ADVANCES IN RADIOTHERAPY SPECIAL FEATURE: FULL PAPER

MRI volumetry for prediction of tumour response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced rectal cancer
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Objective: To investigate if MRI-assessed tumour volumetry correlates with histological tumour response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) and subsequent chemoradiotherapy (CRT) in locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC).

Methods: Data from 69 prospectively enrolled patients with LARC receiving NACT followed by CRT and radical surgery were analysed. Whole-tumour volumes were contoured in $T_2$ weighted MR images obtained pretreatment ($V_{PRE}$), after NACT ($V_{NACT}$) and after the full course of NACT followed by CRT ($V_{CRT}$). Volume changes relative to $V_{PRE}$, $\Delta V_{NACT}$ and $\Delta V_{CRT}$ were calculated and correlated to histological tumour regression grade (TRG).

Results: 61% of good histological responders (TRG 1–2) to NACT followed by CRT were correctly predicted by combining $V_{PRE} < 10.5 \text{ cm}^3$, $\Delta V_{NACT} > 78.2$% and $V_{NACT} < 3.3 \text{ cm}^3$. The highest accuracy was found for $V_{NACT}$, with 55.1% sensitivity given 100% specificity. The volume regression after completed NACT and CRT ($V_{CRT}$) was not significantly different between good and poor responders (TRG 1–2 vs TRG 3–5).

Conclusion: MRI-assessed small tumour volumes after NACT correlated with good histological tumour response (TRG 1-2) to the completed course of NACT and CRT. Furthermore, by combining tumour volume measurements before, during and after NACT, more good responders were identified.

Advances in knowledge: MRI volumetry may be a tool for early identification of good and poor responders to NACT followed by CRT and surgery in LARC in order to aid more individualized, multimodal treatment.

Chemoradiotherapy (CRT) followed by complete surgical removal is regarded as a standard of care for patients diagnosed with locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC). Therapeutic approaches incorporating additional agents to the standard fluorouracil (FU)-based CRT could potentially improve clinical outcome. Experimental studies have shown that intensified pre-operative treatment approaches with systemic neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) before conventional long-course CRT have promising long-term outcome and acceptable safety profiles. On the other hand, modern multimodal treatment based on MRI leads to excellent local control, but distant control remains a challenge. Reliable identification of good and poor responders at an early stage could allow for more individualized, effective and less toxic treatment of these patients.

Traditionally, image-based evaluation of treatment response has been performed by unidimensional measurements of tumour diameters. Volumetry may provide more accurate assessment, especially for irregular-shaped tumours, and the volumetric information can readily be obtained from standard high-resolution two-dimensional MR images. MRI volumetry is accepted as a sensitive predictive tool for assessment of treatment outcome after NACT and radiotherapy (RT) of cervical cancer. In LARC, MRI-based tumour volume changes have been investigated as a parameter of treatment response after the...
full course of CRT. Recognizing the dose–volume relationship, it is anticipated that small tumour volume at the time of CRT is correlated to good treatment response. To our knowledge, the potential of MRI volumetry after NACT alone has been explored only in two studies; a pilot study of 16 patients and a recent study of 40 patients, the latter also assessing the performance of fluorine-18 fluordeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (¹⁸F-FDG-PET). By performing a third, independent and larger study, the reliability of MRI volumetry after NACT as a clinical tool is addressed.

The main objective of the present study was to investigate if MRI-assessed tumour volumetry correlates with histological tumour response to NACT and subsequent CRT in LARC.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Patients
The prospective Phase II trial LARC-Radiation Response Prediction (LARC-RRP) was approved by the institutional review board at Oslo University Hospital and the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics South East. The study was performed in accordance with the Helsinki declaration, and written informed consent was required for participation. Of the total enrolled 113 patients, complete MRI data sets and histopathology from 69 patients were analysed (Figure 1). These patients were enrolled between October 2005 and April 2009.

