

# Cocycle deformation of operator algebras

Sergey Neshveyev

(Joint work with J. Bhowmick, A. Sangha and L. Tuset)

UiO

June 29, 2013

# Cocycle deformation

Assume  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group,  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{s \in \Gamma} \mathcal{A}_s$  is a  $\Gamma$ -graded algebra, and  $\Omega$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -valued 2-cocycle on  $\Gamma$ , so

$$\Omega(s, t)\Omega(st, u) = \Omega(t, u)\Omega(s, tu).$$

Then we can define a new product  $\star$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by

$$a_s \star a_t = \Omega(s, t)^{-1} a_s a_t.$$

We want to generalize this construction to the analytic setting, replacing  $\mathcal{A}$  by a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\Gamma$  by an arbitrary locally compact (quantum) group.

## In which generality should we work?

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\Gamma$  is a locally compact group, an analogue of  $\Gamma$ -grading is a coaction of  $\Gamma$  on  $A$ .

If  $G$  is a locally compact group, then an action of  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra can be thought of as a grading on  $A$  by the dual of  $G$ .

It is natural to try to cover at least these two cases.

For abelian group actions are in bijection with coactions of the dual.

The deformation for actions/coactions of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  was defined by Rieffel, the particular case of actions of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ /coactions of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  was defined by Connes and Landi, and both constructions have been since studied by many authors.

In which generality should we work?

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\Gamma$  is a locally compact group, an analogue of  $\Gamma$ -grading is a coaction of  $\Gamma$  on  $A$ .

If  $G$  is a locally compact group, then an action of  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra can be thought of as a grading on  $A$  by the dual of  $G$ .

It is natural to try to cover at least these two cases.

For abelian group actions are in bijection with coactions of the dual.

The deformation for actions/coactions of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  was defined by Rieffel, the particular case of actions of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ /coactions of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  was defined by Connes and Landi, and both constructions have been since studied by many authors.

In which generality should we work?

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\Gamma$  is a locally compact group, an analogue of  $\Gamma$ -grading is a coaction of  $\Gamma$  on  $A$ .

If  $G$  is a locally compact group, then an action of  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra can be thought of as a grading on  $A$  by the dual of  $G$ .

It is natural to try to cover at least these two cases.

For abelian group actions are in bijection with coactions of the dual.

The deformation for actions/coactions of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  was defined by Rieffel, the particular case of actions of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ /coactions of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  was defined by Connes and Landi, and both constructions have been since studied by many authors.

For finite group actions the construction of a new product  $\star$  is also well-known.

More generally, assume  $(\mathcal{H}, \hat{\Delta})$  is a Hopf algebra,  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra and

$$\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}, \quad x \otimes a \mapsto x \triangleright a,$$

is an action of  $\mathcal{H}$  making  $\mathcal{A}$  a left  $\mathcal{H}$ -module algebra. An invertible element  $\Omega \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$  is called a 2-cocycle if

$$(\Omega \otimes 1)(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \iota)(\Omega) = (1 \otimes \Omega)(\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta})(\Omega).$$

Then a new product on  $\mathcal{A}$  can be defined by

$$a \star b = m(\Omega^{-1} \triangleright (a \otimes b)),$$

where  $m: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is the original product.

For finite group actions the construction of a new product  $\star$  is also well-known.

More generally, assume  $(\mathcal{H}, \hat{\Delta})$  is a Hopf algebra,  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra and

$$\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}, \quad x \otimes a \mapsto x \triangleright a,$$

is an action of  $\mathcal{H}$  making  $\mathcal{A}$  a left  $\mathcal{H}$ -module algebra. An invertible element  $\Omega \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$  is called a 2-cocycle if

$$(\Omega \otimes 1)(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \iota)(\Omega) = (1 \otimes \Omega)(\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta})(\Omega).$$

Then a new product on  $\mathcal{A}$  can be defined by

$$a \star b = m(\Omega^{-1} \triangleright (a \otimes b)),$$

where  $m: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is the original product.

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$  the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with new product. The algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$  is a module algebra over the new Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega = \mathcal{H}$  as algebras, while the new coproduct on  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  is defined by

$$\hat{\Delta}_\Omega(x) = \Omega \hat{\Delta}(x) \Omega^{-1}.$$

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is the group algebra of a finite group  $G$ , there may exist cocycles that cannot be induced from abelian subgroups and are such that the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  is neither commutative nor cocommutative (the simplest example is  $G = D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .) Since we need  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  to recover back  $\mathcal{A}$  from  $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ , we see that even if we are interested only in actions and coactions of finite groups, this is too little for a good theory.



Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$  the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with new product. The algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$  is a module algebra over the new Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega = \mathcal{H}$  as algebras, while the new coproduct on  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  is defined by

$$\hat{\Delta}_\Omega(x) = \Omega \hat{\Delta}(x) \Omega^{-1}.$$

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is the group algebra of a finite group  $G$ , there may exist cocycles that cannot be induced from abelian subgroups and are such that the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  is neither commutative nor cocommutative (the simplest example is  $G = D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .) Since we need  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  to recover back  $\mathcal{A}$  from  $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ , we see that even if we are interested only in actions and coactions of finite groups, this is too little for a good theory.

# Setup

Assume  $G$  is a locally compact quantum group, so we are given a von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(G)$  together with a coassociative normal unital injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Delta: L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(G)$  such that there exist left and right invariant n.s.f. weights.

A unitary dual 2-cocycle on  $G$  is a unitary  $\Omega \in L^\infty(\hat{G}) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(\hat{G})$  such that

$$(\Omega \otimes 1)(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \iota)(\Omega) = (1 \otimes \Omega)(\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta})(\Omega).$$

Assume we have a continuous left action of  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , so we are given an injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\alpha: A \rightarrow M(C_0(G) \otimes A)$  such that  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\alpha = (\iota \otimes \alpha)\alpha$  and such that  $(C_0(G) \otimes 1)\alpha(A)$  is dense in  $C_0(G) \otimes A$ . We then want to define a deformation  $A_\Omega$  of  $A$ .

Our approach is motivated by the work of Kasprzak for abelian locally compact groups  $G$  and continuous cocycles.

Assume  $G$  is a locally compact quantum group, so we are given a von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(G)$  together with a coassociative normal unital injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Delta: L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(G)$  such that there exist left and right invariant n.s.f. weights.

A unitary dual 2-cocycle on  $G$  is a unitary  $\Omega \in L^\infty(\hat{G}) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(\hat{G})$  such that

$$(\Omega \otimes 1)(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \iota)(\Omega) = (1 \otimes \Omega)(\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta})(\Omega).$$

Assume we have a continuous left action of  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , so we are given an injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\alpha: A \rightarrow M(C_0(G) \otimes A)$  such that  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\alpha = (\iota \otimes \alpha)\alpha$  and such that  $(C_0(G) \otimes 1)\alpha(A)$  is dense in  $C_0(G) \otimes A$ . We then want to define a deformation  $A_\Omega$  of  $A$ .

Our approach is motivated by the work of Kasprzak for abelian locally compact groups  $G$  and continuous cocycles.

Assume  $G$  is a locally compact quantum group, so we are given a von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(G)$  together with a coassociative normal unital injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Delta: L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(G)$  such that there exist left and right invariant n.s.f. weights.

A unitary dual 2-cocycle on  $G$  is a unitary  $\Omega \in L^\infty(\hat{G}) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(\hat{G})$  such that

$$(\Omega \otimes 1)(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \iota)(\Omega) = (1 \otimes \Omega)(\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta})(\Omega).$$

Assume we have a continuous left action of  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , so we are given an injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\alpha: A \rightarrow M(C_0(G) \otimes A)$  such that  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\alpha = (\iota \otimes \alpha)\alpha$  and such that  $(C_0(G) \otimes 1)\alpha(A)$  is dense in  $C_0(G) \otimes A$ . We then want to define a deformation  $A_\Omega$  of  $A$ .

Our approach is motivated by the work of Kasprzak for abelian locally compact groups  $G$  and continuous cocycles.

Assume  $G$  is a locally compact quantum group, so we are given a von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(G)$  together with a coassociative normal unital injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Delta: L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(G)$  such that there exist left and right invariant n.s.f. weights.

A unitary dual 2-cocycle on  $G$  is a unitary  $\Omega \in L^\infty(\hat{G}) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(\hat{G})$  such that

$$(\Omega \otimes 1)(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \iota)(\Omega) = (1 \otimes \Omega)(\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta})(\Omega).$$

Assume we have a continuous left action of  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , so we are given an injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\alpha: A \rightarrow M(C_0(G) \otimes A)$  such that  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\alpha = (\iota \otimes \alpha)\alpha$  and such that  $(C_0(G) \otimes 1)\alpha(A)$  is dense in  $C_0(G) \otimes A$ . We then want to define a deformation  $A_\Omega$  of  $A$ .

Our approach is motivated by the work of Kasprzak for abelian locally compact groups  $G$  and continuous cocycles.

