Effects of Exercise in the Fasted and Postprandial State on Interstitial Glucose in Hyperglycemic Individuals
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Abstract
The purpose of the present study was to test if one bout of moderate exercise performed in either the fasted or the postprandial state affects glucose values measured over 22 hours. Twelve participants diagnosed with hyperglycemia not using anti-diabetic medications underwent 3 test days in a randomized cross-over design encompassing one test day without exercise, one test day with 60 min of treadmill walking prior to breakfast, and one test day with an identical bout of exercise 30 min after the start of breakfast. Continuous glucose monitoring was performed until the next morning (>22 hours). There was no significant effect of type of test day on the area under the glucose curve for the entire 22 hours period (p = 0.111). None of the exercise interventions had a significant effect on the area under the glucose curve after the evening meal compared to the fasted exercise bout (24.2 ± 6.2 vs. 27.6 ± 6.0 mmol-hour·L⁻¹, p = 0.031). Furthermore, the postprandial exercise decreased the mean of the 10 highest glucose values measured in each individual (8.6 ± 1.9 mmol·L⁻¹) over 22 hours compared to both the control day (9.3 ± 2.1 mmol·L⁻¹) and the day with fasted exercise (9.6 ± 1.7 mmol·L⁻¹, p = 0.012 and 0.009 respectively). Postprandial exercise also decreased the glycemic variability compared to the control day (1.22 ± 0.49 vs. 1.58 ± 0.52 mmol·L⁻¹, p = 0.015). We conclude that performing moderate exercise in the postprandial state after breakfast, but not in the fasted state, decreases glucose excursions during the subsequent 22 hours period in hyperglycemic individuals not using anti-diabetic medications.
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Introduction
People with hyperglycemia have increased risk for cardiovascular disease and death (DECODE, 2003; Nakagami et al., 2006; Niskanen et al., 1998). In particular, the magnitude of postprandial glycemia seems to be more associated with vascular complications than fasting blood glucose levels (Cavalot et al., 2011; DECODE, 1999; DECODE, 2001; Sasso et al., 2004; Temelkova-Kurtschiev et al., 2000). This association shows no threshold level and starts well below diabetic glucose levels (Coutinho et al., 1999; DECODE, 2003; Levitan et al., 2004). Intermittent elevations in glucose levels do promote oxidative stress, an underlying mechanism of vascular damage (Hirsch and Brownlee, 2005; Monnier and Colette, 2008; Standl et al., 2011). There is also some evidence that intermittent high glucose concentrations and “glycemic spikes” inflict more damage to endothelial cells than constantly elevated glucose levels (Quagliaro et al., 2003; Risso et al., 2001).

Exercise performed in the postprandial state has the ability to blunt postprandial glycemia acutely (Aadland and Høstmark, 2008; Caron et al., 1982; Colberg et al., 2014; Colberg et al., 2009; Dipietro et al., 2013; Dunstan et al., 2012; Hashimoto et al., 2013; Høstmark et al., 2006; Larsen et al., 1997; Larsen et al., 1999; Lunde et al., 2012; Nelson et al., 1982; Nygaard et al., 2009; van Dijk et al., 2013b), even when the exercise is performed at very light intensities and in small doses (Aadland and Høstmark, 2008; Bailey and Locke, 2015; Dipietro et al., 2013; Dunstan et al., 2012; Lunde et al., 2012; Nygaard et al., 2009; van Dijk et al., 2013b). Some studies have compared the effect of exercise in the fasted state with exercise in the postprandial state on blood glucose levels in persons with metabolic syndrome and diabetes. The results indicate that exercise in the fasted state does not affect consecutive postprandial glycemia, in contrast to postprandial exercise (Colberg et al., 2009; Derave et al., 2007; Peddie et al., 2013). Notably, venous blood samples were used to determine glucose levels in those studies, and the sampling was limited to the first 4 – 10 hours after exercise. Lately, devices for continuous glucose monitoring have become commercially available, making it feasible to monitor glucose for longer durations, both day and night, compared to venous blood sampling. Therefore we used continuous glucose monitoring to test the hypothesis that; I) Moderate exercise performed in the fasted or in the postprandial state affects glucose values over 22 hours, in persons diagnosed with hyperglycemia. II) These two different timings of exercise affect glucose values different from each other.

