *Ancient Egyptian tombs: the culture of life and death, Blackwell ancient religions*. Chichester; Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.

———, (2014). *The Complete Cities of Ancient Egypt*. London: Thames & Hudson.

Spence, Kate, (2015) Ancient Egyptian Houses and Households: Architecture, Artifacts, Conceptualization, and Interpretation. In *Household Studies in Complex Societies*, edited by M. Müller, 83-100. Chicago: The University of Chicago.

Stefanovic, Danijela, (2009). *The Non-Royal Regular Feminine Titles of the Middle Kingdom and Second Intermediate Period: Dossiers*. London: Golden House Publications.

———, (2016). *Dossiers of Ancient Egyptian Women: The Middle Kingdom and the Second Intermediate Period Middle Kingdom Studies 5*. London: Golden House Publications.

Stefanović, Danijela, and Helmut Satzinger, (2015) I am a Nbt-pr, and I am independent. In *The world of Middle Kingdom Egypt (2000-1550 BC): contributions on archaeology, art, religion, and written sources*, edited by G. Miniaci and W. Grajetzki, 333-338. London: Golden House Publications.

Sternberg-el Hotabi, Heike, Fabio Berdozza, and Barbara Böhm, (2005). Beiträge zur ägyptologischen Diskussion. *Göttingen Miszellen* 203, 105-112.

Stevens, Anna, and Gretchen R. Dabbs, (2018). Tell el-Amarna, spring 2017: The North Tomb Cemetery excavations and skeletal analysis. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 104, 1-15.

Stevens, Marissa A., (2018). Shaping Identities in the Context of Crisis: The Social Self Reflected in 21st Dynasty Funerary Papyri, Department of Near Eastern Languages and Cultures, University of California, Los Angeles.

Stevenson, Alice, (2010). Ancient Egypt in the Pitt Rivers Museum. *Egyptian Archaeology* 37, 41-43.

———, (2013) Egypt and Sudan: Mesolithic to Early Dynastic Period. In *World Archaeology at the Pitt Rivers Museum: a characterization*, edited by D. Hicks and A. Stevenson, 60-89. Oxford: Archaeopress.

Strathern, Marilyn, (1988). *The Gender of the Gift: Problems with Women and Problems with Society in Melanesia*. Berkeley; Los Angeles; London: University of California Press.

———, (1992) Parts and Wholes: Refiguring Relationships in a Postplural World. In *Conceptualising Society*, edited by A. Kuper, 75-104. London: Routledge.

———, (2014). Reading relations backwards. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 20, 3-19.

———, (2016). *Before and After Gender: Sexual Mythologies of Everyday Life*. Edited by S. Franklin. Chicago: Hau Books.

Sweeney, Deborah, (1993) Woman's correspondence from Deir el-Medineh. In *Sesto Congresso internazionale di Egittologia: atti*, edited by E. International Congress of, pp. 523-529. [Torino?]: [s.n.].

———, (1994). Henuttawy's guilty consience (gods and grain in Late Ramesside Letter no. 37). *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 80, 208-112.

———, (1997). Offence and Reconciliation in Ancient Egypt. *Göttingen Miszellen* 158, 63-79.

———, (2001). *Correspondence and dialogue: pragmatic factors in late Ramesside letter writing, Ägypten und Altes Testament 49*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

———, (2004). Forever young? The Representation of Older and Aging women in Ancient Egyptian Art. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 41, 67-84.

———, (2006) Women growing older in Deir el-Medina. In *Living and writing in Deir el-Medine: socio-historical embodiment of Deir el-Medine texts*, edited by A. Dorn and T. Hofmann, Basel: Schwabe.

———, (2008). Gender and Oracular Practice in Deir el-Medina. *ZÄS* 135, 154-164.

———, (2011) Sex and Gender. In *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, edited by E. Frood and W. Wendrich, 1-14. Los Angeles: UCLA: Department of Near Eastern Languages and Cultures.

———, (2015) Maculinity, Femininity and the Spirituality of Work at Deir el-Medina. In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Congress of Egyptologists: University of the Aegean, Rhodes 22-29 May 2008*, edited by P. Kousoulis and N. Lazaridis, 873-884. Leuven; Paris; Bristol, CT: Peeters.

———, (2016) Women at Deir el-Medina. In *Women in Antiquity: real women across the ancient world*, edited by S. L. Budin and J. M. Turfa, 243-254. London; New York: Routledge.

