

The generators of positive semigroups.

David E. Evans and Harald Hanche-Olsen.

University of Oslo.

Abstract: We characterise the infinitesimal generators of norm continuous one-parameter semigroups of positive maps on certain ordered spaces, with special reference to C^* -algebras.

The purpose of this note is to characterise the infinitesimal generators of norm continuous one-parameter semigroups of positive linear maps on certain ordered vector spaces. This research was inspired by known results for groups of positive maps in Hilbert spaces with self dual cones [1, Lemma 5.3], and for semigroups of completely positive, and indeed more generally locally completely positive maps on C^* -algebras [8,4]. The study of positive semigroups as representing dynamical systems in classical and quantum theory has received much attention on recent years. We refer the reader to [2, 5, 11] for accounts of this.

We begin with a result for C^* -algebras. But first we recall that if S is a set of states on a C^* -algebra A , then S is said to be full if $x \in A_+$ and $f(x) \geq 0$ for all f in S , then $x \geq 0$. Moreover S is said to be invariant if $f \in S$, and $x \in A$ satisfy $f(x^*x) \neq 0$, then $f[x^*(\cdot)x]/f(x^*x) \in S$. That (i) is equivalent to (vi) in the following Theorem was first shown in [12], for which we give an alternative proof.

Theorem 1.

Let L be a bounded self-adjoint linear map on a unital C^* -algebra A . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) e^{tL} is positive, for all positive t .
- (ii) $(\lambda - L)^{-1}$ is positive, for all large positive λ .
- (iii) If $y \in A_+$, $a \in A$ satisfy $ya = 0$, then $a^*L(y)a \geq 0$.

- (iv) For some full, invariant set of states S on A ,
that $y \in A_+$, $f \in S$ with $f(y) = 0$ imply $fL(y) \geq 0$.
- (v) $L(x^2) + xL(1)x \geq L(x)x + xL(x)$, for all self adjoint
 x in A .
- (vi) $L(1) + u^*L(1)u \geq L(u^*)u + u^*L(u)$, for all unitaries
 u in A .

Proof.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii). Let S be a full, invariant set of states
satisfying (iv).

Let $y \in A_+$, $a \in A$ satisfy $ya = 0$. Then $f(a^*ya) = 0$
for all f in S . Hence by (iv), $f[a^*L(y)a] \geq 0$, for
all f in S , since S is invariant, and thus
 $a^*L(y)a \geq 0$, since S is full.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\lambda > \|L\|$. In order to show that $(\lambda - L)^{-1}$
 ≥ 0 , it is enough to show that if $x \in A_h$ satisfies
 $(\lambda - L)x \geq 0$, then $x \geq 0$. Let $x = x^+ - x^-$, where
 $x^+, x^- \in A_+$, and $x^+x^- = 0$. Thus $x^-L(x^+)x^- \geq 0$ by (iii).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then we have } 0 &\leq x^-[(1-L/\lambda)(x)]x^- \\ &= x^-x x^- - x^- [L/\lambda(x)]x^- \\ &= -(x^-)^3 - x^- [L/\lambda(x^+)]x^- + x^- [L/\lambda(x^-)]x^- \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } 0 \leq (x^-)^3 \leq x^- [L/\lambda(x^-)]x^- ,$$

$$\text{and so } \|x^-\|^3 \leq \frac{\|L\|}{\lambda} \cdot \|x^-\|^3 .$$

Hence $x^- = 0$, as $\|L\|/\lambda < 1$.

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (i) \quad e^{tL} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - L/n)^{-n}$$

(i) \Rightarrow (v) Let $L'(x) = L(x) - \frac{1}{2}[L(1)x + xL(1)]$. Then $e^{tL'} \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ by the Lie-Trotter formula. (Note that the map $x \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}[L(1)x + xL(1)]$ satisfies (i).) Since $e^{tL'}(1) = 1$, we have by differentiating Kadison's Schwarz inequality [6], namely

$$e^{tL'}(x^2) \geq e^{tL'}(x)^2$$

which is valid for all $t \geq 0$, and all self adjoint x , that

$$L'(x^2) \geq L'(x) \cdot x + x \cdot L'(x), \quad \forall x \in A_n.$$

Substituting for L' gives the desired result.