Methods
Participants
Four women and 8 men of European origin completed the study and are included in the results. Characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 1. Inclusion were restricted to individuals diagnosed with hyperglycemia, i.e. previously measured fasting venous plasma glucose ≥6.1 mmol·L⁻¹ and/or 2 hour glucose tolerance ≥7.8 mmol·L⁻¹, and who did not use glucose lowering medications. Four of the participants were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes. They had a mean glucose value of 7.4 ± 1.4
mmol·L⁻¹ during the control condition while the eight without known diabetes had a value of 6.1 ± 0.9 mmol·L⁻¹. Metabolic disorders, other than diabetes, were set as exclusion criteria. We excluded one participant. She was included based on self-reported hyperglycemia. However, an examination of her patient journal after enrollment showed that she did not meet the inclusion criteria. The participants did not have other diseases that directly affected blood glucose. Some of the participants used hypolipidemic (n = 4), antithrombotic (n = 4), angiotensin II receptor antagonists (n = 2) and ACE inhibitors (n = 1) agents, but dosage and timing of intake were kept stable during the study period. The median time from diagnosis of hyperglycemia to study participation was 8.5 months (IQR: 3-34 months). Seven of the participants had at least one parent or one sibling with diabetes. All women were postmenopausal. The participants reported that they had performed (mean ± SD): 219 ± 237 min of endurance or strength training, 177 ± 143 min of walking and 122 ± 49 min of lighter activity (like gardening and housework) per week for the last three months prior to study enrollment. To obtain a general impression of the dietary habits of the participants prior to the study, they answered a questionnaire. Eleven preferred wholegrain bread to non-wholegrain or semi-wholegrain bread, while one did not eat bread. When asked about how many times per week they ate a selection of specified types of food, answers were as follows (mean ± SD): sugar-containing jam or chocolate spread, 0 ± 0; sugar-rich beverages, 0.04 ± 0.01; candy / chocolate / snacks, 1.4 ± 1.2; boiled food, 3.5 ± 1.6; pan fried food, 2.7 ± 1.4; deep-fried food, 0.1 ± 0.3; fruit and vegetables, 16.6 ± 9.0.

**Ethics statement**
The study was conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by The Regional Ethics Committee (REK Sør-Øst, Norway). All participants gave their written informed consent prior to enrollment.

**General design**

The study was performed using a randomized crossover design. Each subject undertook three test days (Figure 1) in a balanced order, separated by at least six days and no more than 21 days: one test day with a bout of exercise in the fasted state before breakfast (ExBr), one test day with similar exercise in the postprandial state after breakfast (BrEx), and one test day without physical exercise (CON). Except for the different exercise regimes, participants remained sedentary, and each experimental day was identical, with a standardized diet. All test days started in the morning, with average onset of experiments one hour earlier and average onset of breakfast 30 min later for ExBr compared to CON and BrEx. For each participant, onset of breakfast was within the same hour. Continuous glucose measurement was performed for at least 22 hours after breakfast.

| Table 1. Characteristics of the participants (n = 12). Data are means (±SD). |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Age (yr)                        | 65 (8)          |
| Body weight (kg)                | 73.3 (9.7)      |
| Height (m)                      | 1.73 (0.08)     |
| Body mass index (kg m⁻²)        | 24.5 (1.9)      |
| HbA1c (%)                       | 6.1 (6)         |
| Total cholesterol (mmol·L⁻¹)    | 5.1 (1.3)       |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol·L⁻¹)      | 1.4 (0.6)       |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol·L⁻¹)      | 3.0 (1.0)       |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)  | 133 (18)        |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 74 (6)          |

**Standardization of exercise and nutrition**
All participants remained in the laboratory facility until the lunch meal was ingested, whereupon the participants were transported home, and stayed home for the remaining time of the 22 hours glucose-monitoring period. They had a checklist with all details concerning the standardization of the experiments, including instructions about timing and amount of food intake. Prior to the first test day, each participant was given a detailed lesson about the importance of experimental standardization, and all procedures necessary for exact standardization. At the end

![Figure 1. Overview of the test protocol.](image-url) Continuous glucose monitoring was performed during the 22 hour period of each of the three test days; The control day (CON) in the upper row, the day with exercise in the fasted state (ExBr) in the middle row and the day with exercise in the postprandial state (BrEx) in the bottom row. * = Measure of heart rate, oxygen consumption and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) values. B = Measure of perceived exertion (Borg scale). L = measure of blood lactate.
of each test day, we asked the participants to comment on the standardization. No diverges was reported.