Eligibility
The study eligibility criteria were MRI-assessed rectal tumour with histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma scheduled to receive pre-operative CRT according to the national guidelines; T4 tumour, T3 tumour with predicted circumferential resection margin ≤3 mm or any T stage with lymph node involvement within 3 mm of the predicted circumferential resection margin, age 18 years or more, Eastern Co-operative Oncology Group performance 0–1 and adequate haematologic, hepatic, renal and cardiac function. Diagnostic T and N stages were assessed by MRI according to Brown et al and the fifth edition TNM staging system. The study protocol allowed inclusion of patients with synchronous, potentially resectable metastases; thus, one patient with lung metastases and five patients with liver metastases were enrolled. Patient and tumour characteristics are provided in Table 1.

Pre-operative treatment and surgery
The experimental pre-operative treatment protocol consisted of two cycles of NACT, the Nordic fluorouracil-leucovorin-oxaliplatin protocol.
after the completion of CRT, MRICRT. In addition, a study-specific MRI was performed after NACT and the first three RT fractions, MRINACT. The median time from MRIPRE to the start of NACT was 5 days (range, 3–19), and the median time from MRICRT to surgery was 20 days (range, 7–98). 41 of the study patients were imaged using a 1.5 T GE Signa® LS scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) with a phased-array torso coil. Owing to the upgrading of the GE MRI scanner, the last 28 study patients were imaged using a 1.5 T Siemens Espree scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a phased-array body matrix coil. The same scanner was always used for the same patient.

All MR examinations were performed according to the MERCURY study.21 High-resolution fast spin-echo T2-weighted (T2W) images of the pelvic cavity and rectum were obtained in the sagittal and transversal planes as well as perpendicular to the tumour axis. The imaging parameters for the transversal T2W sequence used in tumour volume assessment are summarized in Table 2. All MR images were stored in the institutional picture archiving and communication system.

### MRI volumetry

All examinations were transferred to the Oncentra Masterplan treatment planning system (3.0 SP1; Nucletron, Veenendaal, Netherlands) for tumour volume measurements. The tumour was manually contoured in transversal T2W MRIs by a radiologist with 12 years’ experience in pelvic MRI. The radiologist has participated in the MERCURY trial21 and has gained in-depth knowledge of MR-pathological correlation of LARC specimens through a joint collaboration with a dedicated pathologist.22 The study radiologist was unaware of the therapeutic outcome. Solid tumours (medium T2W signal intensity) as well as mucinous tumours (high T2W signal intensity) were included in the delineation of tumour. After nedoxyzant treatment, fibrosis within the pre-treatment tumour area was included in the tumour volume since scattered residual tumour within fibrosis cannot reliably be excluded at MRI.23 Radiation-induced fibrosis in normal tissue was not included in the volume. The time needed to contour the tumour in each MR examination ranged from 5 min for well-defined small tumours up to 20 min for large infiltrating tumours.

Whole-tumour volumes were obtained by multiplying the cross-sectional tumour area in individual slices by the sum of the slice thickness and the slice gap. The percentage change in the MRI-assessed tumour volumes from pre-treatment (VPRE) to completed NACT and CRT (∆V NACT and ∆V CRT) was calculated as ∆V NACT = [(V NACT - VPRE) / VPRE] × 100 and ∆V CRT = [(V CRT - VPRE) / VPRE] × 100, respectively.

### Histopathological assessment

The resected primary tumour specimens were prepared according to validated protocols. Briefly, specimens were opened from the resection edge to 2 cm above and below macroscopically identifiable tumours and fixed in formalin. Slices of 5 mm were cut transversely through the tumour area and large-mount preparations were made to enable the examination of maximum depth of penetration, as well as the oral, anal and circumferential...
Table 2. Imaging parameters for the $T_2$ weighted transversal fast spin-echo MR sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>GE scanner$^a$</th>
<th>Siemens scanner$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repetition time (ms)</td>
<td>3000–4000</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo time (ms)</td>
<td>81–84</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo train length</td>
<td>12–16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of excitations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition matrix</td>
<td>$256 \times 256$</td>
<td>$320 \times 256$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstructed matrix</td>
<td>$512 \times 512$</td>
<td>$640 \times 640$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section thickness (mm)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-plane image resolution (mm$^2$)</td>
<td>$0.39 \times 0.39$</td>
<td>$0.38 \times 0.38$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$41 study patients were imaged using a 1.5-T GE Signa$^a$ LS scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) with a phased-array torso coil. Owing to an upgrade of the GE MRI scanner, the last 28 study patients were imaged using a 1.5-T Siemens Espree scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a phased-array body matrix coil.

