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### 2. Selected Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AICG</td>
<td>Autologous iliac crest graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACDF</td>
<td>Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACD</td>
<td>Anterior cervical discectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDD</td>
<td>Cervical disc degeneration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>Cervical spondylotic myelopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Cerebrospinal fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASSQ</td>
<td>North American Spine Score Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEEK</td>
<td>Polyetheretherketone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>Visual analogue scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4. Background

This thesis is based on four studies recounting the complications and clinical outcome following surgery for symptomatic degenerative disease of the subaxial part of the cervical spine.
4.1 Anatomy and physiology of the cervical spine

The cervical spine comprises seven vertebrae: the atlas (C1), axis (C2), and five subaxial vertebrae (C3-C7), which contribute to the physiological cervical lordosis.

Between each subaxial vertebra are five joints that permit and restrict mobility of the subaxial spinal column; a large joint between the vertebral bodies, two small synovial joints on each side of the intervertebral disc (uncovertebral joints), and two synovial joints between the articular processes (facet joints). The intervertebral disc is positioned within the joint between the vertebral bodies. The disc comprises a vertebral endplate, nucleus pulposus, and annulus fibrosus, and is thicker anteriorly, which contributes to the normal cervical lordosis. The vertebrae are held together by ligaments and muscles, which give both strength and flexibility to the spinal column.
Knowledge of the important neighbouring structures to the spine, such as the major cervical blood vessels, larynx/trachea, oesophagus, and lower cranial nerves, is mandatory for spine surgery (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Drawing (A) and T1-weighted MR image (B) of the neck showing the vertebrae, spinal cord, trachea, oesophagus, muscles and major vessels. The common carotid artery is in red and the internal jugular vein in blue (axial view).
The cervical spinal canal contains the spinal cord and eight pairs of cervical nerve roots. The spinal nerves exit the spinal canal through the intervertebral foramen located between the pedicles of the vertebrae (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Drawing (A) and T2-weighted MR myelogram (B) of the spine showing the cervical spinal column, spinal cord and spinal nerves (coronal view).

4.2 Pathophysiology of cervical degenerative disease (CDD)

The exact relationship between natural ageing, degenerative processes, and actual disease is far from clear. Cervical spondylosis is a term used to describe the degenerative ageing process that encompasses a sequence of changes in the intervertebral disc, vertebral bodies, joints, and/or ligaments of the cervical spine (1).
The pathophysiologic processes involved in cervical spondylosis can lead to dysfunction of the intervertebral joints, root canal stenosis, and cervical canal stenosis (Figures 4 and 5).

Figure 4: Drawing of cervical spondylosis with foraminal stenosis (A) and spinal canal stenosis (B) (sagittal view).
The intervertebral disc is an avascular tissue element containing cells within an extensive extracellular matrix. A variety of inflammatory mediators have been implicated in the degeneration of the intervertebral disc (2). Although the annulus fibrosus is predominantly collagenous, the matrix of the central nucleus pulposus is rich in proteoglycans. Proteoglycans have a strong attraction for water molecules and the amount of proteoglycans decreases with ageing, a process that is believed to be critical to intervertebral disc degeneration. This leads to loss of elasticity,
reduction of height, and increase in weight bearing for the annulus fibrosus, which can lead to bulging of the disc into the spinal canal/root canal (Figure 6). The increased load borne by the uncovertebral joints may in turn lead to accelerated osteophyte formation (3). The osteophytes may project into the intervertebral root canals and into the spinal canal (Figures 4 and 5). Additionally, disc degeneration accompanied by height reduction increases the axial load on the facet joints, which can induce degeneration of these joints (4;5). Degeneration of the facet joints includes ligament hypertrophy and osteophyte formation causing narrowing of the root canals and spinal canal.
Figure 6: Foraminal stenosis secondary to a herniated cervical disc. Sagittal drawing (A), sagittal T2-weighted MR image (B), axial drawing (C), and axial T2-weighted MR (D).

Root canal stenosis may cause nerve entrapment and resultant sensory deficit(s), motor weakness, and/or radicular pain; spinal canal stenosis may cause myelopathy (1).

Each intervertebral foramen houses its exiting cervical nerves. The largest cervical spine foramen is at the C2/C3 level, and the smallest foramen is at the C6/C7 level. The size of each cervical foramen depends upon its position in the cervical spine. The intervertebral foraminae enlarge with flexion and become smaller with extension. In rotation, the ipsilateral side becomes smaller, and the contralateral side enlarges. Extreme changes of the foramina occur with coupled movements (i.e., flexion-rotation and extension-rotation-lateral flexion). This explains the pain aggravation caused by Spurling’s test in patients with root canal stenosis (Figure 7). A 20%-30% reduction of the foraminal area was found with a 1 mm narrowing of the intervertebral disc spaces, a 30%-40% reduction of the foraminal area was found with a 2 mm narrowing of the intervertebral disc space, and a 35%-45% reduction of the foraminal area was found with a 3 mm narrowing of the intervertebral disc space (6).
Mechanical pressure caused by a herniated disc or by osteophytic formation is the most obvious and probably the most important cause of radicular pain. This may change the intraradicular circulation and cause oedema. In 1989, Olmarker from Gothenburg showed that the formation of oedema in spinal nerves was more pronounced after the rapid than after the slow onset of compression (7). In 1993, Kobayashi et al. showed that the blood-nerve barrier of the nerve root can be disrupted and intraradicular oedema produced by compression of the nerve root in dogs (8). This fits well with surgical observations of inflammation appearing as oedema and hyperaemia of the root. Histological examination of cadaveric intervertebral exit foraminae in which herniated discs were present demonstrated congestion and thrombosis with basement membrane thickening and endothelial fibrosis (9).
Other studies have shown that long-lasting compression can lead to intraneural fibrosis (10;11). Pain can be caused both by an inflammatory process and by perineural fibrosis, although which is more important has yet to be determined.

The spinal canal houses the spinal cord along with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and dura. In a cadaver study of 469 adult skeletal specimens, Lee et al. found an average anterior-posterior canal diameter of 14.1± 1.6 mm, with a significantly larger diameter in males than in females (12). They also found a strong correlation between age >60 years and significantly smaller spinal canal diameter. The normal sagittal diameter should exceed 13 mm, and a congenitally narrow canal is an important risk factor for the development of cervical spinal stenosis and cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) (13;14). Measurement of the sagittal diameter and calculation of the transverse area are used to grade cervical spinal canal stenosis (13-15).

Both neural and vascular processes are causally involved in the neurological symptoms in CSM (5;16;17). The local arterial supplies to the spinal cord may be compressed by the degenerative changes occurring with cervical spondylosis (5;17-20).

Histological analysis of the spinal cord in patients with CSM characteristically shows that the central grey matter and the medial portions of the myelinated long tracts are affected most severely. Wallerian degeneration of the posterior columns and posterolateral tracts occurs cephalad to the site of compression. Anterior horn cell dropout occurs at the site of compression, and the corticospinal tracts undergo degeneration, with loss of myelin staining caudal to the site of compression (21-23).

Burrows studied the sagittal diameter of the spinal canal in cervical spondylosis radiographically and noted three distinct types of degenerative encroachment in the cervical spinal canal. The first type involved obliteration of the neuroforamen by osteophytic overgrowth at the posterolateral margin of the vertebral body. The second type involved encroachment on the neural canal by an osteophytic spur or
"bar" across the back of the degenerated disc, producing an impression on the spinal cord by direct compression. The third encroachment was caused by degeneration, hypertrophy, and buckling of the ligament flavum. Burrows concluded that the initial size of the canal was a key factor underlying the subsequent development of CSM (21,24).

Ogino et al. found that the severity of pathological changes in the spinal cord correlate well with the extent of spinal cord compression, measured by the anteroposterior compression ratio. The posterolateral white matter fibres, including the lateral corticospinal tracts, were most susceptible to minor degrees of compression. By contrast, anterior horn cell loss and localized infarction of the grey matter are associated with more severe degrees of compression. Extensive infarction of the grey matter occurs with an anteroposterior compression ratio of <20% (25).

4.3 Asymptomatic CDD

Normal ageing of the spine is associated with disc degeneration, ligament hypertrophy, joint degeneration, and hypertrophy of osseous structures. Investigation of healthy, asymptomatic volunteers has shown that degenerative disease of the cervical spine occurs frequently, even in the absence of clinical symptoms (26,27). In many patients, there is a poor correlation between radiological findings and clinical symptoms. Some patients have advanced degenerative changes in the spine without symptoms, whereas others have severe symptoms and discrete radiological findings. The discrepancy between radiological findings and clinical symptoms is especially pronounced in the cervical region.

In an MR study of the cervical spine, Boden et al. found that 19% of asymptomatic volunteers had abnormal findings in the scan; 14% were younger and 28% were older than 40 years (26).
Lehto et al. used MRI to examine 89 asymptomatic volunteers and found abnormalities in 63% of those older than 40 years (27). He concluded that abnormal MRI findings and age correlate strongly.