The participants were instructed to avoid exercise during the last three days prior to each test day. Any light activity performed on these days prior to the first test day, were recorded and repeated in the same manner before the second and third test day. The exercise sessions in both ExBr and BrEx consisted of 60 min of treadmill walking at an individually standardized speed at 8% inclination. Speed was set at a familiarization session >6 days before the first test, defined as the speed corresponding to 12 at the Borg 6-20 rate of perceived exertion scale (Borg, 1982) after 30 min of walking at 8% inclination. All other physical activity was limited to what was absolute necessary, like walking to the car and moving between living room, toilet, kitchen and bedroom.

We instructed the participants to standardize their diet during the three-day period prior to each test, by writing down food intake leading up to the first test day and repeat this regimen as close as possible on the second and third test day. Absolute dietary standardization was performed on test days, starting 10 hours before breakfast, and lasting until 22 hours after breakfast. Macronutrient intakes from this period are given in Table 2. A digital kitchen scale and a food diary were used to carefully register each meal during the first test day and to repeat the diet on the second and third test day. In the evening 10 hours preceding breakfast, they ate and registered a self-chosen meal containing >30 g carbohydrate. For breakfast, participants ingested 250 ml semi-skimmed milk and cornflakes corresponding to 1g carbohydrate per kg body weight. Lunch (3.5 hours after start of breakfast) contained a yogurt and self-chosen amounts of wholegrain crispbread, butter, cheese and water. For dinner (7 hours after start of breakfast) the participants could choose between several boil in bag dinner packages (salmon with rice and vegetables, chicken casserole, meatballs potatoes and creamed peas or minced steak with stewed cabbage and potatoes; Fjordland AS, Norway). Leftovers were registered on test day one and the corresponding amount of food was removed on the second and third test. The evening meal (11 hours after start of breakfast) consisted of a self-chosen amount of whole meal bread, butter, cheese and skimmed milk. The research team provided subjects with food for breakfast, lunch, dinner and the evening meal on test days.

### Data sampling

Interstitial glucose was monitored by a Continuous glucose monitoring system, consisting of a sensor (Enlite Glucose Sensor MMT-7008A, Medtronic MiniMed, Northridge, USA) and a recorder (iPro2 MMT-7745WW, Medtronic MiniMed, Northridge, USA) attached to the sensor. The recorder stores mean glucose values every 5th minute. We attached the sensor and the recorder to the skin at the anterior abdominal area lateral to the periumbilical region. The participants were given a glucose meter (Contour XT, Bayer Consumer Care AG, Basel, Switzerland) and were instructed in capillary blood sampling, for determination of capillary blood glucose at baseline, and before the meals at 3.5, 11 and also 22 hours after breakfast. These measurements were done in triplicates at each time point. We downloaded data from the continuous glucose recorder to the accompanying software and calibrated the data by the averages of the capillary blood glucose recordings, before exporting data into Microsoft Excel.

In order to test the validity of the continuous glucose monitoring system, we used venous blood sampled 2.5 hours after breakfast. The venous blood was analyzed for glucose by Furst Medical Laboratories, with Advia 2400 Chemistry system, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc. Mean plasma venous blood glucose for all 2.5 hour samples was 7.3 ± 2.3 mmol·L⁻¹, while the corresponding continuous glucose monitoring value were 7.2 ± 2.0 mmol·L⁻¹. Coefficient of variation between continuous glucose monitoring and venous blood samples was 7.3%. However, fluctuations in glucose entails a delay between blood glucose and interstitial glucose (Rossetti et al., 2010) that may add inaccuracy to this validation.

