

Matematisk Seminar
Universitetet i Oslo

Nr. 8
Oktober 1966.

Two-sided ideals in C^* -algebras.

by

Erling Størmer

Two-sided ideals in C^* -algebras.

Erling Størmer.

If \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{K} are uniformly closed two-sided ideals in \mathcal{A} then so is $\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K}$. The following problem has been proposed by J. Dixmier [1, Problem 1.9.12]: is $(\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K})^\perp = \mathcal{J}^\perp + \mathcal{K}^\perp$, where \mathcal{L}^\perp denotes the set of positive operators in a family \mathcal{L} of operators? He suggested to the author that techniques using the duality between invariant faces of the state space $S(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} and two-sided ideals in \mathcal{A} , as shown by E. Effros, might be helpful in studying it. In this note we shall use such arguments to solve the problem to the affirmative.

By a face of $S(\mathcal{A})$ we shall mean a convex subset F such that if $\rho \in F$, $\omega \in S(\mathcal{A})$ and $a\omega \leq \rho$ for some $a > 0$, then $\omega \in F$. F is an invariant face if $\rho \in F$ implies the state $B \rightarrow \rho(A^*BA) \cdot \rho(A^*A)^{-1}$ belongs to F whenever $\rho(A^*A) \neq 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We denote by F^\perp the set of operators $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\rho(A) = 0$ for all $\rho \in F$. If $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{A}$, \mathcal{J}^\perp shall denote the set of states ρ such that $\rho(A) = 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{J}$. E. Effros [2] has shown that the map $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\perp$ is an order inverting bijection between uniformly closed two-sided ideals of \mathcal{A} and w^* -closed invariant faces of $S(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, $(\mathcal{J}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{J}$, and $(F^\perp)^\perp = F$ when F is a w^* -closed invariant face. If \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{K} are uniformly closed two-sided ideals in \mathcal{A} then $(\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K})^\perp = \text{conv}(\mathcal{J}^\perp, \mathcal{K}^\perp)$ - the convex

hull of \mathfrak{J}^\perp and \mathfrak{K}^\perp , and $(\mathfrak{J}+\mathfrak{K})^\perp = \mathfrak{J}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{K}^\perp$. If A is a self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{A} let \hat{A} denote the w^* -continuous affine function on $S(\mathcal{A})$ defined by $\hat{A}(\rho) = \rho(A)$. It has been shown by R. Kadison, [3] and [4], that the map $A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ is an isometric order-isomorphism of the self-adjoint part of \mathcal{A} onto all w^* -continuous real affine functions on $S(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, if \mathfrak{J} is a uniformly closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} , and ψ is the canonical homomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{J} , then the map $\rho \rightarrow \rho \circ \psi$ is an affine isomorphism of $S(\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{J})$ onto \mathfrak{J}^\perp . Thus the map $\psi(A) \rightarrow \hat{A}|_{\mathfrak{J}^\perp}$ is an order-isomorphic isometry on the self-adjoint operators in \mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{J} . We shall below make extensive use of these facts. For other references see [1, § .1].

Theorem. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. If \mathfrak{J} and \mathfrak{K} are uniformly closed two-sided ideals in \mathcal{A} then

$$(\mathfrak{J}+\mathfrak{K})^+ = \mathfrak{J}^+ + \mathfrak{K}^+.$$

In order to prove the theorem we may assume \mathcal{A} has an identity, denoted by I . We first prove a

Lemma. With the assumptions as in Theorem let A belong to $(\mathfrak{J}+\mathfrak{K})^+$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, $\varepsilon < 1$. Then there exist B in \mathfrak{J}^+ and C in \mathfrak{K}^+ such that $0 \leq A-B-C \leq \varepsilon I$.

Proof. We may assume $\|A\| \leq 1$. Let ψ denote the canonical homomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{J} . Then $\psi(\mathfrak{J}+\mathfrak{K}) = \psi(\mathfrak{J})$. Now

$\psi(A) \geq 0$. Therefore there exists $B_1 \in \mathcal{Y}^+$ such that $\psi(B_1) = \psi(A)$. Then $\hat{B}_1|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp} = 0$ and $\hat{B}_1|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \hat{A}|_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Since $(\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Z})^\perp = \text{conv}(\mathcal{Y}^\perp, \mathcal{Z}^\perp)$, $\hat{B}_1|_{(\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Z})^\perp} \leq \hat{A}|_{(\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Z})^\perp}$. Let ϕ denote the canonical homomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Z}$. Then $0 \leq \phi(B_1) \leq \phi(A)$. Let f be the real continuous function $f(x) = (\varepsilon/3)^2$ for $x \leq (\varepsilon/3)^2$, $f(x) = x$ for $x > (\varepsilon/3)^2$. Let

$$S = f(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_1 f(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then $S \in \mathcal{Y}^+$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad 0 \leq \phi(S) &= f(\phi(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(B_1) f(\phi(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq f(\phi(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(A) f(\phi(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \phi(I). \end{aligned}$$

Let g be the real continuous function $g(x) = x$ for $x \leq 1$, $g(x) = 1$ for $x > 1$. Since $g(0) = 0$, $g(S)$ is by the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem a uniform limit of polynomials in S without constant terms. Since $S \in \mathcal{Y}^+$, and \mathcal{Y} is uniformly closed, $g(S) \in \mathcal{Y}^+$. By (1)

$$(2) \quad \phi(g(S)) = g(\phi(S)) = \phi(S).$$

Let

$$B = (f(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon/3 I) g(S) (f(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon/3 I).$$