Matsumoto et al. examined 497 asymptomatic volunteers without any previous history of cervical trauma and concluded similarly that the frequency of each degenerative MRI finding increased linearly with age (28).

Teresi et al. studied asymptomatic individuals referred for MRI examination of the larynx without symptoms attributable to the cervical spine. They found spinal cord impingement in 16% of those younger than and in 26% of those older than 64 years. Interestingly, they could not find any obliteration of the intraforaminal fat, and the percentage of cord reduction never exceeded 16% and was on average about 7% (29).

In a prospective study with a 10-year follow-up, Okada et al. looked at MRI changes in the cervical spine in healthy volunteers. They found progression of degenerative findings in 81.1% of the volunteers. A decrease in signal intensity of the disc was observed in 59.6% of the patients, increased anterior compression of the dura and spinal cord in 61.4%, progression of posterior disc protrusion in 70%, disc space narrowing in 26.9%, and progression of foraminal stenosis in 9%. Neck pain, shoulder stiffness, and upper extremity numbness were identified in 9.9%, 30%, and 4% of the subjects, respectively, and one or more clinical symptoms developed in 34% of the volunteers during the 10 year follow up period (30).

The question of when MRI abnormalities translate into symptomatic disease is difficult to answer. Interpretation of MRI scans can be challenging and there are large variations in interobserver and intraobserver interpretation. A study of observer variability in the analysis of CT and MR images between six radiologists published in the American Journal of Neuroradiology in 2003, concluded that interobserver agreement between the radiologists, about the level, degree, and cause of stenosis on CT myelograms was low (31).
Most people with degenerative changes of the cervical spine remain asymptomatic. Symptomatic patients are generally older than 40 years. Younger patients tend to have herniated cervical discs, and older patients tend to have spinal canal stenosis or foraminal stenosis.

4.4 Symptomatic CDD

In this presentation symptomatic CDD is limited to cervical radiculopathy and CSM. Neck pain and headache are not discussed in detail.

4.4.1 Cervical radiculopathy

(Synonyms: cervicobrachialgia, degenerative cervical foraminal stenosis with radiculopathy).

The most common causes of radiculopathy are foraminal stenosis caused by osteophyte formation, disc protrusion and/or herniation of the disc.

Valleix first described brachialgia as a syndrome in 1841 (32). Later, Barre and Lieou recognized headache as a component of the neck pain syndrome in Revue Neurologie in 1926 (33).

Acute symptoms occur in most cases without any history of trauma. Pain radiating from the neck to the corresponding dermatome of the affected spinal nerve is the dominating symptom followed by sensory loss in the same dermatome and muscular deficit in the corresponding myotome. Clinical evaluation comprises a detailed history and thorough physical examination, which includes testing of muscular strength, sensation, and reflexes, and Spurling’s test (34-36).
Table 1. Cervical disc syndromes. (Modified from Handbook of Neurosurgery by Mark S. Greenberg, Thieme, 2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disc</th>
<th>Nerve root</th>
<th>Pain, paresthesia, hypoesthesia</th>
<th>Reflex deficit</th>
<th>Motor deficit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C4/C5</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>Biceps</td>
<td>Shoulder abduction and elbow flexion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>Upper arm, radial forearm, thumb</td>
<td>Biceps and brachioradialis</td>
<td>Elbow flexion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6/C7</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>Upper arm and forearm, fingers 2 and 3</td>
<td>Triceps</td>
<td>Elbow extension, wrist extension, finger extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7/Th1</td>
<td>C8</td>
<td>Medial upper arm and forearm, fingers 4 and 5</td>
<td>Finger jerk</td>
<td>Finger flexion, finger abduction, and adduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: Cervical MR (sagittal T2 (A), Axial T2 (B)) of a patient with a herniated cervical disc at C6/C7 with right-sided C7 radiculopathy.
4.4.2 CSM

(Synonyms: cervical canal stenosis with myelopathy)

Central canal stenosis secondary to CDD with compression of the spinal cord can cause CSM. The disorder was originally described by Stookey in 1928 and was attributed to compression of the cord by cartilaginous nodules of degenerated disc material (21;37). CSM is the most common cause of spinal cord dysfunction in the elderly in the Western world (38).

CSM most often presents with neck pain, numb and clumsy hands, gait disturbances, sphincter dysfunction and/or impotence (39;40). Muscular weakness is usually a late symptom or sign. CSM develops in only a fraction of patients with spondylosis and may be stable for many years or may show rapid progression (41). The presence of cervical spondylosis alone is not enough to develop myelopathy. Normally, there is ample tolerance of the spinal cord to encroachment caused by spondylosis, and myelopathy is more likely to occur in patients with a developmentally narrow spinal canal. Arnold demonstrated that a sagittal diameter of \( \leq 12 \) mm is a critical factor in the development of CSM (42).

Movement of the cervical spine may significantly influence the extent of mechanical compression of the spinal cord. As reviewed by White and Panjabi, several investigators have observed that the functional diameter of the cervical spinal canal may be reduced to a critical level or less upon flexion and extension (43).
4.5 Treatment of CDD

4.5.1 Conservative treatment

4.5.1.1 Cervical radiculopathy

Conservative treatment is the first line of treatment because most patients improve without surgery. Some authors recommend surgical treatment after 6-8 weeks of conservative treatment (44-46). Because of the lack of evidence indicating the best conservative treatment option, there is disagreement about the mode and duration of treatment for symptomatic herniated disc(s) and/or narrowing of the intervertebral foramina(e) (47). Spontaneous remission of a herniated cervical disc is well known and is illustrated in Figure 9. Conservative treatment is beyond the scope of this thesis, and is therefore not discussed further.

Figure 9: MR of a 39-year-old woman who had intense C6 radiculopathy without muscular weakness or myelopathy in March 2006. She was treated conservatively, and in August 2006, she had no symptoms or signs of C6 radiculopathy. T2-weighted sagittal MR image of this woman in March 2006 (A) and August 2006 (B).
4.5.1.2 CSM

As mentioned above, cervical radiculopathy usually resolves without surgical intervention. By contrast, the long-term prognosis in untreated patients with CSM is elusive. Some patients experience a progressive decline, whereas others experience long periods of disease stability with intermittent exacerbations. Patients with mild myelopathy may be treated conservatively or surgically (47). Conservative treatment is beyond the scope of this thesis, and therefore not discussed further.

4.5.2 Surgical treatment

4.5.2.1 Candidates for surgery

Long lasting disability, pain, sensory disturbances, and/or paresis caused by compression of a cervical root are accepted indications for surgery. Even though there is no consensus about the timing of surgery, most surgeons generally agree that patients with clinical signs and/or symptoms of cervical disc degeneration (CDD) and coinciding MRI findings should be examined and considered for surgical treatment if they have failed to improve after 8 weeks of conservative treatment (45;46).

Patients with severe myelopathy should be treated with surgical decompression. Adequate decompression may arrest the progression of CSM, although this is not always the case. Patients must be informed before surgery that the best they can expect is cessation of the disease and symptom progression but that they may also experience further disease and symptom progression.

4.5.2.2 Operative techniques

Surgery as an option for the treatment of radicular symptoms and myelopathy was first developed in the 1950s. The earliest surgeries on the cervical spine were performed via posterior approaches. Because of the potential for greater discomfort and longer hospital stay because of cutting of the paraspinosus muscles, and
awareness of postlaminectomy deformity, the anterior approach has become the method of choice for cervical pathologies. In the past four decades anterior cervical approaches have been developed and expanded from the simple anterior discectomy technique in the 1950, to multilevel corpectomy with anterior instrumentation, with or without dynamic plating constructs. The decision whether to use an anterior or posterior approach in both the treatment of radiculopathy and myelopathy, has been debated for many years. Some of the different techniques are described in detail in the following section.

**Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF)**

Dr. Exum Walker of Atlanta, Georgia, introduced the technique of anterior discography by inserting the needle into the anterior surface of the disc between the carotid sheath and trachea. Later, this approach was tested on cadavers and found to be easy, safe, and fast. Anterior fusion of the cervical spine has been performed since 1952; the first surgery was on a patient who had an extensive lytic lesion involving the fourth and fifth vertebrae. The ease of the approach and the attainment of stability in this individual sparked the application of the approach to other lesions in the cervical spine (48). The technique was refined further and popularized by Cloward and Smith and Robinson (49-52).

**The Cloward operation**

The Cloward technique is not used in our series, and therefore is not described further (49,52).