We sampled oxygen consumption, respiratory exchange ratio (Oxycon Pro, Erich Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany) and heart rate for 10 min at several standardized time points until 3 hours after breakfast (Figure 1). Mean values from the last 2 min of sampling were used for analysis. Blood lactate concentration (Biosen C-line, EKF-diagnostic GmbH, Germany) was measured 55 min into each bout of exercise and rate of perceived exertion was reported 5, 30 and 55 min into the exercise bouts with Borg’s 6-20 scale (Borg, 1982).

### Calculations and statistical analysis

Aerobic metabolism and carbohydrate and fat oxidation were calculated from oxygen consumption and respiratory exchange ratio values using a table given in McArdle, Katch and Katch textbook of exercise physiology (p. 188) (2010). Area under the glucose curve (AUC) were calculated for the postprandial periods after meals, according to the trapezium rule (Matthews et al., 1990). To further describe the distribution of glucose values, we report percent time spent at glucose values >7.8 mmol·L⁻¹, ≥5.6 mmol·L⁻¹ and ≤3.3 mmol·L⁻¹ during each test day. As an indicator of the magnitude of the glycemic spikes, we calculated the mean of the 10 highest glucose values on each test day, independent of when these values were observed. A mean score were calculated, as this was

| Table 2. Macronutrient intake before and during test days. Data are means (±SD). |
|---------------------------------|---------|------|------|-----------------|
|                                | kcal    | Protein, g | Fat, g | Carbohydrate, g |
| **Evening meal 10 hours before breakfast** | 328 (231) | 12 (6) | 10 (4) | 43 (27) |
| Breakfast                        | 380 (48) | 13 (2) | 3 (1)  | 74 (9)  |
| **Lunch**                        | 502 (197) | 28 (14) | 19 (10) | 52 (19) |
| **Dinner**                       | 606 (194) | 37 (17) | 27 (10) | 51 (17) |
| **Evening meal**                 | 630 (234) | 30 (8) | 29 (12) | 59 (33) |
| **Total test day (from breakfast)** | 2117 (450) | 108 (33) | 77 (22) | 235 (47) |
Table 3. Baseline values. Data are means (±SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>ExBr</th>
<th>BrEx</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>58 (7)</td>
<td>55 (7)</td>
<td>55 (8)</td>
<td>.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic metabolism, kcal/min</td>
<td>1.05 (.17)</td>
<td>0.91 (.19)</td>
<td>0.96 (.29)</td>
<td>.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate oxidation, g/min</td>
<td>.08 (.07)</td>
<td>.06 (.08)</td>
<td>.08 (.07)</td>
<td>.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat oxidation, g/min</td>
<td>.08 (.03)</td>
<td>.07 (.03)</td>
<td>.06 (.04)</td>
<td>.332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean baseline values of heart rate, aerobic metabolism, carbohydrate oxidation and fat oxidation from the control day without exercise (CON), the day with exercise before breakfast (ExBr) and the day with exercise after breakfast (BrEx).

considered more reliable than single data points from the continuous measurement system. In addition, each test day contained four postprandial periods, and the mean of the 10 highest glucose values therefore reflect total glycemic stress better than a single peak value. We assessed glucose variability with calculation of continuous overall net glycemic action (CONGA) (McDonnell et al., 2005). CONGA reflects the magnitude of glucose fluctuations. It is the SD of the differences between each glucose reading and the glucose reading n hours later. We used n = 2 hours (CONGA2) and n = 4 hours (CONGA4). We calculated effect sizes (ES) for the effect of intervention and between interventions by using Cohen’s dz (Lakens, 2013). Effect sizes were interpreted according to Hopkins et al. (Hopkins et al., 2009): d<0.2=small effect, d>0.6=moderate effect, d>1.2=large effect. We did the statistical analysis with IBM SPSS statistics, version 22.0. All comparisons between test days were performed with a linear mixed model. Participant number was utilized as the repeated “subjects” variable and test day as a fixed factor. Random intercept was included in the model. We checked the residuals for normality and homogeneity. The variable “time at glucose ≥7.8 mmol·L⁻¹” was skewed and we therefore utilized Friedman Test to compare all test days and the Wilcoxon Sign Rank Test for pairwise comparisons. The α-level was set at 0.05 and a p value <0.1 was considered as a tendency towards statistical significance. For pairwise comparisons, we used p ≤ 0.017 and p < 0.034 due to Bonferroni correction. Data are presented as means ± SD unless median ± interquartile range (IQR) are stated. We produced the figures in SigmaPlot 12.0, Systat Software Inc.