Results of histo-pathological response, deep resection margins. To evaluate histopathological response, deep serial sections of the remaining paraffin-embedded tissue blocks from the initial tumour size were investigated for tumour cells.

A rectal cancer pathologist with 10 years’ experience reviewed all histological sections. The histological characteristics included tumour and nodal stages (ypTN), in addition to the CRT-induced tumour regression grade (TRG) quantified using the five-point scale proposed by Bouzourene et al;$^23$

- TRG 1: the absence of residual tumour (pathological complete response)
- TRG 2: rare residual tumour cells scattered throughout fibrosis
- TRG 3: increased number of residual tumour cells but with fibrosis dominating
- TRG 4: residual tumour cells outgrowing fibrosis
- TRG 5: the absence of any tumour regression (no fibrosis).

In this study, TRGs 1–2 were defined as good histological response, and TRGs 3–5 were defined as poor histological response.

Historadiological correlation and statistical analysis

The one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test of normality revealed that pre-treatment tumour volumes were non-gaussian distributed, thus, data were analysed using non-parametric tests. Correspondingly, continuous variables are presented as median and range, and categorical variables as numbers and percentages. The Mann–Whitney $U$ test was used to compare $V_{\text{PRE}}$, $\Delta V_{\text{NACT}}$, and $\Delta V_{\text{CRT}}$ with TRG (TRGs 1–2 vs TRGs 3–5). The Fisher’s exact test was used to assess if the proportion of good and poor responders differed between the two MRI scanners. The diagnostic performance of MRI volumetry for prediction of good histological tumour response was assessed by area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and calculation of the sensitivity, specificity, positive-predictive value (PPV) and negative-predictive value (NPV). From the ROC curve, the optimal cut-off was identified by giving equal weights to sensitivity and specificity (overall accuracy). A $p$-value $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism$^a$ v. 6.0c for Mac OS X (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

**RESULTS**

Pre-treatment tumour volumes vs tumour regression grade

49 (71%) of the 69 patients showed good histological tumour response (TRGs 1–2), whereas 20 (29%) patients were poor responders (TRGs 3–5). There was no significant difference in the proportion of good and poor responders between the two MRI scanners ($p > 0.05$). The $V_{\text{PRE}}$ was significantly smaller for patients achieving good histological response (median, 13.4 cm$^3$; range, 1.1 to 141.1 cm$^3$) than for poor-responding patients (median, 32.8 cm$^3$; range, 11.1 to 293.4 cm$^3$) ($p < 0.0001$).

**Figure 2a** shows the sensitivity and specificity for prediction of good histological tumour response using different cut-off values of $V_{\text{PRE}}$. The highest accuracy was obtained for $V_{\text{PRE}} = 17.1$ cm$^3$ [area under the ROC curve (AUC) = 0.80; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.70–0.91; $p < 0.0001$] (Figure 2b), yielding 71.4% of sensitivity, 85.0% of specificity, 86.8% (33 of 38) of PPV and 51.6% (16 of 31) of NPV. 38 (55%) patients had $V_{\text{PRE}} < 17.1$ cm$^3$. The cut-off that exclusively identified good responders was $V_{\text{PRE}} < 10.5$ cm$^3$, yielding 36.7% of sensitivity, 100% of specificity, 100% (18 of 18) PPV and 39.2% (20 of 51) NPV. In our study population, 18 (26%) patients had $V_{\text{PRE}} < 10.5$ cm$^3$, whereas 51 (74%) patients had $V_{\text{PRE}} > 10.5$ cm$^3$.