**The Smith-Robinson procedure**

This procedure is performed from the right or left side of the column. It was first described with a left-sided incision to reduce the risk of damage to the recurrent laryngeal nerve. More recently, surgeons use both the left- and right-sided incision according to preference. Unlike the Cloward procedure, the disc material is removed with a curette, and the dura and nerve root are visualized (51;52). Thereafter, a tricortical bone graft is placed in the disc space. When one level is fused, the Smith
and Robinson do not recommend postoperative external immobilization, but if pain recurs postoperatively, they recommend a removable head-neck-shoulder splint made of plaster of Paris or a well-fitted neck brace for 1-3 months. When multiple levels are fused, immobilization of the neck for 3-6 months is the routine procedure (52).

In Norway, an anterior left- or right-sided approach has been the standard for treating CDD since Drs. Styri and Mangnaes, with the assistance of Dr. Cloward, performed the first procedure at Ullevål Hospital in the 1970s. Following implementation of the procedure, supplemental anterior plating has been used on a few occasions, whereas a stiff CAMP brace is used for all operations.

During the past 10 years, the polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cage has replaced the autologous bone graft in most cases. The routine use of external immobilization after ACDF was abandoned in 2005.

Figure 10: Cervical x-ray (side view) after the Smith-Robinson procedure with an autologous bone graft (A) and with a PEEK cage (B).
**Cervical prosthesis**

The cervical intervertebral disc can absorb load and allows movement between two vertebral discs. In 1964, Reitz et al. published the first report on the implantation of a cervical metal disc prosthesis (53). Since then, a wide range of designs and material has been introduced to try to provide the same unique properties of the cervical intervertebral disc (54-60). This procedure is beyond the scope of this thesis and is therefore not discussed further.

![Cervical x-ray (side view) after insertion of the Bryan cervical disc prosthesis (with tantalum balls for RSA analysis)](image)

**Figure 11:** Cervical x-ray (side view) after insertion of the Bryan cervical disc prosthesis (with tantalum balls for RSA analysis)

**Cervical laminectomy**

Cervical laminectomy is used to treat spinal stenosis from the posterior approach. In this procedure, the laminae are cut to relieve pressure on the spinal cord and to provide more space for the spinal cord and nerve roots. The goal of cervical laminectomy is to prevent further damage to the spinal cord and to allow for as much recovery of function as possible. The procedure is performed under narcosis with the patient in the prone position and with the head fixed in a Mayfield clamp. Following the injection of a local anaesthetic, a midline incision is performed and sharp
dissection down to the laminae is made. The desired levels for decompression are identified and the laminectomy is performed using a high-speed drill or rongeurs. The thickened ligamentum flavum is removed, and the dura, and in some cases the spinal nerves, are decompressed.

We do not routinely perform posterior fixation, but we always use postoperative wound drains for 24 h. The patient is mobilized with a soft neck brace on postoperative day 1, and the brace is worn for 3 weeks.

Figure 12: Cervical MR (T2, sagittal view) of a patient with cervical spinal stenosis before (A) and after cervical laminectomy (B).

Cervical laminoplasty
Cervical laminoplasty is used to treat spinal stenosis without removing the lamina. Different techniques are used. The procedure is beyond the scope of this thesis and is therefore not discussed in detail.
4.5.2.3 Surgical mortality and morbidity

All surgical procedures carry the inherent risk of complications. Early identification and prompt management of these potential complications are imperative for achieving good outcome in these patients. All surgeons should be aware of his/her complication rate, and thorough knowledge of previously reported complications is mandatory (44;61;62). The risks associated with cervical spinal surgery depend upon the approach: anterior or posterior.

Anterior approach

The anterior right- or left-sided approach to remove the intervertebral disc is a well-proven and safe route. The choice of the right- or left-sided approach is made according to the surgeon’s preference. Some studies have suggested a greater risk of injury of the recurrent laryngeal nerve using a right-sided approach compared with a left-sided approach (63-65), although others found no difference between these approaches (66). In our series, all patients were operated on from the right side.

After the skin incision, the surgeon must either make a transverse incision of, or split, the platysma, as recommended by Cloward (49). The next step is to dissect medial to the vessels, taking care not to injure the carotid artery and the common jugular vein. Vascular injury of the great vessels seems to be rare, but postoperative haematoma is reported in 1.3 - 5.6% of cases (44;67-69).

Dysphagia is defined as a subjective increase in the time or effort required to move food from the mouth to the stomach and is a common complaint after ACDF. There is a broad range of signs and symptoms; painful swallowing, difficulty swallowing, coughing or choking with swallowing, or frequent throat clearing are reported frequently (44;70;71).

The exact explanation for this dysphagia is not clear. Prevertebral soft tissue swelling after ACDF as a cause of dysphagia is debated (72;73).
Oesophageal injury seems to be very rare and is reported in 0.3-4% of cases in the literature (44;72-76). Other complications such as Horner syndrome, injury of the vertebral artery, secondary dislocation of the graft, infections, spinal cord injury, spinal root injury, and CSF leakage are seen rarely (Table 2). To my knowledge, surgery at the wrong level is not described in the literature other than in our own publication (77).

In 2010, Nasser et al. published a systematic evidence-based review of the literature, titled “Complications in spine surgery”, and concluded that retrospective reviews significantly underestimate the overall incidence of complications in spine surgery. Nasser et al. highlighted a lack of standardized reporting of these complications (61).

Yadla and co-workers recently published a prospective study on early complications in spine surgery, including trauma surgery, and reported high complication rates (78). Their interpretation was that many studies contain lower complication rates because of incomplete records and recall bias, and these results seem reasonable.
Table 2. Complications of cervical surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of complication</th>
<th>ACDF</th>
<th>Cervical laminectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical mortality (death within 30 days)</td>
<td>0-0.2%</td>
<td>0-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(death within 30 days)</td>
<td>(44;67;68;79)</td>
<td>(80-86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative haematoma (neck)</td>
<td>0.2-5.6%</td>
<td>0.08-0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44;67;68;79)</td>
<td>(80;87;88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative infection (neck)</td>
<td>0.1-0.9%</td>
<td>3.7-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44;89)</td>
<td>(80;90;91)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological deterioration</td>
<td>0.1-3.3%</td>
<td>5-30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44;67;92)</td>
<td>(80;82-84;87;93;94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF leak</td>
<td>0.2-0.5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44;67)</td>
<td>(95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>9.6-17.8%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44;70;71;96)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion of oesophagus</td>
<td>0-3.4%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(72-75;97-100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocal cord paralysis</td>
<td>3.1-11%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44;101)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion of major artery (vertebral artery)</td>
<td>0.3-0.5%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(102-104)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior graft dislocation</td>
<td>0.4-2%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(79;105)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation at the wrong level</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing kyphosis after surgery</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>17-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(106)</td>
<td>(107;108)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thromboembolism</td>
<td>0.068%</td>
<td>(109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor site morbidity (autologous crista graft)</td>
<td>2-4.7%</td>
<td>(110;111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematoma (crista)</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(110)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection (crista)</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(110)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA-Not applicable
4.5.2.4 Feasibility of surgery for CDD in an outpatient setting

In a time of limited health care resources, efficient and cost-effective treatments are needed. Outpatient surgery, when safe and feasible, is a more cost-effective option than inpatient surgery. Because of the short operation time and moderate postoperative pain, ACDF may be well suited for outpatient surgery (112-115).

However, potential life threatening complications of ACDF, such as postoperative haematoma, may limit the safety of this procedure in an outpatient setting (44).

Outpatient surgery is performed routinely for lumbar disc disease and is performed safely and efficiently for various types of general surgery, and orthopaedic and gynaecological procedures.

5. Aims of the present study (thesis)

1. To study mortality and morbidity following ACDF for CDD.
2. To study mortality, morbidity, and clinical outcome following posterior decompression for CSM.
3. To study clinical outcome of ACDF, with respect to both patient selection and choice of surgical procedure: fusion with an autologous iliac crest graft (AICG) versus fusion with an artificial cage made of polyetheretherketone (PEEK).
4. To study the safety, efficacy, and feasibility of performing ACDF in an outpatient setting.
6. Materials and methods

Paper I
This was a prospective study at Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, during the period January 2003 through January 2005. The complications that occurred in 390 consecutive patients who underwent ACDF for CDD were recorded.

The inclusion criteria included patients with persistent severe radicular pain that did not respond to conservative measures, cervical radiculopathy with progressive paresis, and myelopathy caused by disc disease. The exclusion criteria included cervical injury within the previous 4 weeks or the presence of a cervical tumour or ongoing cervical infection. The diagnosis was based on the history, neurological examination, and cervical MRI results. In the few cases where MRI was contraindicated, cervical CT myelography was used.

For all patients who underwent ACDF, we used an anterior approach to the cervical spine with a right-sided skin incision, as described originally by Robinson and Smith (51). The patients were observed in a postanaesthesia care unit for 4-6 h following surgery before their transfer to the neurosurgical ward. All patients were mobilized within 24 h after surgery and provided with a stiff collar. The vast majority were discharged from our hospital to the referring neurology department within 48-72 h after surgery. Surgery related complications were recorded prospectively.