# Deformation of $C_0(G)$

Consider the Fourier algebra  $A(G) \subset C_0(G)$ .

Identifying  $A(G)$  with  $L^\infty(\hat{G})_*$ , define a new product on  $A(G)$  by

$$a \star b = (a \otimes b)(\hat{\Delta}(\cdot)\Omega^*).$$

In general, there is no natural involution on  $(A(G), \star)$ .

Consider the multiplicative unitary  $\hat{W} \in B(L^2(G) \otimes L^2(G))$  of  $\hat{G}$ .  
(If  $G$  is a group, then  $(\hat{W}\xi)(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$ .)

Then the cocycle identity can be written as

$$(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \iota)(\hat{W}\Omega^*)_{12} = (\hat{W}\Omega^*)_{13}(\hat{W}\Omega^*)_{23}.$$

This shows that the formula  $\pi_\Omega(a) = (a \otimes \iota)(\hat{W}\Omega^*)$  defines a representation of  $(A(G), \star)$  on  $L^2(G)$ .

# Deformation of $C_0(G)$

Consider the Fourier algebra  $A(G) \subset C_0(G)$ .

Identifying  $A(G)$  with  $L^\infty(\hat{G})_*$ , define a new product on  $A(G)$  by

$$a \star b = (a \otimes b)(\hat{\Delta}(\cdot)\Omega^*).$$

In general, there is no natural involution on  $(A(G), \star)$ .

Consider the multiplicative unitary  $\hat{W} \in B(L^2(G) \otimes L^2(G))$  of  $\hat{G}$ .  
(If  $G$  is a group, then  $(\hat{W}\xi)(s, t) = \xi(ts, t)$ .)

Then the cocycle identity can be written as

$$(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \iota)(\hat{W}\Omega^*)\Omega_{12}^* = (\hat{W}\Omega^*)_{13}(\hat{W}\Omega^*)_{23}.$$

This shows that the formula  $\pi_\Omega(a) = (a \otimes \iota)(\hat{W}\Omega^*)$  defines a representation of  $(A(G), \star)$  on  $L^2(G)$ .

Denote by  $K$  the algebra  $K(L^2(G))$  of compact operators on  $L^2(G)$ .

### Theorem (Enock, De Commer)

*The norm closure of the algebra  $\pi_\Omega(A(G)) \subset B(L^2(G))$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$ . Furthermore,  $\hat{W}\Omega^* \in M(K \otimes C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega))$ .*

The result is not difficult to prove for regular quantum groups, which covers most known examples of cocycles.

We consider the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$  as the deformations  $C_0(G)_\Omega$  of  $C_0(G)$  with respect to the left action by translations of  $G$  on  $C_0(G)$ .

For compact groups, the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$  were introduced by Landstad and Wassermann around 1980.



Denote by  $K$  the algebra  $K(L^2(G))$  of compact operators on  $L^2(G)$ .

### Theorem (Enock, De Commer)

*The norm closure of the algebra  $\pi_\Omega(A(G)) \subset B(L^2(G))$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$ . Furthermore,  $\hat{W}\Omega^* \in M(K \otimes C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega))$ .*

The result is not difficult to prove for regular quantum groups, which covers most known examples of cocycles.

We consider the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$  as the deformations  $C_0(G)_\Omega$  of  $C_0(G)$  with respect to the left action by translations of  $G$  on  $C_0(G)$ .

For compact groups, the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$  were introduced by Landstad and Wassermann around 1980.

Denote by  $K$  the algebra  $K(L^2(G))$  of compact operators on  $L^2(G)$ .

### Theorem (Enock, De Commer)

*The norm closure of the algebra  $\pi_\Omega(A(G)) \subset B(L^2(G))$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$ . Furthermore,  $\hat{W}\Omega^* \in M(K \otimes C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega))$ .*

The result is not difficult to prove for regular quantum groups, which covers most known examples of cocycles.

We consider the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$  as the deformations  $C_0(G)_\Omega$  of  $C_0(G)$  with respect to the left action by translations of  $G$  on  $C_0(G)$ .

For compact groups, the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$  were introduced by Landstad and Wassermann around 1980.

Denote by  $K$  the algebra  $K(L^2(G))$  of compact operators on  $L^2(G)$ .

### Theorem (Enock, De Commer)

*The norm closure of the algebra  $\pi_\Omega(A(G)) \subset B(L^2(G))$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$ . Furthermore,  $\hat{W}\Omega^* \in M(K \otimes C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega))$ .*

The result is not difficult to prove for regular quantum groups, which covers most known examples of cocycles.