Results

There were no differences in baseline values for heart rate, aerobic metabolism, carbohydrate oxidation or fat oxidation between the three test days (Table 3). The exercise bout of ExBr was accompanied by lower heart rate (p = 0.026), a tendency towards lower aerobic metabolism (p = 0.073), lower carbohydrate oxidation (p < 0.001) and higher fat oxidation (p = 0.006) compared to BrEx (Figure 2). Rate of perceived exertion was lower during the ExBr than the BrEx exercise bout (12.0 ± 0.9 vs 12.4 ± 0.9 on Borg RPE, p = 0.021), and the lactate value at the end of exercise was lower after the ExBr bout than the BrEx bout (1.4 ± 0.8 vs 1.7 ± 0.8 mmol·L⁻¹, p = 0.038). ExBr exhibited higher carbohydrate oxidation (p = 0.017) and lower fat oxidation (p=0.029) than ExBr 120 min after breakfast (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Heart rate and metabolism during and after exercise. Heart rate (A), total aerobic metabolism (B), carbohydrate oxidation (C) and fat oxidation (D) prior to lunch on the three test days; The control day (CON), the day with exercise in the fasted state (ExBr) and the day with exercise in the postprandial state (BrEx).
The results of the continuous glucose monitoring are shown in Figure 3. Mean values for the entire 22 hours test period were 6.5 ± 1.2 mmol·L⁻¹ for CON, 6.8 ± 1.2 mmol·L⁻¹ for ExBr, and 6.5 ± 1.3 mmol·L⁻¹ for BrEx. The AUCs for the entire 22 hours period did not differ significantly between test days (CON: 142.9 ± 26.5, ExBr: 150.1 ± 26.6, BrEx: 142.1 ± 27.9 mmol·hour·L⁻¹, p = 0.115). The effect of intervention was small for ExBr (ES = 0.5, vs CON), trivial for BrEx (ES = 0.1, vs CON), while the effect of the difference between ExBr and BrEx was moderate (ES = 0.6). Median time with glucose values ≥7.8 mmol·L⁻¹ differed between test days (CON: 16 ± IQR 18%, ExBr: 21 ± IQR 20, BrEx: 5 ± IQR 22% of time, p = 0.012), but the significant difference disappeared in pairwise analysis between test days. Time with glucose values ≥5.6 mmol·L⁻¹ (CON: 71 ± 27%, ExBr: 77 ± 26%, BrEx: 72 ± 30%), and ≤3.3 mmol·L⁻¹ (CON: 1 ± 2%, ExBr: 0 ± 0%, BrEx: 2 ± 6%) did not differ significantly between test days (p = 0.535 and 0.430 respectively).

For the postprandial period after breakfast, defined as 3.5 hours from start of breakfast, there was no effect of type of test day on glucose AUC (p = 0.259). However, there was an effect of test day on glucose AUC measured between 30 and 90 min after breakfast, corresponding to the BrEx exercise period (p = 0.002). This was confirmed by pairwise tests, showing a difference between CON and BrEx (8.5 ± 2.0 vs. 6.8 ± 1.8 mmol·hour·L⁻¹, p= 0.003) and between ExBr and BrEx (8.4 ± 1.8 vs. 6.8 ± 1.8 mmol·hour·L⁻¹, p = 0.017). There was no significant effect of type of test day on glucose AUC measured between 90 and 210 min after breakfast, corresponding to the period between the BrEx exercise and lunch, p = 0.750.

For the postprandial periods after lunch and dinner (3.5 hours from start of each meal), there was no effect of type of test day on glucose AUC (p = 0.641 and p = 0.691 respectively). There was an effect of test day on glucose AUC measured in the postprandial period after the evening meal (3.5 hours from start of the meal) (p = 0.037). Pairwise comparisons showed that glucose AUC in BrEx tended to be lower than in ExBr (24.2 ± 6.2 vs. 27.6 ± 6.0 mmol·hour·L⁻¹, p = 0.031), while there was no significant difference between BrEx or ExBr and CON. In the post-absorptive period during the subsequent night and morning (7.5 hours), there was no significant effect of type of test day.