Paper II
The patients included in this paper were a subset of the patients described in Paper I. The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical outcomes after ACDF.
The prospective registration of clinical parameters included those parameters registered before surgery including age, sex, symptom duration before surgery (months), previous surgery for CDD, previous neck trauma, working status, radicular pain, neck pain, headache, myelopathy (yes/no), and paresis. Each of the three pain categories was scored using a visual analog scale (VAS), where 0 indicated no pain and 10 represented extreme pain. The parameters registered during surgery included: number of levels fused, level fused, and fusion type (AICG or PEEK cage). The following parameters were registered at the 6-month follow-up visit to our outpatient clinic: radicular pain, neck pain, headache, myelopathy, paresis, working status, and patient satisfaction with the surgical treatment. Patient satisfaction was measured using a VAS, where a score of 0 indicated that the patient was not at all satisfied with the result of ACDF and a score of 10 indicated that the patient was very satisfied with the surgical outcome. We defined a VAS score ≥ 8 as a success, and a score ≤ 5 as a failure.

**Paper III**

All adults (>18 years of age) treated surgically with posterior decompression (cervical laminectomy or cervical laminoplasty) for cervical myelopathy secondary to cervical spinal canal stenosis at the Oslo University Hospitals, Rikshospitalet and Ullevål, between 2003 and 2008 were included in this retrospective study. Patients were identified by reviewing operative protocols from this time. The patient charts were reviewed systematically. Neurological function preoperatively, in the immediate postoperative period, and at the most recent outpatient examination was ascertained from the detailed neurological examination results recorded in the chart. Reoperation for postoperative haematoma and postoperative infection were recorded; if these complications resulted in sequela(e), these were also registered.

Surgery included a standard laminectomy or a standard open-door cervical laminoplasty, as first described by Hirabayashi. Postoperatively, all patients were observed in a postanesthesia care unit for 3-6 h and were then transferred either to a phase II step-down unit for overnight observation or directly to the neurosurgical
floor. Most patients were mobilized with a soft neck brace as soon as possible following surgery, either on the day of surgery or on postoperative day 1.

**Paper IV**

This was a prospective single centre study of patients who underwent single- or two-level ACDF for CDD as outpatients during the years 2008 to 2010. The study was performed at the Oslofjord Clinic (www.oslofjordklinikken.no), a private neurosurgical clinic, located in a suburb just outside of Oslo. The government covers all surgical costs performed at Oslo University Hospital, whereas private health insurance and/or the patients themselves pay for outpatient ACDF surgery at the Oslofjord Clinic.

The indications for ACDF surgery included persistent, severe radicular pain lasting for more than 3 months that failed to respond to conservative treatment, cervical radiculopathy with progressive paresis, selected cases of myelopathy secondary to cervical spinal canal stenosis, and selected cases where neck pain and headache were the chief complaints. MRI- documented CDD with compression of cervical nerve roots and/or spinal cord that correlated with the clinical symptoms and signs was required.

The exclusion criteria included cervical trauma within the past 4 weeks, cervical spine neoplasia, ongoing cervical infection, or medical co-morbidity anticipated to require more >6h of postoperative observation.

For all patients who underwent ACDF, we used an anterior approach to the cervical spine with a right-sided skin incision, as described originally by Robinson and Smith (51). All ACDF procedures were scheduled for morning surgery to allow for sufficient postoperative observation and discharge before 9 p.m. on the day of surgery. Surgery was performed by two experienced board-certified neurosurgeons. The patients were observed in a recovery unit for 3 - 12 h following surgery. Two hours after surgery, the patients were mobilized and allowed to walk about the recovery unit. Patients were discharged if the following postoperative checklist was
satisfactory: adequate pain control, adequate wound haemostasis, stable neurological status, and the ability to drink, void and ambulate.

In order to study patient selection for outpatient ACDF versus ACDF done in an inpatient setting, the patient characteristics from the present study were compared to patient characteristics from our previous study; a study of complications in an inpatient setting within the same health region.

7. Summary of papers I – IV

Paper I
Lied B, Sundseth J, Helseth E. Immediate (0-6 h), early (6-72 h) and late (>72 h) complications after anterior cervical discectomy with fusion for cervical disc degeneration; discharge six hours after operation is feasible. Acta Neurochir 2008;150:111-118.

Objectives. The introduction of minimally invasive techniques and total intravenous anaesthesia has led to reports of the performance of anterior cervical discectomy and fusion as an outpatient. The safety of this approach requires information about the complications presenting within this period. The aim of this study was to assess the rates and types of immediate (0-6 h), early (6-72 h), and late (>72 h) complications after anterior cervical discectomy with fusion.

Methods. We prospectively studied complications after anterior cervical discectomy with fusion in patients with degenerative cervical disc disease. There were 390 consecutive operations: 278 fused with autologous iliac crest bone graft and 112 with a PEEK (Polyetheretherketone) graft.

Results. No patient died. Thirty-seven patients (9%) experienced one or more complications that could be related to the operation. These presented in the
immediate, early and late periods in 17, one and 19 patients, respectively. Thus, 18/37 complications were detected before discharge from the neurosurgical department 72h after operation and of these 17 (4.2%) were detected within the first 6 h after surgery. Each of the five potentially life-threatening neck haematomas was detected within 6 h (immediate).

**Conclusions.** After anterior cervical disectomy and fusion, a 6 h postoperative observation period followed by discharge from the neurosurgical unit is likely to be as safe as observation as an inpatient for a longer period.

**Paper II**

Lied B, Roenning PA, Sundseth J, Helseth E. Anterior cervical disectomy with fusion in patients with cervical disc degeneration: a prospective outcome study of 258 patients (181 fused with autologous bone graft and 77 fused with a PEEK cage).

*BMC Surgery 2010;10,10.*

**Background.** Anterior cervical disectomy with fusion (ACDF) is challenging with respect to both patient selection and choice of surgical procedure. The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical outcome of ACDF, with respect to both patient selection and choice of surgical procedure: fusion with an autologous iliac crest graft (AICG) versus fusion with an artificial cage made of polyetheretherketone (PEEK).

**Methods.** This was a non-randomized prospective single centre outcome study of 258 patients who underwent ACDF for CDD. Fusion was attained with either tricortical AICG or PEEK cages without additional anterior plating, with treatment selected at the surgeon`s discretion. Radicular pain, neck pain, headache, and patient satisfaction with the treatment were scored using a visual analogue scale (VAS).

**Results.** The median age was 47.5 (28.3–82.8) years, and 44% of patients were female. Fifty nine percent had single-level ACDF, 40% had two level ACDF, and 1% had three-level ACDF. Of the patients, 181 were fused with AICG and 77 with a PEEK cage. After surgery, the patients showed a significant reduction in radicular pain (ΔVAS = 3.05), neck pain (ΔVAS = 2.30), and headache (ΔVAS = 0.55). Six
months after surgery, 48% of patients had returned to work: however 24% were still receiving worker’s compensation.

Using univariate and multivariate analyses we found that high preoperative pain intensity was significantly associated with a decrease in pain intensity after surgery, for all three pain categories. There were no significant correlations between pain relief and the following patient characteristics: fusion method (AICG or PEEK cage), sex, age, number of levels fused, disc level fused, previous neck surgery (except for neck pain), previous neck trauma, or preoperative symptom duration. Two hundred of the 256 (78%) patients evaluated the surgical result as successful. Only 27/256 (11%) classified the surgical result as a failure. Patient satisfaction was significantly associated with pain relief after surgery.

**Conclusions.** ACDF is an effective treatment for radicular pain in selected patients with CDD after 6 months follow up. Because of similar clinical outcomes and lack of donor site morbidity when using PEEK, we now prefer fusion with a PEEK cage to AICG. Lengthy symptom duration was not a negative prognostic marker in our patient population. The number of patients who returned to work 6 months after surgery was lower than expected.

**Paper III**

**Objective.** To determine surgical mortality, incidence of surgery-related neurological deterioration and incidence of postoperative infection or haematoma requiring reoperation in a consecutive series of 318 patients surgically treated with laminectomy or laminoplasty for cervical spondylotic myelopathy. **Methods.** This is a retrospective study of 318 consecutive patients treated with laminectomy or laminoplasty for cervical spondylotic myelopathy at Oslo University Hospital in the time period 2003-2008. The defined neurosurgical catchment area for
Oslo University Hospital is the southeast region of Norway with 2.7 million inhabitants. The patient charts were systematically reviewed, focusing primarily on operative notes, postoperative (po) complications such as po deterioration of neurological function, po haematoma and po infection and neurological function at most recent follow-up.

