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### List of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4CF</td>
<td>Four corner fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td>American Society of Anesthesiologists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM</td>
<td>American Society for Testing and Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.p</td>
<td>Commercially pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaP</td>
<td>Calcium phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMC</td>
<td>Carpometacarpal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Cr-Mo</td>
<td>Cobalt-chrome-molybdenum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPP</td>
<td>Calcium phosphate phases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASH</td>
<td>Disability of arm, shoulder and hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>Distal interphalangeal joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRUJ</td>
<td>Distal radioulnar joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD</td>
<td>Ulnar deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECRB</td>
<td>Extensor carpi radialis brevis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECU</td>
<td>Extensor carpi ulnaris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERCL</td>
<td>Extensor carpi radialis longus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>Flexor digitorum profundus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDS</td>
<td>Flexor digitorum superficialis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPL</td>
<td>Flexor pollicis longus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>Hydroxyapatite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>International Organization for Standardization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP</td>
<td>Metacarpophalangeal joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOM</td>
<td>Metal-on-metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOP</td>
<td>Metal-on-polyethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZW</td>
<td>New Zealand White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP</td>
<td>Proximal interphalangeal joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>Proximal row carpectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRUJ</td>
<td>Proximal radioulnar joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANK</td>
<td>Receptor activator of nuclear factor κβ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td>Radial deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTQ</td>
<td>Removal torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLAC</td>
<td>Scapho-lunate advanced collapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAC</td>
<td>Scaphoid non-union advanced collapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFCC</td>
<td>Triangular fibrocartilage complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THR</td>
<td>Total hip replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti6Al4V</td>
<td>Titanium-6Alumina-4Vanadium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKR</td>
<td>Total knee replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWA</td>
<td>Total wrist arthroplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>Ultra high molecular weight polyethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Wrist arthroplasty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Background

Anatomy
Starting proximally the two long bones (radius and ulna) with the proximal radio-ulnar joint (PRUJ), the interosseus membrane and the distal radio-ulnar joint (DRUJ) allow the forearm to rotate (pronation and supination) with a normal range of motion from 150° to 180° (a minor additional rotation occurs in the radiocarpal joint). Of these, pronation is the most important, allowing us to grab and pick up items as well as positioning the hand for the most necessary daily activities. The radius and ulna with the distal radioulnar joint (DRUJ) comprise the upper margin of the wrist. The distal margin of the ulnar head has (except for the foveal region at the base of the styloid process) a flattened surface covered with cartilage. The fovea ulnar styloid contains the vascular supply to the articular disc of the triangular fibro cartilage complex (TFCC) as well as the primary attachment site for the radioulnar and ulnocarpal ligaments. It is thereby the primary stabilizing area for the forearm rotation, figure 1.

Figure 1. Cross section of the wrist (Illustration Wikipedia)

The TFCC (comprising the radioulnar ligaments, the articular discus, the ECU with its tendon sheath, the ulnar capsule, the ulnotriquetral ligament and the ulnolunate ligament) has three main functions. It stabilizes the DRUJ, it connects and stabilizes the carpus to the ulna, and it articulates and transfers the force on the ulnar side of the wrist joint (Ishii, Palmer et al. 1998). The distal DRUJ is for a minor part stabilized by the congruency of the bone contours of the joint, the articulating diameter of the surface of the radius (the sigmoid notch) being bigger than the corresponding articulating surface of the caput ulna, allowing both rotation and translation dorso-volarly and longitudinally. The important dorsal and volar deep and superficial radioulnar ligaments as well as the dorsal extensor carpi ulnaris (ECU) sheath prevent dislocation of the joint. Centrally, lining the distal articular surface of the ulna (facing the carpus) the articular discus spans from the fovea to the ulnar side of the rim of the sigmoid notch on the distal radius. As a meniscus homolog it absorbs the force from the lunate and
triquetrum transmitted through the ulnar side of the joint. The discus is avascular centrally, receiving its blood supply from the peripheral capsular attachments. The styloid process of the ulna is the attachment site of the ulnotriquetral and the ulnolunate ligaments, which connect and stabilize the two carpal bones ulnarily (“ulnar collateral ligaments” in figure 1).

The distal joint surface of the radius has a biplane curvature. It has both a radial inclination in the sagittal plane of 20-25° and a volar tilt in the coronal plane of 10-15°. The articular surface comprises two concave facets separated by a bone ridge, the lunate- and the scaphoid facet, articulating against the corresponding carpal bones. The former is centrally placed in the wrist joint. It has a circular shape though the sagittal diameter is larger than that in the coronal plane. The facet is broader centrally and becomes progressively narrow moving toward the radial styloid, giving a wedge-shaped articulating surface. The extrinsic ligaments (see below) attach around the distal radius, and there are grooves/indentations for the extensor and abductor tendons on the dorsal and radial sides of the bone.

Centrally the seven carpal bones (scaphoid, lunate, triquetrum, trapezium, trapezoid, capitatum and hamatum), comprise the movable parts of the wrist. The pisiform bone (functioning as a sesamoid bone and a fulcrum for the FCU) is not a part of the articulation. The names of the carpal bones reflect their shape. Common features include their wedge shape being narrowest volarly thus creating the carpal bony arch when intercalated, large areas covered with cartilage and many articulating surfaces. They are all short bones (as compared to long bones like the radius, ulna and metacarpals) of nearly equal height, length and depth, and consist almost entirely of cancellous bone with a thin cover layer of cortical bone, figure 2.

Figure 2. The wrist from dorsal comprising the distal radius and ulna, the proximal row (scaphoid, lunate and triquetrum), the distal row (trapezium, trapezoid, capitate and hamate) and the five metacarpals. (Illustration Wikipedia)

The distal limitations of the wrist are the CMC joints and the proximal metacarpal bones. The metacarpals are long bones, similar to the radius and ulna, with broadened articular regions composed of cancellous bone with a thin layer of cortical bone, and a tubular mid-section composed of thicker cortical bone.

A simplified model of the carpus resembles a double-barrelled hinge. The proximal row comprises the scaphoid, lunate and triquetrum creating a proximal joint line with a shallow curvature in the sagittal plane towards the distal radius. The mid-carpal joint has a somewhat more complex configuration. Laterally the flat or slightly convex scaphoid articulates with the trapezium and the trapezoid while the scapho-luno-capitate-hamate joint is ovoid or helicoid (Moritomo, Apergis et al. 2007). The joint lines seen on the AP radiographs resemble three smooth carpal arches, and the
disruption of the lines indicates a carpal injury (Gilula 1979).
The third CMC joint is a fixed point with almost no motion, mobility increases laterally on both sides especially on the ulnar side (Schuind, Linscheid et al. 1992; (Nanno, Buford et al. 2006). The first CMC joint is very different from the other CMC joints, its saddle configuration gives a large degree of motion to the thumb.
The inherent bone stability of the wrist is minimal. The compressive forces applied by the tendons acting over the joints tend to shorten the carpal height/wrist, forcing the scaphoid into flexion and the lunate into extension, so called carpal collapse. Since none of the wrist tendons attach to the proximal carpal row, the motion of these bones is entirely dependent on the mechanical forces transmitted from the neighbouring bones. The proximal row is therefore an intercalated segment of bones (Kuo and Wolfe 2008). The collapsing tendency of the carpus is counteracted by the bridging position of the scaphoid bone and the stabilizing ligaments, figure 3 and 4.

Figure 3. Ligaments of the wrist seen from volarly. (Illustration Wikipedia.)

The carpal ligaments are divided into two major groups: the extrinsic ligaments connecting the forearm bones to the carpus and the intrinsic ligaments with origin and insertion inside the carpus. There are differences in the structure of these ligaments. The extrinsic ligaments contain more elastic fibres, allowing increased excursion with a tendency of rupturing in the mid-substance when injured. The tauter intrinsic ligaments with less excursion tend to avulse from the bone attachment (Garcia-Elias 2011). On the volar side the strong main ligaments attach the distal radius to the scaphoid, capitate, and lunate, and the ulna to the lunate, triquetrum and capitate. The most important of the intercarpal ligaments are the volar scapho-lunate and luno-triquetral ligaments. There is no ligamentous connection between the lunate and the capitate, making this articulation unrestricted and very movable (Kijima and Viegas 2009).

On the dorsal side the radiocarpal (extrinsic) and the intercarpal (intrinsic) ligaments (including the scapho-lunate and luno-triquetral ligaments) are the most important (Viegas, Yamaguchi et al. 1999), figure 4.
Figure 4. The main dorsal ligament with a V-shaped pattern, extrinsic from radius in an ulna ward direction, and intrinsic from the hamate to the trapezoid. (Illustration Wikipedia.)

Bone

Human bone is a complex structure with a number of primary and secondary functions. The primary function is to provide a mechanical structure enabling force transmission and motion from one part of the body to another. The secondary functions include the calcium-phosphate homeostasis and the formation and development of blood cells. Bone has unique characteristics compared to inorganic materials like metal. The tensile strength is close to cast iron, but bone is three times lighter and ten times more flexible (Buckwalter, Glimcher et al. 1996). Macroscopic inspection of bone reveals two main forms of bone, cortical/compact and cancellous/trabecular/spongious, although the composition and structure is the same. The main difference is the density/porosity, where the cortical bone has a porosity of approximately 10%, while cancellous bone has a porosity of 50-90%. The compressive strength is proportionate to the square of the density; giving cortical bone up to 10 times the compressive strength of cancellous bone (Buckwalter, Glimcher et al. 1996). Cortical bone comprises approximately 80% of the mature skeleton. It is thick in tubular parts of the diaphysis and becomes thinner in the metaphyseal regions where the diameter of the bone increases. The thin cortices are here supported by cancellous bone. The former gives maximal torsion, bending and compression resistance, while the latter absorbs load and supports and protects the articular surface (Hoshino and Wallace 1987).

The blood supply to the bone is mainly from nutrient arteries penetrating the diaphysis and branching proximally and distally in an inside out manner. A rich vascular supply is also present in the periosteum, anastomosing with nearby skeletal muscle vessels and penetrating the outer layer of the bone, anastomosing with the intramedullary circulation. In case of injury to one of the vessel systems, the other can replace the destroyed vascularisation. The third vascular system is that of the epiphyseal blood supply. It is important during skeletal growth, after closure of the physeal plate, vessels penetrate the plate and provide an alternative circulation. The pores of cortical bone range from 1 to 100 $\mu$m while trabecular bone has pores ranging from 200-400 $\mu$m. These pores are
important and they influence the diffusion of nutrients, cell attachment and the tissue development crucial for bone growth and repair (LeGeros 2002).

**Bone cells:** Three major types of bone cells are differentiated, two derived from the mesenchymal stem cells (osteoblasts and osteocytes) and one from the hematopoietic stem cells (osteoclasts). The osteoblasts develop from undifferentiated mesenchymal cells residing in bone canals, endosteum, periosteum and bone marrow, as well as from vascular pericytes. These stem cells differentiate into osteoblasts after stimulation (particularly by bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) but also by other growth factors like fibroblast growth factor (FGP), platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β)), before they migrate and proliferate. They line the surface of the bone canals, and when active have a rounded or oval shape, figure 5.

Figure 5. Osteoblasts lining the bone (arrow), osteocytes inside the bone. H-E staining. (Illustration Wikipedia.)

They produce osteoid, the organic component of bone, particularly rich in collagen type I, and they modify the extracellular fluid thereby stimulating the mineralization of the matrix. When an osteoblast is surrounded by matrix it becomes an osteocyte (comprising > 90% of the bone cells in the mature human skeleton). The cellular activity diminishes. Signals are transmitted between the cells via long cytoplasmatic extensions (canaliculis). Although completely embedded in bone, functioning as a shepherd for the surrounding bone, the cell is never more than 300 μm from a blood vessel (Buckwalter, Glimcher et al. 1996).

The osteoclast (Greek: bone breaker) belongs to the monocyte cell family. When stimulated the mononuclear osteoclast precursor proliferates and fuse, figure 6.
They form large multinucleated cells, and their function is the resorption of bone. In normal bone, osteoclasts are very seldom observed. The cells produce an acid environment, dissolving the inorganic matrix on its ruffled border against the bone and breaking down the organic matrix. Bone dissolution results in classical resorption pits called Howships lacunae, figure 7.

Activation of osteoclasts is promoted via stimulation of the RANK system (receptor activator of nuclear factor κβ) present in the osteoclasts, and the RANK ligand/activator is produced by osteoblasts and stromal cells.

**Extracellular matrix:** The bone matrix is composed of an organic and an inorganic component. The organic component consists of collagen (90% of the organic matrix) type I with traces of type V and XII, whilst glycoproteins and bone specific proteoglycans make up the remaining 10%. The inorganic matrix/the mineral part of the bone is composed of calcium (99% of the total body calcium), phosphorus (85% of the total) as well as sodium and magnesium (40-60% of the total). The mineral part is mainly formed from carbonated hydroxyapatite, HA (Ca$_{10}$(PO$_4$)$_6$(OH)$_2$) with low crystallinity. The mineral part gives the bone stiffness and compression strength while the organic part provides the elasticity and tension resistance (Buckwalter, Glimcher et al. 1996).
**Articular cartilage**

Articular cartilage is a highly specialized connective tissue providing frictionless motion, load absorption and force transmission (Poole 1997). Synovial joints are lined by 2-4 mm hyaline cartilage. Cartilage is avascular, alymphatic and without neural supply. The highly specialized chondrocyte (of mesenchymal origin) is the resident cell responsible for its form and function. It constitutes about 2% of the total volume, and produces and maintains the extracellular matrix (Alford and Cole 2005). The latter consists of 65-80% water. The macromolecules in the extracellular matrix include collagen (90-95% are type II) comprising more than half the dry weight of cartilage, and proteoglycans (glycosylated protein monomers) like aggrecan, decorin, biglycan and fibromodulin. Smaller amounts of other macromolecules including glycoproteins, lipids and non-collagenous proteins are also found (Fox, Bedi et al. 2009).

Normal cartilage has four distinct zones, characterized by different organization of collagen fibrils and proteoglycans as well as the shape of the chondrocyte. The superficial layer (10-20% of the cartilage thickness) contains flattened chondrocytes and parallel layers of collagen, giving a high resistance against tensile and shear forces from the articulation. In the middle/transitional zone (40-60%) chondrocytes with a more rounded appearance are found, and the collagen is organized in oblique layers. This is the first layer whose primary function is to resist compressive forces. The deep layer (30%) has rounded chondrocytes and vertical/perpendicular orientation of the collagen. Its main function is also the absorption of compressive forces. Closest to the subchondral bone the cartilage is calcified with rounded chondrocytes anchoring the cartilage to the bone via long collagen fibrils, figure 8.

Figure 8. Hyaline cartilage with chondrocytes in situ. H-E stain. (Illustration Wikipedia.)

The nutrition of the cartilage is achieved by diffusion from the synovial cavity and the pressure gradients through the cartilage under pressure and motion. Chondrocyte metabolism is purely anaerobic and slow. The turnover of macromolecules can take several decades (Eyre, Weis et al. 2006). The ability to provide load transmission and low friction motion is due to the fluid motion through the extracellular matrix. The macromolecules generate a large frictional force on the fluid when load is applied (similar to the suspension in a mechanical device), squeezing it slowly out of the extracellular matrix. When the load is removed the interstitial fluid passively flows back into the tissue, prepared for the next load.
The cartilage changes with age and after injury. The composition of macromolecules and decreased hydration rate reduces the compressive resistance and the ability to undergo reversible deformation (Fox, Bedi et al. 2009). Increased load on the subchondral bone initiates the bone changes characteristic for osteoarthritis, including subchondral sclerosis, narrowing of the joint space and osteophytes at the joint margins.

**Motion**

The radiocarpal and midcarpal motion comprises flexion (volar flexion), extension (dorsal flexion), radial- and ulnar deviation, and slight rotation (Palmer, Werner et al. 1985). The dorso-volar movement primarily occurs in the radiocarpal joint during which the scaphoid flexes/extends and rotates, while the lunate mainly flexes/extends. The remaining flexion and extension occurs in the midcarpal joint. Radio-ulnar deviation is mainly accomplished by a minor radiocarpal translation and angulation (with flexion of the scaphoid/extension of the capitate in RD and the opposite in UD) and a larger midcarpal angulation mainly by the capitate, making the midcarpal joint the major contributor to this particular motion (Craigian and Stanley 1995; Kaufmann, Pfaeffle et al. 2005). The mechanical center of rotation of the wrist was described by Youm and associates (Youm, McMurthy et al. 1978), as being located in the proximal part of the capitate in the anterior and lateral planes. More recently, much attention has been focused on the so-called dart throwers motion (DTM), the movement of the hand from dorsoradially to ulnavolarly, a mobility exclusive for humans (Rohde, Crisco et al. 2010). So-called dart-throwing is the maximum unrestricted motion the wrist can perform, and it is possible due to the lack of constraining ligaments between the lunate and the capitate. The DTM utilizes the midcarpal joint to the largest extent, which has its greatest freedom of motion in this oblique plane, and not in the coronal plane (Moritomo, Apergis et al. 2007). Crucial to a wide range of wrist motions, it is important to preserve some radiodorsal and ulnovolar movement (Kijima and Viegas 2009). A recent report found the mechanical axis of the wrist to be oriented in the same plane as the DTM, obliquely to the direction of the flexion-extension (Crisco, Heard et al. 2011).

**Biomechanics**

The axis of forearm rotation passes near the centre of the radial head proximally and that of the ulnar head distally (though varying with load). Due to the difference in diameter, the maximum articular contact area over the DRUJ reaches 60% in the neutral position compared to less than 10% at the rims of the notch. The stability of the joint is enhanced by a bone rim on the dorsal side, and a cartilaginous lip on the palmar side, as well as the primary stabilizing ligaments, the TFCC, the ECU tendon sheath and secondary stabilizing structures mentioned above. The carpal load has been stipulated to 10 times the applied force at the tip of the fingers, and can reach more than 500 kgs in an adult man (Rikli, Honigmann et al. 2007). The midcarpal load is mainly transmitted through the scapho-lunate-capitate joint, less via the ulnar side of the wrist (Viegas, Patterson et al. 1993). The load is transmitted from the carpus to the forearm in the neutral position mainly through the radiocarpal joint (80%), less through the ulnocarpal joint (20%). This changes with the position of the wrist. The load is increasingly transmitted through the ulnar side when the hand turns ulnawards and rotates into pronation (up to 50%) (Teurlings, Miller et al. 2000). The opposite occurs when the wrist moves towards radial deviation and supination.
Wrist degeneration

Inflammatory arthritis
Rheumatoid- and other inflammatory arthritis has previously been the major cause of generalized wrist joint destruction. The majority of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) experience arthritis of the wrist joint, the third most frequent joint afflicted after the MCP and PIP joints. 50% of patients develop wrist symptoms within the first two years after the onset of the disease, and more than 90% within 10 years. 95% of the patients have bilateral wrist affection (Trieb 2008). Improved medical treatment has diminished the need for surgery during the past 10-15 years after peaking in the nineties. However, the incidence of the disease is the same and the majority of patients are in need of treatment (Louie and Ward 2010). The new biological therapeutic options (TNFα inhibitors) are very efficient, but due to their side effects the first choice for all patients is still the more traditional drugs (disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARD’s), NSAIDS and cortisone) (Scott, Wolfe et al. 2010). More effective medication can to a larger extent preserve the patients’ joints but the need for surgery will probably arise later in the patient’s life, thus delaying, but not obviating the requirement for wrist surgery in the future. RA and inflammatory wrist degeneration are characterized by panarthritis, influencing all surfaces and seldom leaving any part of the joint uninjured. Synovectomies are performed for painful inflammation, and to prevent extensor tendon rupture, but do not reduce the cartilage damage or concomitant degenerative changes. Limited fusions have been used, particularly radio-lunate or radio-scapho-lunate fusion, giving a stable and less painful wrist, at the expense of mobility. Resections are seldom an alternative for RA patients because the increased instability encountered can be especially problematic for these patients.

Osteoarthritis
The non-inflammatory causes of wrist degeneration include post-traumatic conditions (sequelae after distal radius fracture, scaphoid fracture, and scapho-lunate and intercarpal ligament injuries), primary osteoarthritis and miscellaneous other disorders (septic arthritis, Kienböcks disease, Preisers disease and iatrogenic joint injury).
Distal radius fracture and scaphoid fracture are very common injuries. Together they have an annual incidence of about 42/10000 (Hove, Fjeldsgaard et al. 1995; Hove 1999), giving more than 20000 fractures in Norway (of which 1500-2000 are scaphoid fractures) per year. Radiocarpal wrist degeneration after distal radius fracture is relatively rare. It is seen after complex intraarticular fractures where traumatic damage to the cartilage or residual untreated joint incongruence leads to degenerative arthritis (Catalano, Cole et al. 1997). The majority of fractures are ekstraarticular and advances in operative fracture treatment have reduced the incidence of secondary degeneration of the cartilage, figure 9.
SNAC and SLAC osteoarthritis

SNAC and SLAC changes describe the characteristic degeneration observed with time in untreated scaphoid non-union or scapholunate ligament injury. Whether it is due to a non-union or ligament rupture the scaphoid is unable to resist the collapsing tendency of the proximal row of carpal bones and this is the common aetiology behind the degenerative changes observed. The forces acting over the wrist press the scaphoid into flexion and the remaining proximal row into extension, with a concomitant shortening and collapse of the carpus. Degenerative changes are first seen between the radial styloid tip and the distal scaphoid. The arthrosis progresses between the radius and scaphoid distal to the fracture/non-union (in scaphoid non-union patients) or the whole radio-scaphoid joint (in scapho-lunate injuries). Further progression occurs in the midcarpal joint, between the scaphoid and lunate proximally and the capitate distally. The characteristic pattern that occurs is divided into three stages: SNAC/SLAC 1 (osteophytes at the radial styloid), SNAC/SLAC 2 (radio-scaphoid degeneration) and SNAC/SLAC 3 (midcarpal/scapho-luno-capitate degeneration) (Cooney, Linscheid et al. 1984; Watson and Ballet 1984). Up to 75-100% of patients with longstanding scaphoid non-union (> 5-10 years) demonstrate degenerative changes (Inoue and Sakuma 1996). In patients successfully treated for scaphoid fracture or non-union (without degenerative changes at the time of treatment), the degenerative process seems to halt. In patients with degenerative changes at surgery (i.e. non- unions) the degenerative process slows, but progression can be expected (Reigstad, Thorkildsen et al. 2009; Reigstad, Grimsgaard et al. 2012). For S-L ligament injuries there are no epidemiological or incidence surveys, hence an estimation of the need for surgery due to degeneration is difficult, figure 10.
Lunate malacia

Lunate malacia (Kienböck’s disease) is a multifactorial disease promoting softness and collapse of the lunate bone as a result of avascularity (Schuind, Eslami et al. 2008). Numerous etiological or morphological factors have been postulated including the shape of the lunate bone, the length of the ulna (Gelberman, Bauman et al. 1980), the shape of the radius (Tsuge and Nakamura 1993) and vascular vulnerability due to high intraosseous pressure (Schiltenwolf, Martini et al. 1996). The disease is staged according to Lichtman, from I-IV (Lichtman, Mack et al. 1977) focusing on the MR and radiological changes seen. The final stage IV includes carpal collapse and secondary wrist degenerative changes. The incidence of the disease is unknown, but young men are most often affected. Lunate malacia can ultimately lead to irreversible changes of the wrist joint, and the final salvage procedures are wrist arthroplasty or arthrodesis, figure 11.