Szpakowska, Kasia, (2007) Flesh for Fantasy: Reflections of Women in Two Ancient Egyptian Dream Manuals. In *Egyptian Stories: A British Egyptological Tribute*

to Alan B. Lloyd on the Occasion of His Retirement, edited by T. Schneider and K. Szpakomska, 393-404. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag.

―――, (2012) Hidden Voices: Unveiling Women in Ancient Egypt. In *A Companion to Women in the Ancient World*, edited by S. L. James and S. Dillon, 25-37. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

Sørensen, Marie L. S., (2000). *Gender Archaeology*. Cambridge; Malden, MA: Polity Press.

Taylor, J. J., (1900). *The tomb of Renni, Wall drawings and monuments of El Kab*. London: Bernard Quaritch.

Taylor, John H., (1998) Nodjmet, Payankh and Herihor: the end of the New Kingdom reconsidered. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Congress of Egyptologists, Cambridge, 3-9 September 1995*, edited by C. J. Eyre, 1143-1155. Leuven: Peeters.

Te Velde, Herman, (1967). *Seth: God of Confusion*. Leiden: Brill.

―――, (1990) Some remarks on the concept "person" in the ancient Egyptian culture. In *Concepts of person in religion and thought*, edited by H. G. Kippenberg, Y. B. Kuiper and A. F. Sanders, 83-101. Berlin ; New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Teeter, Emily, (2000). The Body in Ancient Egyptian Texts and Representations. *Bulletin of the American Society of Papyrologists* 37, 149-170.

―――, (2009) Inside the Temple: The Role and Function of Temple Singers. In *The Life of Meresamun: A Temple Singer in Ancient Egypt*, edited by E. Teeter and J. H. Johnson, 25-29. Chicago: The Oriental Institute Museum Publications.

Teysseire, Pascale M., (1998). Portrayal of Women in the Ancient Egyptian Tale, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut.

Théodoridès, Aristide, (1964). La stèle juridique d'Amarah. *Revue Internationale des Droits de l'Antiquité* 11, 45-80.

―――, (1965). Le Papyrus des Adoptions. *Revue Internationale des Droits de l'Antiquité* 12, 79-142.

―――, (1966). Le "testament" de Naunakhte. *Revue Internationale des Droits de l'Antiquité* 13, 31-70.

―――, (1967). A propos de la loi dans l'Egypte pharaonique. *Revue Internationale des Droits de l'Antiquité* 14, 107-152.

―――, (1968). Le testament d'Imenkhâou. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 54, 149-154.

―――, (1969). Les ouvriers-"magistrats" en Égypte à l'époque ramesside (XIXe-XXe dyn.; 13e-10e s. av. J.-C.). *Revue Internationale des Droits de l'Antiquité* 16, 103-188.

―――, (1971) The Concept of Law in Ancient Egypt. In *The Legacy of Egypt*, edited by S. R. K. Glanville and J. R. Harris, 271-322. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Thijs, Ad, (2013). Nodjmet A, Daughter of Amenhotep, Wife of Piankh and Mother of Herihor. *Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 140, 54-69.

Toivari-Viitala, Jaana, (1995) Marriage at Deir el-Medina. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Congress of Egyptologists*, edited by C. J. Eyre, 1157-1163. Cambridge: Uitgeverij Peeters, Leuven.

―――, (2001). *Women at Deir el-Medina: a study of the status and roles of the female inhabitants in the workmen's community during the Ramesside Period*

Egyptologische Uitgaven 15. Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.

———, (2002). Review: Archaeologies of Social Life: Age, Sex, Class et cetera in Ancient Egypt (1999) by Lynn Meskell. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 45 (3), 384-387.

———, (2003). O. DeM 764: A Note Concerning Property Rights. *Göttinger Miszellen* 195, 87-96.

———. 2011. Deir el-Medina (Development). In *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, edited by W. Wendrich, J. Dieleman, E. Frood and J. Baines. Los Angeles.

———. 2013. Marriage and Divorce. In *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, edited by W. Wendrich, J. Dieleman, E. Frood and J. Baines. Los Angeles.

Trigger, Bruce G., (2004) Cross-cultural comparison and archaeological theory In *A Companion to Social Archaeology*, edited by L. Meskell and R. W. Preucel, 43-65. Malden, MA ; Oxford ; Victoria: Wiley Blackwell.