Tumour volume changes following neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs tumour regression grade

The $\Delta V_{\text{NACT}}$ ranged from a decrease of 96.4% to an increase of 26.2%. Median $\Delta V_{\text{NACT}}$ was significantly different between tumours with poor ($\sim 45.5%$; range, $\sim 77.1\%$ to $26.2\%$) and good ($\sim 68.4\%$; range, $\sim 96.4\%$ to $\sim 55\%$) histological response ($p = 0.005$) (Figure 3).

**Figure 4a** shows the sensitivity and specificity for prediction of good histological tumour response using different cut-off values of $V_{\text{PRE}}$. The highest accuracy was obtained for $\Delta V_{\text{NACT}} > -78.2\%$ (AUC = 0.72; 95% CI = 0.59–0.84; $p = 0.005$) (Figure 4b), yielding 32.7% sensitivity, 100% specificity, 100% (16 of 16) PPV and 37.7% (20 of 53) NPV. 16 (23%) patients had $\Delta V_{\text{NACT}} > 78.2\%$. The cut-off that exclusively identified good responders was, therefore, also $\Delta V_{\text{NACT}} > -78.2\%$.

Tumour volumes after neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs tumour regression grade

The $V_{\text{NACT}}$ was significantly smaller for patients achieving good histological response (median, 2.8 cm$^3$; range, 0.2 to 89.9 cm$^3$) than was for poor-responding patients (median, 17.6 cm$^3$; range, 3.4 to 102.1 cm$^3$) ($p < 0.0001$). **Figure 5a** shows the sensitivity and specificity for prediction of good histological tumour response using different cut-off values of $V_{\text{NACT}}$. The highest accuracy was obtained for $V_{\text{NACT}} < 3.3$ cm$^3$ (AUC = 0.84; 95% CI = 0.74–0.93; $p < 0.0001$) (Figure 5b), yielding 55.1%
In total, 30 (61%) out of 49 good responders were predicted regression grade Combined volumetric measurements 2 median, 85.8%; range, -99.9% to +1.1%) (p = 0.797).

**Tumour volume changes following chemoradiotherapy completion vs tumour regression grade**

The median ΔV<sub>CRT</sub> was -86.0% (range, -99.9% to +1.1%), and there was no statistically significant difference between the two histological responder groups (poor responders: median, -88.6%; range, -97.4% to -25.3% and good responders: median, -85.8%; range, -99.9% to +1.1%) (p = 0.0001), a sensitivity of 36.7%, a positive-predictive value of 100% (18 of 18), and a negative-predictive value of 39.2% (20 of 51) (b). AUC, area under the curve.

**Combined volumetric measurements vs tumour regression grade**

In total, 30 (61%) out of 49 good responders were predicted by either small pre-treatment tumour volumes (<10.5 cm³; n = 18), large volume regressions to NACT (>-78.2%; n = 16) or small volumes after NACT (<3.3 cm³; n = 27). Small pre-treatment volume and large volume regression identified different patients; only six patients had both small treatment volume and large volume regression. Small tumour volume after NACT identified the majority of good responders, but the combined use of all three volumetric measurements identified the most.

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed that small pre-treatment tumour volume (V<sub>PRE</sub>), large tumour volume regression to NACT (ΔV<sub>NACT</sub>) and small tumour volume after NACT (V<sub>NACT</sub>) correlated with good histological tumour response (TRGs 1–2) to the completed course of NACT followed by CRT in LARC. The combined use of V<sub>PRE</sub>, ΔV<sub>NACT</sub> and V<sub>NACT</sub> identified most good responders.