There was an effect of test day on the mean of the 10 highest glucose values measured for each individual (p = 0.016). This was confirmed by pairwise tests, showing a difference between CON and BrEx (9.3 ± 2.1 vs. 8.6 ± 1.9 mmol·L⁻¹, p = 0.012) and between ExBr and BrEx (9.6 ± 1.7 vs. 8.6 ± 1.9 mmol·L⁻¹, p = 0.009), but not between CON and ExBr. The effect of intervention was small for ExBr (ES = 0.2, vs CON), moderate for BrEx (ES = 0.7, vs CON), while the effect of the difference between ExBr and BrEx was moderate (ES = 0.8).

The results of the glycemic variability calculations are presented in Figure 4. There was a tendency towards an effect of test day on CONGA2 (p = 0.096), while there was a significant effect of test day on CONGA4 (p = 0.031). Pairwise comparisons of CONGA4 values revealed that the glycemic variability was larger in CON than in BrEx (1.58 ± 0.52 vs. 1.22 ± 0.49 mmol·L⁻¹, p = 0.015), with no significant difference being found between CON and ExBr or ExBr and BrEx. The effect of
intervention on CONGA2 was small for ExBr (ES = 0.2, vs CON), moderate for BrEx (ES = 0.8, vs CON), while the effect of the difference between ExBr and BrEx was small (ES = 0.4). The effect of intervention on CONGA4 was trivial for ExBr (ES = 0.0, vs CON), moderate for BrEx (ES = 0.8, vs CON), while the effect of the difference between ExBr and BrEx was moderate (ES = 0.7).

The magnitude of the AUC, highest glucose values and CONGA scores from CON did not correlate significantly with the changes in AUCs, highest glucose values and CONGA scores as a result of ExBr and BrEx. The magnitude of the AUC for the postprandial period after breakfast (3.5 hours from start of the breakfast) in CON correlated negatively to the changes in the corresponding breakfast (3.5 hours from start of the breakfast) in CON. BrEx also tended to decrease glucose AUC after the evening meal compared to ExBr.

These results confirm that exercise in the postprandial phase leads to acutely decreased postprandial glycaemia, as observed in several studies using blood glucose as the outcome variable (Aadland and Høstmark, 2008; Caron et al., 1982; Colberg et al., 2014; Colberg et al., 2009; Dunstan et al., 2012; Hashimoto et al., 2013; Høstmark et al., 2006; Larsen et al., 1997; Larsen et al., 1999; Lunde et al., 2012; Nelson et al., 1982; Nygaard et al., 2009) and studies using interstitial glucose as outcome variable (Dipietro et al., 2013; van Dijk et al., 2013b).

Our findings also corroborate previous studies directly comparing fasted and postprandial exercise (Colberg et al., 2009; Derave et al., 2007). The findings that postprandial but not fasted exercise reduces glucose peak values and glycemic variability are however novel. Furthermore, while the previous studies that directly compared fasted and postprandial exercise (Colberg et al., 2009; Derave et al., 2007) were limited by the duration of the sampling periods, our results indicate that postprandial exercise might decrease postprandial glycaemia several hours after exercise.

In contrast to the results of the present study, a very recent study by Terada et al. (2016) found fasted exercise to be more efficient at lowering postprandial glycaemia than postprandial exercise. The exercise intervention in Terada et al. (2016) was very similar to the one utilized in the present study, and the conflicting results are therefore surprising. One might speculate if the different observations result from different metabolic states of the participants or from minor differences in the study design.