Trigger, Bruce G., Barry J. Kemp, David O'Connor, and Alan B. Lloyd, (1983). *Ancient Egypt: A social history*. 16 ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Troy, Lana, (1984). Good and Bad Women: Maxim 18/284-288 of the Instructions of Ptahhotep. *Maxim* 18, 284-288.

———, (1986). *Patterns of Queenship in ancient Egyptian myth and history*. Uppsala: Distributors: Almqvist & Wiksell International, Stockholm.

———, (2003) Resource Management and Ideological Manifestation. The Towns and Cities of Ancient Egypt. In *Development of Urbanism from a Global Perspective*, 58-. Uppsala.

———, (2003) She for whom all that is said is done: the ancient Egyptian queen. In *Ancient queens: archaeological explorations*, edited by S. M. Nelson, 93-116. Walnut Creek, Calif Altamira Press

———, (2015) How to treat a lady: Reflections on the 'notorious' P. Leiden I 371. In *Lotus and Laurel: Studies on Egyptian Language and Religion (in Honour of Paul John Frandsen)*, edited by R. Nyjord and K. Ryholt, 403-417. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.

Tyldesley, Joyce, (1994). *Daughters of Isis: Women of Ancient Egypt*. London; New York: Penguin Books.

———, (2006). *Chronicle of Queens of Egypt: From Early Dynastic Times to the Death of Cleopatra*. London: Thames & Hudson.

Valbelle, D., (1985). *Les ouvriers de la tombe. Deir el-Médineh à l'Époque Ramesside*. Vol. XCVI, *Bibliothèque d'Étude*: Institut français d'archéologie orientale du Caire.

Verhoeven, Ursula, (1998) The Mortuary Cult. In *Egypt: The World of the Pharaohs*, edited by R. Schulz and M. Seidel, 481-489. Cologne: Kônemann.

Vernus, Pascal, (2003 [1993]). *Affairs and Scandals in ancient Egypt [Affaires et scandales sous les Ramsès]*. Translated by D. Lorton. Ithaka ; London: Cornell University.

Virey, Philippe, (1894 [1889]) Le tombeau de Rekhmara, préfet de Thèbes sous la XVIIIe dynastie. In *Sept tombeaux thébains de la XVIIIe Dynastie*, edited by P. Virey, 1-194, 44 pl. Paris: Ernest Leroux.

———, (1898). La tombe des vignes à Thèbes ou tombe de Sennofri, directeur des greniers, des troupeaux et des jardins d'Ammon. *Recueil de travaux relatifs à la philologie et à l'archéologie égyptiennes et assyriennes* 20, 211-223.

Warburton, David A., (2010) The Egyptian Economy: Sources, Models and History. In *Commerce and Economy in Ancient Egypt: Proceedings of the Third International Congress for Young Egyptologists 25-27 September 2009, Budapest*, edited by A. Hudecz and M. Petrik, 165-175. Oxford: Archaeopress.

———, (2016). *The Fundamentals of Economics: Lessons from the Bronze Age Near East, Civilisations du Proche-Orient*. Neuchâtel: Recherches et Publications.

Ward, William A., (1982). *Index of Egyptian Administrative and Religious Titles of the Middle Kingdom: with a glossary of words and phrases used*. Beirut: American University of Beirut.

———, (1984) Some Aspects of Private Land Ownership and Inheritance in Ancient Egypt, ca. 2500-1000 B.C. In *Land Tenure and Social Transformation in the Middle East*, edited by T. Khalidi, 63-77. Beirut: The American University in Beirut.

———, (1986). *Essays on feminine titles of the Middle Kingdom and related subjects*. Beirut: American University of Beirut.

———, (1989) Non-Royal Woman and their Occupation in the Middel Kingdom. In *Women's earliest records: from ancient Egypt and western Asia : proceedings of the Conference on Women in the Ancient Near East, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, November 5-7, 1987*, edited by B. S. Lesko, XL, 350 s. Atlanta, Ga.: Scholars Press.

Warden, Leslie Anne, (2014). *Pottery and Economy in Old Kingdom Egypt* Leiden ; Boston: Brill.

Weeks, Kent R., (2005). *The Treasures of Luxor and the Valley of the Kings*. Cairo: The American University in Cairo.

———, ed. (1979). *Egyptology and the Social Sciences*. Cairo: The University in Cairo Press.

Wegner, Josef W., (2004) Social and historical implications of sealings of the king's daughter Reniseneb and other women at the town of Wah-Sut. In *Scarabs of the second millennium BC from Egypt, Nubia, Crete and the Levant: chronological and historical implications; papers of a symposium, Vienna, 10th - 13th of January 2002*, edited by M. Bietak and E. Czerny, 221-240. Wien: Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.