To our knowledge, two other studies have evaluated the predictive value of MRI volumetry following NACT in patients with LARC (Table 3).<sup>16,17</sup> Both studies explored high-resolution T2W-based MRI volumetry and used the same end point: good responders were defined as complete response or scattered microscopic residual foci at histopathology. Oxaliplatin-based NACT was used, although in different dosage, duration and combination with other agents. The study populations consisted of tumour stages T3–4. Our study included T3 tumours with a larger distance to the mesorectal fascia than the other two studies. The pre-treatment tumour volumes were comparable to Aiba et al., but substantially smaller than Nougaret et al. Despite the differences between these three studies, the results are encouragingly congruent: the optimal cut-off for tumour volume regression after NACT for differentiating good and poor responders at histopathology was 68–78%. This indicates that MRI volumetry is a robust method for assessment of NACT and CRT response in LARC. Furthermore, the results of the MRI volumetry support that the dose–volume relationship is an essential mechanism.

Modern multimodal treatment of LARC commonly leads to excellent local control, but distant metastases remains a problem.<sup>2,5,6</sup> At present, the challenge is to reduce treatment morbidity and prevent metastatic disease.<sup>9</sup> It has recently been advocated that customized and individualized treatment strategies may result in a more optimal balance between over- and undertreatment.<sup>9,25</sup> The promising results of our study and the studies of Nougaret et al<sup>16</sup> and Aiba et al<sup>17</sup> support that MRI volumetry after NACT can be used to stratify good responders into studies exploring individualized, less extensive treatment regimens, such as omission of RT and less extensive surgery, or even deferral of surgery.<sup>6,25,26</sup> Another strategy, as suggested by Schrag<sup>9</sup>, is to intensify the systemic treatment or to administer...
the systemic treatment earlier in the treatment course to prevent dissemination of micrometastases. The recent updated results from the German CAO/ARO/AIO-94 trial showed a significant association between local treatment efficacy (TRG) and disease-free survival. Thus, it is likely that MRI volumetry of the primary tumour can predict systemic treatment response and therefore can be used to customize subsequent treatment regimens.

Appropriate choice of cut-off value for tumour volume regression is a trade-off between specificity and sensitivity, although optimal accuracy is the best combination of sensitivity and specificity, 100% specificity is required to exclusively identify good responders, notwithstanding that some good responders are overlooked. Although the accuracies in the three aforesaid studies were similar, 100% specificity yielded substantial variation in sensitivity (38–86%).

In our study, the cut-off value with optimal accuracy (78%) was higher than in the two other studies (68% and 70%), and the sensitivity for 100% specificity (38%) was lower (86% and 55%). There may be several possible explanations for these discrepancies: time to assessment, variation in study population and that CRT in our study was initiated shortly before MRI volumetry. Both Nougaret et al and Aiba et al used more intensive and prolonged NACT, probably leading to larger differences between good and poor responders and consequently higher sensitivity. The population in our study

Figure 3. Transversal fast spin-echo T2 weighted MRIs of two patients with locally advanced T3 (a–c) and T4 (d–f) rectal tumours, achieving tumour regression grade (TRG) 1 and TRG 4, respectively. The two tumours’ central slices are shown. Prior to treatment their whole-tumour volumes were 31.0 cm³ (a) and 36.2 cm³ (d). After neoadjuvant chemotherapy and three 2-Gy fractions of radiotherapy, the TRG 1 tumour showed 96.4% volume regression (b), whereas for the TRG 4 tumour, the volume regression was 16.2% (e). After the completion of chemoradiotherapy, both tumours obtained large volume regressions; 99.9% for the TRG 1 tumour (c) and 84.1% for the TRG 4 tumour (f). Imaging parameters are listed in Table 2.
was larger and more heterogeneous with respect to T stage and tumour volume, leading to larger variations in volume changes and thereby also in sensitivity and specificity. Furthermore, we included mucinous tumours with extracellular mucin pools that do not necessarily shrink despite good cellular response. For practical reasons, MRI volumetry was performed after 3 out of 25 RT fractions. Radiation-induced oedema is known to occur quickly during RT and may have caused overall volume increase masking differences between good and poor responders. A highly diffusion-weighted sequence could have reduced the interpretation difficulties caused by oedema and improved the delineation of residual tumour. Radiation-induced fibrosis commonly manifests weeks to months after treatment completion and is unlikely to have occurred.