**Results.** The mean age was 64 years (range 29-90 years). Laminectomy was done in 310/318 (97.5%) and laminoplasty in 8/318 (2.5%) of the patients. The incidence of laminectomy/laminoplasty for CSM was 2.0/100,000 inhabitants per year. The surgical mortality was 0%, and 37 (11.6%) patients had a deterioration of neurological function in the immediate postoperative period. Four (1.3%) patients were reoperated due to po haematoma. We found a statistically significant association between po haematoma and previous posterior neck surgery and American Association of Anaesthetists score. Five (1.6%) patients were reoperated due to postoperative infection. Univariate logistic regression analysis showed a statistically significant association between po infection and the number of levels decompressed.

**Conclusions.** The incidence of laminectomy/laminoplasty for CSM is 2.0/100,000 inhabitants per year. Surgical mortality, postoperative haematoma and postoperative infection are rare complications of laminectomy/laminoplasty for CSM. Neurological deterioration is not an uncommon complication after posterior decompression for CSM.

**Paper IV**
Lied B, Roenning PA, Halvorsen CM, Ekseth K, Helseth E.
Outpatient anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) for cervical disc disease; a prospective consecutive series of 96 patients. *Acta Neur Scand 2012 May 10. [Epub ahead of print].*

**Objectives:** To evaluate surgical complications and clinical outcome in a consecutive series of 96 patients undergoing anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) for cervical disc degeneration (CDD) in an outpatient setting.
Methods: Pre-, per- and postoperative data on patients undergoing single- or two-level outpatient ACDF at the private Oslofjord Clinic were prospectively collected.

Results: This study includes 96 consecutive patients with a mean age of 49.1 years. 36/96 had a two-level ACDF. Mean postoperative observation time before discharge was 350 minutes, and 95/96 were successfully discharged either to their home or to a hotel on the day of surgery. The surgical mortality was 0%, while the surgical morbidity rate was 5.2%. Two (2.1%) patients developed postoperative hematoma, 2 (2.1%) patients experienced postoperative dysphagia and 1 (1%) experienced deterioration of neurological function. Radicular pain, neck pain, and headache decreased significantly after surgery. 91% of patients were satisfied with the surgery, according to the NASSQ.

Conclusion: ACDF in carefully selected patients with CDD appears to be safe in the outpatient setting, provided a sufficient postoperative observation period. The clinical outcome and patient satisfaction of outpatients is comparable to that of inpatients.

8. Discussion

The fundamental conclusions that can be drawn from this thesis are:

1. Discharge from the neurosurgical unit following a 6 h postoperative observation period for CDD patients treated with ACDF is likely to be as safe as observation for longer periods.

2. ACDF is a successful treatment for radicular pain in selected patients. Because of the similar clinical outcome and lack of donor site morbidity when using PEEK, fusion with PEEK cage is now preferable to AICG.

3. Surgical mortality, postoperative haematoma, and postoperative infection are rare complications of laminectomy/laminoplasty for CSM, whereas neurological deterioration is not an uncommon complication after posterior decompression for CSM.

4. ACDF in carefully selected patients with CDD is just as safe in the outpatient setting as in the inpatient setting, provided there is a sufficient postoperative observation period.
8.1 Internal and external validity of the studies

Internal validity is defined as the validity of causal inferences in scientific studies. The various threats to internal validity such as confounding factors, selection bias, and information bias are discussed here. A confounding factor is an extraneous factor that correlates with both the dependent and independent variables. For example, in a study examining the correlation between alcohol drinking and lung cancer, smoking would be a confounding factor; if alcohol consumers were also more likely to be cigarette smokers, the results might erroneously show that alcohol drinking increases the risk of developing lung cancer.

Selection bias refers to self-selection of individuals to participate as subjects in an experimental study or to selection of patients by researchers to support a particular hypothesis. An example of this would be a study of the cardiovascular benefits of exercise in hypertensive patients that included only patients with no other co-morbidities.

Information bias refers to bias arising in a study due to misclassification of the level of exposure to the agent or factor being assessed and/or misclassification of the disease or other outcome itself. For example, information bias can arise when a person conducting the study interviews the study subjects.

External validity refers to the capacity to generalize the findings to the general population.

Papers I-III

Papers I-III of this thesis describe a general population who were treated at the same hospital and who had equal access to health care. The internal and external validity are deemed to be acceptable.
Paper IV

Paper IV describes a selected population; the patients in the study either had access to private insurance or paid for the surgery for themselves. In addition, only the most experienced attending neurosurgeons perform surgery in the outpatient setting. These factors imply that this study included some inherent selection bias. Therefore, the external validity of this study is low, except for in units where only the most experienced neurosurgeons perform outpatient surgery.

8.2 Complications after ACDF and cervical laminectomy for CDD

In our studies, the surgical mortality rate was 0% for patients treated with ACDF for CDD and for patients treated with laminectomy or laminoplasty for CSM. Other studies reported surgical mortality rates of 0-0.2% following ACDF and 0-1% following laminectomy (43-53).

Postoperative haematoma is a potentially life-threatening complication of ACDF and laminectomy (77). Vascular complications are most commonly recognized intraoperatively or in the immediate postoperative period. Following ACDF, we found a haematoma rate of 1.2%, which compares with the 0.2-2.4% rates found in other studies (43-46). The published series that we reviewed reported impressively low postoperative haematoma rates following laminectomy of 0.08 -0.5%, which are lower than our rate of 1.3% (47,54,55).

In our study, postoperative infection occurred in 0.2% of patients following ACDF; others have reported rates of 0.1-0.9% (45,56). In our laminectomy study, 1.6% of patients were afflicted with postoperative infection as compared with 3.7% in the study by Wimmer et al., which included both anterior and posterior procedures (22). The lower rate of infection in this series compared with other series could be explained by the routine prophylactic use of antibiotics in our patients. We found that the more levels decompressed, the greater the risk of postoperative infection.

Neurological deterioration was experienced in 1.2% of patients in our ACDF series, compared with other studies that reported neurological deterioration in 0.1-3.3% of
cases (43,45,59). Neurological deterioration was experienced by 11.6% of patients in the immediate postlaminectomy period. Previous studies show that 50-80 % of patients improve after surgery for CSM compared with 5-30 % whose neurological function deteriorates immediately following surgery or show subsequent deterioration thereafter (47,49-51,54,60,61).

In the ACDF study, we did not encounter any lesions of a major artery or the oesophagus, although we detected two instances of CSF leak. One patient was diagnosed peroperatively, and a duraplasty was performed; the other patient was diagnosed and reoperated on postoperative day 2. Neither patient had any sequela(e). Postoperative dysphagia and vocal change can be difficult to diagnose and classify. We found 0.3% of patients with permanent vocal cord paralysis. Dysphagia is a common complaint in the immediate postoperative period. It is a subjective symptom and can therefore be difficult to classify. Some consider dysphagia to be inevitable and is therefore not strictly a complication of ACDF (67). In a prospective study, Bazaz et al. report dysphagia in 50% of patients 1 month following ACDF surgery, whereas only 4.9% had persistent dysphagia at 6 months (70). In our study, 2.1% of patients reported dysphagia at 6 months after surgery. In a study by Yue et al., persistent ACDF related dysphagia was found in 35% of the patients 7 years after surgery (116).

Unfortunately, the common public perception is that neck surgery is dangerous. However, when ACDF is performed by an experienced neurosurgeon according to the clinical indications, the complication rate is low. We believe that ACDF is both safe and effective in relieving debilitating pain.

8.3 Clinical outcomes after ACDF for CDD

The effectiveness of ACDF in relieving radicular pain secondary to CDD is well documented both in long- and short-term follow up studies (117-122). In our studies, there was a significant reduction in radicular pain and neck pain at 6 months
postoperatively; 78.5% of the patients with radicular pain improved two or more points on the VAS scale, and 85.4% of the patients with neck pain improved two or more points on the VAS scale. The benefits of ACDF in relieving neck pain and/or headache caused by CDD, in the absence of radicular pain, are elusive. Future studies are needed to determine whether neck pain and/or headache justify ACDF.

By introducing the PEEK graft we eliminated the risk of donor site morbidity and reduced the operating time, yet we produced similar clinical results.

8.4 ACDF in the outpatient setting

In a time of limited health care resources, efficient and cost-effective treatments are needed. When safe and feasible, outpatient surgery is more cost effective than inpatient surgery (113). Outpatient surgery is performed routinely for lumbar disc disease and is performed safely and efficiently for various types of general surgery, and orthopaedic and gynaecologic procedures. Because of short operation time and moderate postoperative pain, ACDF may be well suited for outpatient surgery (112-115).

However, potential complications of ACDF, such as postoperative haematoma, may limit the safe application of this procedure in the outpatient setting. In 2008, we published an analysis of the complications encountered in a consecutive series of 390 ACDFs performed on inpatients (Paper I). Based on this study, we suggested that ACDF could be performed safely as an outpatient procedure provided there is a sufficient postoperative observation period of 6 h.

