# A stochastic maximum principle for processes driven by fractional Brownian motion

Francesca Biagini<sup>1)</sup> Yaozhong  $Hu^{2)}$  Bernt Øksendal<sup>3),4)</sup> Agnès Sulem<sup>5)</sup>

February 11, 2002

- Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna, Piazza di Porta S. Donato, 5
   I–40127 Bologna, Italy Email: biagini@dm.unibo.it
- <sup>2)</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas 405 Snow Hall, Lawrence, Kansas 66045-2142, USA Email: hu@math.ukans.edu
- <sup>3)</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo Box 1053 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway, Email: oksendal@math.uio.no
- <sup>4)</sup> Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration, Helleveien 30, N-5045 Bergen, Norway
- <sup>5)</sup> INRIA, Domaine de Voluceau, Rocquencourt B.P. 105, F-78153 Le Chesnay Cedex, France Email: agnes.sulem@inria.fr

#### Abstract

We prove a stochastic maximum principle for controlled processes  $X(t) = X^{(u)}(t)$ of the form

$$dX(t) = b(t, X(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(t, X(t), u(t))dB^{(H)}(t)$$

where  $B^{(H)}(t)$  is *m*-dimensional fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $H = (H_1, \dots, H_m) \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)^m$ . As an application we solve a problem about minimal variance hedging in an incomplete market driven by fractional Brownian motion.

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $H = (H_1, \dots, H_m)$  with  $\frac{1}{2} < H_j < 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and let  $B^{(H)}(t) = (B_1^{(H)}(t), \dots, B_m^{(H)}(t))$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  be *m*-dimensional fractional Brownian motion, *i.e.*  $B^{(H)}(t) = B^{(H)}(t, \omega)$ ,  $(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$  is a Gaussian process in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  such that

(1.1) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[B^{(H)}(t)\right] = B^{(H)}(0) = 0$$

AMS 2000 subject classifications. Primary 93E20, 60H05, 60H10; Secondary 91B28. Key words and phrases: Stochastic maximum principle, stochastic control, fractional Brownian motion.

and

(1.2) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[B_{j}^{(H)}(s)B_{k}^{(H)}(t)\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left\{|s|^{2H_{j}} + |t|^{2H_{j}} - |t-s|^{2H_{j}}\right\}\delta_{jk}; 1 \le j, k \le n, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$\delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } j \neq k \\ 1 & \text{when } j = k \end{cases}$$

Here  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}$  denotes the expectation with respect to the probability law  $\mu = \mu_H$  for  $B^{(H)}(\cdot)$ . This means that the components  $B_1^{(H)}(\cdot)$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $B_m^{(H)}(\cdot)$  of  $B^{(H)}(\cdot)$  are *m* independent 1dimensional fractional Brownian motions with Hurst parameters  $H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_m$ , respectively. We refer to [MvN], [NVV] and [S] for more information about fractional Brownian motion. Because of its interesting properties (e.g. long range dependence and self-similarity of the components)  $B^{(H)}(t)$  has been suggested as a replacement of *standard Brownian motion* B(t) (corresponding to  $H_j = \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $j = 1, \cdots, m$ ) in several stochastic models, including finance.

Unfortunately,  $B^{(H)}(\cdot)$  is neither a semimartingale nor a Markov process, so the powerful tools from the theories of such processes are not applicable when studying  $B^{(H)}(\cdot)$ . Nevertheless, an efficient stochastic calculus of  $B^{(H)}(\cdot)$  can be developed. This calculus uses an Itô type of integration with respect to  $B^{(H)}(\cdot)$  and white noise theory. See [DHP] and [HØ2] for details. For applications to finance see [HØ2], [HØS1] [HØS2]. In [Hu1], [Hu2], [HØZ] and [ØZ] the theory is extended to multi-parameter fractional Brownian fields  $B^{(H)}(x); x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and applied to stochastic partial differential equations driven by such fractional white noise.

The purpose of this paper is to establish a stochastic maximum principle for stochastic control of processes driven by  $B^{(H)}(\cdot)$ . We illustrate the result by applying it to a problem about minimal variance hedging in finance.

#### 2 Preliminaries

For the convenience of the reader we recall here some of the basic results of fractional Brownian motion calculus. Let  $B^{(H)}(t)$  be 1-dimensional in the following.