Figure 11. Frontal radiograph lunate malacia. The lunate is fractured and fragmented, and the carpal height reduced.
Other causes of radiocarpal degeneration

**Iatrogenic injuries** of the wrist joint are reported more frequently due to the great number of distal radius and scaphoid fractures operated. Incorrect placement of screws penetrating into the articulation can be devastating for the joint (Sahu, Charalambous et al. 2011), especially when the patients are encouraged to start early motion, figure 12. The incidence is unknown.

Figure 12. Scaphoid fracture operated with a screw. Non-union and misplaced screw causing destruction the radio-scaphoid joint.

**Primary wrist joint infection** is rare, whereas postoperative joint infections are reported more often due to the same reasons as iatrogenic injuries.

**Primary osteoarthritis**: although being a very common joint disorder, it rarely affects the radiocarpal joint.

**Preisers disease** (Preiser 1910) is an extremely rare disorder with avascular necrosis of the scaphoid leading to radiocarpal degenerative changes. Definite aetiology and incidence is unknown and there is no standardized treatment algorithm (Imam, Aldridge et al. 2009). The degenerative changes follow the SNAC pattern and the salvage treatment is similar.

Treatment options for radiocarpal degeneration

So far no non-surgical treatment can heal or delay cartilage degeneration in the wrist. Non-operative treatment includes analgesics like NSAIDs or paracetamol, change and adaption of the activity level and the use of different kinds of splinting devices, from slight to significant restriction of wrist motion.

Non-inflammatory degeneration may halt or stop if articular incongruence is corrected and the joint surface restored. Healing of scaphoid fractures and early non-unions has been demonstrated to halt the degenerative process (Duppe, Johnell et al. 1994; Reigstad, Grimsgaard et al. 2012). Accurate reduction and fixation of complex intraarticular distal radius fractures can prevent degenerative changes (Raju and Kini 2011). The same may apply to successful suturing of scapho-lunate ligament injuries (Pomerance 2006) although the results are somewhat less encouraging compared to those seen after scaphoid and distal radius fractures. The restriction of activities and/or splinting the wrist in the early stages of lunate malacia might prevent carpal collapse and postpone degenerative changes. However, the natural history of the disease and the effect of the different treatments are uncertain as are the conclusions to be drawn (Schuind, Eslami et al. 2008).
If symptomatic treatment is inadequate wrist pain can be treated by more limited surgical procedures hoping to postpone the need for total wrist arthrodesis or arthroplasty. The procedures include four-corner fusion, proximal row carpectomy and intercarpal fusions. The latter have rather narrow indications but they include radio-lunate arthrodesis, radio-scapho-lunate arthrodesis, triscaphe arthrodesis and scapho-capitate arthrodesis. These procedures are based on the concept of removing or fusing the damaged surfaces and depending the weight transmission and motion on the remaining uninjured surfaces. They almost invariably involve some loss of motion, but render the patient (ideally) with less or no pain. A brief presentation of the indications and procedures follows.

### Four corner fusion

Four-corner fusion (4CF) can be indicated if there is intact cartilage on the lunate and on the lunate facet of the radius. Typical indications include SNAC, SLAC 2 and 3 wrists and in some instances after intraarticular distal radius fractures. The procedure involves removing the scaphoid, performing a radial styloidectomy and fusing the lunate, triquetrum, capitate and the hamate, figure 13.

![Figure 13. Four-corner fusion after SNAC 3 arthrosis. Follow-up frontal radiograph after 3 years.](image)

The procedure may provide good pain relief, but only 50-60% of motion and 60-80% of the grip strength compared to the contralateral side. Complications include hardware problems, painful non-unions and progressive degenerative changes of the remaining joints (Mulford, Ceulemans et al. 2009). Few prospective studies have been performed. Chung et al performed a prospective study on 11 patients with SNAC 2 wrists using a spider plate. From preoperatively to 1 year they found decreased motion (138 - 112°) and strength (27 to 17 kgs) (Chung, Watt et al. 2006). A minor decrease in pain and an increase in overall satisfaction were observed. Three patients experienced hardware failure or persistent non-union. Similar results with decreased motion, persistent non-union and pain have been reported with K-wires, screws and staples, and at longer term follow-up conversion of an increasing number of wrists to total arthrodesis has been reported (Krakauer, Bishop et al. 1994).

### Proximal row carpectomy

Proximal row carpectomy (PRC) can be performed when the capitate and the lunate facets on the radius have intact cartilage. The indications include SNAC or SLAC 2 wrists, Kienböcks disease and...
sequelae after distal radius fracture. The scaphoid, lunate and triquetrum are removed and the capitate is positioned in the lunate fossa of the radius, figure 14.

Figure 14. Proximal row carpectomy. Follow-up after 2 years.

The results seem to be comparable to 4CF concerning pain relief, grip strength and ROM (Mulford, Ceulemans et al. 2009). Fewer complications have been reported, but progressive degenerative changes are seen in the majority of patients after more than 10 years follow-up. Conversion to arthrodesis was performed in almost 20% of the cases (DiDonna, Kiefhaber et al. 2004). Overall the results are variable and the studies characterized by a low number of patients, retrospective designs and different effect parameters reported. So far, none of these procedures have shown overall convincing results or obtained more widespread use than the other.

Triscaphe arthrodesis, radiolunate, radioscapolunate and scaphocapitate arthrodesis have been used in selected patients and can in some instances be applied when the degenerative changes are minor and limited with the majority of joint surfaces intact. The procedures have not gained extensive use, probably due to technical problems, high non-union rates and relatively significant reduction of motion (Wolfe 2011).

**Total wrist arthrodesis**

Total wrist arthrodesis has been the treatment of choice for destroyed wrist joints since the turn of the nineteenth century. When given no alternative treatment, the patients were satisfied with the pain relief and accepted the loss of motion and function, a situation similar to hip arthrodesis prior to efficient hip arthroplasties. Wrist arthrodesis was initially achieved using autograft from the tibia, the distal radius or the iliac crest without further fixation. During the 1960’s K-wires and large Steinmann-pins where used to achieve additional fixation, but rotational instability in the fixation and non-unions were problematic (Clayton 1965; (Haddad and Riordan 1967). Mannerfeldt and Mamsten introduced Rush rods and staples, achieving a high union rate (“union achieved in most cases”) (Mannerfelt and Malmsten 1971). During the 1970s, plate fixation became popular especially advocated by the AO group (Wright and McMurtry 1983). These two methods have been dominant although screw fixation, tension band wiring and bioabsorbable devices have been described
The Mannerfeldt method is reserved for patients with inflammatory wrist degeneration while plate fixation is used in both inflammatory and non-inflammatory arthritis, figure 15.

Figure 15. A) Wrist arthrodesis using plate fixation. B) Wrist arthrodesis with the Mannerfelt method.

The results after total wrist arthrodesis are variable. The loss of function is substantial but it can to some degree be compensated by shoulder, elbow and forearm motion. In rheumatoid patients relatively high satisfaction has been reported. Solem et al found an excellent result with regards to pain relief in 28/40 patients in a long term follow-up study of mainly rheumatoid patients. In their series five patients had plate or rod removal, and in two radiocarpal union was not achieved on the first attempt. The DASH (Hudak, Amadio et al. 1996) score was 38 and a 20% reduction in grip strength (compared to the other side) was observed. A comparison with the preoperative status was not performed, additional wrist surgery in the follow-up period and functional or occupational results were not reported (Solem, Berg et al. 2006). Adey et al reported on the health status after arthrodesis in patients with post-traumatic joint degeneration after an average of six years. The grip strength was about 80% of the uninjured side, 14/22 reported persistent pain (of which four had severe pain). Although 15/22 were satisfied with the surgery, 20/22 were interested in a procedure that could restore some wrist motion (Adey, Ring et al. 2005). De Smet examined 36 non-rheumatoid patients with wrist arthrodesis after minimum 4 (mean 7) years. Pain resolved completely (mean VAS (0-10) =2.5) in 20 patients at rest, but only 6 at activity (VAS=5.4). The patients reported a relatively high DASH score = 44, only 11/35 could be reemployed at their previous job and the grip strength was 63% of the opposite side (up 10% from preoperative). 31 additional surgical procedures were necessary in 21 patients in the follow-up period, in two due to radiocarpal non-union (De Smet and Truyen 2003). Studies have shown that if given the choice, patients favour a procedure that could preserve some motion (Gains, Dap et al. 1991; Sauerbier, Kluge et al. 2000; (De Smet and Truyen 2003; (Adey, Ring et al. 2005) and the patients with arthroplasty on one side and arthrodesis on the other are more satisfied with the arthroplasty. Palmer et al evaluated functional range of motion in healthy individuals and found that the vast majority of activities of daily living could be accomplished by 5° of flexion, 30° of extension, 10° of radial deviation and 15° of ulnar deviation (Palmer, Werner
et al. 1985). Ryu and Cooney found that 40° of flexion and extension and 40° of radioulnar deviation (a total of 120°) gave a near normal wrist function (Ryu, Cooney et al. 1991), and as little as 25° of wrist motion gives a much better function compared to arthrodesis (Nelson 1997). However these reports used healthy volunteers with normal forearm rotation and upper extremity function as study objects, and the results are not applicable if other parts of the upper extremity are compromised. A study by Franko and Zurakowski in healthy volunteers above 45 years of age compared unrestricted wrist motion with partially and highly restricted motion (ROM=201° vs. 99° vs. 41°). Patients completed the DASH score and the PRWE (patient related wrist evaluation). They also developed a subjective scoring system (MASS, modern activity subjective survey) and an objective test battery (MATT, modern activity timed test) which evaluated activities of a contemporary lifestyle using a cell phone, a computer, a digital camera etc. They found a direct correlation between reduced range of motion and functional impairment, also demonstrated for modern activities (Franko, Zurakowski et al. 2008).

In 2007, Synthes (the largest supplier worldwide of plate arthrodeses for the wrist) sold approximately 60 plates, the same year 9 arthroplasties were performed according to the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register (NAR 2010). The number of arthrodeses performed using other methods (ordinary plates, cramps, intramedullary pins) is not known, and many patients are reluctant to undergo surgery when arthrodesis is the only option.

**Implants/biomaterials**

**Implants for bone fixation**

Cobalt-chrome-molybdenum (Co-Cr-Mo) and titanium alloys (mainly Titanium-6Alumina-4Vanadium, Ti6Al4V) are the bulk metals mainly used for orthopaedic arthroplasties. The two metals differ in a variety of properties. Co-Cr-Mo is stronger, it can withstand great forces without deformation and it is bio-inert with a moderate ability to achieve bone-implant contact (Palmquist, Jarmar et al. 2009).

In a highly polished form the alloy demonstrates very good wear performance, and has been the metal of choice in articulations (UHMWPE-metal and metal-metal) in orthopaedic arthroplasties. Using two different metals for fixation and articulation is a more complicated manufacturing process, and there is a tendency to choose the same metal for both fixation and articulation. There are some concerns with the use of Co-Cr-Mo as the material of choice in arthroplasties. The Youngs modulus/modulus of elasticity (i.e. the amount of deformation/strain with applied force/tension/stress in Pascal=N/m²) is high for Co-Cr-Mo (≈230 GPa), and much higher than that of cortical bone (≈ 10-30 GPa). The transmission of force from the implant to the bone will be asymmetric and can give stress risers in the proximal and distal junction between implant and bone. Where there is no loading of the bone Wolf’s law will apply and bone resorption can occur (stress shielding) (Sumner and Galante 1992). Some authors have expressed concern for this phenomenon and believe that it eventually can led to loosening of implants (Dujovne, Bobyn et al. 1993), while others believe that bone resorption will stop and a new steady state will occur (Karachalios, Tsatsaronis et al. 2004; (Merle, Streit et al. 2011).

More attention has been focused on the lower bone tolerance and bone ingrowth capacity (giving less fixation and shorter component survival) compared to titanium alloy (Jinno, Goldberg et al. 1998), the ion leakage in Co-Cr-Mo implants (especially from gritblasted or extensively porous coated implants) and the wear particles in the articulation. A weaker bond between the metal and applied
bioactive coatings like HA has also been suggested (Filiaggi, Coombs et al. 1991; Sun, Berndt et al. 2001). There are two main concerns with the release and production of ions and aggregates of ions; i) they can stimulate a low-grade inflammation via cytokines (interleukin 1 and 6, tumour necrosis factor $\alpha$ and other) which have been implicated in osteolysis and aseptic loosening and ii) they can exert a cytotoxic effect and thereby indirectly be carcinogenic (Catelas and Wimmer 2011). The latter has been demonstrated in in vitro experiments, but in large cohorts (hip arthroplasties) which examine metal wear and occurrence of cancer, no increased risk has been seen (Visuri, Pulkkinen et al. 2010), see articulation below. The amount of wear in an articulation is dependent on the size of the articulation and the diametral clearance (difference in diameter on the ball and socket). The production of particles is greatest in the “setting in” phase and stabilizes with time. Differences in the activity level of the patients do not seem to affect the level of ions (Cobb and Schmalzreid 2006). On the other hand, modern metal-on-metal articulations with Co-Cr-Mo have demonstrated very low wear rates in simulators, excellent long term clinical performance and low wear rates in large diameter resurfacing arthroplasties.

The modulus of elasticity for titanium alloys (≈ 110 GPa) is closer to bone (≈ 10-30 GPa) than Co-Cr-Mo (≈230 GPa). Titanium alloy is light (50% of the density of Co-Cr-Mo), it is strong and it is versatile for loadbearing. Due to the lower elasticity it is not suited as a material in the articulation. The bone conducting ability has been well appreciated for titanium and its alloys for years, both in clinical and experimental orthopaedic- and dental surgery (Lintner, Zweymuller et al. 1986; Goldberg, Stevenson et al. 1995; Williams 2001; Reigstad, Siewers et al. 2008). Extensive literature is available on the use of titanium in bone.

**Surface modifications**

The interface between the host bone and bearing metal has been subject to increasing attention and interest over the last decades. Increasing the surface area of the implants increases the area of implant adjacent to bone with increased bone present at the surface for bone fixation (Carlsson, Rostlund et al. 1988; Goldberg, Stevenson et al. 1995). The surface structure can improve the cell attachment to the implant and increase the biochemical interaction between implant and bone. A minimum roughness is proposed necessary to allow space for vascularisation and ingrowth of new bone (Predecki, Auslaender et al. 1972). The surface topography can be altered to promote bone ingrowth by subtractive/abrasive processes (particles removed from the surface creating pits or pores, giving a concave profile) or additive process (adding materials thereby creating bumps giving a convex surface). The superiority of a rough surface as compared to a smooth surface is well established, and all implants intended for bone ingrowth have some surface modification giving a rougher/ more irregular surface, which stimulates cell proliferation and osteoblast differentiation for stable bone anchorage and implant fixation. The pore size should mimic that of cancellous bone, the macropores (diameter > 100 $\mu$m) provide a scaffold for bone-cell colonization, while micropores (< 10 $\mu$m) allow body fluid circulation (LeGeros, Lin et al. 2003). The most common abrasive methods include (sand- or grit-) blasting and acid etching.

**Abrasive methods**

Silica (sand-blasting), TiO2 or alumina ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, corundum) are used to create the roughness. The particle size ranges from small to medium to large grit (25-250$\mu$m) and the final implant roughness depends upon particle size, time of blasting, pressure and distance from the source of particle to the
implant surface (Wennerberg, Albrektsson et al. 1996). A moderate roughness ($s_z=1.0-2.0 \mu m$) has been postulated as optimal (Wennerberg and Albrektsson 2009). The blasting process leaves remnants of the blasting material on the surface, but so far the bone response has been similar when comparing different blasting materials (TiO$_2$ vs. Al$_2$O$_3$) of similar surface roughness (Wennerberg, Albrektsson et al. 1996; (Mueller, Gross et al. 2003). Rough surface implants have demonstrated excellent long term results in clinical studies and histological retrieval studies (Lintner, Zweymuller et al. 1988; (Reigstad, Siewers et al. 2008).

Acid etching removes impurities as well as the oxide layer on the implants and creates pits and craters, giving a more homogenous rough surface compared to gritblasting. HNO$_3$, HF, HCl or H$_2$SO$_4$ are the most commonly used solutions and the concentration and treatment time determines the amount of material removed. The method has been extensively used in the dental field as an additional implant treatment after gritblasting. Bone ingrowth and stable implant fixation has been demonstrated in experimental and clinical studies (Buser, Broggini et al. 2004; (Bornstein, Schmid et al. 2005). Acid etching is uncommonly used in orthopaedic implants.

**Additive processes/applying implant coating**

Calcium phosphates have been used for decades in the bone-implant field of medicine due to its similarity with the mineral phase of bone. The calcium phosphates belong to a family of biocompatible substrates, where hydroxyapatite (HA) $\text{Ca}_{10}$(PO$_4$)$_6$(OH)$_2$ and tricalcium phosphate $\text{Ca}_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$ have been the most common. They exist in different forms, both crystalline and amorphous, with variable calcium to phosphate ratio. At physiological pH HA is the most stable of the calcium phosphates. Bulk HA is not suitable for load-bearing due to brittleness and low fatigue resistance (Jarcho 1986), but a thin layer of HA on a metal substrate had the theoretical advantage of combining the load-bearing capacity of the metal and the biocompatibility of HA. Therefore HA has been widely used as coating on implants for bone fixation, usually applied by plasmaspraying technique. In its crystalline (cage-structure) form it is stable and not resorbable whilst in the amorphous (hydrated) form it is more soluble, and may be resorbed in a biological environment. The synthetic HA used in orthopaedic coatings usually have a crystallinity of $> 70\%$ and a calcium to phosphate ratio of 1,67. Although called HA, the applied coating usually comprises elements of other ions as well as different calcium phosphate phases (CPP). These elements have other biological and physiological properties (due to impurities and the thermal influence of the process (Locardi, Pazzaglia et al. 1993)) than pure HA. The plasmaspray process and final coating product is regulated by ISO and ASTM standards in Europe and USA. The technique of plasmaspraying coatings was developed during the eighties. The coating in powder form is sprayed through an arc with a temperature of over 5 000° Celsius, creating the plasma form of the powder, hitting the implant substrate outside of the arc. The implant is relatively cold ($< 300°$ Celsius) and the coating is immediately created on the metal surface. The irregular surface is called porous coating. The mechanical properties of the metal is not affected (Ducheyne, Van Raemdonck et al. 1986). For many years plasmaspraying was the only commercially available method of coating application, giving a coating thickness ranging from 40-200 μm. It has been extensively used on orthopaedic and odontologic implants. Thinner coatings are difficult to achieve with this technique if a complete cover is desired. Both experimental and clinical studies (including autopsies) have demonstrated encouraging long-term bone-implant fixation properties (Bloebaum, Bachus et al. 1993; (Soballe and Overgaard 1996; (Vidalain 2011). The ion release from the underlying metal is also reduced in coated
implants, although the effect seems to be limited to titanium implants (Ducheyne and Healy 1988). The bonding strength is stronger between titanium alloy and coating (mechanical and chemical bonding) compared to Co-Cr implants and coating (only mechanical bonding) (Sun, Berndt et al. 2001). The mechanical strength of the plasmasprayed coating increases with decreasing thickness due to the brittleness and weaker resistance to tensile and shear forces of thicker coatings (Wang, Lee et al. 1993). Due to reports raising concern about thick plasmasprayed HA (Rokkum, Brandt et al. 1999), thinner coatings have been applied, and also combinations of crystalline HA and the more soluble tricalcium phosphate (tricalcium phosphate alone has shown less bone ingrowth as compared to HA (Lind, Overgaard et al. 1999)). Theoretically the more soluble part of the coating could serve as a local reservoir of calcium and phosphate, thereby increasing the bone development in the immediate vicinity of the implant (Lee, Wang et al. 2001). The optimal configuration of a plasmasprayed HA coating with regards to thickness, solubility and resilience has not been established and the potential benefits or side effects of coating resorption, reservoir effect for bone production, bone cell affinity, exposure of the underlying metal substrate, long term bonding capability and surface structure is still debated.

Plasmaspraying titanium particles (TiO₂, titanium plasma spray, TPS) applied on implants creates a porous coating and has been used for a long period. The benefits postulated are i) creating a homogenous surface and covering the rough implant surface (reducing ion leakage), ii) utilizing the biocompatibility and bone conductive properties of titanium (if the coated metal substrate is made of a less bone compatible alloy), and iii) creating a porous surface suitable for direct bone ingrowth. Whether the observed effect in experimental studies comparing TPS implants with other implants is due to surface roughness differences or the coating itself has been difficult to prove (Wennerberg and Albrektsson 2009), and findings from cadaver (Chanlalit, Fitzsimmons et al. 2011) and clinical studies (Becker, Becker et al. 2000) have not been encouraging. Although extensively, used especially in hip arthroplasties, (with good results (Klaassen, Martinez-Villalobos et al. 2009; (Lombardi, Berend et al. 2009)), the coating has not been compared with other surface modifications in clinical studies.