The feasibility and safety of ACDF were evaluated in a prospective study of outpatient ACDFs performed at the private Oslofjord Clinic (Paper IV). Outpatient ACDF was found to be both feasible and safe. The complication rate in our
outpatient study was 5.2%; the complications encountered included postoperative haematoma, neurological deterioration, and dysphagia (Paper IV).

In our previous inpatient ACDF study from 2008, we found a complication rate of 9% (Paper I). The slightly higher complication rate found in the inpatient setting most likely relates to the larger proportion of patients with medical co-morbidities and probably does not reflect an inherent increased risk in inpatient versus outpatient surgery. Other groups have published results from which they concluded that ACDF performed in the outpatient setting is just as safe as ACDF performed in the inpatient setting (112-115;123). However, clinics performing outpatient ACDF should be affiliated with a hospital that has a neurosurgical unit in cases where an uncommon event causing serious complications requires prolonged hospitalization.

Clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction following outpatient ACDF are similar to those following inpatient ACDF (121). This has also been reported by Silvers et al. and Liu et al (113;123).

9. Conclusions

All potential, life-threatening complications of ACDF to treat CDD in our large inpatient series were detected within 6 h after surgery. This finding suggests that discharge from the neurosurgical unit following a 6 h postoperative observation period is likely to be as safe as observation for longer periods.

ACDF is a successful treatment for radicular pain in selected patients. Because of the similar clinical outcome and lack of donor site morbidity with the use of PEEK, fusion with a PEEK cage is now preferable to AICG. Lengthy symptom duration was not a negative prognostic marker in our patient population. The number of patients returning to work 6 months postoperatively was lower than initially expected.
The incidence of laminectomy/laminoplasty for CSM is 2.0/100,000 inhabitants per year. Surgical mortality, postoperative haematoma and postoperative infection are rare complications of laminectomy/laminoplasty for CSM. Neurological deterioration is not an uncommon complication after posterior decompression for CSM.

ACDF in carefully selected patients with CDD is just as safe in the outpatient setting as in the inpatient setting, provided there is a sufficient postoperative observation period. The clinical outcome and satisfaction of outpatients are similar to those of inpatients. Outpatient surgery is more cost effective than inpatient surgery and should be considered an option in this time of limited health care resources.

10. Future perspectives

The incidence of degenerative disease of the spine increases with age, and the rapidly growing older population poses a challenge to the field of neurosurgery, which already has limited health care resources and long wait-lists for elective surgery. The alternative of outpatient surgery should be explored to clear wait-lists and to accommodate the inevitable rise in the number of surgeries for this disease expected in future.

The aetiology of degenerative disease of the spine is multifactorial, and examining each of the known risk factors should help identify measures to limit or prevent the development of degenerative spinal disease. Known risk factors for developing degenerative disc disease include osteoporosis and cigarette smoking. Osteoporosis can be prevented through diet and lifestyle, and education about these measures could in turn reduce the risk of degenerative disc disease. Health intervention programmes that focus on smoking cessation in patients with degenerative disease of the spine should be instituted. The importance of preventive
medicine cannot be underestimated; the prevention of disease is more cost effective than the treatment of disease.
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Anterior cervical discectomy with fusion in patients with cervical disc degeneration: a prospective outcome study of 258 patients (181 fused with autologous bone graft and 77 fused with a PEEK cage)

Bjarne Lied1,2,3*, Paal Andre Roenning2, Jarle Sundseth1, Eirik Helseth1,2,3

Abstract

Background: Anterior cervical discectomy with fusion (ACDF) is challenging with respect to both patient selection and choice of surgical procedure. The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical outcome of ACDF, with respect to both patient selection and choice of surgical procedure: fusion with an autologous iliac crest graft (AICG) versus fusion with an artificial cage made of polyetheretherketone (PEEK).

Methods: This was a non-randomized prospective single-center outcome study of 258 patients who underwent ACDF for cervical disc degeneration (CDD). Fusion was attained with either tricortical AICG or PEEK cages without additional anterior plating, with treatment selected at surgeon’s discretion. Radicular pain, neck-pain, headache and patient satisfaction with the treatment were scored using the visual analogue scale (VAS).

Results: The median age was 47.5 (28.3-82.8) years, and 44% of patients were female. 59% had single-level ACDF, 40% had two level ACDF and 1% had three-level ACDF. Of the patients, 181 were fused with AICG and 77 with a PEEK-cage. After surgery, the patients showed a significant reduction in radicular pain ($\Delta$VAS = 3.05), neck pain ($\Delta$VAS = 2.30) and headache ($\Delta$VAS = 0.55). Six months after surgery, 48% of patients had returned to work: however 24% were still receiving workers’ compensation.

Using univariate and multivariate analyses we found that high preoperative pain intensity was significantly associated with a decrease in pain intensity after surgery, for all three pain categories. There were no significant correlations between pain relief and the following patient characteristics: fusion method (AICG or PEEK-cage), sex, age, number of levels fused, disc level fused, previous neck surgery (except for neck pain), previous neck trauma, or preoperative symptom duration. Two hundred out of the 256 (78%) patients evaluated the surgical result as successful. Only 27/256 (11%) classified the surgical result as a failure. Patient satisfaction was significantly associated with pain relief after surgery.

Conclusions: ACDF is an effective treatment for radicular pain in selected patients with CDD after six months follow up. Because of similar clinical outcomes and lack of donor site morbidity when using PEEK, we now prefer fusion with PEEK cage to AICG. Lengthy symptom duration was not a negative prognostic marker in our patient population. The number of patients who returned to work 6 months after surgery was lower than expected.
Background

The vast majority of patients with symptomatic cervical disc degeneration (CDD) respond well to conservative treatment [1]. For nonresponders, surgical treatment using ACDF is an option for selected patients [2]. In the USA, the annual incidence of surgery for CDD is 50-60 per 100,000 inhabitants [3]. Selection of adequate candidates for ACDF surgery is a continuous challenge. According to the literature, the following are potential positive predictive preoperative markers: intense radicular pain, low disability, young age, soft disc disease in one segmental level, male sex, non-smoker status, presence of a correlation between radiological and clinical findings, good hand strength, good active range of motion in the neck, and no spinal litigations [4,5]. In surgery for lumbar disc degeneration, symptom duration > 6 months is regarded as a negative prognostic factor [6]. This is also reported to be true for ACDF surgery [7]. This is intriguing, as many patients referred to ACDF surgery have symptom duration > 6 months. Is surgery in these patients worthwhile or futile?

The gold standard for ACDF has been fusion with an AICG [8-10]. This is a relatively safe procedure with few complications [11-13]. However, this surgical procedure has been hampered by iliac crest donor site morbidity. This has led to a growing interest in artificial cages made of various materials, including tantalum blocks, titanium, carbon fiber, and polyetheretherketone (PEEK), to replace the AICG [12,14-18]. In our hospital since 2004 we have gradually shifted from AICG to PEEK. We found no increase in complications after shifting to fusion with a PEEK cage [11].

We prospectively registered all ACDF patients followed in our department from 2003-2005 and used this information to address the following questions.

1. What improvement in clinical outcomes can be expected after ACDF for CDD with regard to radicular pain, neck pain, headache, and return to work?
2. Did the gradual shift from fusion with AICG to fusion with PEEK cage, in our department during the study period, influence outcomes?
3. Do symptom duration or other preoperative clinical variables correlate with outcome after ACDF for CDD in our series?

Methods

This was a prospective single-center study of patients who underwent single-, two-, or three-level ACDF for CDD. The study was performed at the Oslo University Hospital-Rikshospitalet in Oslo from 2003 to 2005. All surgeons were asked to participate in a prospective registration of clinical parameters. During this period, 390 patients (total group) were eligible for inclusion and we obtained complete preoperative and follow-up data for 258 patients (66.1%) (study group). Only the 258 patients with complete data sets were included in the analysis.

Inclusion criteria (1 + 2)
The inclusion criteria were
1. One or more of the following symptoms and signs of CDD:
   a. Persistent severe radicular pain not responding to conservative management for three months.
   b. Cervical radiculopathy with progressive paresis.
   c. Selected cases with myelopathy secondary to cervical spinal canal stenosis that can be adequately decompressed with ACDF.
   d. Selected cases with mainly neck pain and headache and less radicular pain.
2. MRI-documented CDD with compression of cervical nerve roots or spinal cord, which most likely explain the clinical symptoms and signs.

Exclusion criteria
The exclusion criteria were
1. Cervical trauma within the past four weeks.
2. Cervical neoplasia.
3. Ongoing cervical infection.

Diagnostic work-up
The diagnostic work-up included
1. Clinical and neurological examination.
2. Cervical MRI (cervical CT-myelography was used in one case where MRI was contraindicated due to a permanent pacemaker).