Define, for given  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,

(2.1) 
$$\phi(s,t) = \phi_H(s,t) = H(2H-1)|s-t|^{2H-2}; \qquad s,t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As in [HØ2] we will assume that  $\Omega$  is the space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  of tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is the dual of the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  of rapidly decreasing functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\omega \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  we let  $\langle \omega, f \rangle = \omega(g)$  denote the action of  $\omega$  applied to f. It can be extended to all  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\left\|f\right\|_{\phi}^{2} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s)f(t)\phi(s,t)ds\,dt < \infty \;.$$

The space of all such (deterministic) functions f is denoted by  $L^2_{\phi}(\mathbb{R})$ .

If  $F: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a given function we let

(2.2) 
$$D_t^{\phi} F = \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_r F \cdot \phi(r, t) dr$$

denote the Malliavin  $\phi$ -derivative of F at t (if it exists) (see [DHP, Definition 3.4]. Define  $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}$  to be the set of (measurable) processes  $g(t,\omega): \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $D_s^{\phi}g(s)$  exists for a.a.  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and

(2.3) 
$$\left\|g\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,2}_{\phi}}^{2} := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}g(s)g(t)\phi(s,t)ds\,dt + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}D_{s}^{\phi}g(s)ds\right)^{2}\right] < \infty$$

We let  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t, \omega) dB^{(H)}(t)$  denote the *fractional Itô-integral* of the process  $\sigma(t, \omega)$  with respect to  $B^{(H)}(t)$ , as defined in [DHP]. In particular, this means that if  $\sigma$  belongs to the family S of step functions of the form

$$\sigma(t,\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i(\omega) \chi_{[t_i,t_{i+1})}(t), \quad (t,\omega) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$$

where  $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{N+1}$ , then

(2.4) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t,\omega) dB^{(H)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i(\omega) \diamond \left( B^{(H)}(t_{i+1}) - B^{(H)}(t_i) \right) ,$$

where  $\diamond$  denotes the Wick product. For  $\sigma(t) = \sigma(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{S} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}$  we have the isometry

(2.5) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma(t,\omega)dB^{(H)}(t)\right]^{2} = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\sigma(s)\sigma(t)\phi(s,t)ds\,dt + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}D_{s}^{\phi}\sigma(s)ds\right)^{2}\right] = \left\|\sigma\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,2}_{\phi}}^{2},$$

where  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_H}$ . Using this we can extend the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t,\omega) dB^{(H)}(t)$  to  $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}$ . Note that if  $\sigma, \theta \in \mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}$ , we have, by polarization,

(2.6) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t,\omega) dB^{(H)}(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta(t,\omega) dB^{(H)}(t)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sigma(s) \theta(t) \phi(s,t) ds dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_s^{\phi} \sigma(s) ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_t^{\phi} \theta(t) dt\right].$$

Also note that we need not assume that the integrand  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}$  is adapted to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{(H)}$  generated by  $B^{(H)}(s, \cdot)$ ;  $s \leq t$ .

An important property of this fractional Itô-integral is that

(2.7) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t,\omega) dB^{(H)}(t)\right] = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}$$

(see [DHP, Theorem 3.9]).

We give three versions of the fractional Itô formula, in increasing order of complexity.

**Theorem 2.1 ([DHP], Theorem 4.1)** Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  with bounded second order derivatives. Then for  $t \geq 0$ 

(2.8) 
$$f(B^{(H)}(t)) = f(B^{(H)}(0)) + \int_0^t f'(B^{(H)}(s))dB^{(H)}(s) + H \int_0^t s^{2H-1} f''(B^{(H)}(s))ds.$$

**Theorem 2.2 ([DHP], Theorem 4.3)** Let  $X(t) = \int_0^t \sigma(s, \omega) dB^{(H)}(s)$ , where  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}$ and assume  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$  with bounded second order derivatives. Then for  $t \ge 0$ 

(2.9) 
$$f(t, X(t)) = f(0, 0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s, X(s))ds + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, X(s))\sigma(s)dB^{(H)}(s) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s, X(s))\sigma(s)D_s^{\phi}X(s)ds.$$

Finally we give an m-dimensional version:

Let  $B^{(H)}(t) = \left(B_1^{(H)}(t), \dots, B_m^{(H)}(t)\right)$  be an *m*-dimensional fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $H = (H_1, \dots, H_m) \in (1/2, 1)^m$ , as in Section 1. Since we are here dealing with *m* independent fractional Brownian motions we may regard  $\Omega$  as the product of *m* independent copies of  $\overline{\Omega}$  and write  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then in the following the notation  $D_{k,s}^{\phi}Y$  means the Malliavin  $\phi$ -derivative with respect to  $\omega_k$  and could also be written

(2.10) 
$$D_{k,s}^{\phi}Y = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{H_k}(s,t) D_{k,t}Y dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{H_k}(s,t) \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \omega_k}(t,\omega) dt.$$