The onset of the postprandial exercise bout in the study of Terada et al. (2016) appears to have been after peak postprandial glucose concentration. The postprandial physical exercise bout in the present study started 30 min after onset of breakfast. This timing has been suggested to be ideal for lowering postprandial glycaemia in diabetic persons, due to a high insulin-to-glucagon ratio at this point. A high insulin-to-glucagon ratio will in turn inhibit the counter regulatory increase in hepatic glucose output occurring when exercise is done in other dietary phases (Chacko, 2014), like the fasted exercise in the present study. Indeed, in a free-living condition, the exercise-mediated decreases in blood glucose are expected to be largest if the exercise takes place when glucose levels is at its highest (Gaudet-Savard et al., 2007). The exact timing of the onset of postprandial exercise could therefore be important, at least for the glycemic changes in the postprandial period when exercise is performed. Favorable effects of fasted exercise were also observed in a study by Borer et al. (2009). However, that study was performed with healthy subjects, using a comprehensive exercise protocol aiming to deplete glycogen stores, arguably representing a different physiological scenario. In the present study, carbohydrate oxidation was higher 120 min after breakfast in ExBr compared to BrEx, indicating that glycogen depletion had not occurred (Devlin and Horton, 1985; Mikines et al., 1988b).

Despite the observed decrease in postprandial glucose during the BrEx exercise bout, the glucose AUC of the entire postprandial period after breakfast was not significantly different between test days. This result does depend on the definition of the length on the “postprandial period analyzed”. Nevertheless, to our knowledge the present study is the first on hyperglycemic participants that does not report decreased glycaemia in the postprandial period when exercise is performed (defined as 3.5 hours from start of breakfast). Surprisingly, peak glucose values after breakfast were only slightly higher in this study with hyperglycemic participants compared to a previous study with healthy women above 50 years, ingesting the same breakfast meal (Nygaard et al., 2009). Lower glycemic excursions than expected may have entailed a type 2 error, since the attenuating effect on postprandial glycaemia after breakfast of postprandial exercise is likely to be enforced with increased level of glycemia (Gaudet-Savard et al., 2007; Lunde et al., 2012; Nygaard et al., 2009). This is also confirmed in the present study, since the persons with highest glucose AUCs after breakfast in CON had the largest decreases in breakfast AUCs as a result of both ExBr and BrEx compared to CON. Therefore, the results may not be generalized to persons with severe hyperglycaemia, where one in general would expect a larger effect of exercise on blood glucose (Aadland and Høstmark, 2008; Church et al., 2010; Hordern et al., 2008; Mikines et al., 1988a; Nygaard et al., 2009; Snowling and Hopkins, 2006; Van Dijk et al., 2013a; Walker et al., 1999). However, the magnitude of the AUC, highest glucose values and CONGA scores for the entire 22 hours period of CON did not correlate significantly with the corresponding changes in AUCs, highest glucose values and CONGA scores as a result of ExBr and BrEx. Nevertheless, with regard to the activity level and the dietary habits reported by the participants (e.g. the low intake of sugar), the results may have been affected...
by a selection bias, and the possibility that these participants differ from most hyperglycemic individuals (Khunti et al., 2008).

The lack of significant difference in postprandial glycemia after breakfast also appears to be a result of a rebound increase in glucose concentration after the BrEx exercise bout, though not significant. Such rebound increases in glucose values is typical for exercise in the carbohydrate fed state. One plausible mechanism is increased hepatic glucose output as a result of exercise (Gonzalez, 2014). A decrease in postprandial glycemia during exercise and a subsequent increase after exercise could theoretically also be a result of an exercise mediated delay in digestion. This is likely not the case, since exercise does not delay rate of absorption of glucose from the intestine (Karstoft et al., 2014), at least not at a moderate exercise intensity (Lang et al., 2006), nor is rate of gastric emptying reduced by walking (Moore et al., 1990). Hence, the reduction in glucose observed during the BrEx exercise bout is likely a result of changes in energy metabolism, due to a ~8 fold increase in energy expenditure and a shift from fat to carbohydrate metabolism during exercise.