ACDF
In all patients, we used an anterior approach to the cervical spine with a right-sided skin incision, as originally described by Robinson and Smith [9]. A self-retractor was mounted after verification of the levels of interest using fluoroscopy, (Shadow-line, V. Mueller Neuro/Spine Product, Cardinal Health, San Carlos, CA).

In most patients, an operating microscope was used and the disc was removed with a high-speed drill (Midas Rex, Medtronic, Memphis, TN). Removal of the posterior longitudinal ligament and the final decompression of the nerve roots were performed using small rongeurs. Bilateral nerve root decompression was always performed, even in patients with unilateral symptoms. After the procedure, distraction was applied using the Shadow-line Distraction System (V. Mueller Neuro/Spine Product, Cardinal Health). Fusion was attained with either tricortical AICG or PEEK cages (Cervios, Stratec Medical, Oberdorf, Switzerland), at the discretion of the surgeon. After
removal of the Shadow-line distracters, the screw holes were plugged with bone wax (Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson, Somerville, NJ) to prevent postoperative bleeding. Wound drainage was not routinely used. A single dose of cephalothin (30 mg/kg), which was used as infection prophylaxis, was administered 15-30 min before the skin incision [19-21].

Iliac crest auto graft
The tricortical AICG was harvested from the right iliac crest. Care was taken to preserve the anterior 2 cm of the iliac crest and the lateral cutaneous femoral nerve. The bone grafts were harvested using an oscillating saw and a graft cutter, and the bone bed was waxed with bone wax (Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson, USA). Wound drainage was not routinely used, and the surrounding soft tissue was infiltrated with 20 ml of bupivacaine after wound closure.

Postoperative care
The patients were observed in a recovery unit for the first 4-6 h after surgery, and were then transferred to the regular neurosurgical ward. All patients were mobilized with a stiff collar within 24 h after surgery. Almost all patients were discharged from our hospital to the referring neurological department 48-72 h after surgery. All patients were encouraged repeatedly to participate in normal activities 6-14 weeks after surgery. A final clinical examination was performed 6 months after surgery in our outpatient clinic.

Prospective registration of clinical parameters
The parameters registered the day before surgery included age, sex, symptom duration before surgery (months), previous surgery for CDD, previous neck trauma, working status, radicular pain, neck pain, headache, myelopathy (yes/no), and paresis (muscular strength graded according to the Royal Medical Research Council of Great Britain, where 5 is normal strength and 0 is total paralysis in the affected muscle group)[22]. Each of the three pain categories was scored using a VAS, where 0 indicated no pain and 10 represented extreme pain[23].

As the clinical impact of changes in VAS scores less than ± 2 is unclear, we estimated the number of patients that had changes in VAS scores of more than ± 2 for the three pain categories [24,25]. The parameters registered during surgery included: number of levels fused (single-level, two-level, or three-level fusion), level fused (C3/C4, C4/C5, C5/C6, C6/7 or C7/Th1) and fusion type (AICG or PEEKcage). The following parameters were registered at the 6-month follow-up visit in our outpatient clinic: radicular pain, neck pain, headache, myelopathy (a diagnosis of myelopathy required neurological signs of upper motor neuron affection as Babinski sign, hyperreflexia or increased muscular tone), paresis, working status and patient satisfaction with the surgical treatment. Patient satisfaction was measured using a VAS scale, where a score of 0 indicated that the patient was not at all satisfied with the result of ACDF and a score of 10 indicated that the patient was very satisfied with the surgical outcomes [26,27]. We defined a VAS score ≥ 8 as a success, while a score ≤ 5 was regarded as a failure.

Surgery-related complications
We have previously published our complications in 390 consecutive ACDF operations, which included 278 patients fused with AICG and 112 patients fused with a PEEK graft [11].

Database and statistical analyses
For linear regression analysis, we first performed a univariate analysis, followed by multivariate modeling introducing all the variables, in an exploratory fashion. The linearity assumption of the linear regression was checked using a plot of the fitted regression line compared with a locally weighted nonparametric scatterplot of the outcome variable against the predictor. Homoscedasticity was checked by graphing residuals versus predicted and observed values. Finally, normality of residuals was checked using boxplots, histograms, and quantile plots of residuals. Ordinal variables were also checked for linearity using a nested likelihood ratio test.

Some of our variables displayed heteroscedasticity, therefore, we repeated the analyses using both the Huber-White sandwich estimator of variance relaxing the homoscedasticity assumption and bootstrapped regressions with 1,000 repetitions. The results of these analyses were in agreement with the findings of our traditional regression results, which allowed us to take a relaxed stance toward the heteroscedastic findings in some of our models. Standard paired t-tests, chi-squared, and z-tests for proportions were also used. Significance was set at alpha < 0.05. The Stata v10.1 (Stata Corp, Austin, TX) software was used in all analyses.

Ethics
The Data Protection Officials of the Rikshospitalet approved the study. All patients gave signed informed consent for entry of the data into the database and for the subsequent prospective study.

Results
Baseline clinical characteristics
Of the 390 patients eligible for inclusion in this study (total group), we obtained complete preoperative- and follow-up data for 258 patients (66.1%) (study group).
The patient characteristics for both groups are included in Table 1. No significant differences were found between the groups with respect to baseline clinical characteristics. Only the 258 patients with complete data sets were included in the analyses.

### Pain relief after surgery

We found a significant reduction in radicular pain, neck pain, and headache after surgery in the study group (Table 2). The reduction was most pronounced for radicular pain and neck pain. Using univariate and multivariate analyses we found that high preoperative pain intensity was significantly associated with a decrease in pain intensity after surgery, for all three pain categories. There were no significant correlations between pain relief and the following patients characteristics: sex, age, number of levels fused, disc level fused, fusion method (AICG versus PEEK-cage), previous neck surgery (except for neck pain), previous neck trauma, or preoperative symptom duration (Table 3). As the clinical impact of

### Table 1 Patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AICF Study group</th>
<th>Total group</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females - no of patients (%)</td>
<td>114 (44)</td>
<td>178 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range) - (years)</td>
<td>47.5 (28.3-82.8)</td>
<td>47.7 (26.9-82.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levels per procedure - no of patients (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One level</td>
<td>152 (59)</td>
<td>240 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two levels</td>
<td>104 (40)</td>
<td>148 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three levels</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level - no of patients (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3/C4</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4/C5</td>
<td>38 (15)</td>
<td>56 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5/C6</td>
<td>182 (71)</td>
<td>266 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6/C7</td>
<td>137 (53)</td>
<td>207 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7/Th1</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of fusion - no of patients (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous bone graft</td>
<td>181 (70)</td>
<td>278 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEEK* cage</td>
<td>77 (30)</td>
<td>112 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms - no of patients (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiculopathy</td>
<td>206 (80)</td>
<td>309 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiculopathy and myelopathy</td>
<td>36 (14)</td>
<td>50 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>18 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No radiculopathy or myelopathy</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous AICF - no of patients (%)</td>
<td>11 (4)</td>
<td>18 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous neck trauma - no of patients (%)</td>
<td>23 (9)</td>
<td>26 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Polyetheretherketone

### Table 2 Intensity of pain measured using the VAS scale before surgery (preop) and 6 months after surgery (postop).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paired samples</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preop radicular pain</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>3.05 - 11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop radicular pain</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>2.30 - 6.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop neck pain</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>4.15 - 8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop neck pain</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.08 - 5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop headache</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>2.55 - 4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop headache</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.00 - 4.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Paired sample t-test).
changes in VAS scores less than ± 2 is unclear, we estimated the number of patients that had changes in VAS scores of more than ± 2 for the three pain categories (Table 4). Radicular pain improved ≥ 2 VAS points in 64% of the patients, while 6% of patients experienced a worsening of VAS score ≤ -2. Neck pain improved ≥ 2 VAS points in 55% of the patients, while 10% of patients experienced a worsening of VAS score ≤ -2. Headache improved ≥ 2 VAS points in 31% of the patients, while 16% of patients experienced a worsening of VAS score ≤ -2.

Paresis
One hundred and fifty-one of the 249 (61%) patients had normal muscular strength at the time of surgery. At follow-up, 233/249 (94%) patients had normal muscular strength.

Myelopathy
Of the 45 patients with clinical evident myelopathy at the time of surgery, only 16 (35.6%) had persistent myelopathy 6 months after surgery.