Weiner, Annette B., (1992). *Inalienable Possesions: The Paradox of Keeping while Giving*. Berkeley; Los Angeles; Oxford: University of California Press.

Weiss, Lara, (2015). *Religious Practice at Deir el-Medina*. Louven; Leiden: Peeters; Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.

Weissner, Polly, (2012) Alienating the Inalienable: Marriage and Money in a Big Man Society In *The Scope of Anthropology: Maurice Godelier's Work in Context*, edited by L. Dousset and S. Tcherkézoff, 67-85. New York; Oxford: Berghahn Books.

Wells, Eric R., (2014). Display and Devotion: A Social and Religious Analysis of New Kingdom Votive Stelae from Asyut, Department of Near Eastern Languages and Cultures, University of California, Los Angeles.

Wendrich, Willeke, (2010) Egyptian Archaeology: From Text to Context. In *Egyptian Archaeology*, edited by W. Wendrich, 1-14. Malden, MA; Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.

———, (2013) Organizing the world: classification, typology, and taxonomy in the past, present, and future. In *Decorum and experience: essays in ancient culture for John Baines*, edited by E. Frood and A. McDonald, 86-92. Oxford: Griffith Institute.

———, (2018). Mutuality in Exploring the Past: Ethno-Experimental and Community Archaeology. *Journal of Ancient Egyptian Interconnections* 17, 188-201.

Wente, Edward F., (1967). *Late Ramesside Letters, Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilization 33*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

———, (1967). On the Chronology of the Twenty-First Dynasty. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 26, 155-176.

Wente, Edward F., and Edmund S. Meltzer, (1990). *Letters from ancient Egypt*. Atlanta, Georgia: Scholars Press.

Whale, Sheila, (1989). *The Family in the Eighteenth Dynasty of Egypt*. Sydney: The Australian Centre of Egyptology.

Wilfong, Terry G., (2007) Gender and Sexuality. In *The Egyptian World*, edited by T. Wilkinson, 205-217. London: Routledge.

Wilkinson, Richard H., (2000). *The Complete Temples of Ancient Egypt*. London: Thames & Hudson Ltd.

———, (2003). *The Complete Gods and Goddesses of Ancient Egypt*. London: Thames & Hudsen Ltd.

Willems, Harco O., (1983). A Description of Egyptian Kinship Terminalogy of the Middle Kingdom. *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land-, en Volkenkunde* 139, 152-168.

———, (2008). *Les textes des sarcophages et la démocratie: éléments d'une histoire culturelle du Moyen Empire Égyptien*. Edited by C. Zivie-Coche. Paris: Éditions Cybele.

———, (2015) Family life in the hereafter according to Coffin Texts spells 131-146: a study in the structure of ancient Egyptian domestic groups. In *Lotus and laurel: studies on Egyptian language and religion in honour of Paul John Frandsen*, edited by R. Nyord and K. Ryholt, 447-472. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.

Williamson, Jacquelyn, (2015). Alone before the God: Gender, Status, and Nefertiti's Image. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 51, 179-192.

Woods, Alexandra, (2015) Relief. In *A Companion to Ancient Egyptian Art*, edited by M. K. Hartwig, 219-248. Malden, MA; Oxford; Chichester, Sussex: Wiley Blackwell.

Ziegler, Christiane, (2008). *Queens of Egypt: From Hetepheres to Cleopatra*. Paris; Monaco: Somogy Art Publisher; Grimaldi Forum.

Zingarelli, Andrea Paula, (2015) Comments on the Egyptian term wHyt: family or quasi-village? In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Congress of Egyptologists: University of the Aegean, Rhodes. 22-29 May 2008*, edited by P. Kousoulis and N. Lazaridis, 909-920. Leuven: Peeters.

Zivie, Alain-Pierre, (1998). The tomb of the lady Maïa, wet-nurse of Tutankhamun. *Egyptian Archaeology* 13, 7-8.

―――, (2004) Hatiay, scribe du temple d'Aton à Memphis. In *Egypt, Israel, and the ancient Mediterranean world: studies in honor of Donald B. Redford*, edited by G. N. Knoppers and A. Hirsch, 223-231. Leiden; Boston: Brill.

―――, (2007). *The Lost Tombs of Saqqara*: Ankhtawy.