The intention of the present study was to investigate the effect of a moderate load of exercise, feasible for most people, which could be generalized into everyday life of hyperglycemic individuals with some motivation for exercise. However, the relative low intensity and the limited length of the activity may also have contributed to the lack of a more pronounced effect. Several studies have indicated a dose-response relationship between the amount of energy expended during exercise and the effect on glycemia. (Bailey and Locke, 2015; Colberg et al., 2014; Nygaard et al., 2009; van Dijk et al., 2013b). Moreover, exercise at high intensities seem to have more pronounced effect on glycemia than isoenergetic exercise at lower intensities (Little et al., 2014; Terada et al. 2016). It is therefore plausible that a larger dose of exercise and / or higher intensity would have entailed larger effects. However, experiments with low amounts of exercise have also shown acute effects on postprandial glycemia when exercise was performed post-meal (Bailey and Locke, 2015; Colberg et al., 2009; Gillen et al., 2012; Little et al., 2014; Nygaard et al., 2009; Peddie et al., 2013; van Dijk et al., 2013b). Another methodological aspect that can be discussed is the one hour earlier onset of experiment and 30 min later breakfast in ExBr compared to CON and BrEx. We cannot exclude that these systematic differences have affected the results via altered waking time, circadian rhythm and / or glucose tolerance (Scheen and Van Cauter, 1988; Jarrett et al., 1972), although the time lags cannot be described as large.

There was a tendency towards a delayed effect of BrEx compared to ExBr on glucose AUC in the evening, several hours after exercise. The degree of carbohydrate availability during exercise affects the relative expression of fat-promoting and carbohydrate-promoting genes in the metabolism (Civitarese et al., 2005). This may have led to a shift in metabolic protein abundance and / or activity, which may have influenced blood glucose several hours after the exercise. The lack of such an effect after the earlier lunch and dinner meals may be related to slow and time-demanding change in protein activity or to the fact that the glycemic load was higher in the evening meal, making a larger decrease possible (Gaudet-Savard et al. 2007; Høstmark, 2007; Lunde et al., 2012; Nygaard et al. 2009).

It seems likely that the improvement in glycemic variability during BrEx was a result of lower glucose excursions, since this intervention also reduced the mean of the ten highest glucose values. This is also supported by the numerical value of time spent ≥7.8 mmol·L⁻¹, ≥5.6 mmol·L⁻¹ and ≤3.3 mmol·L⁻¹ that indicate that BrEx blunted the peaks more than the nadirs. A lower but longer postprandial increment after breakfast might also have contributed to the improvement in glycemic variability during BrEx. All together, the results show that postprandial exercise has the ability to blunt postprandial glucose excursions and decrease glycemic variability, two outcomes that is related to each other. Since these glycemic parameters are associated with endothelial dysfunction (Hirsch and Brownlee, 2005; Monnier and Colette, 2008; Quagliaro et al., 2003; Risso et al., 2001; Standl et al., 2011) postprandial exercise may be a strategy to prevent metabolic diseases and promote general health in hyperglycemic persons not using antidiabetics. However, behaviors that entails the largest acute effects are not necessarily effective habits to promote health over time. Consequently, the question of whether regularly performing post meal moderate exercise can decrease the risk of cardiovascular disease and hyperglycemia is still unanswered and the practical implications of the present study should be interpreted with caution. While we found a moderate positive effect of postprandial exercise on acute glucose regulation, other studies have found other physiological effects of fasted but not postprandial exercise that may improve hyperglycemia in the long term. Excess accumulation of intramyocellular lipids, which is associated with diabetes (Pan et al., 1997), is lowered more after exercise in the fasted state than after postprandial exercise or exercise accompanied with carbohydrate intake (De Bock et al., 2005; Van Proeyen et al., 2010). Future studies on fasted and / or postprandial exercise should therefore focus on long term effects. Furthermore, future studies of fasted and postprandial exercise should pay attention to markers of cardiovascular health in addition to glucose per se. Based on the present study, one might hypothesize that postprandial exercise exerts advantageous effects on markers of cardiovascular health compared to fasted exercise.

Conclusion

Performing moderate exercise in the postprandial state, but not fasted state, decreases glucose excursions during a subsequent 22 hours period in hyperglycemic individuals not using antidiabetic medications.
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**Key points**

- Persons with postprandial hyperglycemia are at increased risk for cardiovascular disease.
- Performing moderate exercise in the postprandial state but not post-absorptive state decreases peak postprandial glucose values acutely.
- Performing moderate exercise in the postprandial state but not post-absorptive state decreases glycemic variability acutely.
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