### Table 3 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression model of potential predictors of outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Delta radicular pain</th>
<th>Delta neck pain</th>
<th>Delta headache</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td>Univariate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.56, 1.05]</td>
<td>[-0.73, 0.79]</td>
<td>[-0.41, 1.22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.08, 0.01]</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>[-0.03, 0.05]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of fusion</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.87, 0.88]</td>
<td>[-0.61, 1.04]</td>
<td>[-0.78, 0.98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of levels</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.38, 1.19]</td>
<td>[-0.49, 1.02]</td>
<td>[-0.56, 1.02]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.46, 0.64]</td>
<td>[-0.53, 0.64]</td>
<td>[-0.20, 0.91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous symptom duration</td>
<td>-0.0019</td>
<td>-0.0016</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.01, 0.01]</td>
<td>[-0.01, 0.01]</td>
<td>[-0.00, 0.01]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous neck surgery</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-2.59, 1.36]</td>
<td>[-2.37, 1.36]</td>
<td>[-1.73, 0.23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous neck trauma</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-1.17, 1.64]</td>
<td>[-1.42, 1.23]</td>
<td>[-1.99, 0.83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop radicular pain</td>
<td>0.60***</td>
<td>0.63***</td>
<td>0.31***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.44, 0.76]</td>
<td>[0.45, 0.81]</td>
<td>[0.13, 0.48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop neck pain</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
<td>0.66***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.00, 0.28]</td>
<td>[-0.23, 0.08]</td>
<td>[0.54, 0.78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop headache</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.07, 0.22]</td>
<td>[-0.11, 0.19]</td>
<td>[-0.06, 0.23]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations 256 255 252

Adjusted \( R^2 \) 0.150 0.350 0.261

95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets

\( p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.00 \)

Outcome variables are the paired differences between preoperative and postoperative pain VAS scores (delta radicular pain, delta neck pain and delta headache).
Working status
At the onset of symptomatic CDD, 80% of patients were employed full-time, 3% received workers' compensation for CDD, 4% received workers' compensation for reasons other than CDD, 8% received a disability pension, and 5% were students, housewives, retired, or unemployed. At the time of surgery, 66% of patients had received workers' compensation for 1 month or more. The median sick leave before surgery was 5.0 (0-150) months. Six months after surgery, 48% of patients had returned to work; however 24% were still receiving workers' compensation. The percentage of patients receiving a disability pension increased from 8%, before the onset of symptomatic CDD, to 21% 6 months postoperatively. The increase in the number of patients receiving a disability pension was, related to CDD in all cases but one.

Patient satisfaction
At the 6-month postoperative control, all patients were asked to score their satisfaction with the surgical result using a VAS scale. The mean reported VAS score was 8.42, and 200/256 (78%) patients reported a score > 8 (success). Only 27/256 (11%) patients reported a VAS score < 5, which indicate that the operation did not fulfill their expectations (failure). Patient satisfaction was then correlated to other measures of surgical outcome at 6 months (Table 5). Patient satisfaction was significantly associated with pain relief after surgery.

Discussion
Symptom relief after ACDF
The effectiveness of ACDF in relieving radicular pain secondary to CDD is well documented in both long- and short-term follow-up studies [12,15,28-30]. However, the effectiveness of ACDF in relieving neck pain and headache secondary to CDD remains unclear. In this prospective study of 258 patients, we confirmed the beneficial effect of ACDF on radicular pain. The patients also reported a significant improvement in their neck pain and headache. The reduction in headache, although significant, was only by 0.55 points on the VAS scale, in contrast to the changes observed for radicular and neck pain (VAS score variation of 3.05 and 2.30, respectively). The clinical impact of changes in VAS scores < 2 is unclear. An improvement in VAS score ≥ 2 was observed in 64%, 55%, and 31% of patients, for radicular pain, neck pain, and headache, respectively. Almost all patients in our series had radicular pain: therefore, our cohort cannot be used to answer the question concerning the effect of ACDF on neck pain or discogenic headache in patients with mild or no radicular pain. Schofferman et al. published a series of nine patients that allowed them to conclude that ACDF is an effective treatment for discogenic headache [31]. In a recent publication, Laimi et al. reported a low probability of association between headache and CDD [32]. We remain reluctant to offer ACDF to patients with dominating neck pain or headache who have little or no radicular pain.

Working status
The percentage of patients that returned to work within 6 months of surgery was lower than expected [28].
most likely explanations for this result are symptom persistence, passive approach with respect to motivating the patient to return to work, and that surgery in some patients was regarded as the last necessary step for the collection of a permanent disability pension. More effort is required to assist the return of patients to the workplace as early as possible. Bhandari et al. reported that 28% of their patient cohort had not returned to work one year after cervical discectomy [33]. These authors found that long preoperative sick leave and persistent postoperative neck pain were associated with not returning to work after surgery. Age and disability claims also influenced the rates of return to work. Steinmetz et al. have studied return to work in a cohort of patients who had workers compensation as their primary insurance. They found 42% return to work 6 months after ACDF and 55% return to work 6 months after cervical disc arthroplasty [34].

**Patient satisfaction**

Two hundred out of the 256 (78%) patients evaluated the surgical result as successful. Only 27/256 (11%) patients classified the surgical result as a failure. A 78% success rate after surgery for CDD must be regarded as acceptable. Patient satisfaction is often evaluated using the Odom Criteria [35]. This said, the VAS scale is an accepted tool to evaluate patient satisfaction[26].

**Prognostic factors**

In our series, we found a significant correlation between high preoperative pain intensity and decrease in pain intensity after surgery, for all three pain categories. We found no significant correlation between symptom reduction after ACDF and sex, age, number of levels fused, disc level fused, fusion method (AICG or PEEK), previous neck surgery (except for neck pain), previous neck trauma or preoperative symptom duration. The most likely explanation for the lack of identification of prognostic factors is that we selected the best candidates for surgery based on previous knowledge [4,5]. However we were surprised by the fact that symptom duration failed to influence the final surgery outcome, as many of our patients had a rather long preoperative symptom duration. This finding is in contrast with earlier reports on symptomatic CDD and herniated lumbar disc with sciatica [6,7]. An unfavorable postoperative outcome was reported in cases where symptom duration exceeded 6 months in patients treated for herniated lumbar disc and sciatica [6]. Our data suggest that a lengthy duration of symptoms does not influence outcomes.

**Fusion with PEEK cage versus AICG**

Anterior cervical decompression and fusion with autologous bone graft has been the standard treatment for CDD for more than 50 years [9]. In recent years, many surgeons have replaced autologous bone grafting with an artificial cage and they report equivalent clinical outcomes after this shift in surgical procedure [12,14-17]. Our study confirmed the results of these previous studies. We found no significant differences between the type of fusion in relation to reduction of radicular pain, neck pain, or headache. We have reported the presence of similar complication rates for patients fused with a PEEK cage or with AICG, with the exception of the absence of donor site morbidity in patients fused with a PEEK cage [11]. The absence of donor site morbidity, the shorter operation time, and the equivalent clinical results associated with the use of PEEK cages lead us to prefer this type of fusion to AICG.

**Optimal surgical procedure for CDD**

There is no clear consensus regarding the optimal surgical procedure for CDD [2,36-38]. Which procedure provides the best clinical outcomes: anterior cervical discectomy alone (ACD), ACDF, discectomy with intervertebral fusion and instrumentation (ACDFI), or cervical arthroplasty? A recent prospective randomized study comparing ACD, ACDF and ACDFI in patients with CDD showed no significant differences in clinical outcomes at the 2 year follow up[37]. However, patients operated with ACD had a higher rate of segmental kyphosis than patients operated with ACDF or ACDFI. Some authors report lesser graft dislocations and graft collapse and higher fusion rates after ACDFI compared with ACD [13,39,39-42]. On the other hand, the complication rate after ACDFI is somewhat higher compared with ACDF [12,13,41]. ACD, ACDF and ACDFI reduce segmental motion and cause heightened stress on the discs below and above the fusion, which in turn may induce adjacent-level degeneration [43-46]. The main arguments in favor of cervical arthroplasty are the preservation of segmental motion and a lower risk of adjacent-level disc degeneration. The results of randomized, controlled clinical trials comparing cervical disc arthroplasty with ACDF are now emerging [45,47-54]. The follow-up times in the arthroplasty studies are relatively short, however there is a tendency for slightly improved outcomes after cervical prosthesis compared with ACDF. Our routine procedure has so far been ACDF, (both single-level and two-level ACDF). Based on the current literature, we see no reason to change this strategy at this time, although we accept that ACD, ACDFI, and prosthesis probably provide similar clinical outcomes. If the long-term clinical outcome of cervical arthroplasty is demonstrated to be superior to ACDF, we will change our treatment strategy.
Limitations of the study

- The patients were not randomized to fusion with either AICG or PEEK-cage. The type of fusion was in each case decided by the surgeon. This may cause a bias in the material.
- Ideally the outcome after ACDF for CDD should have been compared with an equivalent group managed with conservative measures.
- The follow-up evaluation was done by the surgeons and not an independent investigator, this may have influenced the final result.

Conclusions

- ACDF is an effective treatment for radicular pain in selected patients with CDD (patients evaluated 6 months after surgery).
- Because of similar clinical outcome and lack of donor site morbidity when using PEEK, we now prefer fusion with PEEK-cage to AICG.
- Lengthy symptom duration was not a negative prognostic marker in our patient population.
- The number of patients who returned to work 6 months after surgery was lower than expected.
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