The drawbacks of plasmaspray techniques is the inability to coat internal surfaces, that is surfaces leeward to the spray direction (inside pores/gaps) and the bonding strength between the metal substrate and the plasmasprayed coating, rendering the coating susceptible to loosening/deflaking. To overcome the shortcomings of plasmaspray, many experimental methods have been developed for the application of bioactive calcium phosphate coatings. These include ion beam sputtering, sol gel deposition, electrophoretic deposition and electrochemical deposition. A thorough review on the different methods has been done by Narayanan and co workers (Narayanan, Seshadri et al. 2008). So far the main commercial application has been the electrochemical deposition of calcium phosphate. A uniform coating is applied on any substrate that can conduct an electric current (which includes all metals used for bone fixation), and the coating will form on the exposed surfaces. The process is usually carried out in room temperature, where the metal is attached to an electrical current (as the cathode) in an aqueous solution of calcium and phosphorous ions. The different solubilities of different calcium phosphate phases (CPP) with varying pH is controlled at the cathode/electrolyte interface. The chemical composition and the thickness of the coating are dependent on the CPP concentration, the pH, the current and the processing time, and are unique for the different manufactures. The coating thickness can vary from nanoscale to 20 μm, and have different calcium-phosphate composition, including HA, tricalcium phosphate and brushite (a hydrated 1:1 calcium-
phosphate) (Schmidmaier, Wildemann et al. 2002; (Rossler, Sewing et al. 2003; (Becker, Neumann et al. 2004). Clinical experiences with the electrochemical coatings compared to their plasmasprayed counterparts have so far been satisfactory in short term follow-up series (Boe, Rohrl et al. 2011).

**Surface characterization**

**Surface topography:** An important factor related to implant fixation is the surface topography. Qualitative measurements of surface irregularities on the micrometre level have been performed for decades, initially developed after the First World War for the aircraft industry. The profile of an object is examined by a stylus with a load applied moving mechanically over the surface, and the motion up and down creates an electrical signal which is converted to digital information, a profilometer similar to a traditional audio record player. The 2D (R) information can describe many different parameters, the most common is the average roughness, $R_a$ (Dagnall 1986). The inability to measure small implants, measurement errors due to damage to the mechanical stylus and the geometry of the stylus influencing the result are some of the disadvantages of the mechanical 2D profilometer. Surfaces with pits or sharp spikes will yield the same roughness, and the profilometer cannot distinguish between valleys or peaks. Estimation of the optimal surface roughness using 2D methods has not been established, mainly due to different measurement methods used and a lack of a standardized method. Some surfaces are still described using 2D measurements by their manufacturers, but the introduction of 3D evaluation has become the method of choice. The dominant tool for micrometre evaluation of surfaces utilises an optical profilometer (measuring reflected light) and provides a 3D characterisation. Standardization of the measurement of surface irregularities of oral implants has been suggested (Wennerberg 1996; (Wennerberg and Albrektsson 2000). The 3D characterization of implants usually includes $S_a$ (average height deviation), $S_{sa}$ (density of summits) and $S_{dr}$ (developed surface area, comparing the surface with a flat reference area of the same size). The importance of measuring more than 2 implants (due to individual implant differences) as well as different parts of the implant (top, valleys, flanks), where emphasised by the authors.

For qualitative descriptive evaluation of implants electron microscopy is used. The SEM (scanning electron microscopy) method is used for visualisation of implant surfaces. Electrons are accelerated towards the surface, and the electrons emitted back from the surface are collected giving a picture for evaluation (Goldstein 1988). SEM cannot be used for quantitative measurements.

**Surface chemical characterization:** On hard surfaces the chemical composition is evaluated in the same manner as when studying the composition of stars and planets. Light reflected from the surface has wavelengths (spectres) characteristic for different chemical substances and elements. Spectroscopic analysis then enables us to identify the different substances and quantify the amount present on the surface.

**Articulation**

If stable implant fixation occurs, the main long term concern in arthroplasty surgery is wear in the articulation. The tribology (science and engineering of interacting surfaces in relative motion) of arthroplasties has had a huge development from the early designs with rough metal, soft polyester or polyethylene and brittle ceramics to the contemporary low wear articulations. The articulations in modern arthroplasties comprise metal-on-metal (MOM), ceramics-ceramics (“hard bearings”), metal-UHMWPE (MOP) or ceramics-polyethylene. Co-Cr-Mo in a highly polished form is the main metal
alloy used for articulation. It has demonstrated a very high wear resistance in both MOP (60-150 μm (Nikolaou, Edwards et al. 2012) per year) and MOM (5 μm (Sieber, Rieker et al. 1999) per year) articulations.

**Metal-on-polyethylene**

The MOP articulation is the most extensively used in THR and TKR (NAR 2010). The soft on hard articulation in modern arthroplasties provides long-term survival of the components. Still, the relatively high wear rate, especially in situations when cement, bone, HA or other (“third bodies”) products gain access to the articulation is of concern (Rokkum and Reigstad 1998). The relatively small wear-particles (0.1-1μm) are phagocytised by macrophages leading to inflammation and bone resorption (Green, Fisher et al. 1998). The production of polyethylene wear products, and the subsequent periprosthetic osteolysis and eventual loosening of the implants have led to increasing interest in refining the UHMWPE bearings (especially increasing the wear resistance by crosslinking the polyethylene) or the development of other types of bearing. For highly crosslinked UHMWPE the laboratory wear (Dumbleton, D'Antonio et al. 2006) results and measured wear in clinical trials (Kuzyk, Saccone et al. 2011) have been very encouraging, but the revision rate has so far not been affected (Nikolaou, Edwards et al. 2012).

**Metal-on-metal**

The most common MOM articulation is the Co-Cr-Mo ball and socket and it has been extensively used since its introduction in the early sixties after promising hip arthroplasty results presented by McKee and Watson-Ferrar (McKee and Watson-Farrar 1966). The advantage of the Co-Cr-Mo articulation is the very low wear rate (20-180 times lower than conventional metal-UHMWPE) and its self-polishing function (postulated to remove irregularities caused by third body wear) (McKellop, Park et al. 1996; (Zywiel, Sayeed et al. 2011). A larger head (usually avoided in metal-UHMWPE articulations due to a larger volumetric wear) would be more stable, thereby minimizing the rate of dislocations (Bystrom, Espehaug et al. 2003). The clinical performance of modern THA with MOM articulation in young patients has been promising (Delaunay, Bonnomet et al. 2008). The main local concerns from wear particles in MOM articulations include periprosthetic soft tissue reactions, periprosthetic osteolysis as well as metal-induced immune responses. In vitro experiments have demonstrated reduced cellular function including osteoblasts and fibroblasts (Germain, Hatton et al. 2003; (Fleury, Petit et al. 2006). Local tissue reactions in hip resurfacing arthroplasties have been reported and histologic changes have been characterised by extensive necrosis and the presence of B and T lymphocytes as well as plasmacells. Whether the reaction is due to an allergic response to normal amounts of metal ions or a toxic reaction to high amounts of ions is not known (Pandit, Glyn-Jones et al. 2008). The systemic concerns of metal ions include renal failure, the accumulation of ions in the liver and a possible carcinogenic- and teratogenic effect (Heath, Freeman et al. 1971; (Zywiel, Sayeed et al. 2011). The most extensive local problems have been seen after use of poorly engineered implants, in cases of implant malposition or cases of impingement between implant components and in large diameter resurfacing hip arthroplasties (Cobb and Schmalzreid 2006; (Mabilleau, Kwon et al. 2008; (Langton, Jameson et al. 2011; (Seppanen, Makela et al. 2012). Systemic effects have been difficult to demonstrate and serious systemic side effects like cancer have not been confirmed (Makela, Visuri et al. 2012; (Smith, Dieppe et al. 2012). These studies have a short observation period (3.6 and 7 years), and might be too short to detect an increased risk.
Increased levels of systemic metal-ions are also detected in conventional MOP arthroplasties (MacDonald, McCalden et al. 2003; (Luetzner, Krummenauer et al. 2007), but so far no increased cancer risk has been observed in long term (13 years) follow-up studies (Visuri, Pulkkinen et al. 2010).

**Ceramic-ceramic**

Ceramic-ceramic has the theoretical advantage of the hard bearings (very low wear) without the production of potentially harmful wear products. The development of brittle and wear resistant ceramics with incremental improvements in the manufacturing and control processes paved the way for more extensive use of ceramics in articulations. Aluminium-oxide (alumina) and zirconium have been the main ceramics used, but zirconium was abandoned due to poor clinical performance (Norton, Yarlagadda et al. 2002). The wear characteristics are excellent (100 and > 2000 fold decrease in linear wear compared to MOM and MOP respectively) (Prudhommeaux, Hamadouche et al. 2000) and the wear particles do not appear to affect cellular function in vitro (Germain, Hatton et al. 2003). The combination with UHMWPE sockets has demonstrated excellent long term results (Urban, Garvin et al. 2001; (Reigstad, Siewers et al. 2008). Early all-ceramic articulations performed unsatisfactory, mainly due to loosening of the ceramic cups due to low bone conducting properties (O'Leary, Mallory et al. 1988). Using a metal backing with better bone conducting properties for the ceramic acetabular component has improved the performance substantially, demonstrating better wear characteristics compared to ceramic-UHMWPE (Lewis, Al-Belooshi et al. 2010). The earlier zirconium problems, the brittleness/fracture risk and ceramic squeaking from the articulation (Hamilton, McAuley et al. 2010; (Mai, Verioti et al. 2010) are the main reason why we thus far have not seen a shift towards all-ceramic articulations despite their promising results.

**The history of total wrist arthroplasty**

The replacement of the arthritic hip joint has been referred to as “the operation of the century” due its predictable functional outcome and pain relieving effect, as well as its cost effectiveness in terms of quality of life adjusted favourable results (Learmonth, Young et al. 2007). Knee replacements have similar results, while replacements in other joints (shoulder, elbow, wrist, cmc, mcp, pip, ankle and mtp) have been less predictable. Initial promising results with wrist arthroplasties have been less encouraging in the longer term and the procedure has been reserved for low demand patients. The first artificial wrist arthroplasty has been attributed to Themistocles Glück (1853-1942), a Rumanian-German surgeon. After medical studies in Leipzig and Berlin he started experimental work under the supervision of Virchow, His and von Langenbeck in the field of organ resection and transplantation. In May 1890 he implanted his first knee arthroplasty made out of ivory, and in June 1890 the first wrist arthroplasty of the same material was implanted, both performed at the Kaiser-und-Kaiserin-Friedrich-Kinderkrankenhaus in Berlin. The indication for the latter was tuberculosis in the wrist in a 21-year old male. The implant was described as a ball and socket articulation with forks on each side for stable fixation in both the ulna and radius proximally and in the metacarpals distally. A wide excision was performed including the base of the metacarpals, the two carpal rows, and the distal ulna and radius. Having septic arthritis as the indication this prosthesis of course was bound to fail. Gluck claimed that the patient after one year had a good range of motion but he developed a chronic fistula. Further follow-up was not reported. A skeleton exhibiting his implants in the hip, knee, ankle, shoulder, elbow and wrist was displayed in his hospital, but disappeared at the end of World War II. There are no pictures or drawings available of his wrist implant (Ritt, Stuart et al.
Albert Swanson introduced his silicone implants in the 1960s. Silicone was thought to be totally inert; it was durable and elastic and could sustain a substantial load without deformation or breakage. A wide range of silastic implants was developed including mcp, pip and dip joint as well as cmc 1, os lunatum substitution and ulnar and radial head replacements. His wrist arthroplasty was introduced in 1967 comprising a double stemmed, flexible hinge implant. A barrel-shaped midsection was selected, and the core of the implant was reinforced with Dacron to provide axial stability and resistance to rotational force. In 1974 the original silicone rubber was changed to a high performance silicone elastomer. This had been mechanically tested with more than 200 000 000 flexion repetitions to 90° without evidence of material fatigue or fracture (Swanson, de Groot Swanson et al. 1984). The barrel-shaped midsection was developed to create a wider and better joint space, and to prevent subsidence into the bone. The implant was not intended for bone fixation, and motion between the implant and bone was assumed to be an advantage, whereby the implant could adjust to the patient’s rotational requirements with little resistance. For wrists with severe instability of the joint, stiffness or deviation in a non-functional position this constrained replacement was considered a good choice, with its tendency to normalize the wrist position. The operation included a proximal row carpectomy (with inclusion of the proximal part of the head of the capitate) distally, removal of the distal radius and the ulnar head, and the creation of two square surfaces with smoothened edges. After reaming of the medullary canal the implant was inserted. From 1982 the mid-section of the implant was secured with metal liners (titanium grommets) to protect the silicone from sharp bone edges creating wear debris and fractures of the implant, figure 16. The palmar and dorsal ligaments were reefed allowing 30° of flexion/extension and 10° ulnar and radial deviation on passive manipulation.

Figure 16. The Swanson wrist replacement with metal grommets. (Courtesy of Wright Medical Technology.)

Excessive motion after surgery was avoided because it was thought to increase the chance of implant failure. Tendon transfer for wrist balancing was performed in the same operation. Swanson reported his results achieving good pain relief, acceptable range of motion (average 88° flex, ext, radial and ulnar deviation), grip strength and radiological results with a relatively low complication rate (25 reoperations in 181 wrists including 9 implant fractures) (Swanson, de Groot Swanson et al. 1994).
His results have been difficult to reproduce by others, and an increasing amount of surgeons reported high complication rates, implant failure/fracture (up to 50%, mainly between the barrel and the distal stem) and destructive synovitis attributed to silicone wear debris (Jolly, Ferlic et al. 1992; Stanley and Tolat 1993; Schill, Thabe et al. 2001; Kistler, Weiss et al. 2005). The documentation concerning this implant is mainly retrospective, many of the patients have been lost to follow-up, and the deteriorating health of these predominantly rheumatoid patients is demonstrated by a mortality rate within few years (up to 25%) in the reports. Although promising at first, the use of Swanson’s silicone arthroplasty has since diminished. It never became an alternative for patients with higher wrist demands. The arthroplasty is sold by Wright Medical Technology (http://www.wmt.com/physicians/). I have contacted them to get the annual figures of wrist arthroplasty sales in the US and worldwide, but this could not be provided. In Norway the implant has not been in use for the last 15-20 years according to the Wright Medicals three latest agents and the Norwegian Arthroplasty register.

**Volz wrist arthroplasty**

Parallel with the development and introduction of Swanson’s silicone replacement came the huge advancements in hip replacement, especially the success of the Charnley low friction arthroplasty using metal articulating with polyethylene and methylmetacrylate bone cement. This inspired Robert Volz to design a wrist implant employing the same concepts, applied for clinical use in 1973. The proximal component for cemented fixation in the medullary canal of the radius was connected to a polyethylene insert. The distal component had initially two prongs for cemented fixation in the second and third metacarpals (through the trapezoid and the capitate), but this was later reduced to one prong and two pegs in the modified version, figure 17.

Figure 17. A) The first Volz arthroplasty with two distal prongs, and B) the modified Volz wrist arthroplasty with one central distal prong. (Courtesy of Dr Hamas.)
The surface of the components was profiled for better implant-cement fixation to prevent loosening between the bone and implant when distractive forces were applied to the wrist. The articulation consisted of a hemispherical design with two different dimensions available for the concave polyethylene radius component and the distal chrome-cobalt convex surface. This allowed a maximum of 90° flexion-extension and 50° radial-ulnar deviation before impingement occurred. The resection of bone included the distal ulna and radius (from the level of Listers tubercle), the proximal carpal row, and the head of the capitate to the level of the trapezoid. Volz reported his initial experience in 17 wrists after a maximum observation period of 13 months with the distal double-prong version in 1976. He achieved good pain relief, range of motion and stability (Volz 1976). A tendency for ulnar drift was observed, and the results in 25 wrists with the modified distal component were published in 1984. In the latter series both cemented and uncemented fixation of the distal component was used. His clinical results after a mean follow-up of 3.2 years were even better, having a total ROM of approximately 100° with no radioulnar imbalance. No revisions due to dislocation, infection, implant fracture or loosening had been performed (Volz 1984). Bosco et al reported satisfactory results in 17 patients after an average of 8.4 years, despite having complications. There were four loose distal components and one loose proximal component, where loosening was defined as the presence of a radiolucent line of more than 2 mm or cement fracture. Four metacarpal components had perforated the bone, and an increasing collapse of the carpus was observed (a reduction of 24% using the index from Youm (Youm and Flatt 1980)). Three out of four posttraumatic patients experienced loosening, and the author recommended the arthroplasty for low-demand RA patients (Bosco, Bynum et al. 1994). Gellmann reported on 14 wrists (13 patients) after an average of 6.5 years, finding good pain relief and a mean total wrist ROM = 57°. He observed a high degree of complications; two articular dislocations (one chronic), seven wrists showed radiolucency about one or both components, migration was observed in two radial and five metacarpal components, in two wrists both components had subluxed and in one patient the components were dislocated. Only one revision had been performed. The patient satisfaction was high (Gellman, Hontas et al. 1997). Similar results were reported by Menon in 18 wrists (16 patients), but function evaluated with grip strength or ADL was not reported (Menon 1987). A final change of the design was introduced in 1988, the CFV (Clayton, Ferlic and Volz) prosthesis. The results did not improve, they reported failures and revisions in 6/15 and problems with the remainder including tenosynovitis, carpal tunnel syndrome and soft tissue balancing (Menon 1987). The use of the prosthesis was discontinued in 1993.

**Meuli wrist arthroplasty system**

Hans Christoph Meuli from Berne, Switzerland developed and introduced the arthroplasty bearing his name in 1972. Altogether three versions have been in clinical use. The first version was intended for cemented fixation, having a ball-and-socket articulation, with the ball (made out of polyester at first, then changed to UHMWPE) on the proximal side. The proximal and distal components had two prongs each, and were made out of Protasul 10 (Ti6AlNb) and could be bent for individual adjustments prior to fixation in the radius and the 2. and 3. metacarpal. The bone resection needed included the distal ulna, the radius distal to the ulnar joint line, the scaphoid, lunate and the capitate to the level of the trapezoid. Initial problems in his series of 41 patients included polyester synovitis, dislocations, technical errors, stem breakage, infection and ulnar deviation. The latter was due to the
centre of rotation being placed too far radially. The ball was changed to a UHMWPE, and the socket was positioned eccentrically ulnarward in the Meuli II, figure 18.

Figure 18. A) The Meuli I (polyester head) and II (eccentric position distally and polyethylene head). B) The Meuli III, metal-on-UHMWPE articulation. (Courtesy of Dr Mraz.)

Technical errors occurred with the first patients, and these were attributed to the necessary learning curve (Meuli 1980; Meuli 1984). Cooney et al published their reoperation rate in 140 Meuli I and II arthroplasties in 1984. Soft tissue balancing was a major problem. They report 9% revisions due to dislocation, 3% revision due to loosening and 3% revision due to deep infection. No subjective clinical outcomes were given. The number of follow-ups, drop-outs and deceased were not mentioned, and no radiographic evaluation was performed. They recommended the Meuli prosthesis to be abandoned (Cooney, Beckenbaugh et al. 1984). In 1986 the Meuli III was introduced, where the concept was thoroughly changed. The components were made out of corundum rough blasted protasul-100 (Ti6Al7Nb), including the ball, which was coated with titanium nitride (presumed hard and wear resistant). The UHMW polyethylene was inserted in the cup in the distal component, and it was intended for cementless fixation (having the opportunity to be cemented if the bone stock was inadequate). His own results with the Meuli III (38 wrists) were satisfactory, with adequate pain reduction, mean total ROM 90° and unchanged grip strength. No dislocations were seen, but eight (six distal and two proximal) components loosened and were revised. The distal loosenings were attributed to incorrect positioning during the initial period (Meuli 1997). In a more recent retrospective report from Strunk et al, comparing Meuli, Biax and Universal II, 15 Meuli III had been operated (two were dead), and were contacted after a mean of 9 years. They were subjectively satisfied (RA patients), having a mean approximate total wrist ROM=70°. Three patients had experienced dislocations, two of these were stable after closed reduction, and one was converted to an arthrodesis. Two revisions were performed due to deep infection, both converted to arthrodesis. Radiologically only one of the remaining arthroplasties was firmly attached to bone, the other exhibited signs of loosening (mainly around the carpal/distal component) (Strunk and Bracker 2009). The arthroplasty is no longer available.
**Trispherical wrist arthroplasty**

The Trispherical wrist arthroplasty was developed at the Hospital for Special Surgery using vitallium (a chrome-cobalt alloy) and UHMW polyethylene in a hinge articulation. The mechanism allowed flexion-extension (maximum 170°) in the hinge, radial-ulnar deviation (maximum 30°) and some rotation along the sloopy barrel. The proximal component was cemented in the radius after resection of the distal ulna. A proximal row carpectomy was performed, and the most proximal part of the capitate and triquetrum were resected. The distal component had one longer and larger (cemented in the third metacarpal) prong and one shorter and offset (cemented in the second metacarpal and the remaining scaphoid) prong, figure 19.

![Figure 19. The Trispherical wrist arthroplasty. (Courtesy of Dr Figgie)](image)

In 1990 the inventors published results after an average of 9 years follow-up. 34 patients (44 implants) all suffering from RA were operated between 1977 and 1983, 26 patients with 35 arthroplasties were available for review. Only two wrists had been revised, one due to implant loosening, the other due to persistent pain. Radiolucent lines were present in 7 wrist, (6 distally and in one case around both components), and three wrists presented with migration of the distal component, two of them perforating dorsally in the third metacarpal. Average total ROM at follow-up was 70°, and the majority of patients reported little or no pain (Figgie, Ranawat et al. 1990). In 1997 Lorei published results after revised Trispherical arthroplasties, identifying 8 revisions out of 87 primary procedures, giving a 9% revision rate after average follow-up of 8.7 years (Lorei, Figgie et al. 1997). This represented only the registered failures, and no follow-up details of the primary procedure were given. The 8 failures were due to loss of extension (2), metacarpal perforation (4), radius erosion (1) and infection (1). The only available publications concerning this arthroplasty have been reported by the group behind the development of this device. In 1999, O’Flynn et al reported a patient who had bilateral arthroplasties, one being converted to an arthodesis after 8 years (due to distal implant loosening). After 9 years the remaining arthroplasty became painful, and she experienced an acute loss of motion. The hinge mechanism had failed, and the revision revealed a disengagement of the hinge and metallosis, and wear of the polyethylene insert (O’Flynn, Rosen et al. 1999). This is the latest report concerning this implant, and the production of this arthroplasty was stopped.
**Guepar wrist arthroplasty**

The Guepar wrist arthroplasty was developed by Alnot in Paris. He introduced a proximal all-polyethylene component for cemented fixation. The articulation was eggshaped with an ulnar translation to centralize the rotation. The distal component was made out of cobalt-chrome. Two long screws were fixed to a small plate and screwed through the capitate and trapezoid into the medulla of the 2. and 3. metacarpals respectively. A relatively generous resection was performed, including the distal radius and ulna, and a straight cutting plane at the level of the proximal capitate, figure 20.

