

UNIFORM APPROXIMATION ON MANIFOLDS

By

John Erik Fornæss

1. Introduction.

Suppose that M is a real C^1 -manifold of dimension m , and that Φ is a family of complex-valued C^1 -functions on M . Then the exceptional set, $E(\Phi)$, is the set $\{x \in M; df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_m(x) = 0, \forall (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \Phi^m\}$. We fix a compact subset X of M , and we shall often write E instead of $E(\Phi) \cap X$.

Let $A \subset C(X)$ denote the closed Banach-algebra generated by the restriction to X of the elements of Φ . Assume that A separates points in X and that $M_A = X$, where M_A is the maximal ideal space of A . It is an open problem, see [1] page 348-349, if A includes all continuous functions on X which vanish identically on E . Michael Freeman proved this in [2] under the additional hypothesis that both M and the functions in Φ are real-analytic. In this work we will solve the problem if M and the functions in Φ are of class C^r , for some sufficiently large real r .

Our result will be proved via the following corollary of theorem 3.1: If Σ is a C^r -manifold in \mathbb{C}^n without complex

tangents (see [4] for the precise meaning of the last term) and $K = \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is the spectrum of some members of A , then all continuous functions on K which vanish on $K - \Sigma$ operate on K .

The proof will follow by adaptation of a technique developed in the work of Hörmander and Wermer [4].

2. Fundamental Constructions.

Assume that $r \geq 1$ and that Σ is a closed, real C^r -submanifold, without complex tangents, of an open set Ω in \mathbb{C}^n . Let N_1 and N_2 be some open sets in \mathbb{C}^n , $\bar{N}_2 \subset N_1$.

The Euclidean distance between the point x and the set A will be denoted $d(x, A)$.

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose that $u \in C^r(\Omega \cup N_1)$ is holomorphic in N_1 . Then there exists a $v \in C^r(\Omega \cup N_2)$ with $v = u$ on $\Sigma \cup N_2$ and such that:

For every compact $F \subset \Omega \cup N_2$ and every $\eta > 0$ we can find a $\delta > 0$ with the property:

If $z \in F$ and $d(z, \Sigma) < \delta$, then $|\bar{\partial}v(z)| \leq \eta \cdot d(z, \Sigma)^{r-1}$.

Since the proof of this lemma is similar to that of lemma 4.3. in [4], we omit it here.

The next result is similar to theorem 3.1. in [4]. However, since the proof is a bit different, we will carry it out in some detail.

Σ , Ω , N_1 and N_2 will be as above with $r = 1$. Suppose A is a commutative Banach-algebra with unit, and let f_1, \dots, f_n be elements of A . Define K to be the joint spectrum $\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n)$.

LEMMA 2.2. Assume $K - N_2 \subset \Sigma$. Then there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$, a $t \in (0, 1)$, elements $f_{n+1}, \dots, f_m \in A$, a compact set $F \subset \Sigma$, and for every $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ a domain of holomorphy $\omega_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ such that

- (i) $\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset \omega_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \{|(z_{n+1}, \dots, z_m)| < 1/t\}$,
- (ii) if $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $d((z_1, \dots, z_n, \epsilon z_{n+1}, \dots, \epsilon z_m), \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n, \epsilon f_{n+1}, \dots, \epsilon f_m)) < t\epsilon$, then $z \in \omega_\epsilon$,
- (iii) if $z \in \omega_\epsilon - (N_1 \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n})$, then $d((z_1, \dots, z_n), F) < \frac{\epsilon}{t}$.

PROOF. As in lemma 2.1 in [4] we can find a function $\rho \in C^2(\Omega)$ which is strictly plurisubharmonic in an open set W , with $\Sigma \subset W \subset \Omega$, and which satisfies the inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}d(z, \Sigma)^2 \leq \rho(z) \leq 2d(z, \Sigma)^2, \quad z \in W.$$

Choose an open set N_3 , $\bar{N}_2 \subset N_3 \subset \bar{N}_3 \subset N_1$. Define $K_1 = K \cap (\bar{N}_3 - N_2)$. K_1 will evidently be a compact subset of $N_1 \cap W$. We can now select $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \psi \subset N_1 \cap W$ and so that $\psi \equiv 1$ in an open neighbourhood of K_1 . By taking a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, we can assume that $\rho - \delta^2 \psi$ is strictly plurisubharmonic in W .