We consider the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$  as the deformations  $C_0(G)_\Omega$  of  $C_0(G)$  with respect to the left action by translations of  $G$  on  $C_0(G)$ .

For compact groups, the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$  were introduced by Landstad and Wassermann around 1980.

# Quantization maps

By a result of De Commer, the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\hat{G})$  with the new coproduct  $\hat{\Delta}_\Omega = \Omega \hat{\Delta}(\cdot) \Omega^*$  defines the dual of a locally compact quantum group  $G_\Omega$ . We have

$$(\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega)_{23} \hat{W}_{12} (\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega)_{23}^* = (\hat{W} \Omega^*)_{12} (\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega)_{13}.$$

(For group duals this is a known identity proving quasi-equivalence of the regular representation  $\lambda$  and of  $\lambda^\Omega \otimes \lambda^{\bar{\Omega}}$  for a cocycle  $\Omega$ .)

This allows us to define, for  $\nu \in K^* = B(L^2(G))_*$ , maps

$$T_\nu: C_0(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega), \quad T_\nu(x) = (\iota \otimes \nu)(\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega(x \otimes 1)(\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega)^*).$$

It can be shown that the images of  $T_\nu$  span a dense subspace of  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$ .

# Quantization maps

By a result of De Commer, the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\hat{G})$  with the new coproduct  $\hat{\Delta}_\Omega = \Omega \hat{\Delta}(\cdot) \Omega^*$  defines the dual of a locally compact quantum group  $G_\Omega$ . We have

$$(\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega)_{23} \hat{W}_{12} (\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega)_{23}^* = (\hat{W} \Omega^*)_{12} (\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega)_{13}.$$

(For group duals this is a known identity proving quasi-equivalence of the regular representation  $\lambda$  and of  $\lambda^\Omega \otimes \lambda^{\bar{\Omega}}$  for a cocycle  $\Omega$ .)

This allows us to define, for  $\nu \in K^* = B(L^2(G))_*$ , maps

$$T_\nu: C_0(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega), \quad T_\nu(x) = (\iota \otimes \nu)(\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega(x \otimes 1)(\hat{W}_\Omega \Omega)^*).$$

It can be shown that the images of  $T_\nu$  span a dense subspace of  $C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$ .

For an arbitrary continuous action  $\alpha: A \rightarrow M(C_0(G) \otimes A)$  we define

$$A_\Omega \subset M(C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega) \otimes A)$$

as the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by elements of the form

$$(T_\nu \otimes \iota)\alpha(a),$$

for all  $\nu \in K^*$  and  $a \in A$ .

(For  $A = C_0(G)$  and  $\alpha = \Delta$  the ends meet: we have an isomorphism  $C_0(G)_\Omega \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$  mapping  $(T_\nu \otimes \iota)\Delta(a)$  into  $T_\nu(a)$ .)

# Examples

1. Assume  $G$  is the dual of a discrete group  $\Gamma$ , so  $\Omega$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -valued 2-cocycle on  $\Gamma$ . Then  $C_r^*(\Gamma; \Omega)$  is generated by the operators

$$\lambda_s^\Omega = \lambda_s \overline{\Omega(s, \cdot)} \quad \text{on } \ell^2(\Gamma),$$

satisfying  $\lambda_{st}^\Omega = \Omega(s, t) \lambda_s^\Omega \lambda_t^\Omega$ . The maps  $T_\nu$  are

$$T_\nu(\lambda_s) = \nu(\lambda_s^{\bar{\Omega}}) \lambda_s^\Omega.$$

Given an action of  $G$ , that is, a coaction  $\alpha: A \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes A$  of  $\Gamma$ , we see that  $A_\Omega$  is generated by elements

$$\lambda_s^\Omega \otimes a_s, \quad \text{where } \alpha(a_s) = \lambda_s \otimes a_s.$$

This is exactly how Connes-Landi define  $\theta$ -deformations for  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , and, more recently, Yamashita defines deformations for arbitrary discrete  $\Gamma$ .