Figure 20. A) The Guepar wrist arthroplasty with microscrew. B) Radiologically loose distal and proximal components. (Courtesy of Dr Hubach.)

The eggshaped ball was fixed to the plate in the distal component via a microscrew. In a retrospective follow-up of 72 wrists after mean four years 11 wrist were revised, 5 due to unscrewing of the microscrew and loosening of the distal articulation, 4 proximal loosenings (attributed to wear products from the articulation) and 2 distal loosenings. In the remainder 56% osteolysis and bone resorption under the carpal plate was observed to increase with time. The authors believed the cause was micromotion between the plate and screws (Fourastier, Le Breton et al. 1996). The arthroplasty gave good pain relief, but reduced motion compared to preoperative (47° vs. 39° flexion-extension). The published material concerning this arthroplasty has been given by Alnot and his group in Paris, but no results have been published for the last 15 years. Hubach referred to his experience in 17 revision arthroplasties with the TMW arthroplasty at the arthroplasty Instructional Course during the FESSH meeting in Oslo 2011. 9 of the prior failures were Guepar wrist arthroplasties.

**Biax wrist arthroplasty**

Biaxial (motion in two axes, i.e. flex/ext and rad/ulnar dev) prosthesis was developed at the Mayo clinic between 1978 and 1982 by Cooney, Beckenbaugh and Linscheid. The components were made of chrome-cobalt, with an ellipsoidal shaped head (distally) articulating with a UHMW polyethylene bearing (proximal). Both components had porous coating on the proximal part (made of the same material as the bulk implant), and were intended for cemented fixation, though press fit fixation was
possible. Proximally fixation is limited to the radius, and ulnar head excision is part of the procedure described by the inventors, but the DRUJ can be spared. A PRC is performed, along with a resection of the most proximal part of the capitate and trapezoid, leaving approximately 2.5 cm for the articulation. Distally the long stem is cemented in the capitate and the third metacarpal, while the radial stud is fitted in the trapezoid, figure 21.

Figure 21. The Biax arthroplasty. (Courtesy of Dr Osnes-Ringen)

5 year follow-up results from the Mayo Clinic were published in 1996 (Cobb and Beckenbaugh 1996). 64 wrists in 52 patients had been operated solely on the basis of wrist degeneration due to RA (63) or JRA (1), both cemented and cementless fixation was used. In 11 wrists the implant had been removed (8 distal loosenings, 1 dislocation, 1 infection and 1 soft tissue imbalance), 6 patients were dead and one lost to follow-up. The remaining 46 patients rated their symptoms as better or much better (91%) and the pain as none or mild (97%) at the final follow-up. Forearm rotation was unaffected by the procedure, and total ROM increased from 78° preoperatively to 90°. Three patients experienced dislocation and three other had subluxations, all were stable after closed reduction. Radiographic loosening was seen in 14 patients (8 revised at follow-up). Takwale et al retrospectively reviewed 66 out of 76 (5 dead, 5 lost to follow-up) Bi axial implants in RA wrists at a mean follow-up of 52 months (Takwale, Nuttall et al. 2002). Both cemented and uncemented techniques were used, and the distal ulna was resected in all patients. They experienced 6 early dislocations, stable after closed (5) or open (1) reduction. The subjective outcome was excellent or good in 41/66, fair or poor in 25/66 (using the Hospital for special surgery HSS scoring system). 50 patients had mild (9) or no pain (41) pain, total ROM was 66°. However 11 patients had soft tissue imbalance leading to abnormal resting position and reduced function. 14/66 distal components were loose (one of these also had a loose proximal component), 5 had been revised. Series with short follow-up and better results have been published, but the authors have not repeated their examinations (Courtman, Sochart et al. 1999; Stegeman, Rijnberg et al. 2005). Kretschmer et al used the Biax on predominantly post-traumatic or degenerative wrist arthritis patients, though avoiding patients with heavy manual work. The initial experiences in 21 patients were promising (Kretschmer and Wannske 2003), but in his series of 42 patients followed for 2.6 years the results were less encouraging. Polyethylene wear on the dorsal rim had led to loosening in 7, and permanent dislocation in 2
patients, a complication not earlier described for the Biax. 9 of the remaining 31 patients had radiographic osteolysis (2 around both components, 4 around the distal component with metacarpal perforation of the tip of the stem, and 3 without). Four patients had early radiocarpal dislocation, treated by closed reduction and temporary k-wire fixation, being stable thereafter. Cementless fixation was used for all components (Kretschmer and Fansa 2007). A serious polyethylene-metal debris wear in a patient was described by Groot et al, confirming the problem experienced by Kretschmer (Groot, Gosens et al. 2006). Similar results in a rheumatoid population were reported by Harlingen et al. After 6 years, 7/40 wrist had required revision due to infection (2), loosening (3), malposition (1) or distal component breakout (1). 22 out of the remaining 32 (one patient died during the follow-up period) were radiographically loose. Altogether 4 intraoperative and 27 postoperative complications were seen. The patients reported satisfaction with the procedure, reduced DASH score and functional wrist motion (Harlingen, Heesterbeek et al. 2011). The long stemmed revised distal Biaxial component was developed in the 1990s. It was intended for revision purposes, but was also used for primary arthroplasties. In a series by Rizzo and Beckenbaugh in 2003 14 patients with 17 implants were retrospectively examined after mean 74 months. Cement was used on the distal component, and uncemented fixation proximally. There were no dislocations, no revisions, no radiological loosenings, they found high patient satisfaction, good range of motion and strength (Rizzo and Beckenbaugh 2003). Despite these encouraging results and being one of the most widely used wrist arthroplasties worldwide, the DePuy Company withdrew the implant in 2004 without any explanation.

**Destot wrist arthroplasty**

The only arthroplasty intended for use in posttraumatic wrist arthritis was the Destot prosthesis, figure 22, developed by a group of French and Belgian surgeons (The Destot group) during the nineties.

Figure 22. Sandblasted and porous coated steel proximal and distal components, UHMWPE radial cup, proximal steel carpal ball, condylar UHMWPE cylinder and distal steel component. (Courtesy of dr Levadoux.)
Levadoux and LeGrè published their experience in 28 out of 35 SLAC and SNAC wrists after 47 (12-72 months), 80% were men with a mean age of 62.5 years (Levadoux and LeGrè 2003). Having a double articulation allowing rotation distally and flexion/extension and ulnar-radial deviation the developers hoped for more motion than other contemporary arthroplasties. The resection preserves the DRUJ, removing the lunate, scaphoid and the proximal capitates. The capitate and 3. metacarpal are reamed and additional distal fixation achieved with a 4.5 mm cancellous screw in the trapezoid and 2. metacarpal. Proximally a medullary gouge is used to prepare the bone bed. The size of the intermediate mobile condylar-carpal component is chosen to re-establish the carpal height. Although the clinical results were good, a high degree of revisions and distal loosenings were observed. Six distal loosenings with twisting out of the second metacarpal screw and two metacarpal stem fractures were seen (the patients with metacarpal failures where asymptomatic according to the authors). Revisions included three wrists revised due to pain (fused or revised with new arthroplasty) one early infection (treated with surgical cleaning, healing uneventfully but later experienced metacarpal stem fracture) and one late infection (revised to arthrodesis). The 4-year survival rate was 85% (five revisions, survival given for all 35 wrists). The degree of patient satisfaction is reported for all patients, including the fused wrists (7 having moderate or severe pain, 23 satisfied or very satisfied with the end result). For the 21 patients with an arthroplasty the total ROM increased from 78° to 123°, forearm rotation from 105° to 167° and grip strength from 20 to 32 kgs. Although good results were achieved in a high demand patient group, further follow-up has not been undertaken (personal communication, M Levadoux), and the company distributing the arthroplasty (3S Ortho) has withdrawn the product.

**Anatomic Physiologic wrist**

Anatomic Physiologic wrist (APH) was designed and introduced by Sebastian Radmer, Reimer Andresen and Martin Sparmann in Berlin, Germany. The arthroplasty consisted of cobalt-chrome components coated with HA for press-fit fixation in the radius and the distal carpal row/proximal 2.-4. metacarpals. The articulation was egg/ellipsoid, with a radial inclination of 10° on both sides, figure 23. The articulating surfaces were covered with titanium-niobium for optimal wear properties. The resection comprised the distal radius and ulna and a proximal row carpectomy with a resection at the level of the proximal capitate bone. The distal component introduced a new fixation principle, comprising a coronal plate interrupted by pegs for fixation in the distal carpal row/proximal 2.-4. metacarpals. The bearing surface was mobile. The initial results in 30 RA patients after 18 months were very encouraging with a satisfactory pain reduction, increasing ROM (from average 58° to 101°) and grip strength (from average 18 kPa to 29 kPa). There were three early complications, one progressive soft tissue imbalance with subsequent dislocation (arthrodesis), one dislocation of the carpal component (revised with a new one) and one deep infection (arthrodesis) (Radmer, Andresen et al. 1999).
4 years later the results from 40 patients with an average after 52 months were catastrophic. Radiolucent lines greater than 2 mm were present in 30 out of 36 remaining patients (30 on the distal side, and 5 also on the proximal side), 33 had migration of the carpal component, where 9 also perforated the third metacarpal. Subsidence was also noted in 14 of the radial components. 36 out of 37 remaining arthroplasties were revised due to frank loosening in 33 patients (12 of both components) and material failures in three. Metal debris was found extensively in all patients (in the capsule, around the flexor tendons and extensor tendons) during revision surgery, attributed to titanium (Radmer, Andresen et al. 2003). The APH was withdrawn from the market.

**Contemporary arthroplasties**

**Universal wrist arthroplasty system**

Universal/UTW 2 was initially developed by Jay Menon. This primarily uncemented (cementing is optional), unconstrained arthroplasty has a press fit radial component with a tie mesh for bone ingrowth in the metaphyseal region. The articulation plate (made of titanium) has a 20° inclination similar to the articulating surface on the radius. The distal carpal plate is ovoid, and has a titanium tie mesh covering the back side. Fixation is achieved with three titanium screws (one 6.5 mm in the capitate, and two 4.5 mm in the scaphoid/trapezoid and the hamate bones). A UHMW polyethylene egg-shaped component articulates with the radius component. The surgical technique includes an intercarpal arthrodesis at the level of the distal carpal resection (through the proximal pole of the capitate, including the distal scaphoid), resection of the ulnar head and an inclined resection of the distal radius. In 1998 Menon published the results in his first 31 (37 wrists) patients after mean 6.7 years. He achieved good pain relief (88% did not have pain) and motion increasing the total ROM (active or passive is not given) from 73° (preoperatively) to 96° (postoperatively). 5 patients experienced dislocations, 3 were openly reduced, one closed and one converted to wrist fusion. Two patients had radial component loosening and were revised with new cemented components. Two
others had deep infections (one salvaged by wrist fusion, and one by antifungal therapy), and one final patient was converted to arthrodesis due to muscular imbalance. A radiographic analysis or report was not given (Menon 1998). Develbis et al reported their short term results from 19 patients (22 wrists) with the revised UTW arthroplasty. The distal component had been altered, having a centrally placed peg with indentations, and screws on each side. The radial-sided distal screw is longer for purchase in the second metacarpal. Cement was used on the proximal side and for the distal peg. The clinical results were similar to Menon’s original series including a DASH score reduction from 46 to 32 after one year, but only 8 wrists had passed the 2 year follow-up. Three patients experienced dislocations, one was finally converted to an arthrodesis, one was stable when the UHMW polyethylene was changed to a larger size and the third was stable after treatment with open reduction and temporary external fixation. Osteolytic lines were seen around six screws, no implants were determined to be loose (Divelbiss, Sollerman et al. 2002). The majority of patients where later followed up after 7.3 years, where 9/19 wrists had been revised due to distal loosening, 1/19 due to chronic instability. Two others were loose but not revised. The second edition was also reported by Murphy et al where the treatment was compared to arthrodesis after mean 26 months of follow-up (Murphy, Khoury et al. 2003). The patients returned questionnaires (DASH and PRWF). No radiological- or objective functional outcomes were reported (such as range of motion, grip strength, loosening, bone unions etc), and complications compared after a retrospective chart study. Out of 27 arthroplasties four dislocated, one was converted to arthrodesis and one needed capsular reconstruction. A finite element and laboratory experiment was performed comparing the original toroidal/teardrop articulation with a strict ellipsoidal articulation. The original toroidal articulation was extremely sensitive to rotation, creating incongruence and instability (which was the major concern with the early models) and substantial peak stress on the volar and dorsal rims of the radial component. The ellipsoidal articulation demonstrated a more stable situation, i.e. a greater rotational resistance (which was desired by the developing team postulating that low rotational stability was the initiating step in dislocation), and a more stable contact area throughout rotation (although the toroidal shape had a greater contact area up to 5° of rotation) (Grosland, Rogge et al. 2004). The study was supported by the developing company and these findings had been included in final version of the implant, where the distal carpal plate also was changed to a press fit, titanium coated stem without indentations, figure 24.
Figure 24. The development of the Universal arthroplasty system. A) Menon’s first edition. B) The second edition (Universal) with the central peg with indentations. C) The contemporary Universal 2. Courtesy of Dr van Winterswijk.

The arthroplasty and the technique is described and published three different places by Adams where he refers his results presented at different annual meetings (but never published in peer reviewed journals) or to results from the first implant version (Adams 2004; Adams 2004; Anderson and Adams 2005). He has tight connections to the company, from which his research and publications concerning this arthroplasty has been supported economically. Recently van Winterswijk published his experience after a follow-up of mean 46 months in 15 patients (17 wrists, 8 Universal 1 and 9 Universal 2) of whom all but one were RA patients. Four were men. Clinical results were good, pain and DASH score were reduced and an increase in ROM (from 68° to 91°) was seen. They found two complications, one early dislocation (stable after closed reduction) and one distal loosening. The patient with osteoarthritis was less satisfied than the rheumatoid patient (van Winterswijk and Bakx 2010).

**Total modular wrist arthroplasty (TMW)**

The total modular wrist system (TMW) was developed by Peter Hubach and introduced in 1999. This wrist arthroplasty has an optional DRUJ prosthesis. The system is primarily intended for uncemented fixation, the components are made out of titanium alloy distally and cobalt-chrome proximally, and plasmasprayed with hydroxyapatite or Bonit®. An uncoated radius component is available for cemented fixation. A straight proximal resection including the distal ulna and a distal straight resection at the level of the proximal capitate are performed, leaving a gap of 19-21 mm. The distal carpal plate is HA/Bonit® coated, and fixation is achieved with three HA/Bonit® coated titanium alloy metacarpal screws (2.-4. metacarp). The radius component is made of chrome-cobalt, and intended for press-fit fixation. The articulation has a reverse egg shape with the convex part on the proximal side and the UHMW polyethylene socket on the distal side. A constrained articulation is also available, figure 25.
Two different radius plates are offered, one with the DRUJ arthroplasty connection. The ulna component is screwshaped and a peg for articulation with a circular UHMWPE insert. Rahimtoola and Bucher published their preliminary results in 2004 (Rahimtoola and Hubach 2004). 32 patients were followed mean 20 months postoperatively. The patient satisfaction was good, with a mean increase in ROM of 25° (from 63° to 88°). 5 wrists demonstrated osteolysis and signs of loosening, one patient had a traumatic dislocation, and five others experienced progressive subluxation and required tendon release (3) or change of the polyethylene insert (1). These short term results have not been confirmed by others. Hubach’s results from 60 primary and 18 revision arthroplasties after a mean follow-up of 4.2 years were reported at the Annual Finnish Rheumatoid Hand Surgeon meeting in 2008 in Tampere. Of the 60 primary joint replacements, 14 were revised (7 loosenings, 5 instabilities and 2 radius fractures). Total ROM averaged 99° and the patients were satisfied with the achieved pain reduction. These results have not been published elsewhere. The arthroplasty is sold by Orthocube, Switzerland, and they have provided their total sale figures (116 worldwide, 2008 Frank Claus, Orthocube, personal communication).

Maestro wrist arthroplasty
The Maestro™ Wrist Reconstructive System (WRS), is developed in cooperation with James Strickland, Andrew Palmer and Thomas Graham, and has been available since January 2005. The arthroplasty has a press fit proximal radius component. Stability is achieved by the ti-alloy stem fixed to a Co-Cr-Mo radial body (coated with Macrobond, a thick titanium plasmaspray), intended for uncemented fixation. An UHMWPE insert is fixed to the proximal component. The distal component consists of a monoblock structure, with an ellipsoid Co-Cr-Mo articulation. The carpal plate has a central peg for fixation in the capitate, and two screws for additional radial (trapezoid/2. metacarpal) and ulnar (hamate) fixation. Both screws are made of titanium, figure 26.
The undersurface of the distal component is coated and plasmasprayed with Macrobond. Resection is performed using a resection guide (the proximal cut can optionally be DRUJ saving), and the distal cut has a convex fashion, approximately at the level of the head of the capitate. The articulation is centred, without inclination or volar angulation. By courtesy of Biomet Norway, I have been provided with the instructional lecture by dr Strickland. More than 300 procedures have been performed between 2005 and 2008. From the 25 performed by the developers no major complications have occurred. 14 patients have more than two years of follow-up, achieving pain reduction and good ROM (average 122°). No loosenings or dislocations were observed. Dellacqua reported on the technique and his clinical results in 19 patients after 27 months. He found a minor increase in motion (to 115°), and 57% of patients reported good or excellent according to the Lamberta wrist score. Radiological results were not reported (Dellacqua 2009). Nydick et al published his retrospective results in 22 patients after 2,3 (0,4-4,6) years in a mixed patient population (rheumatoid and non-rheumatoid). One wrist was converted to arthrodesis due to infection, one patient had a volar dislocation, treated by closed reduction and two patients had wrist contracture. The wrist motion increased from 120 to 128° and the pain reduction was satisfactory. Preoperative DASH was not performed (Nydick, Greenberg et al. 2012).

Remotion wrist arthroplasty

The Remotion wrist arthroplasty system has been developed by Cooney (Mayo) and Gupta (Louisville). The arthroplasty consist of a proximal Co-Cr-Mo monoblock with a volar (10°) and ulnar (10°) inclination, mimicking the distal radius surface. The proximal part of the radial component is coated with plasmasprayed titanium and the articulating surface is highly polished. Recently a minor change on the dorsoradial part of the proximal component was done to provide a better fit. The distal/carpal component is made of the same material with a peg for press fit fixation in the capitate, where the undersurface and peg are plasmasprayed with titanium. Two 4.5 mm cancellous screws (Co-Cr-Mo) placed through the carpal plate in the direction of the second and fifth metacarpal provide additional fixation. An eggshaped UHMPE insert is fixed to the distal component by a snap fit, and allows some rotation of the polyethylene on the peg. Resection includes the distal radius (where sparing of the DRUJ is optional) and a straight resection is performed at the level of the proximal capitate. The remaining carpal bones are fused. The distal fixation is based on the carpal bones, mainly the capitate. The arthroplasty allows 40° of flexion and extension and 40° of radioulnar
deviation. It is available in 4 sizes, with a standard or a plus version of the polyethylene insert, figure 27. The features of the design and the technique have been published by one of the inventors, but their clinical results have not been reported (Gupta 2008).

Figure 27. The Remotion wrist arthroplasty.

Herzberg recently published the first results in a series of 20 wrists in 19 patients (average 56 years of age) followed for more than one year (average 2.7 years) (Herzberg 2011). 13 wrists had been destroyed by inflammatory arthritis, seven had degenerative arthritis of other etiology. The clinical results were quite good, no revisions had been performed although 2 patients experienced loosening of the proximal or distal component (both in rheumatoid patients, but with minimal clinical symptoms). Average ROM of 71° was achieved in the rheumatoid group (a minor decrease compared to preoperatively), 49° in the degenerative group (a minor increase compared to preoperatively). Radial deviation was the lowest, averaging 4°. The majority of patients were satisfied with the procedure, all patients were better than prior to surgery, and 14/19 had a good or excellent result according to the Meuli score (Meuli and Fernandez 1995).

Summary

Mallory’s statement in 1988 addressing hip arthroplasties “all prostheses will fail sometime. It is a race between the life of the patient and the life of the prosthesis” is definitely applicable to the arthroplasty of the wrist. So far none of the arthroplasty designs introduced have managed to withstand the long term strain in a normally demanding wrist. All designs have demonstrated inherent weaknesses, some apparent early on whilst others have surfaced after some time. The arthroplasties employed have been limited to older patients with low functional demands, and only minor wrist load has been permitted by manufacturers.

Some of the lessons learned are:

Cemented fixation can be used in the radius, but stable cemented fixation is difficult to achieve distally due to the small size of bones, the limited amount of cancellous bone and the inability to apply modern cementing techniques. The wrist is subject to great forces of tension, and cement has little tensional resistance. The multiplanar wrist motion will wear out any constrained devices in all but the weakest patients. The articulation must allow flexion-extension, radial-ulnar deviation and some pro-supination (rotation).

The specifications for the development of a durable wrist arthroplasty must include: a strong durable bone conducting metal implant providing maximal fixation to the minimal bone available, and a low wear bearing with a non-constrained articulation allowing three degrees of freedom (flexion-extension, radio-ulnar deviation and rotation).
Aims of study

I. To compare a new resorbable calcium-phosphate coating with plasmasprayed HA-coated and uncoated titanium-alloy implants for bone fixation.