Let V_0 be an open set which contains K_1 , and where $\psi \equiv 1$ and $\rho < \frac{1}{2}\delta^2$. Define

$$(2.2.) \quad V = V_0 \cup (\mathbb{C}^n - \bar{N}_3) \cup N_1$$

Since $K \subset V$, we can find a finite number of elements $f_{n+1}, \dots, f_m \in A$, and a relatively compact domain of holomorphy U in \mathbb{C}^m , such that

$$(2.3.) \quad \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset U \subset V \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n}.$$

Furthermore, we define $K_2 = K - N_3 \subset \Sigma \subset W$ and find a $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(W)$ with $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ in an open neighbourhood of K_2 . Now we choose $\epsilon_1 > 0$ with the property that $p_\epsilon = \rho - \epsilon^2 \varphi - \delta^2 \psi$ is strictly plurisubharmonic in $W \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1]$.

There exists a compact set $F \subset \Sigma$ and an $\epsilon_2 \in (0, \epsilon_1]$ such that we have, for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_2]$:

(i) If $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $d(z, K - N_2) < \epsilon/2$, then

(2.4.) $z \in W$ and $p_\epsilon(z) < 0$.

(ii) When $z \in W - N_1$ and $p_\epsilon(z) < 0$, then $d(z, F) < 2\epsilon$.

In fact, (i) follows easily from (2.1.) and the choices of ψ and φ by remarking that $K - N_2 = K_1 \cup K_2$.

As for (ii) we can find an ϵ_2 so small that $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; d(z, \text{supp } \varphi) \leq 2\epsilon_2\} \subset W$. Define $F = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; d(z, \text{supp } \varphi) \leq 2\epsilon_2\} \cap \Sigma$. Then F is a compact subset of Σ . Suppose that $z \in W - N_1$ and that $p_\epsilon(z) < 0$. It follows that $\psi(z) = 0$, and so $\rho(z) < \epsilon^2 \varphi(z) \leq \epsilon^2$. By (2.1.) there exists an $\eta \in \Sigma$ so that $d(z, \eta) < 2\epsilon$. Consequently $\eta \in F$ and $d(z, F) < 2\epsilon$.

In the rest of the proof we will use the convention that $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ is written (z_1, z_2) where $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{m-n}$.

We are now able to construct the required domains of holomorphy. Define

$$\begin{aligned} O_1 &= \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^m; z_1 \in N_3\} \\ O_2 &= \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^m; z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n - \bar{N}_2\} \\ O_3 &= \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^m; z_1 \in W \text{ and } p_\epsilon(z_1) < 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Then $O_1 \cup O_2 = \mathbb{C}^m$. Suppose $z \in O_1 \cap O_2 \cap U$. From (2.3.) we get that $z_1 \in V$, and since $z_1 \in N_3 - \bar{N}_2 \subset \bar{N}_3 - N_2$, (2.2.) implies that $z_1 \in V_0$. It follows from the definition of V_0 that

$\psi(z_1) = 1$ and $\rho(z_1) < \frac{1}{2}\delta^2$. Therefore $p_\epsilon(z_1) < 0$, which proves that $O_1 \cap O_2 \cap U \subset O_3$. As a trivial consequence there exist unique domains of holomorphy $\omega_\epsilon \forall \epsilon \in \langle 0, \epsilon_2 \rangle$ with

$$(2.5.) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \omega_\epsilon \cap O_1 = U \cap O_1 \\ (ii) \quad & \omega_\epsilon \cap O_2 = U \cap O_2 \cap O_3 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset U$ by (2.3.), we can find $t_1 > 0$ so that $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^m; d(z, \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m)) < t_1\} \subset U$. In addition, $K \cap \bar{N}_2 \subset N_3$. This implies the existence of $\epsilon_0 \in \langle 0, \min\{t_1, \epsilon_2\} \rangle$ with the property that

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; d(z, K \cap \bar{N}_2) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0\} \subset N_3.$$