# Examples

1. Assume  $G$  is the dual of a discrete group  $\Gamma$ , so  $\Omega$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -valued 2-cocycle on  $\Gamma$ . Then  $C_r^*(\Gamma; \Omega)$  is generated by the operators

$$\lambda_s^\Omega = \lambda_s \overline{\Omega(s, \cdot)} \quad \text{on } \ell^2(\Gamma),$$

satisfying  $\lambda_{st}^\Omega = \Omega(s, t) \lambda_s^\Omega \lambda_t^\Omega$ . The maps  $T_\nu$  are

$$T_\nu(\lambda_s) = \nu(\lambda_s^{\bar{\Omega}}) \lambda_s^\Omega.$$

Given an action of  $G$ , that is, a coaction  $\alpha: A \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes A$  of  $\Gamma$ , we see that  $A_\Omega$  is generated by elements

$$\lambda_s^\Omega \otimes a_s, \quad \text{where } \alpha(a_s) = \lambda_s \otimes a_s.$$

This is exactly how Connes-Landi define  $\theta$ -deformations for  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , and, more recently, Yamashita defines deformations for arbitrary discrete  $\Gamma$ .



2. Assume we have a continuous left action  $\gamma$  of  $\hat{G}^{\text{op}}$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . We can define a (reduced) twisted crossed product by

$$\hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\gamma, \Omega} B = [(J\hat{J}C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)\hat{J}J \otimes 1)\alpha(B)],$$

where the brackets denote the norm closure.

Consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A = \hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\gamma} B$  equipped with the dual action  $\alpha = \hat{\gamma}$  of  $G$ . Then it can be shown that there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$A_{\Omega} \cong \hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\gamma, \Omega} B.$$

2. Assume we have a continuous left action  $\gamma$  of  $\hat{G}^{\text{op}}$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . We can define a (reduced) twisted crossed product by

$$\hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\gamma, \Omega} B = [(J\hat{J}C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)\hat{J}J \otimes 1)\alpha(B)],$$

where the brackets denote the norm closure.

Consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A = \hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\gamma} B$  equipped with the dual action  $\alpha = \hat{\gamma}$  of  $G$ . Then it can be shown that there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$A_{\Omega} \cong \hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\gamma, \Omega} B.$$

3. Assume  $G$  is a locally compact quantum group. The left and right action of  $G$  by translations on itself define a left action of  $G \times G^{\text{op}}$  on  $C_0(G)$ . Consider the dual cocycle

$$\Omega \otimes (\hat{J} \otimes \hat{J})\Omega(\hat{J} \otimes \hat{J})$$

on  $G \times G^{\text{op}}$ . Then it can be shown that the deformation of  $C_0(G)$  with respect to the action of  $G \times G^{\text{op}}$  and the above cocycle is canonically isomorphic to  $C_0(G_\Omega)$ .

4. Consider  $G = V \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Fix a Euclidean norm and an orthogonal complex structure  $J$  on  $V$ . Identify  $\hat{V}$  with  $V$  using the pairing  $e^{i\langle x, y \rangle}$ , fix a deformation parameter  $h > 0$  and consider the 2-cocycle

$$\Omega_h(x, y) = e^{-\frac{ih}{2}\langle x, Jy \rangle} \quad \text{on } \hat{V} = V.$$

Consider the normal state  $\nu_h$  on  $B(L^2(V))$  defined by the function

$$\xi_h(x) = \left(\frac{h}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} e^{-\frac{h}{4}\|x\|^2}.$$

We then have

$$\nu_h(\lambda_x^{\Omega_h}) = \nu_h(\lambda_x^{\bar{\Omega}_h}) = e^{-\frac{h}{4}\|x\|^2}.$$

It can be shown that the elements

$$(T_{\nu_h} \otimes \iota)\alpha(a),$$

for all  $a \in A$ , span a dense subspace  $A_{\Omega_h}$

4. Consider  $G = V \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Fix a Euclidean norm and an orthogonal complex structure  $J$  on  $V$ . Identify  $\hat{V}$  with  $V$  using the pairing  $e^{i\langle x, y \rangle}$ , fix a deformation parameter  $h > 0$  and consider the 2-cocycle

$$\Omega_h(x, y) = e^{-\frac{ih}{2}\langle x, Jy \rangle} \quad \text{on } \hat{V} = V.$$

Consider the normal state  $\nu_h$  on  $B(L^2(V))$  defined by the function

$$\xi_h(x) = \left(\frac{h}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} e^{-\frac{h}{4}\|x\|^2}.$$

We then have

$$\nu_h(\lambda_x^{\Omega_h}) = \nu_h(\lambda_x^{\bar{\Omega}_h}) = e^{-\frac{h}{4}\|x\|^2}.$$

It can be shown that the elements

$$(T_{\nu_h} \otimes \iota)\alpha(a),$$

for all  $a \in A$ , span a dense subspace  $A_{\Omega_h}$

4. Consider  $G = V \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Fix a Euclidean norm and an orthogonal complex structure  $J$  on  $V$ . Identify  $\hat{V}$  with  $V$  using the pairing  $e^{i\langle x, y \rangle}$ , fix a deformation parameter  $h > 0$  and consider the 2-cocycle

$$\Omega_h(x, y) = e^{-\frac{ih}{2}\langle x, Jy \rangle} \quad \text{on } \hat{V} = V.$$