II. To analyse and modify a new wrist arthroplasty based on clinical and radiological performance in a pilot study.

III. To analyse the clinical and radiological results of a new wrist arthroplasty in patients with post-traumatic osteoarthritis.
Synopsis

**Paper 1**
Improved bone ingrowth and fixation with a thin calcium phosphate coating intended for complete resorption.

The study involved a comparison of gritblasted Ti-6Al-4V screw-shaped implants with and without a 15 ± 5 lm Bonit® coating. Bonit® is claimed to be a resorbable calcium phosphate coating (consisting mainly of brushite, a hydroxyapatite precursor) which is electrochemically deposited on the implant substrate. 14 NZW rabbits were operated with one screw in the distal femur and two screws in the proximal tibia, coated screws were utilized on one side, uncoated on the other. After 6 (n=6) and 12 (n=7) weeks of insertion in an unloaded situation the rabbits were euthanized. Biomechanical removal torque, and histomorphometric qualitative and quantitative measurements were performed. The biomechanical removal torque test showed significantly increased values for the coated implants after 12 weeks (p = 0.0018), but not after 6 weeks (p = 0.093) of integration. Higher bone-implant contact was found for the coated implants in the tibia after 6 weeks and for both tibial and femoral screws after 12 weeks (p < 0.05). There was no difference in the inflammatory reaction around the implants, and possible grains of the coating could be detected after 6 weeks, but not after 12 weeks of follow-up. The unloaded short term study showed promising results for the easily applicable and resorbable coating (Bonit®) compared to uncoated titanium-alloy implants.

**Paper 2**
Different patterns of bone fixation with hydroxyapatite and resorbable CaP coatings in the rabbit tibia at 6, 12, and 52 weeks.

In the second study we compared the resorbable electrochemically deposited calcium phosphate coating (Bonit®) with a (40 μm) plasma-sprayed hydroxyapatite (HA) coating, applied on the same type of gritblasted screw-shaped Ti-6Al-4V implants. The screws were implanted in the proximal cortical region of rabbit tibias for 6 (n=10), 12 (n=9), and 52 (n=9) weeks. The removal torque results demonstrated stronger bone-to-implant fixation for the HA than Bonit®-coated screws at 6 (p = 0.005) and 12 (p = 0.028) weeks. After 52 weeks, the fixation was in favour of the Bonit®-coated screws, but the difference was statistically insignificant (p=0.086). Qualitative histological examination demonstrated coat flaking and delamination of the HA with multinucleated giant cell activity and bone resorption. This seemed to preclude any significant increase in fixation comparing the HA implants at 6 versus 12 weeks and 12 versus 52 weeks. The Bonit®-coated implants exhibited increasing fixation from 6 to 12 weeks and from 12 to 52 weeks. The coating was resorbed within 6 weeks, with minimal activity of multinucleated giant cells or bone resorption. A different fixation pattern was observed for the two coatings with a sharper but time limited increase in fixation for the HA-coated screws and a slower but more steadily increasing fixation pattern for the Bonit®-coated screws. The coat flaking and giant cell activity with subsequent bone resorption had potential deleterious consequences for the HA screws and seemed to preclude an expected increase in fixation with time.
**Paper 3**

New concept for total wrist replacement.

A novel, uncemented modular wrist prosthesis with screw fixation, metal-on-metal coupling, and ball-and-socket articulation was developed. The system was based upon experiences with long-term, durable and uncemented arthroplasties in other joints. Wrist prototypes were tested in cadavers and modified. The screws for bone fixation were made out of Bonit® coated gritblasted titanium-alloy (Ti6Al4V), the modular ball and socket from highly polished Co-Cr-Mo. The prosthesis was constructed to minimize the bone removal in the wrist and to spare the distal radioulnar joint. The instruments required for the systems implantation were also designed. Ethical approval was received from the Regional Ethical Committee and after informed consent was obtained, eight non-rheumatoid patients (scheduled for wrist arthrodesis) were operated on. The patients were followed yearly thereafter. After 7 to 9 years, the fixed center of the ball-and-socket articulation was shown to provide good stability and mobility. Pain and grip strength was satisfactory. No dislocations, metacarpal fractures or cut-outs, or mechanical failures of the implants occurred. Two distal screws loosened (both revised with new distal screws) most likely due to motion between the capitate and the third metacarpal. One early inflammation and one late hematogenous infection occurred (both revised to arthrodesis). Although the four non-revised and the two patients with revised distal implants had a good result at follow-up (increasing the ROM from 64° to 125°, with unaffected forearm rotation and satisfactory pain reduction), the revision rate was high. None of the patients regretted choosing arthroplasty over arthrodesis. We propose modifications to the implant with reduction in the diameter of the screws and the height of the threads, and rounding of the distal tip. The surgical technique should include: release of the third CMC joint; alignment of the capitate and the third metacarpal and arthrodesis of this joint with bone chips to increase implant fixation and longevity.

**Paper 4**

Promising 1-6 years results with the Motec wrist arthroplasty in SNAC and SLAC patients.

The Motec cementless wrist arthroplasty was introduced in 2006. The implant is made of Bonit® or plasmasprayed HA-coated gritblasted titanium-alloy (Ti6Al4V) screws for bone fixation with a modular ball-and-socket Co-Cr-Mo articulation. The arthroplasty is based on the principle of bone implant fixation, low-wear articulation, minimal bone removal, simple technique and sparing of the distal radioulnar joint. 30 patients (20 men) with destroyed SNAC (16) or SLAC (14) wrists were operated upon and followed prospectively. The mean age at surgery was 52 (31-71) years. Nineteen out of 30 were working (seven in heavy manual labour) preoperatively. All prostheses integrated well radiologically. At a mean follow-up of 3.2 (1.1-6.1) years, no dislocation or implant breakage occurred. Two painful wrists, one of them with infection/inflammation (negative bacterial cultures), were fused. One arthroplasty loosened after 5 years, with infection suspected. The remainder demonstrated intimate bone-implant contact. The clinical results were excellent with markedly decreased DASH (> 20 points) and pain scores, along with increased motion and grip strength compared to preoperatively. None of the patients used analgesic medications at follow-up, and the majority were working (18/30, 6 in heavy manual labour). Knowing the outcome, 27/30 would have chosen arthroplasty again. The new wrist arthroplasty has functioned well after short-term follow-up.
in a posttraumatic, high demand patient group.
Materials and Methods

Paper 1 and 2
A similar set up was used in the first two papers.

Implants
In the first study 84 screw shaped implants (3.75 mm in outer diameter, 8 mm long; 6 mm threaded, 2 mm square headed, pitch height 0.6 mm) were prepared by turning from rods of Ti6Al4V (Mechanical shop, University of Gothenburg, Sweden). After ultrasonically degreasing in trichloroethylene and rinsing (twice) in absolute ethanol the screws were blasted with Al2O3 particles of a size of 50-75 µm in a custom-mode motorised rotation chamber (ELOS Medical AB, Timmersdala, Sweden). Post-blasting they were treated in trichloroethylene and absolute ethanol as before. Half of the batch was set aside as control samples, while the other half of the batch received a 15 ±5 µm thick coating of Bonit® (Patent WO 02/05862, DOT Medical Solutions Laboratories GmbH, Rostock, Germany) (test implants). The coating was electrochemically applied at room temperature in an aqueous solution of calcium and dihydrogenphosphate salts. The calcium:phosphate ratio of the coating was 1.1 ±0.1 according to the manufacturer. After application of the coating the implants were heated to a maximum of 130°C for 20 minutes to instantly remove moisture and prevent the slow transformation to HA. All implants were finally heat sterilised in an oven at 150°C for 1 hour.

In the second study 180 screwshaped (the initial 60 are reported) implants were prepared by turning from rods, according to the same specifications as in paper 1, (from Edstraco AB, Stockholm, Sweden) with similar gritblasting. Half the batch received a 15 ± 5 µm coating of Bonit® (DOT Medical-Solutions Laboratories GmbH, Rostock, Germany) as in paper 1. The other half of the batch received a standardised plasmasprayed coating of HA (MedicalGroup, Lyon, France) with a mean thickness of 40 µm, a crystallinity > 60% and a Ca/P ratio of 1.67-1.76 according to the manufacturer, figure 28. The coating of HA covered only one side of the screw thread, see below. All screws were finally sterilised by gamma radiation at the respective coating company.

Figure 28. The screwshaped implants used in the second paper. A) HA coating (whitish). B) Bonit®-coated.

Surface characterisation
Surface chemical analysis was performed on one unused gritblasted, one Bonit® and one HA screw using X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (Physical Electronics (PHI5500) Chanhassen, Minnesota, USA).
Surface roughness was characterised by an interferometer (MicroXam TM®, Phase-Shift, Arizona, USA). Three unused gritblasted, three Bonit® and three HA screw implants were measured on nine sites each (three tops, three valleys and three flank areas). Each measured area was 264x200 μm. Three surface parameters were described (Thomas 1999): Sa, which is a pure height descriptive parameter measuring the average height deviation in micrometers. Sds = Density of summits/μm². This parameter describes how close the individual irregularities are, thus this is a pure spatial descriptive parameter. Sdr is a parameter describing, in percent, the developed surface area compared with an equally sized absolute flat reference area. This parameter is influenced by variations in height and in spatial direction, and is therefore called a hybrid parameter. The interferometer results are displayed in figure 29.

Figure 29. Interferometer analysis of the implant surface from paper 1. A) The control surface. B) The Bonit® surface.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM, LEO 1550 Geminy, Germany) was used to qualitatively investigate the sample surfaces of one uncoated, one Bonit®-coated and one HA-coated screw. In addition light microscopical examination of the screw surfaces was done on cut and ground sections of one Bonit®-coated, one HA-coated and one uncoated screw.

Animals and anaesthesia
The first study was approved by the local animal ethics committee at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden and performed in Gothenburg. The second study was approved by the animal ethics committee at the University of Oslo (UiO), Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Oslo and performed at UiO, Oslo.
The first and second studies involved 14 and 30 adult female New Zealand White rabbits respectively. The rabbits were given standard food and water, and they were kept in separate cages prior to the experiment as well as after. Before surgery the rabbits were anaesthetised by an intramuscular injection of phentanylf/luanizion (Hypnorm®, Vet. Janssen, Saunderton, England) at a dose of 0.5 ml/kg, and 2.5 mg diazepam (Stesolid®, Dumex, Copenhagen, Denmark) intraperitoneally. Further injections with Hypnorm® were administered as needed. The hind legs were shaved and disinfected prior to injection of local anaesthesia with 1 ml 0.5% lidocain (Xylocain®, Astra-Zeneca AB, Södertälje Sweden) in the operating field. The skin and facial layers were opened under sterile conditions and closed in separate layers. The periosteum on the insertion sites, i.e. the tibial tuberosity and the
medial femoral condylar region was gently pulled away (and not resutured), followed by low rotary drilling with a graded series of drills and tapping with tailor made instruments during profuse saline cooling. Three screws were inserted in each leg (two implants in the tibia and one in the femur), i.e. uncoated vs. Bonit®-coated in the first study, and Bonit®-coated vs. HA-coated in the second, figure 30.

Figure 30. Peroperative view. A) The femur screw is placed. B) Prior to closure. Three screws in situ placed in the knee region, one in the distal condylar femur region and two in the proximal tibia.

Postoperative buprenorfin 0.25 mg/kg (Temgesic®, Reckitt and Coleman, USA) was administrated. All animals were given antibiotic prophylaxis (trimetoprim 40 mg/ml and sulfamethoxazol 200 mg/ml (Borgal®, Intervet International B.V Boxmeer, Netherland) 0.5 ml/kg subcutaneously, which was continued for 5 days with 0.2 ml/kg x 2 in the drinking water). The follow-up time was scheduled to be 6- and 12 weeks (seven rabbits in each group) in the first study, 6, 12 and 52 weeks (10 rabbits in each group) in the second. One rabbit died after three weeks in the first study leaving 6 rabbits for the 6 week evaluation and 7 for the 12 week. In the second study one rabbit in the 12 weeks group did not recover after surgery and died after 10 days, and one rabbit in the 52 weeks group was found dead after 40 weeks. The remaining 10 rabbits in the 6 weeks group and nine in the 12 and 52 week groups fared well. All rabbits were euthanized with an overdose of pentobarbital (Apoteksbolaget, Uppsala, Sweden) intravenously.

The distal tibial implants were used for biomechanical removal torque tests, while the proximal tibial screws and the femoral implants with surrounding bone tissue were prepared for histomorphometrical evaluation. All screws are reported from the first experiment, while the removal torque results were reported from the second study.

**Biomechanical test**

**Removal torque tests**

The torque needed to loosen the test and control implants from the bone bed in vivo is performed using custom-made electronic equipment with the animal in full anaesthesia allowing for similar conditions of sample testing. The square headed implant is connected to a pin fitting the removal torque jig, figure 31. The device had a strain gauge transducer enabling direct readings of the peak loosening torque in Newton centimetres (Ncm) (Johansson, Han et al. 1998; Johansson 2001). This equipment is routinely used for biomechanical tests in our laboratories and has been involved in
several PhD theses and thus various in vivo studies (Wennerberg 1996; Ivanoff 1999; Franke-Stenport 2002; Sul 2002; Sundfeldt 2002). In the second study a baseline test for the removal torque was done on a recently euthanized rabbit (refrigerated and thawed), which was operated as the other rabbits, but the removal torque test was done immediately after surgery to investigate the persistence of the two coatings after the removal torque test.

Figure 31. The removal torque set up. A) Schematic drawing. B) In vivo measurement.

Sample preparation and evaluation of sections
In the first study the proximal tibial and femoral screws were resected en bloc with surrounding bone tissue and immersed in 4% neutral buffered formaldehyde for one week. Further treatment followed the internal guidelines of the laboratories. In brief this involved dehydration in graded series of ethanol, pre-infiltration in diluted resin, infiltration and embedding in pure resin (Technovit VLC 7200, Kützer, Germany). Decalcified cut and ground sections were prepared using the Exakt cutting and grinding equipment (Exakt Apparatebau, Norderstedt, Germany) and prepared according to the procedure described by Donath (Donath 1988) and Johansson (Johansson and Morberg 1995). All specimens were divided in the same anatomical manner, i.e. along the long axis of the implants. Sections with an initial thickness of 200 μm were prepared and further ground to approximately 10 μm. All sections were histologically stained in 1% toluidine-blue in 1% Borax solution mixed in proportions of 4:1 with 1% pyronin-G solution followed by cover slipping. Qualitative histological descriptions and quantitative histomorphometrical evaluations were performed in a Leitz Aristoplan light microscope (Leitz Gmbh, & Co. KG, Oberkochen, Germany). The microscope is equipped with a Leitz Microvid unit connected to a PC (Johanssen 1991) and a mouse enabling “direct measurements to be performed through the eye piece of the microscope” and semi-automatic computer-based evaluations. Cut and ground sections of one unused gritblasted and one Bonit® screw were also performed.

In the second study the samples were prepared by a similar manner. Bulk staining with basic fuchsine added to the dehydration steps in ethanol was carried out on the 6 week samples. This technique has been referred to as a staining suitable for the observation of micro-cracks in the light microscope (Burr, Martin et al. 1985). However since we found it difficult to observe cracks, the two other groups received the routine histological staining involving 1% toluidine blue in 1% Borax solution mixed in proportions of 4:1 with 1% pyronin-G solution followed by cover slipping. A Leitz Aristoplan light microscope (Leitz Gmbh, & Co. KG, Oberkochen, Germany) was used for qualitative
histology and for bone length measurements on the removal torque loosened implants. Cut and ground sections of one unused Bonit® and HA screw as well as one Bonit® and HA implant from a dead rabbit (which was operated like the others and removal torque tested immediately afterwards) were also examined in the microscope for evaluation of the coating and for its stability/endurance after the surgical procedure with screwing and removal torque testing. The proximal tibial and femur samples were prepared for histomorphometric analysis, but this is not part of the thesis.

The histomorphometrical quantifications in study one involved (figure 32 and 33):

i) bone to implant contact in all threads around the implants
ii) bone contact in the 3 best consecutive threads in the cortical region of the tibial implants
iii) bone area in all threads around the implants
iv) bone area in the 3 best consecutive threads in the cortical region of the tibial implants
v) bone area in the out-folded mirror images to the 3 best inner threads. This investigation may reflect time dependent remodelling effects (Johanssen 1991).

Figure 32. Illustration of the histomorphometric evaluation. A) Screw in situ in the cortical region of the rabbit tibia. B) Bone-implant contact. C) Bone area within the threads. D) Outfolded mirror image.
Figure 33. Histological section at 400 x magnification of a test sample demonstrating histomorphometric measurements at higher magnification within one thread. Implant in black. Direct bone-implant contact in red (% of length between the threads), yellow line demarcates bone-area within the thread minus green areas (% of area).

On the removal torque tested implants a rough estimation of the shear strength could be calculated by using the removal torque and bone length measurements from one central cut and ground section of each loosened implant. The bone length was measured using the 4x ocular magnifier. The microscope is connected to a computer using the Leitz Microvid (Ernst Leitz Wetzlar GMBH, Germany) unit. The microscope oculars are calibrated using a known scale with the aid of the computer program, and the bone lengths are measured in mm and reported with two decimals. We examined the length of bone in close contact with the implant through the cortical region on the original ground specimen. The soft tissue regions in the cortical regions were excluded. The bone marrow was without cancellous bone in this part of the tibia. The formula: $T / \pi \times d \times r_l \times l$ was applied where $T = \text{removal torque (Ncm)}$, $d = \text{mean diameter of the implant (3.45 mm)}$, $r_l = \text{lever arm (= radius i.e. 1.725 mm)}$, and $l = \text{the entire bone tissue length along the implant surface (mm)}$ in the cortical region (Derezende and Johansson 1993). The measurement is a reflection of the shear strength between implant and bone (Stenport and Johansson 2008; (Johansson, Jimbo et al. 2010). The test was performed in both studies, but only reported from the second (see below).

**Paper 3 and 4:**

**Implants**

In the third study two variations of the same implant were used. In the first two patients a cone shaped, short and thick screw (made out of gritblasted Ti6Al4V) with rounded ends and high and wide threads (cutting in the proximal and non-cutting in the distal implants) along the entire implant was used, figure 34.
Fig 34. The arthroplasty used in the first two patients.

In the last 6 patients, longer and thinner screws with lower cutting threads and without threads distally were used. The screws were cross cut distally for an exact fit. A metal-on-metal (highly polished Cr-Co-Mo) ball-and-socket articulating mechanism was employed. The modular articulating system was fixed with a Morse cone to the screw shaped implants in the capitate/third metacarpal and the radius. An articulation diameter of 18 mm provided almost 80° of motion in all directions before impingement occurred between the implant components. All implants were coated with Bonit® (DOT Medical, Rostock, Germany). The instruments required for the surgical procedure were also developed to make surgery as straight forward and easy to learn as possible, figure 35.

Figure 35. The instruments developed for implantation. From the top, cannulated radius reamer and distal reamer, implant screwdriver, countersinker for the socket, impactor for the ball and socket, pins for the cannulated system and trial components for final implant selection.

In the fourth study, the Motec total wrist arthroplasty (Swemac Orthopaedics AB, Linkoping, Sweden) was used, figure 36. The implant had been thoroughly changed from the experience gained during the developmental period (study 3). The screw-shaped implants are made of gritblasted titanium alloy, with cutting threads except for the most distal part. The diameter and the height of the threads were reduced.
The blasted outer surfaces of the screws were coated with Bonit® (DOT Medical, Rostock, Germany) (54 components: 26 metacarpal and 28 radial) or hydroxyapatite (HA) (MedicalGroup, Lyon, France) (6 components: 4 metacarpal and 2 radial), according to the surgeon’s preference. Bonit® is a 15±5 μm thick, resorbable, electrochemically deposited calcium-phosphate coating. The plasmasprayed HA is approximately 40 μm thick with a crystallinity exceeding 60%, according to the manufacturer. Three lengths of radial (32, 38 and 44 mm) and 5 of capitate/third metacarpal (45, 50, 55, 60 and 65 mm) screws are available, the latter in 2 different diameters. The cobalt-chrome-molybdenum articulations are highly polished with a surface roughness of $R_{\text{a}} \leq 0.08 \, \mu m$ and a difference in diameter between the ball and socket of 0.09-0.17 mm. The last 16 articulations received an extra smooth (Ra=0.04 μm) wear resistant chromium-nitride surface coat of 4-6 μm (Medthin-Silver, IonBond AG, Olten, Switzerland). The articulation is available in two diameters (15 and 18 mm); 18 mm was chosen for all our patients. The modular taper lock coupling includes balls with 3 neck lengths and a total length variation of 5 mm. The maximum motion in all directions permitted by the coupling approaches 80° with the long and standard necks and 65° with the short neck, limited by impingement between the cup and the neck or the cup and the distal screw. The instruments for the surgery were refined and kept to a minimum, figure 37. The prosthesis was CE approved according to the ISO standard 14360 in 2006.

Figure 37. The instrument set for the arthroplasty surgery. Reamers with lower cutting threads, blunt guidewires, quick lock screwdriver and trials for tension and stability adjustment.
Patients

None of the patients are included in both study three or four. In the third study, The Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics in Norway (S-99082, 1999) allowed insertion of the prosthesis in patients who had given informed consent. The implants were offered to non-rheumatoid patients admitted for wrist arthrodesis and were ineligible for more limited wrist procedures. The characteristics of the eight patients (seven males) operated from February 2001 to June 2003 are shown in Table 1. The median age of the patients was 52 (range, 23-76) years. Two patients were retired; the remaining 6 were employed.

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Follow-up (years)</th>
<th>DRUJ-osteoarthritis preoperatively</th>
<th>Previous operation(s)</th>
<th>Opposite wrist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Motor mechanic</td>
<td>SNAC wrist</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Four-corner fusion</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Timbermill worker</td>
<td>SNAC wrist</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Bone graft and osteosynthesis x 2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>Lunatum malacia</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Teacher/farmer</td>
<td>Sequele distal radius fracture</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Osteosynthesis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>SLAC wrist</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Triscaphe osteo arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>SLAC wrist</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Engine driver</td>
<td>SNAC wrist</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Bone graft and osteosynthesis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Caretaker</td>
<td>SNAC wrist</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>52 (23-76)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.6 (7-9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four of the patients had prior surgery in the affected wrist.
In the fourth study all patients from January 2006 to January 2011 with scaphoid non-union advanced collapse (SNAC) and scapho-lunate advanced collapse (SLAC) who were scheduled for wrist arthrodesis were offered arthroplasty; 30/35 chose the latter. The indication was heavy wrist pain associated with destruction of the radiocarpal joint, thus contraindicating four-corner fusion. If in
doubt, a CT scan was taken to visualize the joint surfaces. Degeneration in the radiolunate joint was considered a contraindication for limited carpal arthrodesis or resections. The prospective follow-up study was approved by the hospital's data authority (22 February 2006). 30 patients (20 men) were included and followed-up prospectively. 16 SNAC and 14 SLAC wrists were operated; 23 right and 23 dominant hands. The mean age at surgery was 52.4 (31.0-71.4) years. 16 patients were graded ASA 1, 12 ASA 2 and two ASA 3. 22 previous wrist surgeries (fracture treatment, ligament reconstruction, bone resection or limited arthrodesis) had been performed on 13 patients, with multiple surgeries in eight cases. Five patients had bilateral osteoarthritis of the wrist and eight of the ipsilateral distal radioulnar joint; one had previously undergone Darrach's procedure.