Assume $\epsilon \in \langle 0, \epsilon_0 \rangle$. Then:

$$(2.6.) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \text{If } z \in \mathbb{C}^m \text{ and } \eta \in \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m) \text{ are such that} \\ & |z_1 - \eta_1| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \text{ and } |z_2 - \eta_2| < \frac{1}{2}t_1, \text{ then } z \in \omega_\epsilon. \\ (ii) \quad & \text{If } z \in \omega_\epsilon - (N_1 \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n}), \text{ then } d(z_1, F) < 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We prove (i) first. Since $d(z, \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}t_1 < t_1$, it is obvious that $z \in U$. Suppose that $\eta_1 \in N_2$. By the definition of ϵ_0 , $z_1 \in N_3$. It follows from (2.5.) that $z \in \omega_\epsilon$. Next, consider the situation when $\eta_1 \in K - N_2$. Then $z_1 \in N_3$ or $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n - \bar{N}_2$. If $z_1 \in N_3$, then $z \in \omega_\epsilon$ as before. But if $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n - \bar{N}_2$, then $p_\epsilon(z_1) < 0$ by (2.4.), and so (2.5.) shows that $z \in \omega_\epsilon$.

At last we remark that (ii) follows from (2.4.).

The lemma is now an immediate consequence.

Q.E.D.

By applying a technique introduced by M. Freeman in [2], we shall now determine a class of mappings of a manifold into \mathbb{C}^n .

More precisely, let M be a k -dimensional real C^r -manifold, $r \geq 1$. Suppose X is a compact subset of M . Assume further that $\Phi \subset C_{\mathbb{C}}^r(M)$ and separates points in X . Let E denote the exceptional set $E(\Phi) \cap X$.

LEMMA 2.3. For every compact set $X_0 \subset X - E$ we can find an open neighbourhood V of X_0 , a finite number of functions, $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \Phi$, and an open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

(i) $(f_1, \dots, f_n)(V)$ is a closed C^r -submanifold of Ω of dimension k and without complex tangents, and

(ii) $(f_1, \dots, f_n)(X - V) \subset \mathbb{C}^n - \Omega$.

PROOF. Choose a finite number of functions $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \Phi$ and an open neighbourhood V of X_0 with $E(\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}) \cap V = \emptyset$. It follows from the inverse mapping theorem that the multiple function $(f_1, \dots, f_n): M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is locally 1-1 on V . Obviously, the set $\{(x, y) \in (X_0 \times X_0) - \Delta; f_i(x) = f_i(y) \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a compact subset of $X_0 \times X_0$, where Δ denotes the diagonal in $X_0 \times X_0$. Consequently, by adding some more functions if necessary, we may assume that $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ separate points in X_0 . Shrinking V if necessary, we then get that (f_1, \dots, f_n) is 1-1 on \bar{V} and that \bar{V} is compact.

Since Φ separates points in X , we can also suppose, after further modifications, that $(f_1, \dots, f_n)(X - V)$ and $(f_1, \dots, f_n)(V)$ are disjoint.

The choice $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^n - (f_1, \dots, f_n)(\bar{V} - V)$ finishes the proof.

Q.E.D.

3. Approximation Theorems.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $C(X)$ denote the Banach space under the supremum norm of continuous complex-valued functions. The notation $A \ll C(X)$ means that A is a closed linear subspace which is closed under pointwise multiplication, separates points and contains the constant functions.

If K is a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^n , then $A(K)$ is defined to be the class of continuous, complex-valued functions on K which can be uniformly approximated on K by functions holomorphic in a neighbourhood of K .

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose $A \ll C(X)$, where X is compact Hausdorff space. Let Σ be a closed k -dimensional submanifold of an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, without complex tangents, and of class C^r , $r = \frac{k}{2} + 1$. Choose $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$ and define $K = \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n)$, $K_0 = \overline{K - \Sigma}$.

If $u \in C(K)$ with $u|_{K_0} \in A(K_0)$, then $u \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A$.

REMARK. We can replace the condition $r = \frac{k}{2} + 1$ with $r = \max\{\frac{k}{2}, 1\}$, but the proof will then be more involved. More specific, we need a stronger version of lemma 2.2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Evidently, we may assume that $u \in C^r(\Omega \cup N_1)$ for some open neighbourhood N_1 of K_0 , and also that u is holomorphic in N_1 . We will further suppose that u has been modified as described in lemma 2.1. If N_2 is chosen as an open set with $K_0 \subset N_2 \subset \subset N_1$, we can apply lemma 2.2.