(v) \Rightarrow (iv) Let $y \in A_+$, $f \in A_+^*$, with $f(y) = 0$. Then $f(y^{\frac{1}{2}} z) = 0 = f(zy^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for all $z \in A$, by the Schwarz inequality. Thus $L(y) + y^{\frac{1}{2}}L(1)y^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq L(y^{\frac{1}{2}})y^{\frac{1}{2}} + y^{\frac{1}{2}}L(y^{\frac{1}{2}})$ implies that $fL(y) \geq 0$.

To show that (i) is equivalent to (vi), it is enough by a standard transformation to assume that $L(1) = 0$.

(i) \Rightarrow (vi) Since $e^{tL} \geq 0$, and $e^{tL}(1) = 1$, for all $t \geq 0$, we have $\|e^{tL}\| = 1$, $\forall t \geq 0$. Thus $\|e^{tL}(u)\| \leq 1$, for all unitaries u in A . Hence $e^{tL}(y^*)e^{tL}(u) \leq 1$, $\forall t \geq 0$, and so $L(u^*)u + u^*L(u) \leq 0$, for all unitaries u , by derivation.

(vi) \Rightarrow (i) This is contained in the proof of [8, Proposition 4], as observed in [12].

Not surprisingly, the above theorem is concerned mainly

with the Jordan structure of the C^* -algebra. It can be used to simplify the proof of the following known result [8,4] for the C^* -structure. Note that if $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the C^* -algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} , and $L(x) = x^t - x$, (where $x \rightarrow x^t$ is the transpose mapping) then L satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, but not Theorem 2 if $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.

Let L be a bounded self-adjoint linear map on a C^* -algebra A . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $e^{tL}(x^*x) \geq e^{tL}(x^*)e^{tL}(x)$, $\forall x \in A$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.
- (ii) $L(x^*x) \geq L(x^*)x + x^*L(x)$, $\forall x \in A$.

Proof.

Suppose (ii) holds. Adjoin an identity 1 to A , and extend L to the enlarged algebra \tilde{A} by putting $L(1) = 0$. Then by Theorem 2, e^{tL} is positive on \tilde{A} for all positive t . The result follows from [4], or see [8] for a resolvent argument.

It is desirable to remove the initial hypothesis of boundedness of the generator in Theorem 1. We can modify the arguments of [7] to show:

Theorem 3.

Let L be a self adjoint linear map on a unital C^* -algebra A , with the following property: if $y \in A_+$, $f \in A_+^*$ satisfy $f(y) = 0$, then $f(Ly) \geq 0$. Then L is bounded, and e^{tL} positive for all positive t .

Proof.

Note that the map $x \rightarrow L(x) - \frac{1}{2}[L(1)x + xL(1)]$ satisfies the same conditional positivity property as L . Hence we can assume $L(1) = 0$. We will show that in this case, L is dissipative (in the sense of [9]) on A_h . i.e.

$$(1) \quad \lambda \|x\| \leq \|\lambda x - Lx\|, \quad \forall x \in A_h, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

In order to show this for some x in A_h , we may assume, (by considering $-x$ if necessary) that there exists a positive f in A^* , such that $f(x) = \|x\|$, and $\|f\| = 1$. Then $f(\|x\| - x) = 0$, and so $f[L(\|x\| - x)] \geq 0$ by assumption, i.e. $f(Lx) \leq 0$.

$$\text{Let } \lambda > 0, \text{ then } \lambda f(x) \leq f(\lambda x - Lx) \\ \leq \|f\| \|\lambda x - Lx\|$$

$$\text{i.e. } \lambda \|x\| \leq \|f\| \|\lambda x - Lx\|$$

This is enough to show that L is bounded. The original proof of this fact used semi-inner products [9]. A more elegant method has been given by Sullivan [11], which we repeat here for completeness. We show L is closed on A_h . Let $f_n \in A_h$, $f_n \rightarrow 0$, $Lf_n \rightarrow g$. Then for all h in A_h , $\lambda > 0$,

$$\lambda \|\lambda f_n + h\| \leq \|(\lambda - L)(\lambda f_n + h)\|$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lambda \|h\| \leq \|\lambda(h - g) + L(h)\|,$$

and then dividing by λ , and letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we see

$$\|h\| \leq \|h - g\|$$

for all h in A_h . Hence $g = 0$. Hence L is bounded on A_h , and thus on A . It follows from Theorem 1 that e^{tL} is positive for all $t \geq 0$. (This also follows from (1), which shows that $(1 - L/\lambda)^{-1}$ is a contraction for $\lambda > \|L\|$, and thus positive since it preserves the identity).