**Surgical technique**

A longitudinal incision ulnar to the Lister’s tubercle commenced 2-3 cm proximal to the wrist joint and concluded just distal to the third carpometacarpal (CMC 3) joint. The extensor retinaculum was elevated subperiosteally to the radial styloid and close to the distal radioulnar joint. A longitudinal capsular incision was made from the radius to the CMC 3 joint. The lunate, the proximal 2/3 of the scaphoid, and the radial styloid were removed. The distal radioulnar joint was avoided. The entry point of the distal guide wire was centrally on the head of the capitate, transversing the CMC 3 joint and entering the diaphyseal canal of the third metacarpal. The proximal guide wire entered the ridge between the lunate and the scaphoid fossa approximately in the midpoint. The principles of the operation are shown in figure 38.

Figure 38: A) The K-wire is drilled centrally through the capitate and into the third metacarpal. B) Reaming of the screw canal. C) Screwing home the distal component. D) Drilling the K-wire centrally into the radius. E) Reaming of the canal. F) The radius screw is in place and the socket has been punched into the screw. The ball is locked into the distal screw.

Prophylactic antibiotic treatment with cefalotin 2g x 4 (Keflin, EuroCept, Ankeveen, Netherlands) was used for one day postoperatively. A forearm cast was applied for median 30 (range, 24-38) days.

In the fourth study, the principle of the surgery was similar, although we emphasized some new parts of the surgery. The CMC 3 joint was opened. Cartilage and sclerotic bone was removed in a wedge-
shaped fashion to establish an arthrodesis in slight extension giving a straight line from the entry point in the capitate through the CMC 3 and passing the isthmus of the metacarpal. Under fluoroscopic control, the guide wire was passed through the capitate, across the CMC 3 joint and into the third metacarpal, ending in the caput. The reamer was introduced over the guide wire and advanced until filling the proximal metacarpal diaphysis, optimally passing through the isthmus. The appropriate size of capitate/third metacarpal screw was inserted, and filling in resected spongy bone completed the CMC 3 arthrodesis. This aimed to provide the maximal continuous bone-implant contact. On the proximal side, the distal radius was opened with an awl in the middle of the ridge between the lunate and scaphoid fossae. The guide wire was passed, with the aid of the fluoroscope, centrally in the medullary canal up to the junction between the distal and middle one third of the radius (which secures centralization of the position in the distal radius). When the reamer engaged both cortices in the lateral view, the appropriate size of radius screw was found. After countersinking for the cup, the screw was driven in. Trial cup and balls optimised tension and range of motion. The triquetrum was excised if ulnar impingement was suspected. The patients received intravenous cefalotin/Keflin® (EuroCept Pharmaceuticals, Netherlands) 2 g x 4 or clindamycin/Dalacin® (Pfizer Inc. New York, USA) 600 mg x 4 (with the first dose preoperatively). A plaster slab secured slight wrist extension and radial deviation. The sutures were removed after two weeks and another cast applied for four weeks, after which a wrist exercise program was commenced. During the cast period, the patient was encouraged to use the shoulder, elbow, forearm and fingers on the operated side.

Follow-up
In the third study, standard wrist radiographs were taken postoperatively and at outpatient clinical follow up after 3, 6, and 12 months with yearly follow up thereafter. In the fourth study, follow-up was scheduled at 6 weeks, 6 months, 1 year and yearly thereafter. The patients graded radial and ulnar sided wrist pain at rest and in activity using a scale of 0-10 with 0 indicating no pain. Active range of motion (AROM) and passive ROM (PROM) of the wrist (flexion + extension + radial + ulnar deviation) as well as forearm rotation were measured using a handheld goniometer. At follow-up the grip strength was assessed with Biometrics G100 E-link Hand Dynamometer (Biometrics Ltd, Gwent, United Kingdom). The measurements were performed by physiotherapists unrelated to the treatment and unaffiliated with our department. The patients completed the DASH score (Hudak, Amadio et al. 1996), translated and validated into Norwegian (Finsen 2001; (Finsen 2008). The DASH questionnaire has been developed to assess global upper extremity function and is a valid instrument for the evaluation of single or multiple disorders in the upper extremity at one or many points in time (Beaton, Katz et al. 2001). In the fourth study the DASH and grip strength measurement were also performed preoperatively and key pinch was measured with JAMAR® Key pinch dynamometer (J.A. 88 Preston Corp., Clifton, NJ, USA) at follow-up and compared with the contralateral side.

Radiology: AP and lateral radiographs pre- and postoperatively and at all follow-ups were compared and examined for CMC 3 arthrodesis, bone-implant contact and migration. Subsidence of the distal component was measured from the distal end of the metacarpal screw to the distal end of the metacarpal head. Periprosthetic radiolucent lines were registered. Loosening was defined either as a continuous radiolucent line surrounding, or measurable migration of the component. Focal osteolysis was defined as any round or scalloped area of bone loss. Revision was defined as any change of the arthroplasty components or conversion to arthrodesis, and considered a failure.
**Statistical methods**

In the first study the Wilcoxon signed rank test was used for comparison of related samples (test vs. control for the 6- and 12 week samples) and Mann-Whitney U test for independent samples (6 week results compared to 12 week results) due to a non-parametric distribution of the measurements. P values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant. In the second study the measured parameters exhibited a normal distribution demonstrated by the q-q plot. Student t-test was used for intra-individual comparisons. For inter-individual comparisons an independent t-test was used, and adjusted for Bonferroni. An * was given for the Bonferroni-corrected p-values in the results section. Confidence intervals (CI) are given for the comparisons, and p values < 0.05 are considered statistically significant. In the third study the data is presented as median (range). No statistical comparisons were made due to the low number of patients. In the fourth study data is given as mean (SD). Student t-test was used to compare continuous variables. All p-values were 2-tailed, and the significance level was set to 0.05. A Kaplan Meyer survival plot was estimated using the time from the primary operation to revision of any cause or death. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. The data was analyzed with SPSS for Windows version 16.01 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
Results

Study 1 and 2

Surface characterization

The surface of all implants comprised calcium, phosphorous, oxygen and carbon and traces of zinc. The uncoated implant also had aluminium and traces of lead and copper on the surface, and the HA-coated implants also revealed nitrogen on the surface, figure 39.

Figure 39. The surface chemical composition as revealed on spectroscopy. A) HA-coated screw. B) Bonit\textsuperscript{®}-coated screw.

Qualitative differences between the three different screws were revealed by scanning electron microscopy, figures 40 and 41. The SEM surface of the HA screws also demonstrated a rough surface, but less spiky as compared to the Bonit\textsuperscript{®} surface.

Figure 40. A) The uncoated SEM surface, demonstrating a more homogenous, less rough surface. B) The Bonit\textsuperscript{®}-coated SEM surface demonstrating a spiky and relatively rough surface.
Light microscopical investigation of the sections taken from the non inserted implants revealed no coating on the gritblasted screws, while the Bonit® coating was seen as a continuous rim on the surface of the implant. The Bonit® sample showed black particles in the evenly distributed coating layer. The HA coating was unequally distributed with variations in thickness, the thickest being around 40-50 μm. The coating was consistently found on the same flank side of the thread, diminishing towards the other; the latter probably being “leeward” during the plasmaspraying process, figure 42.
Figure 42. Histological picture of unused A) Bonit® screw revealing a continuous thin coating layer with black particles embedded and B) HA screw with the coating mainly on two thirds of the threads.

Microscopical evaluation of the baseline screws tested in the second study demonstrated persistent coatings on both samples after insertion and removal torque testing.

**Surface roughness**
In the first study the Bonit® implants had a considerably rougher surface than the uncoated screws. The average height deviation was much greater and the surface had a much denser structure demonstrated by the parameter $S_{\delta s}$. As a result the surface enlargement was approximately 225% compared with 33% for the control, Table 2.

Table 2. Interferometric surface roughness analyses from study 1. Mean (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_a$ (μm)</th>
<th>Bonit®</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.427 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.731 (0.15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\delta s}$ (ds/μm²)</td>
<td>0.113 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.107 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{dr}$ (%)</td>
<td>224.86 (57.53)</td>
<td>32.94 (8.17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In study 2 the $s_a$ and $s_{dr}$ parameters were significantly higher for the HA than the Bonit® screws. The density of summits, were significantly greater on the Bonit® surface due to the spiky appearance as demonstrated with scanning electron microscope, table 3.

Table 3. Interferometric surface roughness analysis from study 2. Mean (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_a$ (μm)</th>
<th>Bonit®</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.51 (0.15)</td>
<td>3.04 (0.54)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\delta s}$ (ds/μm²)</td>
<td>0.138 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.107 (0.01)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{dr}$ (%)</td>
<td>102.93 (14.08)</td>
<td>165.29 (44.98)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Removal torque

In the first study there was a difference in removal torque values in favour of the Bonit®-coated screws, although not significant at 6 weeks (Table 4). For both types of screws there were significant increases in removal-torque values from 6 to 12 weeks.

Table 4. The removal torque results in Ncm (±SD (range)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bonit®</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 w (n=1)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 w (n=6)</td>
<td>26 ±12 (11-42)</td>
<td>18 ±8 (6-28)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 w (n=7)</td>
<td>52 ±3 (48-57)</td>
<td>37 ±5 (32-46)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the second study, the HA screws demonstrated the strongest fixation at 6 weeks, moderately increasing at 12 weeks, without further enhanced fixation at 52 weeks. The Bonit®-coated screws exhibited increasing fixation with time. Comparing the two screws, the fixation was in favour of HA after 6 and 12 weeks, while the opposite was the case after 52 weeks, however the latter was not statistically significant (Table 5). The increased fixation of Bonit® at 6 vs. 12 and 12 vs. 52 weeks was statistically significant (p = 0.005 and p = 0.004, respectively). The increased fixation of HA was insignificant between the 6 vs. 12, and 12 vs. 52 weeks (p = 0.5 and p = 1.0, respectively).

Table 5. The removal torque results in Ncm (±SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bonit®</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 w (n=10)</td>
<td>45.0±11.9</td>
<td>79.8±19.3</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 w (n=9)</td>
<td>70.4±12.8</td>
<td>92.5±27.5</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 w (n=9)</td>
<td>109.8±30.0</td>
<td>93.9±34.5</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bone length and shear strength

Bone length and shear strength were recorded in both studies, but not preferred as part of the manuscript by one of the reviewers evaluating the first study. In the second study the two coatings exhibited different patterns of bone length and shear strength values with increasing time. A uniform picture was found for the Bonit®-coated implants demonstrating a steady increase in both removal torque and bone length with time, giving correspondingly enhanced shear strength throughout the follow-up (due to a greater increase in removal torque as compared to bone length), table 6.

Table 6. Bone length in mm, mean values and standard deviation (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bonit®</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 w (n=10)</td>
<td>2.72 (0.42)</td>
<td>1.76 (0.75)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 w (n=9)</td>
<td>3.05 (0.73)</td>
<td>3.14 (1.24)</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 w (n=9)</td>
<td>3.16 (0.85)</td>
<td>1.93 (0.76)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The HA screws demonstrated its greatest bone length at 12 weeks, while the removal torque exhibited a minimal increase with time thus giving shear strength peak at 6 and 52 weeks. The bone length was 65% and 61% greater for the Bonit® compared to the HA screws at 6 and 52 weeks, respectively, at 12 weeks the bone length was approximately identical. The difference in bone length adjacent to the Bonit® coated implants compared to HA resulted in 300% higher shear strength in favour of the HA screws at 6 weeks (p = 0.005), table 7.

Table 7. Mean shear strength values in N/mm² and standard deviations (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bonit®</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 w (n=10)</td>
<td>9.01 (2.57)</td>
<td>29.13 (14.91)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 w (n=9)</td>
<td>12.82 (2.82)</td>
<td>17.08 (6.29)</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 w (n=9)</td>
<td>19.74 (7.31)</td>
<td>36.27 (33.25)</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantitative histomorphometric analysis (performed on the non-removal torque implants in the first study):
Bone to implant contact: The bone contacts were greater for the Bonit®-coated samples compared to the controls both at 6 and 12 weeks but not statistically significant for all threads at 6 weeks. All other contact parameters were significantly greater for the Bonit®-coated samples.
Bone to implant contact increased for both the test Bonit® and control implants from 6 to 12 weeks, but this difference was not significant, as shown in table 8.

Table 8. Bone-implant contact (%) mean±SD (range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bonit®</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Femur, 6 w, all threads</td>
<td>40 ±10 (24-52)</td>
<td>37 ±13 (18-52)</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur, 12 w, all threads</td>
<td>49 ±5 (42-54)</td>
<td>40 ±8 (24-52)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia, 6 w, all threads</td>
<td>22 ±4 (14-29)</td>
<td>18 ±9 (9-33)</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia, 6 w, 3 best consecutive threads</td>
<td>47 ±10 (34-62)</td>
<td>35 ±16 (17-62)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia, 12w, all threads</td>
<td>30 ±6 (24-40)</td>
<td>22 ±6 (14-31)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia, 12w, 3 best consecutive threads</td>
<td>53 ±10 (44-71)</td>
<td>42 ±6 (37-53)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value (all threads)</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value (3 best consecutive threads)</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bone area
Irrespective of time- and area parameters tested there were no significant differences observed between Bonit® and control samples. In general the quantitative data of areas in all threads showed no increase with time. The relation between the 3 best inner areas compared to the mirror images demonstrated about a 1 – 1 relation (Table 9).

Table 9. Bone area in %, mean±SD (range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bone area (%)</th>
<th>Bonit*</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All threads:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>39 ±9</td>
<td>38 ±10.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(28-61)</td>
<td>(21-58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>35 ±11</td>
<td>38 ±12.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(14-61)</td>
<td>(22-62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 best cons inner threads</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>74 ±8</td>
<td>72 ±9.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(57-90)</td>
<td>(52-85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>69 ±17</td>
<td>75 ±8.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(33-94)</td>
<td>(59-92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mirror image</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>78 ±10</td>
<td>74 ±10.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(41-87)</td>
<td>(51-93)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>68 ±18</td>
<td>67 ±12.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(29-92)</td>
<td>(40-95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Qualitative histological description

Study 1
3 weeks samples
**Femur:** Survey inspection of test and control samples revealed extensive callus formation on both sides of the implants. In this area the cells appeared to be chondrocyte-like and had a “reddish” staining. One could observe dark purple/red areas inside the bone tissue as well. In the bone tissue under the callus formation there were clear demarcation lines observed between old and newly formed bone. Newly formed bone trabeculae were observed inside the threads and appeared like rims on the thread implant surfaces. An ongoing bone remodelling was present with osteoclasts resorbing old bone and osteoid rims seen on new formed bone areas. No differences could be observed between test and control samples.

**Tibia:** Survey inspection of test and control samples revealed greater callus-like formation on the inferior side compared to the superior. The periosteal thickening /formation was greater than the endosteal bone tissue formation. It seemed like the new bone formation in the marrow threads was greater on the test side. Multinucleated giant cells could be observed in close vicinity to the implant surfaces, especially in the marrow cavity.

6 weeks samples
**Femur:** Survey inspection revealed a greater callus-like formation on the superior side compared to the inferior, although some small thin bone trabeculae were seen on the latter forming a shell-like structure on top of the old cortical layer. Inside this structure the tissue had a more bone-marrow like appearance compared to the superior side. The area on the superior side was filled with thicker trabeculae and some areas of light purple stained cartilage-like material. There was also a large amount of cells and blood vessels. The cartilage-like areas were entrapped in the tissue surrounded
by new bone trabeculae extending from this cartilage like tissue outwards in the callus. An ongoing bone remodelling (osteoid with osteoblasts and osteoclastic resorption of bone) could be observed on the trabeculae. Most of the bone tissue in the threads was newly formed and only a few areas demonstrated contact with old cortical un-remodelled bone. Osteoid like tissue could also be seen in close relation to the implants. Multinucleated giant cells, light stained macrophages and cells with seemingly phagocytised material could be observed close to the implant surfaces.

**Tibia**: The survey inspections of the tibia samples revealed a greater periosteal reaction (with a large amount of bone trabeculae incorporated in this area) on the superior side compared to the inferior. The superior side seemed to have a more pronounced thickening /corticalization than the inferior side. Most of the bone tissue in the thread region was newly formed bone. Clear cement-lines between old and newly formed bone were observed. Osteoid like tissue with osteoblast seams was located close to the interface. Higher magnification revealed cells with particles/debris presumably internalised. Multinucleated giant cells could be observed in close vicinity to the implant surfaces as well.

**12 weeks sample**

**Femur**: Survey inspections of both materials revealed remnants of a possible callus formation on both sides of the implants. It seemed as if the superior side had a greater callus formation than the inferior and it showed a more pronounced corticalization. The former also had a thin bone shell demarcating the outer area of pre-existing callus area compared to the opposite side. The tissue under this shell had a marrow like structure. Higher magnification demonstrated some cells in this region with internalised “material”. Bone remodelling units could be seen close to the interface region. Osteoid rims with osteoblasts were also located close to the implant surface. In soft tissue regions in the interface one could observe blood vessels, macrophages and multinucleated cells. The latter were either large and light stained, or smaller and darker stained in close vicinity to the implant surface.

**Tibia**: The periosteal and endosteal thickening was greater on the 12-week samples compared with the 6 weeks samples. Internal comparisons revealed that the endosteal formation was possibly not as pronounced as the periosteal formation. The bone tissue in close contact with the implants had been remodelled. Haversian canals could be seen inside the thread areas. Irrespective of material, osteoid like tissue was observed on the implant surfaces. Some thread-bottom areas in the marrow cavity demonstrated soft tissue structures with a loose capsule like formation filled “bone forming islands” in the centre-region and large multinucleated giant cells in “direct” contact with the implants. The thread tops revealed a more dense capsule formation separating the implant from the bone marrow cavity. Irrespective of implant material, one could observe cells with black and yellow like particles that seemed to have been internalised / phagocytised. Macrophages and light stained elongated multinucleated giant cells in close connection to the surfaces were seen.

In the first study, no major qualitative differences were seen between test samples and controls when examined with ordinary light microscopical technique within the same time point.

**Study 2**

In the second study, the histological examination on the Bonit® screws on the removal torque samples demonstrated a uniform pattern of loosening between implant and bone after application of the removal torque. Coating remnants were not observed in the interface region when inspected in the light microscope at any observation time. Callus formation (i.e. periosteal bone tissue formation)
and immature young bone was observed at 6 weeks, maturing through 12 weeks with remodelling and conversion to a lamellar structure after 52 weeks. The bone-implant length increased gradually. Multinucleated giant cells and macrophages were seen occasionally in the interface region. The overall histological picture was consistent with that of “calm” normal bone remodelling (figures 43 a, b, c and figure 44).

Figure 43. Photomicrographs of sections. (a) Six-week Bonit® screw, bulk staining with basic fuchsin. (b) Overview of a 52-week sample demonstrating the shape and position of the screw and the macroscopic appearance in the tibial bone. (c) Magnification of a 52-week Bonit® screw demonstrating the bone-implant contact. Toluidine blue routine histological staining in (b) and (c).

Figure 44. Close up of a Bonit®-coated screw at 12 weeks. The entire interface region reveals remodeled bone (RB) tissue. Except macrophages being observed in the soft tissue regions in the interface no inflammatory reactions were observed. Cement lines (CL) are clearly visible between new formed bone and old cortical bone (OB). Both bone forming and bone resorption cavities can be observed. Toluidine blue routine histological staining.

The HA-implant interface revealed detachment both between the bulk implant and coating (HA adherent to the bone) as well as between the HA coating and bone (HA adherent to the implant), both patterns being demonstrated along the very same implant at all times of follow-up. When blood was seen in the interface between the coating and bone/implant, the detachment probably occurred during the removal torque test. Detachment was not seen through the coating or through the bone outside the coating.

The bone in the vicinity of the HA-coated screws demonstrated a less uniform picture as compared to
the Bonit® implants. At 6 weeks immature young bone was observed in the contact area, as well as resorption of bone and HA. At 12 weeks the most active histological picture was observed, with remodelling of bone and resorption of HA substituted by immature bone or soft tissue in the contact zone and with remnants of HA in the vicinity. Remodelling cavities were also seen further away from the implant often containing HA particles. A similar, but less active picture was found at 52 weeks, where more resorption and substitution of HA was seen. HA was also observed in remodelling cavities further away in the bone tissue. The larger particles where surrounded by cells, minor particles were internalised in cells. The most active and complex histological picture was seen at 12 weeks. This had diminished at 52 weeks. Multinucleated giant cells were frequently observed, often containing granules of HA. The bone length was shorter compared to the Bonit® implants at 6 and 52 weeks; at 12 weeks they were almost the same length (figures 45 a, b, c and figure 46).

Figure 45. Photomicrographs of HA-coated implants: (a) 6-week bulk staining with basic fuchsine. (b) Overview of the 52-week sample demonstrating the shape and position of the screw and the macroscopic appearance. (c) Magnification of the 52-week HA screw. Toluidine blue routine histological staining in (b) and (c).

Figure 46. A 12-week close up of a HA-coated implant demonstrating loose HA particles (arrows) in the soft tissue outside thread peak as well as in bone remodelling cavities further away from the implant. Older cortical bone can be observed separated from younger bone by cement lines. Note regions of more woven bone (immature) structure internalized in the remodeled bone. OB=old bone; WB=woven bone; and CL=cement lines. Toluidine blue routine histological staining.
Study 3

Since the third study can be considered a case series the individual results from each patient are given after which some general results are given and reflections made. A brief summary of each of the eight patients follows:

Patient 1 (first edition). A periprosthetic radiolucent line was seen in the distal metacarpal at 3 months, whereas intimate bone-implant contact was observed in the capitate and the radius. The clinical result was excellent, but deteriorated after two years when the metacarpal lines progressed to the capitate, and the distal screw loosened. The prosthesis was removed and arthrodesis of the wrist performed. Although pain-free, the patient missed wrist motion, especially at work, and he insisted on rearticulation, which was performed after three years. The revision prosthesis was well integrated radiologically, and wrist function was good three years following rearticulation, figure 47.