Consider the normal state  $\nu_h$  on  $B(L^2(V))$  defined by the function

$$\xi_h(x) = \left(\frac{h}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} e^{-\frac{h}{4}\|x\|^2}.$$

We then have

$$\nu_h(\lambda_x^{\Omega_h}) = \nu_h(\lambda_x^{\bar{\Omega}_h}) = e^{-\frac{h}{4}\|x\|^2}.$$

It can be shown that the elements

$$(T_{\nu_h} \otimes \iota)\alpha(a),$$

for all  $a \in A$ , span a dense subspace  $A_{\Omega_h}$ .

On the other hand, Rieffel defines a deformation  $A_h$  of  $A$  by

$$a \star_h b = \frac{1}{(\pi h)^{2n}} \int_{V \times V} \alpha_x(a) \alpha_y(b) e^{-\frac{2i}{h} \langle x, Jy \rangle} dx dy$$

for smooth  $a, b \in A_\infty \subset A$ , where the integral is understood as an oscillatory integral. The norm is defined by first defining a norm on  $C_0^\infty(V)_h$  and then identifying  $(A_\infty, \star_h)$  with the subalgebra

$$\alpha(A_\infty) \subset M(C_0(V)_h \otimes A).$$

We have a canonical isomorphism  $C_0(V)_h \cong C^*(V; \Omega_h)$ , so  $A_h$  and  $A_{\Omega_h}$  can be considered as subalgebras of  $M(C^*(V; \Omega_h) \otimes A)$ . Then

$$A_h = A_{\Omega_h}.$$

Furthermore, our favorite quantization map  $(T_{\nu_h} \otimes \iota)\alpha: A \rightarrow A_{\Omega_h}$  coincides with the map  $\Phi_h: A \rightarrow A_h$ ,

$$\Phi_h(a) = \frac{1}{(\pi h)^n} \int_V e^{-\frac{1}{h} \|x\|^2} \alpha_x(a) dx,$$

studied by Waldmann and his collaborators.

On the other hand, Rieffel defines a deformation  $A_h$  of  $A$  by

$$a \star_h b = \frac{1}{(\pi h)^{2n}} \int_{V \times V} \alpha_x(a) \alpha_y(b) e^{-\frac{2i}{h} \langle x, Jy \rangle} dx dy$$

for smooth  $a, b \in A_\infty \subset A$ , where the integral is understood as an oscillatory integral. The norm is defined by first defining a norm on  $C_0^\infty(V)_h$  and then identifying  $(A_\infty, \star_h)$  with the subalgebra

$$\alpha(A_\infty) \subset M(C_0(V)_h \otimes A).$$

We have a canonical isomorphism  $C_0(V)_h \cong C^*(V; \Omega_h)$ , so  $A_h$  and  $A_{\Omega_h}$  can be considered as subalgebras of  $M(C^*(V; \Omega_h) \otimes A)$ . Then

$$A_h = A_{\Omega_h}.$$

Furthermore, our favorite quantization map  $(T_{\nu_h} \otimes \iota)\alpha: A \rightarrow A_{\Omega_h}$  coincides with the map  $\Phi_h: A \rightarrow A_h$ ,

$$\Phi_h(a) = \frac{1}{(\pi h)^n} \int_V e^{-\frac{1}{h} \|x\|^2} \alpha_x(a) dx,$$

studied by Waldmann and his collaborators.



5. Consider the group  $G$  diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ , with the group law

$$(a, v, t)(a', v', t') = (a + a', e^{-a'} v + v', e^{-2a'} t + t' + \frac{1}{2} e^{-a'} \omega_0(v, v')),$$

where  $\omega_0(v, v') = \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i v'_{i+d} - v_{i+d} v'_i)$  is the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Bieliavsky and Gayral have shown that for every  $\theta > 0$  there exists a unitary cocycle  $\Omega_\theta$  on the dual of  $G$  defined by

$$\Omega_\theta^* = \int_{G \times G} K_\theta(x, y) \lambda_x \otimes \lambda_y dx dy,$$

where

$$K_\theta(x, y) = \frac{4}{(\pi\theta)^{2n+2}} A(x, y) \exp \left\{ \frac{2i}{\theta} S(x, y) \right\},$$

$$A(x, x') = (\cosh(a) \cosh(a') \cosh(a - a'))^n \\ \times (\cosh(2a) \cosh(2a') \cosh(2a - 2a'))^{1/2},$$

$$S(x, x') = \sinh(2a)t' - \sinh(2a')t + \cosh(a) \cosh(a') \omega_0(v, v').$$

Return to the general case. Assume the deformed quantum group  $G_\Omega$  is regular. Then the formula

$$\alpha_\Omega(x) = (W_\Omega)_{12}^*(1 \otimes x)(W_\Omega)_{12}$$

defines a continuous left action of  $G_\Omega$  on  $A_\Omega \subset M(C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega) \otimes A)$ .