The tumour volume regression after the completed course of NACT and CRT ($\Delta V_{CRT}$) did not correlate with TRG. The experimental study-specific NACT induced extensive tumour volume regression for almost all patients, possibly masking the

Figure 4. The accuracy of tumour volume regression from pre-treatment to after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) and three 2-Gy fractions of radiotherapy ($\Delta V_{NACT}$ (%) for prediction of good histological tumour response [tumour regression grade (TRG) 1–2] to NACT followed by chemoradiotherapy (CRT) was assessed by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. The sensitivity and specificity for different cut-off values of $\Delta V_{NACT}$ are shown, with the optimal cut-off of $\Delta V_{NACT}$ identified as $-78.2\%$ (dashed line) (a). A $\Delta V_{NACT}$ cut-off of $-78.2\%$ corresponded to an area under the ROC curve (AUC) of 0.72 (95% confidence interval = 0.59–0.84; $p = 0.005$), a sensitivity of 32.7%, a specificity of 100%, a positive-predictive value of 100% (16 of 16), and a negative-predictive value of 37.7% (20 of 53) (b).

Figure 5. The accuracy of tumour volume after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) and three 2-Gy fractions of radiotherapy ($V_{NACT}$ (cm$^3$)) for prediction of good histological tumour response [tumour regression grade (TRG) 1–2] to NACT followed by chemoradiotherapy (CRT) was assessed by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. The sensitivity and specificity for different cut-off values of $V_{NACT}$ are shown, with the optimal cut-off of $V_{NACT}$ identified as 3.3 cm$^3$ (dashed line) (a). A $V_{NACT}$ cut-off of 3.3 cm$^3$ corresponded to an area under the ROC curve (AUC) of 0.84 (95% confidence interval = 0.74–0.93; $p < 0.0001$), a sensitivity of 55.1%, a specificity of 100%, a positive-predictive value of 100% (27 of 27), and a negative-predictive value of 47.6% (20 of 42) (b).
differences in tumour volume regression to CRT between tumours with good and poor histological response. Other studies have demonstrated a clear correlation between CRT-induced tumour volume regression and TRG, but the median tumour volume regression in these studies was substantially less than in our study. Furthermore, none of these studies used NACT prior to CRT. In accordance with our results, Nougaret et al found a correlation between tumour volume regression after NACT alone, but not after the full course of NACT and CRT.

In rectal cancer as well as in other solid tumours, functional MRI techniques and PET are increasingly integrated into staging and treatment response evaluation. However, the acquisition and evaluation of these additional sequences demand more resources, prolonged scan time and additional time for interpretation. Aiba et al found that the addition of $^{18}$F-FDG PET to MRI volumetry did not improve the performance of NACT response assessment. The real potential of functional imaging techniques is the ability to depict treatment response occurring prior to tumour volume regression, thereby allowing early adjustment of the therapeutic strategy.

A potential weakness of our study is the time point to assess change in volume after only two 2-week cycles of NACT. The findings of Nougaret et al and Aiba et al indicate that MRI volumetry after four 2-week cycles of NACT identifies good responders with higher sensitivity. Ideally, to establish the optimal time point for assessment, repeat imaging at different time points during NACT and CRT should be considered.

Volumetry is challenging, particularly after NACT, and may lead to considerable intra- and interobserver variability. A limitation in our study was that volumetry was performed by a single study radiologist, hence, interobserver variations could not be assessed. However, the congruent tumour volume changes predicting good response in our study and the studies reported by Nougaret et al and Aiba et al, the latter even without subsequent CRT, support that MRI volumetry is a robust method.

**CONCLUSION**

For patients with LARC receiving NACT followed by CRT and surgery, MRI-assessed small tumour volumes after NACT correlated with good histological tumour response (TRGs 1–2) to the completed course of NACT and CRT. Furthermore, by combining tumour volume measurements before, during and after NACT, more good responders were identified.
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