Figure 47. Patient 1 (first edition prosthesis). A) after 1 year, intimate bone-implant contact in the radius and capitate, radiolucent line surrounding the metacarpal part, B) after 2.5 years, a loose distal component with distal screw migration, C) arthrodesis, asymptomatic open CMC 3 joint, D) 3 years after rearticulation, intimate bone-implant contact and CMC 3 arthrodesis after bone transplantation. The DRUJ is unaffected.

Patient 2 (first edition) showed a line surrounding the metacarpal part of the screw at 1 year. Intimate bone-implant contact was seen in the capitate and radius. The wrist function was excellent.

Patient 3 (second edition). A perforation of the ulnar and dorsal cortex of the metacarpal occurred during the reaming. After six months intimate bone implant contact was seen in the radius and the capitate, while a radiolucent line was present in the metacarpal interface radially and distally along the implant. After two years, the distal screw loosened in the capitate as well. A revision screw was inserted, but septic loosening occurred (staphylococcus epidermidis). The whole prosthesis was removed 18 months after revision, and an iliac crest bone graft and plate arthrodesis was performed as a one stage procedure. Kloxacillin (Diclocil, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, New York, USA) 1 g x 4 daily was given for 8 weeks and the arthrodesis healed uneventfully.

Patient 4 (second edition). Reaming caused a near complete perforation of both the ulnar cortex of the metacarpal and volarly in the radius. A surrounding radiolucent line was observed from 3 months
along the metacarpal part of the implant, but the prosthesis demonstrated intimate bone-implant contact in the capitate and radius. DRUJ osteoarthritis was treated with the Darrach’s procedure after four years. The wrist function was good at follow-up.

Patient 5 (second edition). The distal screw was inserted uneventfully, but a perforation of the dorsal cortex occurred in the radius. Radiologically the prosthesis exhibited intimate bone-implant contact along both components. However, a dorsal tenosynovitis of the wrist developed and synovectomy revealed the joint to be full of whitish material. This raised suspicion of infection and the well-fixed prosthesis was removed. CRP/SR was not taken preoperatively. All cultures from the joint were sterile. Histology showed inflammation mainly with lymphocytes. The patient received kloxacillin (Diclocil, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, New York, USA) 1 g x 4 daily for 3 months. After two years she was rearticulated with a new prosthesis. Darrach’s procedure was performed concomitantly. Cultures obtained during the reoperation were sterile. The new prosthesis shows intimate bone-implant contact, and the wrist function is good three years following revision.

Patient 6 (second edition). A near complete perforation of the radial metacarpal cortex was experienced at surgery. The radial screw was inserted close to the DRUJ but did not penetrate it. After 3 months the distal screw exhibited a surrounding radiolucent metacarpal line, though not in the capitate or radius. The patient developed DRUL osteoarthritis, and the Darrach’s procedure was performed after two years. The wrist functioned well at follow-up.

Patient 7 (second edition). The reaming nearly perforated the dorsal radius, but the bone defect healed well. Both components exhibited intimate bone-implant contact from 3 months on and the wrist function was excellent, figure 48.

Figure 48. Patient 7 (second edition). A) postoperative image showing near perforation of the dorsal cortex of the radius, B) 1 year postoperatively demonstrating intimate bone-implant contact along both components, traces of bone radially and ulnarily C) At 6 years, ectopic bone has rebuilt the radial styloid after resection, spots of mature bone are seen in the soft tissue ulnarly. The DRUJ is unaffected.

Patient 8 (second edition). The reamer perforated the ulnar diaphysis of the metacarpal. A radiolucent line surrounded the metacarpal part of the implant after 3 months, but no line was found
in the capitate or radius. The wrist functioned well. After 5 years the distal component loosened, and a fistula developed at the level of the radial styloid while the patient was waiting for revision. At revision a focal osteolysis surrounded the distal 1/5 of the proximal implant adjacent to the joint. CRP and ESR were 76 and 25 respectively. Abiotrophia defective (a nutritional variant of streptococcus normally occurring as mouth flora) grew in all cultures. The infection was considered to be blood borne. The prosthesis was removed, gentamycin (Septopal®, Biomet Merck Norge AS, Oslo, Norway) was administered locally and clindamycin (Dalacin, Orifarm, Oslo, Norway) 300 mg x 4 orally for 3 months. A wrist arthrodesis was subsequently performed with an iliac bone graft and a plate, healing uneventfully.

**Radiographic evaluation:** CMC 3 fusion was not seen in any patient. All radius screws were integrated to bone without any radiolucent line. The two loosenings of the metacarpal screws started with a radiolucent line at 3-6 months, gradually surrounding the implant. With the exception of patient 6 in which the cup may have interfered with the DRUJ, the joint was unaffected by the prosthesis. A summary of the results is given in table 10.

**Table 10. Radiological results.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Metacarpal</th>
<th>Radius</th>
<th>Interface at 1 year</th>
<th>Interface at follow-up</th>
<th>Reoperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metacarpal</td>
<td>Capitate</td>
<td>Radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Lines</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Lines</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>Perforation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Lines</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>Near</td>
<td>Near</td>
<td>Lines</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>Perforation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>Near</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Lines</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Near</td>
<td>Lines</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>Perforation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Functional evaluation:** The prosthesis provided excellent pain relief and patient satisfaction (table 11). No patient required analgesics due to wrist pain. One patient retired during the follow-up period, the remaining 5 continued to work. All patients would have chosen the arthroplasty again if they had known the outcome. The median total active range of motion was 117 and 192°, and the grip strength 34 and 43 kgs in the operated and non-operated wrist, respectively. Pronation and supination were unaffected (table 12).
Table 11. Patient satisfaction at follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>DASH at follow-up</th>
<th>Pain radially at rest</th>
<th>Pain radially at activity</th>
<th>Pain ulnarly at rest</th>
<th>Pain ulnarly at activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arthrodesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Arthrodesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>10.3 (1.7-71.2)</td>
<td>0 (0-5)</td>
<td>0 (0-8)</td>
<td>0 (0-6)</td>
<td>1.5 (0-6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12. Range of motion (°) and grip strength (kgs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Preop AROM</th>
<th>AROM operated side follow-up</th>
<th>AROM opposite side</th>
<th>Sup/ pron preop</th>
<th>Sup/pro postopr follow-up</th>
<th>Grip strength operated</th>
<th>Grip strength non-operated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>80/80</td>
<td>90/80</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>80/80</td>
<td>85/90</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arthrodesis</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Arthrodesis</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>Arthrodesis</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>70/75</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>85/70</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Arthrodesis</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Arthrodesis</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>Arthrodesis</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>7.7 (7-9)</td>
<td>64 (25-40)</td>
<td>125 (100-148)</td>
<td>208 (140-240)</td>
<td>90 (80-90)/90 (80-90)</td>
<td>90 (70-90)/90 (70-90)</td>
<td>31 (11-45)</td>
<td>43 (14-54)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study 4**

In the fourth study, the mean operation time in the 30 patients was 103 (SD = 20, range 74-170) minutes. A concomitant Darrach’s procedure was performed in one patient with symptomatic distal radioulnar osteoarthritis. There were no peroperative complications. One postoperative superficial wound infection recovered after a week of oral antibiotics, and there have been no signs of deep infection after 3 years of follow-up. The mean cast period was 44 days (SD = 8, range 17-62). One patient declined follow-up after cast removal, however four years later the prosthesis has not been revised. All the remaining patients were followed-up according to the schedule for a mean of 3.2 years (SD=1.5, range 1.1-6.1).
**Radiographic analysis**: All prostheses integrated well radiologically without subsidence, osteolysis or lines, figure 49.

Figure 49. A) Preoperative SLAC wrist, B) Frontal 3 years follow-up  C) Lateral projection at 3 years follow-up. Intimate bone-implant contact without lines or osteolysis.

Two painful but well-fixed arthroplasties with stable articulations and no soft tissue metallosis were revised and converted to arthrodesis without affecting the pain: One initially satisfied patient experienced increasing tenderness after 6 months and the wrist was fused at 16 months. The fusion procedure using an iliac bone crest and an arthrodesis plate, was uncomplicated. The other patient developed increasing pain after 5 months. Exploration at 7 months elicited inflammation with greenish pus. Multiple bacteria cultures of pus, bone, synovia, as well as scrapings and swablings from the articulation and screws were all negative. The components were replaced with a gentamicin-impregnated cement spacer. The patient insisted on preserving motion, and, after 4 months of with antibiotic treatment, another arthroplasty was inserted. Similar pain recurred without signs of loosening and total fusion was performed 8 months later. Both fusions healed and the latter patient is working at follow-up. One prosthesis loosened before the 5-year follow-up, figure 50. The patient suffered from an anal fissure the preceding year and, therefore, raised suspicion of septic loosening. Although surgical intervention has been advised, minor complaints have made the patient reluctant up till now.
Figure 50. A) At 4 years, well integrated arthroplasty and well functioning wrist. B) At 5.5 years, loosening of both components with enveloping double lines, subsidence and compensatory metacarpal diaphyseal widening.

Five other patients underwent additional wrist surgery during the follow-up period due to DRUJ pain or pain with radiocarpal motion: one Darrach’s procedure was performed after 0.8 years, and four bone resections were undertaken due to impingement. The surgery gave better functional outcome in these patients, and these five, as well as the remaining 23 patients, all have their original arthroplasty in situ.

Three patients developed focal periarticular osteolysis in the radius without affecting the clinical outcome, in the most advanced case the majority of the radial styloid (figure 51) was affected. They all stabilised after 1 year.

Figure 51. Focal periarticular radial osteolysis filling out the radial styloid at 3 years. Sclerotic line limiting the non-progressing lesion. Excellent clinical outcome.

One arthroplasty demonstrated early periprosthetic lines in the capitate simultaneously with intimate third metacarpal bone-implant contact (figure 52). Another case showed intimate capitate bone-implant contact with metacarpal lines (figure 53). Both wrists were stable without signs of
loosening and the wrist function was excellent. In these two patients the CMC 3 fusions had failed, (which also was the case in the revised wrist with inflammation); all other CMC 3 fused.

Figure 52. At 5 years, periprosthetic capitate lines without progression. Still intimate bone-implant contact in the metacarpal and radius.

Figure 53. At 3 years, periprosthetic metacarpal lines without progression. Intimate bone-implant contact in the capitate and radius.

All the remaining protheses demonstrated persistent bone integration without migration, osteolysis or lines. No dislocation or implant breakage occurred. With two revised arthroplasties, the six-year estimated survival was 93.3 % (CI= 5.1 – 6.1) (Figure 54).
The clinical performance increased rapidly during the first year, slowly up to 3 years and stabilizing (table 13). Satisfactory pain relief, increased range of motion and grip strength was achieved.

Table 13. The clinical result. Mean (SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperatively (n=30)</th>
<th>1 year (n=27)</th>
<th>2 years (n=21)</th>
<th>3 years (n=14)</th>
<th>4 years (n=8)</th>
<th>5 years (n=4)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain radially at rest</td>
<td>3.8 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.7 (1.2)*</td>
<td>1.0 (1.8)*</td>
<td>0.8 (2.0)*</td>
<td>0.5 (1.1)*</td>
<td>0.5 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain radially at activity</td>
<td>7.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.9 (2.3)*</td>
<td>2.1 (2.6)*</td>
<td>1.6 (2.4)*</td>
<td>2.1 (2.3)*</td>
<td>4.3 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain ulnarily at rest</td>
<td>2.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.3)*</td>
<td>0.3 (0.8)*</td>
<td>0.4 (0.9)*</td>
<td>0.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain ulnarily at activity</td>
<td>4.7 (3.5)</td>
<td>2.2 (2.6)*</td>
<td>0.8 (1.1)*</td>
<td>1.0 (1.7)*</td>
<td>1.5 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.5 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AROM operated (*)</td>
<td>104 (32)</td>
<td>120 (24)</td>
<td>122 (20)</td>
<td>131 (26)</td>
<td>137 (22)</td>
<td>124 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROM operated (*)</td>
<td>117 (35)</td>
<td>125 (24)</td>
<td>127 (20)</td>
<td>135 (26)</td>
<td>143 (24)</td>
<td>134 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AROM opposite (*)</td>
<td>188 (33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROM opposite (*)</td>
<td>194 (36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active supination (*)</td>
<td>83 (10)</td>
<td>85 (8)</td>
<td>87 (5)</td>
<td>89 (2)*</td>
<td>88 (8)</td>
<td>87 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active pronation (*)</td>
<td>87 (6)</td>
<td>82 (10)*</td>
<td>84 (5)</td>
<td>85 (4)</td>
<td>85 (6)</td>
<td>85 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMAR operated (kgs)</td>
<td>22.6 (12.7)</td>
<td>22.8 (10.5)</td>
<td>28.5 (10.8)*</td>
<td>28.6 (10.1)*</td>
<td>25.4 (9.7)</td>
<td>23.2 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMAR opposite (kgs)</td>
<td>36.0 (12.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASH</td>
<td>43.0 (18.5)</td>
<td>19.2 (18.2)*</td>
<td>17.5 (14.7)*</td>
<td>17.1 (17.7)*</td>
<td>19.5 (13.2)*</td>
<td>22.9 (22.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05 compared to preoperative
** statistics not performed on the 5 year results
The mean key pinch at the most recent follow-up was 8.9 kgs (SD = 2.7, range 5-14) compared with 9.5 kgs (SD = 3.3, range 2-14) contralaterally (p = 0.44). No patient used analgesics for wrist pain. The majority of the employed patients had returned to work (table 14). Three of five patients with long-term sick leave preoperatively where disabled at follow-up due to non-wrist related issues. With the exception of the two patients with revised prostheses, all patients would have chosen arthroplasty again knowing the outcome.

Table 14. Working status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperatively</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy manual labour</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White collar work</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term sick leave</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensioner or house wife</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Study 1 and 2

There are some weaknesses of the two animal studies. The first study had a low number of animals, necessitating the use of large difference in measured values (rtq/histomorphometri) between the groups of implants in order to demonstrate statistical significance. A higher number of animals could have demonstrated significant differences between the implants where none was found in the study. Unfortunately there is no standardised available data for bone implant/shear strength in these animal experiments, making a power analysis difficult. A type II statistical error (not finding a significant difference where one exists due to a low number of n) could be the case for some of the non-significant findings. Another weakness in this animal setup is the non-weight bearing situation the screws were subjected to. The bone continuity will only expose the implants to shear forces, while tension and compression forces for the most part would surpass the implant. The ideal situation in our case would be a type of arthroplasty for the animals, giving information on a range of issues including wear and fixation. The drawback of a more complex experimental setup includes bias and confounders; problems or interesting findings may be camouflaged and therefore not reported. The relatively simple, well established and reliable model used in our studies has the advantage of reducing the potential technical, systematic and individual shortcomings and should therefore not be underestimated. Using skilled, trained personnel from the technician to the scientist is a major strength in this situation. Another weakness of the second study was the irregular HA coating. We ordered a complete, thin plasmasprayed coating on the implants which proved difficult to obtain on small, screwshaped implants.

Much attention has been given to the characterisation of implant surfaces. Albrektsson considered the optimal surface roughness to be $S_a \approx 1.5 \mu m$ in an oral implants review (Albrektsson and Wennerberg 2004). A rougher or a smoother surface than $S_a \approx 1.5 \mu m$ on titanium implants exhibited less bone ingrowth in one short and one long term study by Wennerberg et al. (Wennerberg, Ektessabi et al. 1997; (Wennerberg, Hallgren et al. 1998). The optimal $S_{ts}$ and $S_{tr}$ are presently not known. Both our studies demonstrated that the surface roughness ($S_a$) of Bonit® was favourable ($\approx 1.5 \mu m$) compared to both the uncoated gritblasted implants ($\approx 0.7 \mu m$) and to HA-coated implants ($\approx 3.0 \mu m$). After 3-6 weeks all Bonit® is resorbed (both theoretically and evaluated microscopically), exposing the alloy surface, which is similar to the original gritblasted roughness. Thus from 6 weeks on, none of the surfaces have an optimal roughness according to Albrektsson. The difference in surface roughness alone cannot explain the different fixation pattern.

Research on thin resorbable calcium phosphate coatings has so far been mainly experimental (Schmidmaier, Wildemann et al. 2002; (Rossler, Sewing et al. 2003). In vitro studies with Bonit® have showed osteoblast activation and coating resorption in an MG-63 osteoblast-cell culture (Becker, Neumann et al. 2004). Preliminary reports of in vivo animal and human dental investigations have suggested faster bone in-growth with Bonit® coated implants as well as resorption of the coating without inflammatory reaction (Szmukler-Moncler S 2000; (Massei G 2001). A study in goats compared titanium-alloy implants with two different calcium-phosphate coatings (electrochemical HA and brushite), Ti-plasmaspray and uncoated implants. At 3 and 15 weeks the mechanical strength was tested and histomorphometric measurements performed (Biemond, Eufrasio et al. 2011). They confirmed the brushite to be resorbable at 3 weeks, while the thin HA layer was not completely resolved. The mechanical push out test was in favour of brushite (but not significant), HA (significant)
and uncoated (significant) at 15 weeks, but not at 3 weeks. The bone implant contact was similar between the four different implants, while the bone- ingrowth depth was in favour of brushite and HA at both 3 and 15 weeks. The authors concluded that the “biomimetic calcium phosphate coating appeared to work as an accelerator of bone ingrowth”. Recently a larger human dental study with the Bonit® coating was published (Palarie, Bicer et al. 2012). 311 implants (all implants similar) in 124 patients were evaluated, and after one year the survival of the implants were comparable to the literature on other types of well functioning dental implants without coating. Unfortunately, this prospective series using the same type of implant in a large, but inhomogeneous group of patients makes any conclusion on the coating difficult. Their conclusion was “neither special disadvantages nor benefits were found, and long-term results are further needed”. The positive effect of thin calcium phosphate coatings might be relatively limited.

The first study demonstrated that the Bonit®-coated implants resulted in a stronger biomechanical implant fixation compared to the un-coated Ti6Al4V gritblasted controls. This observation may be related to the rougher surfaces of the Bonit®-coated screws or a stimulating effect of the coating itself. Some of the effect is related to the surface roughness, and this is in agreement with other studies performed in similar animal models (Wennerberg, Albrektsson et al. 1995; (Wennerberg, Albrektsson et al. 1996; (Wennerberg and Albrektsson 2009). Initial osteoblast activation followed by coating degradation and release of calcium and phosphorous ions into the interface as a reservoir for new synthesis of hydroxyapatite has been proposed as a mechanism for the in vitro osteoblast experiments performed by Becker et al (Becker, Neumann et al. 2004). The primary surface roughness-effect of the coating may have diminished quickly. Our experiments demonstrated a continuing activity in the interface between implant and bone resulting in increased bone-implant contact, bone length and shear strength on the Bonit® coated screws as compared to uncoated and with longer in-situ time. The Bonit® coating effect is limited to the immediate vicinity of the implants, demonstrated by the similarity in bone response further away from the interface. In the first study the bone area measurements together with the mirror images (both of which measure the secondary changes in bone stock and quality) did not show any differences between the Bonit® and uncoated implants. The histological picture was also similar between the two groups and no cytotoxic effect or inflammatory response was seen. The long term follow-up in our second study revealed the same “calm” histological picture for the Bonit® screws confirming the biofriendly effect. In the first study the Bonit® covered implants showed a better bone to implant fixation both biomechanically and histomorphometrically. The findings in the condylar spongious region of the rabbit femur confirms earlier findings in a pig model and in human biopsies (Zmukler-Moncler S 2000; (Massei G 2001). The findings in the cortical region of the tibia are however new observations and interesting with regard to orthopaedic implants. The cortical region is the anchorage region for many orthopaedic prostheses and implants, and increased primary fixation here may prolong the implant survival and prevent aseptic loosening (Willert and Buchhorn 1999).

Experimental and clinical non-resorbable plasmasprayed HA coatings have demonstrated bone-HA contact (Gottlander and Albrektsson 1992; (Hayashi, Mashima et al. 1999). Plasmasprayed HA coatings have a strong and unquestionable osteoconductive effect, and have the ability of gap filling (Soballe and Overgaard 1996), but the coatings are also prone to delamination and degradation with subsequent increase in low grade inflammation by multinucleated giant cells and macrophages (Gottlander, Johansson et al. 1997; (Rokkum, Reigstad et al. 2002). Although bone implant contact remained strong, the bone amount in the area and mirror area diminished with time in the
experimental study by Gottlander et al. (Gottlander, Johansson et al. 1997). The same picture including coating degradation or delamination has been observed with thicker plasmasprayed HA coated implants (Morscher, Hefti et al. 1998; Rokkum, Brandt et al. 1999; Rokkum, Reigstad et al. 2002).

The coating covered impurities from the production and sandblasting, as demonstrated by the surface chemical investigation on both the Bonit® and HA samples giving them a more homogenous surface as compared to the uncoated screws. In the HA case, the covering was only partial when viewed by the light microscopy, and for the Bonit® coating it is almost totally resorbed after three weeks. Although an initial bone response could be impeded by differences in surface heavy metals such as alumina, lead or copper (Hallab, Vermes et al. 2002), but this effect is difficult to measure in vivo and would be similar. Ion release from different surface roughnesses was equal in a similar animal model, and the effect is probably neglectable (Wennerberg, Ide-Ektessabi et al. 2004).

A steady increase in the bone length and the removal torque of the Bonit®-coated screws was seen during the observation period. The resorption of Bonit® after 3-6 weeks resulted in direct bone-metal contact and probably constituted a stable situation during the degradation process as seen in our first study. Our findings are confirmed by others (Biemond, Eufrasio et al. 2011). The histological picture with bone remodelling into a lamellar structure and minimal inflammatory response probably increased implant stability. We did not experience any negative effect of the Bonit® at short, medium or longer term follow-up, and fear of side effects can be cancelled. The bone remodelling matched the resorption phase to the repair rate. The ability of blasted titanium and titanium-alloy implants to form strong bone-implant fixation and the biocompatibility of the metals have been demonstrated in the same animal model as well as in clinical and retrieval studies of humans (Lintner, Zweymuller et al. 1988; Johansson, Han et al. 1998; Reigstad, Siewers et al. 2008).