―――, (2009). *La Tombe de Maïa: mère nourricière du roi Toutânkhamon et grande du Harem*, *Les Tombes du Bubasteion à Saqqara I*. Toulouse: Caracara Edition.

Økland, Jorunn, (2004). *Women in their place: Paul and the Corinthian Discourse of Gender and Sanctuary Space*. London; New York: T & T Clark International.

Appendix

Illustration 1. Chronology of Egyptian history.

Period / Ruler	Date
PREDYNASTIC PERIOD	ca.5300 – 2900 BCE
EARLY DYNASTIC PERIOD	ca.2900 – 2545 BCE
1st Dynasty	ca.2900 – 2730 BCE
2nd Dynasty	ca.2730 – 2590 BCE
3rd Dynasty	ca.2592 – 2544 BCE
OLD KINGDOM	ca.2543 – 2120 BCE
4th Dynasty	ca.2543 – 2436 BCE
5th Dynasty	ca.2435 – 2306 BCE
6th Dynasty	ca.2305 – 2118 BCE
7th and 8th Dynasty	ca.2150 – 2218 BCE
FIRST INTERMEDIATE PERIOD	ca.2118 – 1980 BCE
9th – 10th Dynasty (Herakleopolitan)	ca.2118 – 1980 BCE
MIDDLE KINGDOM	ca.1980 – 1760 BCE
11th Dynasty (Theban)	ca.2080 – 1940 BCE
12th Dynasty	1939 – 1760 BCE
SECOND INTERMEDIATE PERIOD	1759 – ca.1539 BCE
13th Dynasty	1759 – ca.1630 BCE
14th Dynasty	?
15th Dynasty (Hyksos)	? – ca.1530 BCE
16th and 17th Dynasty (Theban)	? – 1540 BCE
NEW KINGDOM	ca.1539 – 1077 BCE
18th Dynasty	ca.1539 – 1292 BCE
Ahmose	ca.1539 – 1515 BCE
Amenhotep I	1514 – 1494 BCE
Thutmose I	1493 – 1483 BCE
Thutmose II	1482 – 1480 BCE
Thutmose III	1479 – 1425 BCE
Hatshepsut	1479 – 1458 BCE
Amenhotep II	1425 – 1400 BCE
Thutmose IV	1400 – 1390 BCE
Amenhotep III	1390 – 1353 BCE
Amenhotep IV/Akhenaten	1353 – 1336 BCE
Smenkhare/Nefernefruaten	1336 – ? BCE
Tutankhamen	? – 1324 BCE
Ay	1323 – 1320 BCE
Horemheb	1319 – 1292 BCE
19th Dynasty	1292 – 1191 BCE
Ramses I	1292 – 1291 BCE
Sety I	1292 – 1279 BCE
Ramses II	1279 – 1213 BCE
Merenptah	1213 – 1203 BCE
Sety II	1202 – 1198 BCE
Amenmesses	1202 – 1200 BCE
Siptah	1197 – 1193 BCE
Tausret	1192 – 1191 BCE
20th Dynasty	1190 – 1077 BCE
Sethnakhte	1190 – 1188 BCE
Ramses III	1187 – 1157 BCE
Ramses IV	1156 – 1150 BCE
Ramses V	1149 – 1146 BCE
Ramses VI	1145 – 1139 BCE
Ramses VII	1138 – 1131 BCE
Ramses VIII	1130 BCE
Ramses IX	ca.1129 – 1111 BCE
Ramses X	ca.1110 – 1107 BCE
Ramses XI	ca.1106 – 1077 BCE
THIRD INTERMEDIATE PERIOD	ca.1076 – 723 BCE
21st Dynasty	ca.1076 – 1052 BCE
22nd Dynasty	943 – ca.746 BCE
23rd Dynasty (UE)	ca.845 – ca.812 BCE
23rd Dynasty (LE)	ca.730 BCE
24th Dynasty	ca.736 – 723 BCE
LATE PERIOD	ca.722 – 332 BCE
25th Dynasty	ca.722 – ca.655 BCE
26th Dynasty	664 – 525 BCE
27th Dynasty (Persian)	525 – 404 BCE
28th Dynasty	404 – 399 BCE
29th Dynasty	399 – 380 BCE
30 Dynasty	380 – 343 BCE
2nd Persian Period	343 – 332 BCE
PTOLEMAIC PERIOD	332 – 30 BCE
ROMAN PERIOD	30 BCE – 394 CE

Illustration 2. Map of Egypt and its areas of influence.