Define $v: (\Omega \cup N_1) \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}: (z_1, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow u(z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Condition (iii) in lemma 2.2. ensures that v is defined in w_ϵ for all small enough $\epsilon > 0$.

Since Σ is k -dimensional, and because of (i) and (iii) in lemma 2.2., the volume of $\omega_\epsilon = (N_1 \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n})$ is $O(\epsilon^{2n-k})$ when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Combining lemma 2.1. and once again (iii) in lemma 2.2., we get

$$\|\bar{\partial}v\|_{L^2(\omega_\epsilon)}^2 = o(\epsilon^{2n-k} \cdot \epsilon^{2r-2})$$

and consequently

$$\|\bar{\partial}v\|_{L^2(\omega_\epsilon)} = o(\epsilon^n) \text{ when } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

According to theorem 2.2.3. in [3] there exist functions $h_\epsilon \in L^2(\omega_\epsilon)$ with $\bar{\partial}h_\epsilon = \bar{\partial}v$ in ω_ϵ , and

$$\|h_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\omega_\epsilon)} = o(\epsilon^n) \text{ when } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we need lemma 4.4. in [4]:

Let $B_\epsilon = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m; |z| < \epsilon\}$; let $u \in L^2(B_\epsilon)$ and $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in the sense of distribution theory. If f is continuous, then u is continuous, and we have

$$|u(0)| \leq C(\epsilon^{-m}\|u\|_{L^2(B_\epsilon)} + \epsilon \sup_{B_\epsilon} |f|)$$

Here C is a constant which only depends on m , and not on ϵ .

Define $\omega_\epsilon^1 = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n, \epsilon z_{n+1}, \dots, \epsilon z_m) ; (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \omega_\epsilon\}$, $v_\epsilon^1 : \omega_\epsilon^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : (z_1, \dots, z_m) \rightarrow u(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $h_\epsilon^1 : \omega_\epsilon^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : (z_1, \dots, z_m) \rightarrow h_\epsilon(z_1, \dots, z_n, \frac{1}{\epsilon}z_{n+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\epsilon}z_m)$.

Then $\bar{\partial}h_\epsilon^1 = v_\epsilon^1$ and $\|h_\epsilon^1\|_{L^2(\omega_\epsilon^1)} = o(\epsilon^m)$ when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. By the above lemma and (ii) in lemma 2.2., we get uniformly for all $x \in X$

$$|h_\epsilon^1(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x), \epsilon f_{n+1}(x), \dots, \epsilon f_m(x))| \leq C((\epsilon)^{-m} \cdot o(\epsilon^m) + \epsilon \cdot o(\epsilon^{r-1})).$$

Consequently

$$\|h_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m))} \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 .$$

Therefore, the functions $v - h_\epsilon$ are holomorphic in ω_ϵ and converge uniformly on $\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ to v . It is well-known that holomorphic functions operate on spectra. This concludes the proof.

Q.E.D.

We are now able to prove a generalization of a result by Freeman [2].

THEOREM 3.2. Let M be a k -dimensional real manifold of class C^r , $r = \frac{k}{2} + 1$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{f} \subset C^r(M)$ separates points on a compact subset X of M . Define $E = E(\mathfrak{f}) \cap X$ and $A =$ the supnormalgebra in $C(X)$ generated by \mathfrak{f} . If $M_A = X$, then

$$A \supset \{g \in C(X); g|_E \equiv 0\} .$$

PROOF. Choose any compact subset $X_0 \subset X - E$ and use lemma 2.3. It follows from theorem 3.1. that the family

$$\{g \in A \cap C_{\mathbb{R}}(X); g|_E \equiv 0 \text{ and } 0 \notin g(X_0)\}$$

is nonempty and separates points in X_0 . The theorem now is a consequence of Stone-Weierstrass.

Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. F.T. Birtel, Function algebras, Tulane University (1966)
2. M. Freeman, Uniform approximation on a real-analytic manifold, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 143, 545-553 (1969).
3. L. Hörmander, L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator, Acta Math. 113 (1965), 89-152.
4. L. Hörmander and J. Wermer, Uniform approximation on compact sets in \mathbb{C}^n , Math. Scand. 23 (1968), 5-21.