Generally, the removal torque, bone-implant length and shear strength are expected to increase with time and loading (Johansson, Han et al. 1998; Cui, Zhang et al. 2000). Also an increase in shear strength in the bone implant interface with time is expected due to maturation of the bone with resorption of callus and woven bone and conversion to a stronger lamellar bone structure (Cui, Zhang et al. 2000). The rapid and strong bone response to HA is important as to achieve direct bone-implant contact, and is especially desired in the clinical situation where joint replacements requires early loading. In the second study the bone-implant length was in favour of Bonit® at 6 weeks compared to HA, but the interface bonding was weaker (lower removal torque and shear strength). For the HA-coated screws the process regarding removal torque and bone length subsided after 12 weeks although the interfacial fixation (shear strength) of the intact bone-implant areas still remained strong (demonstrated by the high removal torque but short bone-length). This is consistent with the histological picture we found for the HA screws with flaking of the coating, delamination and multinucleated giant cell activation. At 52 weeks the HA bone length decreased, but more mature lamellar bone structure gave a stronger point fixation preventing a reduced removal torque. The new thinner plasma sprayed HA coating used in our study exhibited a bone reaction similar to the more widely used thicker coatings, but less volume of HA on our implants may have limited the inflammatory soft tissue response. The resorption of much HA at 52 weeks can explain the less active histological picture as compared to the 12 weeks samples. These mechanisms explain the undulating bone length and shear strength as well as the disordered histological picture adjacent to the HA-coated screws. At the intact interface between bone and HA the point fixation was stronger for the HA at all observation periods but statistically significant only at 6 weeks. We attribute this difference
to the stronger bioactivity and bone stimulating effect of plasmasprayed HA. Potential side effects of HA coatings should be considered when choosing surface modifications on clinical orthopaedic and dental implants. In the second study the higher removal torque values (almost the twice of Bonit® after 6 weeks) at 6 and 12 weeks in favour of HA may be attributed to stronger bioactive properties. The ability to induce early intimate contact between bone and HA coated compared to Ti-alloy implants has been demonstrated by Soballe (Soballe 1993), observing higher push-out values, more bone ingrowth and improved gap healing up to 16 weeks in a dog model. Chang et al found superior attachment and bone-implant contact with different plasma sprayed HA coating compared with sandblasted commercially pure (c.p.) titanium up to 26 weeks (Chang, Lew et al. 1999). These two studies did not however perform any qualitative histological evaluation of the tissue response in the vicinity of the implants.

The significance of the lack of HA on one side of the thread is difficult to estimate. The discontinuity of the HA might weaken the bond between the implant and coating and increase the deflaking tendency. We found persistent coating on the baseline test implants after screwing in and removal torque testing, at least exhibiting shear resistance of the coating. Whether a continuous coating would have increased the fixation or increased the side effects remains unanswered, but it demonstrates the difficulties in the application of thin plasmasprayed coatings. Long term negative effects of HA have been reported in experimental and clinical studies (Gottlander and Albrektsson 1991; Rokkum, Brandt et al. 1999) with delamination and flaking of the coating, foreign body inflammation and implant loosening. Morscher et al. experienced excellent short term results with a thick HA coated hip arthroplasty, but these results deteriorated after longer observation. Ten out of 25 revisions were due to severe osteolysis in the acetabulum and the proximal femur. HA was found in the articulating surface (embedded in the polyethylene and scratching the chrome-cobalt head) as well as in the granulomatous tissue in the osteolytic areas, demonstrated with SEM and energy-dispersive X-ray microanalysis (Morscher, Hefti et al. 1998). Histological examinations were not performed. An in vitro study by Velar et al. found polymorph nuclear response as well as pro-inflammatory mediators to HA-particles, postulated to contribute to implant associated inflammation (Velard, Laurent-Maquin et al. 2009) which we also found.

**Study 3 and 4**

A weakness of the third study includes a lack of a patient rated subjective scoring like the DASH score prior to surgery. The grip strength (in the third study) and key pinch (in the fourth study) not being recorded preoperatively is also a weakness.

Arthrodesis has been considered a permanent painless solution for destroyed wrists and is less disabling than in other joints due to the compensatory motion of the forearm, elbow and shoulder. Long-term follow-up studies have demonstrated that some fused wrists are tender, the functional disability is troublesome, and the majority of patients miss a minimum of movement (Adey, Ring et al. 2005). With a stiff wrist, any decreased range of motion in more proximal joints will lead to a relatively greater reduction of hand function than a stiff wrist alone. A painless wrist with a range of motion exceeding 110° provides almost normal wrist function (Ryu, Cooney et al. 1991), and as little as 25° of motion permits significantly more activities of daily living than arthrodesis (Nelson 1997). Wrist arthrodesis is currently the gold standard for treatment of a destroyed wrist; a randomised prospective comparison of arthrodesis to wrist arthroplasty would be interesting. A low-quality retrospective comparison of wrist arthrodesis and the Universal wrist arthroplasty in rheumatoid
patients was performed by Murphy et al (Murphy, Khoury et al. 2003). A chart review on complications was done and questionnaires sent to the patients. There was a trend toward a better functional outcome in the arthroplasty patients, the remaining outcomes were similar. No conclusion was attempted. A systematic review on wrist arthrodesis vs. wrist arthroplasty in rheumatoid patients found comparable or better results for wrist arthrodesis (Cavaliere and Chung 2008). The majority of studies included old and abandoned arthroplasties, and no non-English papers were included. Performing a prospective, randomised study between arthrodesis and arthroplasty renders some inherent problems. It could be criticised of being a comparison of apples and oranges, the definition of primary end points would be debatable (motion vs. non-motion, hardware failure vs. revision, wrist scoring schemes etc). Furthermore, the recruitment of patients could be difficult when informing about the treatment options (motion vs. non-motion). In our department, many of the patients were referred to us for wrist arthroplasty surgery after having been offered and declined an arthrodesis at the local hospital. Persuading them to be included in a study where arthrodesis was an option would be difficult.

Wrist arthroplasty has traditionally been limited to elderly patients and patients with general disabilities such as rheumatoid arthritis with bilaterally destroyed wrists and with a low demand lifestyle (Figgie, Ranawat et al. 1990; (Adams 2004). Well functioning and long lasting wrist prostheses for non-inflammatory active patients have not yet been documented. In study 3 and 4, we were able to, for non-rheumatoid patients, establish stability of the wrist, reduce the amount of bone removed and spare the distal radioulnar joint. Unlike other reports on wrist prostheses (Bosco, Bynum et al. 1994; (Menon 1998; (Kretschmer and Fansa 2007) we saw no instability or dislocations, and there were no problems with preoperatively normal distal radioulnar joint. The implant developed differs from most of the reported total wrist prostheses by transforming the wrist to a ball and socket joint with a metal-on-metal bearing and a fixed centre of articulation. Conversion of the complex wrist joint into a single ball-and-socket articulation proved to be stable and mobile. Instability, dislocation and wear have been seen in egg-shaped arthroplasties like the Biax and the Universal Total Wrist (Divelbiss, Sollerman et al. 2002; (Stegeman, Rijnberg et al. 2005; (Kretschmer and Fansa 2007). The Meuli III arthroplasty with reverse ball and socket articulation demonstrated distal implant perforation and loosening. Despite this, good stability was reported by Meuli and Meuli and Fernandez on mixed rheumatoid and non-rheumatoid patients (Meuli and Fernandez 1995; (Meuli 1997), although dislocations have been reported in rheumatoid patients by others (Strunk and Bracker 2009).

In the normal wrist the centre of rotation for flexion and extension and radial/ulnar deviation is assumed to be located in the head of the capitate (Youm, McMurthy et al. 1978), which is slightly distal to the centre of our prosthesis. We have not found this transfer of the centre to be of any disadvantage for articulation. The ball-and-socket concept has been stable and resulted in a good range of motion.

According to Youm et al. (Youm, McMurthy et al. 1978) some rotation of the wrist occurs in the radiocarpal joint. In our opinion an ellipsoidal articulation gives stress risers in rotation as well as in radial and ulnar deviation. A distal convex polyethylene component may increase this problem. A ball and socket articulation eliminates the difficulty, transforming the shear forces to compression, which may reduce wear.

Periprosthetic reactions to MOM articulations are likely rare in non-weight bearing joints. In patients with large resurfacing arthroplasties of weight bearing joints, pseudotumour formation and elevated
blood ion levels have been reported (Mahendra, Pandit et al. 2009). The problems have been attributed to mechanical factors of specific designs (Delaunay, Petit et al. 2010; Underwood, Matthies et al. 2011; Seppanen, Makela et al. 2012), where impingement has given high wear. So far no conclusions have been drawn concerning the MOM joint replacements (Haddad, Thakrar et al. 2011) but caution is encouraged for large diameter MOM in weight bearing joints. The diametral clearance in MOM articulations is an important factor to diminish wear. A clearance that is too tight or a too wide may cause wear problems. The optimal difference has been estimated to be around 100 μm (Dowson, Hardaker et al. 2004) in a hip simulator study. The diametral clearance of Motec wrist is 0.09-0.17 mm according to the manufacturer, which is within the optimal range. A small diameter, non-weight bearing articulation like the wrist has a much lower wear than that of the hips and knees. So far we have not had indications of wear or particle related problems with the developmental arthroplasty or the Motec wrist. The new chromium-nitride surface coating used in approximately half the patients in the latter study has demonstrated a low wear rate experimentally (Williams, Tipper et al. 2003). This may improve the wear properties and longevity of the implant. In study 3 and 4 in non-rheumatoid patients we were able to establish stability of the wrist, reduce the amount of bone removed and spare the distal radioulnar joint. Unlike other reports on wrist prostheses (Bosco, Bynum et al. 1994; Menon 1998; Kretschmer and Fansa 2007) we saw no instability or dislocations, and there were no problems with preoperatively normal distal radioulnar joint. The cementless fixation of the radial component proved effective especially on the radial side, but as with other wrist prostheses (Bosco, Bynum et al. 1994; Cobb and Beckenbaugh 1996; Strunk and Bracker 2009) we encountered problems with the fixation of the distal component. The critical step of the procedure was the reaming and the insertion of the distal screw. We encountered difficulties with the first edition of the distal component which had a very short engagement in the metacarpal. The stability was solely dependent on the fixation to the capitate, and the motion of the CMC 3 joint seemed to prevent bone-implant integration in the metacarpal. With the longer distal screw of the second edition we experienced two other main problems. The angulation of the CMC 3 joint in the frontal and lateral planes (flexion and ulnar deviation) caused a risk of the reamer perforating the metacarpal in the proximal cortical region (complete in two cases, incomplete in two others). The cutting threads of the reamers were too sharp, especially at the tip, making it easy to engage and ream the cortex on one side instead of being forced distally along the medullary canal. The squared distal end of the screw engaged the bone before the end of the reamed cut-out, preventing the implant from being “screwed home” or necessitating overreaming precluding exact maximal primary bone-implant contact and support. During reaming for the proximal screw we experienced the same difficulties and near-perforation of the cortex. The problems with reaming and perforation of the cortex decreased integration of the distal components, whereas all radius screws integrated well. In the third study no effort was done to fuse the third carpometacarpal joint. In the first two patients we predicted erroneously that a relatively thick screw secured both in the capitate and the third metacarpal would fuse the third carpometacarpal joint, improving the fixation of the component. In our two cases of loosening of the distal screw, the process started around the tip and progressed proximally. Movement between the metacarpal and the screw may eventually also loosen the screw from the capitate, although this did not happen in all patients with open carpometacarpal joints. The extensive reaming needed for the relatively large threads of the distal screws created the problem of little primary contact between the implant and the bone. To minimize these issues, particularly with fixation of the distal screw, the prosthesis, instruments, and surgical
technique needed to be addressed before the final concept was introduced. A reduction in the diameter of the screws along with a reduction in the height of the threads aimed to prevent overreaming in the small and/or thin metacarpal bones. The rounded tip from the edition used in the first two patients was reintroduced to minimise risk of perforation of the sides and promoted a central positioning of the screws. The instruments and the technique were also changed; the angle of the cutting threads on the reamer was reduced to force the reamer centrally in the medullary canal, avoiding engagement of the cortex on either side. Distally the wedgeshaped opening and arthrodesis of the CMC 3 joint created a “one bone” for distal fixation. In the radius a blunt guidewire was introduced up to the proximal one third of the radius to secure the centralization of the screw in the distal part of the radius.

The results from the final, fully developed version used in study 4 suggest that these difficulties have been solved in the short term. The rounded tips on the reamers and screws contributed to keeping the implants within the diaphysis. To be capable of withstanding the physical demands of a normal lifestyle, osseointegration is a prerequisite for lasting cementless fixation of wrist prostheses. Fixation of the distal component has been problematic with previous wrist arthroplasties. Most designs have limited the attachment to the carpal bones and the most proximal part of the metacarpals 2-4, and report osteolytic lines and loosening despite fixation with two (Meuli) (Cooney, Beckenbaugh et al. 1984) or three (Biax, Universal and Universal 1, Guepar) prongs (Kretschmer and Fansa 2007; Harlingen, Heesterbeek et al. 2011; Ward, Kuhl et al. 2011). Primary screw fixation proved sufficiently stable for achieving secondary fixation by bone ingrowth. The osteoconductive coating has probably promoted bone apposition and osseointegration consistent with the development of radiologically intimate bone-implant contact in all patients. Achieving “one bone” of the capitate and the metacarpal 3, provided a long and solid bone block with distal cortical attachment similar to the fixation of cementless femoral stems (Reigstad, Siewers et al. 2008). Infected wrist arthroplasties appear to be more frequent than for hips and knees (Levadoux and Legre 2003; Strunk and Bracker 2009; Rizzo, Ackerman et al. 2011). We suspected one infection without loosening and one late septic loosening in the last study. In the first arthroplasty study one of the patients experienced implant loosening after a hematogenous infection. Thin soft tissue covering and multiple prior operations may contribute to an increased infection risk. Broad-spectrum antibiotic prophylaxis and meticulous aseptic and atraumatic technique is mandatory during arthroplasty as well as in subsequent surgery. The liberal use of antibiotics is also advised should infections arise in other parts of the body and for surgical procedures known to have a high risk of infection such as extensive dental work.

The scalloped juxta-articular radius osteolysis appears to be a parallel to periarticular femoral osteolysis of hip arthroplasties and is not associated with loosening (Zicat, Engh et al. 1995). The two patients with either periprosthetic lines in the capitate and intimate bone contact in the metacarpal or the lines in the metacarpal with bone contact in the capitate, were probably caused by motion due to unsuccessful CMC 3 fusion. So far, screw fixation in the metacarpal or capitate only, has secured a painless function of the wrist, but these two patients have less distal fixation than the other patients, and we monitor them carefully. In the patients were minor additional surgery was performed in the follow-up period, the functional result increased and the surgery was worthwhile. All non-revised patients achieved satisfactory mobility of the wrist, grip strength, and pain relief. The majority of patients gained wrist motion, and all have a functional ROM (that is, motion around the neutral position). Overall the decrease in DASH in the fourth study was substantial. A change in DASH
of less than 10 points is considered a minor change, and a change of over 19 is considered a major disability alteration. It is important to separate group and individual analysis of DASH scores. The internal consistency is strong for group as well as for individual comparisons, although for individual patient assessment with DASH the magnitude of the score change has to be studied on an individual level (Gummesson, Atroshi et al. 2003). Also, a higher DASH score is expected with increasing age, and with a longer observation period for our patients, this general increase has to be taken into consideration when comparing with preoperative measurements. In a study by Jester et al a DASH of 14 was found in a healthy working population between 30 and 49 years, and 19 in the population between 50 and 69 years (Jester, Harth et al. 2005). A Norwegian translation was first introduced in Norway late in 2001 (Finsen 2001). The DASH scoring has a special strength when it is done both before and after intervention due to the belief that concomitant problems on the same side will have a similar influence. The DASH results in the third study should be interpreted with caution due to a low number of patients and a lack of a preoperative score (Gummesson, Atroshi et al. 2003).

The increase in grip strength in our patients in the fourth study, from 63% of the contralateral side preoperatively to almost 80% at 2 to 3 years is encouraging. Although preoperative grip strength was not performed in the third study, the follow-up reached 72%, mainly due to one patient (nr 4) giving a very large contribution to the inferior result. Grip strength has been considered an objective tool for measurement not only of strength but also as a global evaluation of the hand function, because it eliminates the “eager to please” effect of subjective scoring schemes (Goodson, McGregor et al. 2007). Reports indicating high satisfaction with subjective increase in the hand function without any increase in grip strength should be interpreted with caution.

It seems that arthrodesis of the wrist with a graft from the iliac crest can be performed as a salvage procedure without specific complications, and with a healing time similar to that of a primary arthrodesis. We have performed 4 arthrodeses in the last two studies, and although 3 were complicated procedures due to infection or inflammation, only one needed an extra distal regrafting and fixation. It could therefore be advised to employ the prosthetic replacement as the first option of treatment for a ruined wrist. The third study has however indicated that a fused wrist can be rearticulated and a good functional result obtained. The arthroplasty used in the rearticulating procedure was the Motec wrist implant. Only primary procedures were included in the fourth arthroplasty paper, and this patient was not included the final paper.

Total wrist arthroplasty has mostly been limited to low demand patients due to problems with loosening, instability and implant breakage (Jolly, Ferlic et al. 1992; Gellman, Hontas et al. 1997; Schill, Thabe et al. 2001). Few publications report wrist replacement in non-rheumatoid patients with a normal life and workload. The Destot prosthesis was used in 25 posttraumatic arthritis (SNAC and SLAC) patients. The clinical results were good and similar to ours regarding motion and strength although six carpal components migrated, three metacarpal stems loosened and two fractured (Levadoux and Legre 2003). The arthroplasty was made of rough blasted 316-L steel and poor bone conductive properties may explain the distal fixation issues. A long-term follow-up of the initially promising results will not be performed because the patients are spread throughout Europe (M Levadoux, personal communication). Furthermore, the arthroplasty has been withdrawn from the market. Kretschmer et al. implanted the Biax arthroplasty predominantly in posttraumatic wrists (Kretschmer and Fansa 2007). One-year results were promising, but within 2.6 years dorsal polyethylene wear, foreign body reaction, synovitis, loosening and permanent luxation necessitated revision in 11 patients. Four postoperative dislocations stabilized after reposition, and nine wrists
demonstrated osteolysis. The authors recommended abandoning the prosthesis (Kretschmer and Fansa 2007). Radmer reported encouraging 1.5 years results in 30 rheumatoid patients with a newly developed cobalt-chrome (APH) wrist arthroplasty with a titanium coated articulation (Radmer, Andresen et al. 1999). After 2-6 years all wrists where revised or scheduled for revision (Radmer, Andresen et al. 2003). The metal articulation was too soft and could not bear the wrist strain and stress.

Few reports exist on contemporary arthroplasties. After initial promising results with the Universal wrist, a recent 5 - 10 year follow-up of 20 rheumatoid patients demonstrated 10 revisions due to polyethylene wear, metallosis, carpal component loosening or persistent dislocation. Two radiologically loose carpal components were not revised (Ward, Kuhl et al. 2011). Two 3.8 and 5.5 year reports on a total of 38 modified Universal 2 prostheses, mainly in rheumatoids, demonstrated increased motion and patient satisfaction (strength not reported), although there were two distal loosenings and one dislocation (van Winterswijk and Bakx 2010; Ferreres, Lluch et al. 2011). The 2.7 year results with the Remotion wrist were good in 13 rheumatoids (ROM=70°, grip strength increased from 7 to 11 kg), and acceptable in 7 non-rheumatoids (ROM=50°, grip strength increased from 13 to 14 kg). One distal and one proximal component (in rheumatoids) were loose (Herzberg 2011). These patients were also part of a newly published prospective multicenter study including 215 cases, 112 had more than 2 years follow up (average 4 years) and approximately 30% were non-rheumatoid (Herzberg 2012). The clinical result was good, with pain and QDASH reduction and gained grip strength. The patients experienced a minor decrease in motion. 4% of the non-rheumatoid patients were revised due to loosening, another 18% were radiologically loose. The Maestro wrist arthroplasty system (Biomet, Warsaw, IN, USA) was reported upon by Nydick et al in a retrospective short term (4-55 months) follow-up study of 22 wrists in 21 patients with a mixed aetiology. The clinical results were satisfactory with a slight increase in motion when compared with preoperative measurements. At follow-up the grip strength averaged 60% of the contralateral side, and the DASH score was 31. They experienced one deep infection (converted to arthrodesis) and one dislocation (stable after closed reduction). They recommended wrist arthroplasty as an alternative to arthrodesis. The Norwegian Arthroplasty Register (NAR) published the results after wrist arthroplasty including the Biax and Motec wrist arthroplasties (Krukhaug, Lie et al. 2011). They found a survival rate of approximately 80% at 5 and 4 years respectively, and a higher revision rate in females as compared to males. The Biax patients had a longer follow-up but consisted almost exclusively of inflammatory wrists. The few non-inflammatory wrists where excluded from the comparison. The Motec arthroplasty demonstrated a similar revision rate in the non-inflammatory and inflammatory patients, but the findings must be interpreted with caution due to the incompleteness of registration of wrist arthroplasties (52%) in the NAR.
Conclusion

1. HA- and Bonit®-coated screws gave a stronger bone-implant fixation than gritblasted titanium-alloy.
2. Bonit® represents a true resorbable calcium phosphate coating, transforming the interface into direct bone-implant contact.
3. Bonit®-coated screws exhibit a predictable, but slower bone ingrowth pattern than HA.
4. The Bonit®-coated screws demonstrate increased fixation from 6 to 52 weeks.
5. No increase in HA fixation is seen between 6 and 52 weeks.
6. HA coating yields a quicker and more powerful fixation than Bonit® after 6 and 12 weeks, at 52 weeks Bonit® was non-significantly stronger than HA.
7. The screw fixed prototype arthroplasty is stable and provide bone implant fixation and good clinical performance in 4 out of 8 non-rheumatoid patients. However, the prototypes of the wrist prosthesis and instrumentation had inherent design features that jeopardized the fixation of the distal screw and increased the risk of cortical perforation.
8. The fully developed wrist arthroplasty demonstrates good clinical results in high demand post-traumatic patients after 3.2 (1.1-6.1) years.
9. The spherical MOM articulation is stable and provides good functional range of motion.
10. The threaded uncemented conical implants demonstrate stable bone fixation and radiologically intimate bone-implant contact.
11. Conversion from failed arthroplasty to arthrodesis was uncomplicated.
12. The fully developed wrist arthroplasty is an alternative to wrist arthrodesis, and can be recommended to post-traumatic wrist osteoarthritis.
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