Since $g(S) \in \mathcal{Y}^+$ so is B . Now $(f(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon/3)^2 \leq x$ for $x \geq 0$, and $g(S) \leq I$. Hence $0 \leq B \leq A$. By (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(B) &= (f(\phi(A))^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon/3\phi(I))\phi(g(S))(f(\phi(A))^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon/3\phi(I)) \\ &= \phi(B_1) - \varepsilon/3 [f(\phi(A))^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(S) + \phi(S)f(\phi(A))^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon/3\phi(S)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|f(\phi(A))^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \leq 1$, $\|\phi(S)\| \leq 1$, and $\varepsilon < 1$

$$\|\hat{B}|(\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y})^\perp - \hat{B}_1|(\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y})^\perp\| = \|\phi(B) - \phi(B_1)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

In particular,

$$(3) \quad \|\hat{B}|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp} - \hat{A}|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp}\| = \|\hat{B}|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp} - \hat{B}_1|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp}\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Apply the preceding to $A-B$ instead of A and to \mathcal{Y} instead of \mathcal{Y} . Choose $C_1 \in \mathcal{Y}^+$ such that $C_1 \leq A-B$, and

$$(4) \quad \|\hat{C}_1|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp} - (\hat{A}-\hat{B})|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp}\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $\hat{C}_1|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp} = 0$ (3) implies

$$(5) \quad \|\hat{C}_1|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp} - (\hat{A}-\hat{B})|_{\mathcal{Y}^\perp}\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

By (4) and (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(C_1) - \phi(A-B)\| &= \\ &= \|\hat{C}_1| \text{conv}(\mathcal{J}^\perp, \mathcal{Y}^\perp) - (\hat{A}-\hat{B})| \text{conv}(\mathcal{Y}^\perp, \mathcal{Y}^\perp)\| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $D = A - (B + C_1)$. Then $D \geq 0$, and $\|\phi(D)\| \leq \varepsilon$. Let h be the real continuous function $h(x) = 0$ for $x \leq \varepsilon$, $h(x) = x - \varepsilon$ for $x > \varepsilon$. Then $\phi(h(D)) = h(\phi(D)) = 0$, and $h(D) \in (\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y})^+ \subset \mathcal{Y}^+$. Furthermore

$$(6) \quad D - \varepsilon I \leq h(D) \leq D.$$

Let $C = C_1 + h(D)$. Then $C \in \mathfrak{Y}^+$, and by (6)

$$0 \leq B + C \leq B + C_1 + D = A \leq B + C_1 + h(D) + \varepsilon I = B + C + \varepsilon I.$$

The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem. Let $A \in (\mathfrak{Y} + \mathfrak{Y})^+$. Multiplying A by a scalar we may assume $0 \leq A \leq I$. By Lemma choose $B_0 \in \mathfrak{Y}^+$, $C_0 \in \mathfrak{Y}^+$ such that

$$0 \leq A - B_0 - C_0 \leq 2^{-1} I.$$

Then $\|B_0\| \leq \|A\| \leq 1$, $\|C_0\| \leq \|A\| \leq 1$. Suppose inductively B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1} are chosen in \mathfrak{Y}^+ and C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1} are chosen in \mathfrak{Y}^+ such that $\|B_j\| \leq 2^{-j}$, $\|C_j\| \leq 2^{-j}$, and

$$0 \leq A - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B_j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_j \leq 2^{-n} I.$$

Apply Lemma to $A - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B_j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_j$ and to $\varepsilon = 2^{-n-1}$.

Then there exist $B_n \in \mathfrak{Y}^+$, $C_n \in \mathfrak{Y}^+$ such that

$$(7) \quad 0 \leq A - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B_j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_j - B_n - C_n \leq 2^{-n-1} I,$$

or

$$0 \leq A - \sum_{j=0}^n B_j - \sum_{j=0}^n C_j \leq 2^{-n-1} I.$$

Moreover, by (7) $\|B_n\| \leq 2^{-n}$, $\|C_n\| \leq 2^{-n}$; the induction argument is complete. Let

$$B = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j, \quad C = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_j.$$

Then $B \in \mathcal{J}^+$, $C \in \mathcal{Y}^+$, and

$$\|A-B-C\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| A - \sum_{j=0}^n B_j - \sum_{j=0}^n C_j \right\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n-1} = 0.$$

Thus $A = B+C \in \mathcal{J}^+ + \mathcal{Y}^+$, and $(\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{Y})^+ \subset \mathcal{J}^+ + \mathcal{Y}^+$. Since the converse inclusion is trivial, the proof is complete.

References.

- 1) J. Dixmier, Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1964).
- 2) E.G. Effros, Order ideals in a C^* -algebra and its dual, Duke Math.J., Vol.30, No.3 (1963), 391-412.
- 3) R.V. Kadison, A representation theory for commutative topological algebra, Mem.Amer.Math.Soc. No.7 (1951).
- 4) R.V. Kadison, Transformations of states in operator theory and dynamics, Topology, Vol.3 (1965), 177-198.