The action  $\alpha_\Omega$  might be well-defined without regularity assumptions. For example, we always have an action of  $G_\Omega$  on  $C_0(G)_\Omega \cong C_r^*(\hat{G}; \Omega)$ .

## Theorem

Assume that  $G_\Omega$  is regular, the map  $x \mapsto \Omega_{21}\hat{\alpha}(x)\Omega_{21}^*$  defines a continuous action of  $(\hat{G}_\Omega)^{\text{op}}$  on  $G \rtimes_\alpha A$  and  $W_\Omega \otimes 1 \in M(C_0(G_\Omega) \otimes (G \rtimes_\alpha A))$ . Then

$$A_\Omega \subset M(G \rtimes_\alpha A),$$

and this inclusion, together with  $C_0(\hat{G}_\Omega) \subset M(G \rtimes_\alpha A)$ , defines an isomorphism

$$G \rtimes_\alpha A \cong G_\Omega \rtimes_{\alpha_\Omega} A_\Omega.$$

For Hopf algebras this isomorphism was observed by Majid and others in the 90s.

For abelian locally compact groups  $G$  and continuous cocycles on  $\hat{G}$  it was first used by Kasprzak to define deformations of  $C^*$ -algebras.

## Theorem

Assume that  $G_\Omega$  is regular, the map  $x \mapsto \Omega_{21}\hat{\alpha}(x)\Omega_{21}^*$  defines a continuous action of  $(\hat{G}_\Omega)^{\text{op}}$  on  $G \rtimes_\alpha A$  and  $W_\Omega \otimes 1 \in M(C_0(G_\Omega) \otimes (G \rtimes_\alpha A))$ . Then

$$A_\Omega \subset M(G \rtimes_\alpha A),$$

and this inclusion, together with  $C_0(\hat{G}_\Omega) \subset M(G \rtimes_\alpha A)$ , defines an isomorphism

$$G \rtimes_\alpha A \cong G_\Omega \rtimes_{\alpha_\Omega} A_\Omega.$$

For Hopf algebras this isomorphism was observed by Majid and others in the 90s.

For abelian locally compact groups  $G$  and continuous cocycles on  $\hat{G}$  it was first used by Kasprzak to define deformations of  $C^*$ -algebras.

# Induced cocycles

Assume  $G_1$  is a closed quantum subgroup of  $G$ , so we have a normal unital injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\hat{\pi}: L^\infty(\hat{G}_1) \rightarrow L^\infty(\hat{G})$  respecting comultiplications, and  $\Omega_1 \in L^\infty(\hat{G}_1) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(\hat{G}_1)$  is a unitary dual 2-cocycle on  $G_1$ . We can induce it to a dual cocycle  $\Omega$  on  $G$ , namely, define  $\Omega = (\hat{\pi} \otimes \hat{\pi})(\Omega_1)$ .

Given a continuous action  $\alpha$  of  $G$  on  $A$ , by restriction we get a continuous action  $\alpha_1$  of  $G_1$  on  $A$ . We can then consider the deformations  $A_\Omega$  with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $A_{\Omega_1}$  with respect to  $\alpha_1$ .

## Theorem

*We have a canonical isomorphism  $A_\Omega \cong A_{\Omega_1}$ .*

Assume  $G_1$  is a closed quantum subgroup of  $G$ , so we have a normal unital injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\hat{\pi}: L^\infty(\hat{G}_1) \rightarrow L^\infty(\hat{G})$  respecting comultiplications, and  $\Omega_1 \in L^\infty(\hat{G}_1) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(\hat{G}_1)$  is a unitary dual 2-cocycle on  $G_1$ . We can induce it to a dual cocycle  $\Omega$  on  $G$ , namely, define  $\Omega = (\hat{\pi} \otimes \hat{\pi})(\Omega_1)$ .

Given a continuous action  $\alpha$  of  $G$  on  $A$ , by restriction we get a continuous action  $\alpha_1$  of  $G_1$  on  $A$ . We can then consider the deformations  $A_\Omega$  with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $A_{\Omega_1}$  with respect to  $\alpha_1$ .