One of the preceding techniques is actually derived from a more general setting in certain ordered spaces. For this it is convenient to introduce a definition:

Definition: A cone E_+ in a Banach space E is said to have the nearest point property if for any x in E , there exists some y in E_+ such that $\|x-y\| = \text{dist}(x, E_+)$. In this case E_+ is closed.

A closed cone in a Hilbert space certainly has the nearest point property. The following Theorem thus improves Connes' characterisation of the generators of groups of positive maps for Hilbert spaces with self dual cones [1, Lemma 5.3]. The positive cone in any order unit space, in particular, the self-adjoint part of C^* -algebra, has the nearest point property. Thus the Theorem 4 also generalises the purely order theoretic part of Theorem 1.

Theorem 4.

Let E be a real Banach space, and E_+ a cone in E with the nearest point property. Then if L is a bounded linear map on E , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) e^{tL} is positive for all positive t .
- (ii) $(\lambda-L)^{-1}$ is positive for all large positive λ .

(iii) If $x \in E_+$, $f \in E_+^*$ satisfy $f(x) = 0$, then $fL(x) \geq 0$.

Proof.

We show (iii) \Rightarrow (ii). The rest is standard. Let $\lambda > \|L\|$. To show $(\lambda-L)^{-1} \geq 0$, assume $x \in E$ and $(\lambda-L)x \in E_+$. Assuming (iii) holds, we have to show $x \in E_+$. If not, then there exists $y \in E_+$, with $\|y-x\| = \text{dist}(x, E_+) = d > 0$. A Hahn-Banach theorem applied to the closed convex set E_+ , and the interior of the ball $S(x,d)$, with centre x , radius d , now provide $f \in E_+^*$ such that $f \leq 0$ on $S(x,d)$, and $f(x) < 0$. This implies $d\|f\| \leq -f(x)$. Since $y \in E_+ \cap S(x,d)$, $f(y) = 0$, and so by (iii) $fL(y) \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq f[(1 - L/\lambda)x] &= f(x) - 1/\lambda fL(x) \\ &= f(x) - 1/\lambda f(L(x-y)) - 1/\lambda fL(y) \\ &\leq -d\|f\| + 1/\lambda\|f\|\|L\|\|x-y\| \\ &= d\|f\|[\|L\|/\lambda - 1] \\ &< 0, \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

The finite dimensional case of the above Theorem was proved in [10]. Following Schneider and Vidyasagar, the maps satisfying (iii) of Theorem 4 may be called crosspositive. Intuitively, this means that the orbit $\{e^{tL}x : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ crosses the boundary of E_+ in a positive sense, that is, into E_+ (or at least not out of it).

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank E.M. Alfsen and Chr. Skau for stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES.

- [1] A. Connes. Caractérisation des espaces vectoriels ordonnés sous-jacents aux algèbres de von Neumann. Ann. Inst. Fourier. 24, 121-155 (1974).
- [2] E.B. Davies. Quantum theory of open systems. Academic Press. 1976.
- [3] D.E. Evans. Positive linear maps on operator algebras. Commun. math. Phys. 48, 15-22 (1976).
- [4] D.E. Evans. Irreducible quantum dynamical semigroups.
- [5] V. Gorini, A. Frigerio, M. Verri, A. Kossakowski, E.C.G. Sudarshan. Properties of quantum markovian master equations. Preprint 1976.
- [6] R.V. Kadison. A generalized Schwarz inequality and algebraic invariants for operator algebras. Ann. Math. 56, 494-503 (1952).
- [7] A. Kishimoto. Dissipations and derivations. Commun. math. Phys. 47, 25-32 (1976).
- [8] G. Lindblad. On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups. Commun. math. Phys. 48, 119-130 (1976).
- [9] G. Lumer and R.S. Philips. Dissipative operators in a Banach space. Pac. J. Math. 11, 679-698 (1961).
- [10] H. Schneider and M. Vidyasagar. Cross-positive matrices. Siam J. Numer. Anal. 7, 508-519 (1970).
- [11] W.G. Sullivan. Markov processes for random fields. Comm. Publ. Inst. Adv. Studies Ser A. 23 (1975).
- [12] S.K. Tsui. A note on generators of semigroups. Preprint 1976.