## Theorem

*We have a canonical isomorphism  $A_\Omega \cong A_{\Omega_1}$ .*

# Regular cocycles

The right action by translations of  $G$  on  $C_0(G)$  defines a continuous right action  $\beta: C_0(G)_\Omega \rightarrow M(C_0(G)_\Omega \otimes C_0(G))$ .

## Definition

We say that  $\Omega$  is regular if  $C_0(G)_\Omega \rtimes_\beta G$  is isomorphic to the algebra of compact operators on a Hilbert space.

Easy cases of regularity:

- a)  $\hat{G}$  is a genuine group;
- b)  $G$  is compact.

If  $G$  is a group, regularity is closely related to the question whether the action of  $G$  on  $C_0(G)_\Omega$  is proper in appropriate sense.

# Regular cocycles

The right action by translations of  $G$  on  $C_0(G)$  defines a continuous right action  $\beta: C_0(G)_\Omega \rightarrow M(C_0(G)_\Omega \otimes C_0(G))$ .

## Definition

We say that  $\Omega$  is regular if  $C_0(G)_\Omega \rtimes_\beta G$  is isomorphic to the algebra of compact operators on a Hilbert space.

Easy cases of regularity:

- a)  $\hat{G}$  is a genuine group;
- b)  $G$  is compact.

If  $G$  is a group, regularity is closely related to the question whether the action of  $G$  on  $C_0(G)_\Omega$  is proper in appropriate sense.



## Theorem

Assume  $\Omega$  is a regular cocycle. Then

$$A_\Omega = [(T_\nu \otimes \iota)\alpha(A) \mid \nu \in K^*] \text{ and } K \otimes A_\Omega \cong \hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}, \Omega} G \rtimes_\alpha A,$$

and the last isomorphism maps  $K \otimes 1$  onto  $\hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\hat{\Delta}^{\text{op}}, \Omega} C_0(\hat{G})$ .

If  $G$  is dual to a locally compact group  $\Gamma$ , then by the Packer-Raeburn stabilization trick we get

$$K \otimes K \otimes A_\Omega \cong \Gamma \rtimes_{\text{Ad } \rho^\Omega \otimes \hat{\alpha}} (K \otimes (\hat{\Gamma} \rtimes_\alpha A)).$$

This implies, for example, that if  $\Gamma$  satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture and  $\Omega$  is homotopic to the trivial cocycle, then  $A_\Omega$  is KK-equivalent to  $A$ .

## Theorem

Assume  $\Omega$  is a regular cocycle. Then

$$A_\Omega = [(T_\nu \otimes \iota)\alpha(A) \mid \nu \in K^*] \text{ and } K \otimes A_\Omega \cong \hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}, \Omega} G \rtimes_\alpha A,$$

and the last isomorphism maps  $K \otimes 1$  onto  $\hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\hat{\Delta}^{\text{op}}, \Omega} C_0(\hat{G})$ .

If  $G$  is dual to a locally compact group  $\Gamma$ , then by the Packer-Raeburn stabilization trick we get

$$K \otimes K \otimes A_\Omega \cong \Gamma \rtimes_{\text{Ad } \rho^\Omega \otimes \hat{\alpha}} (K \otimes (\hat{\Gamma} \rtimes_\alpha A)).$$

This implies, for example, that if  $\Gamma$  satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture and  $\Omega$  is homotopic to the trivial cocycle, then  $A_\Omega$  is KK-equivalent to  $A$ .

## Theorem

Assume  $\Omega$  is a regular cocycle. Then

$$A_\Omega = [(T_\nu \otimes \iota)\alpha(A) \mid \nu \in K^*] \text{ and } K \otimes A_\Omega \cong \hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}, \Omega} G \rtimes_\alpha A,$$

and the last isomorphism maps  $K \otimes 1$  onto  $\hat{G}^{\text{op}} \rtimes_{\hat{\Delta}^{\text{op}}, \Omega} C_0(\hat{G})$ .

If  $G$  is dual to a locally compact group  $\Gamma$ , then by the Packer-Raeburn stabilization trick we get

$$K \otimes K \otimes A_\Omega \cong \Gamma \rtimes_{\text{Ad } \rho^\Omega \otimes \hat{\alpha}} (K \otimes (\hat{\Gamma} \rtimes_\alpha A)).$$

This implies, for example, that if  $\Gamma$  satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture and  $\Omega$  is homotopic to the trivial cocycle, then  $A_\Omega$  is KK-equivalent to  $A$ .