Similar to the 1-dimensional case discussed in Section 1, we can define the multi-dimensional fractional (Wick-Itô) integral

(2.11) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t,\omega) dB^{(H)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_j(t,\omega) dB_j^{(H)}(t) \in L^2(\mu)$$

for all processes  $f(t,\omega) = (f_1(t,\omega), \ldots, f_m(t,\omega)) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that, for all  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ ,

(2.12) 
$$\left\| f_j \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,2}_{\phi_j}}^2 := \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_j(s) f_j(t) \phi_j(s,t) ds \, dt + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{j,t}^{\phi_j} f_j(t) dt \right)^2 \right] < \infty$$

where  $\phi_j = \phi_{H_j}$ ;  $1 \le j \le m$ .

Denote the set of all such *m*-dimensional processes f by  $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}(m)$ , where  $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_m)$ .

It can be proved (see [BØ]) that for  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^{1,2}_{\phi}(m)$  we have the following fractional multi-dimensional Itô isometry

(2.13) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f dB^{(H)}\right) \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g dB^{(H)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{i}(s)g_{i}(t)\phi_{i}(s,t)ds dt + \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{j,t}^{\phi}f_{i}(t)dt\right) \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{i,t}^{\phi}g_{j}(t)dt\right)\right].$$

We put

(2.14) 
$$(f,g)_{\mathbb{L}^{1,2}_{\phi}(m)} = \mathbb{E} \Big[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{i}(s)g_{i}(t)\phi_{i}(s,t)ds dt + \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \Big( \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{j,t}^{\phi}f_{i}(t)dt \Big) \cdot \Big( \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{i,t}^{\phi}g_{j}(t)dt \Big) \Big]$$

and define

$$\mathbb{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}(m) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}(m); \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}(m)}^{2} := (f,f)_{\mathbb{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}(m)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Now suppose  $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{L}^{1,2}_{\phi}(m)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then we can define  $X(t) = (X_1(t), \cdots, X_n(t))$  where

(2.15) 
$$X_i(t,\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(s,\omega) dB_j^{(H)}(s) \, ; \, 1 \le i \le n \, .$$

We have the following multi-dimensional fractional Itô formula:

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $f \in C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  with bounded second order derivatives. Then, for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$f(t,X(t)) = f(0,0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s,X(s))ds + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(s,X(s))dX_i(s)$$

$$(2.16) \qquad + \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(s,X(s)) \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_{ik}(s) D_{k,s}^{\phi}(X_j(s)) \right\} ds$$

$$= f(0,0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s,X(s))ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(s,X(s))\sigma_{ij}(s,\omega) \right] dB_j^{(H)}(s)$$

$$(2.17) \qquad + \int_0^t Tr \left[ \Lambda^T(s) f_{xx}(s,X(s)) \right] ds .$$

Here  $\Lambda = [\Lambda_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  with

(2.18) 
$$\Lambda_{ij}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sigma_{ik} D_{k,s}^{\phi} \left( X_j(s) \right) ; \quad 1 \le i \le n \,, \quad 1 \le j \le m \,,$$

(2.19) 
$$f_{xx} = \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right]_{1 \le i,j \le n}$$

and  $(\cdot)^T$  denotes matrix transposed and  $\operatorname{Tr}[\cdot]$  denotes matrix trace.

The following useful result is a multidimensional version of Theorem 4.2 in [DHP]:

#### Theorem 2.4 Let

(2.20) 
$$X(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{j}(r,\omega) dB_{j}^{(H)}(r); \qquad \sigma = (\sigma_{1}, \dots, \sigma_{m}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{1,2}(m) .$$

Then

(2.21) 
$$D_{k,s}^{\phi}X(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} D_{k,s}^{\phi}\sigma_{j}(r)dB_{j}^{(H)}(r) + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{k}(r)\phi_{H_{k}}(s,r)dr, \quad 1 \le k \le m.$$

In particular, if  $\sigma_j(r)$  is deterministic for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  then

(2.22) 
$$D_{k,s}^{\phi}X(t) = \int_0^t \sigma_k(r)\phi_{H_k}(s,r)dr \,.$$

Now we have the following integration by parts formula.

**Corollary 2.5** Let X(t) and Y(t) be two processes of the form

$$dX(t) = \mu(t,\omega)dt + \sigma(t,\omega)dB^{(H)}(t), \quad X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$dY(t) = \nu(t,\omega)dt + \theta(t,\omega)dB^{(H)}(t), \quad Y(0) = y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\mu : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\nu : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  are given processes with rows  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\theta_i \in \mathcal{L}^{1,2}_{\phi}(m)$  for  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $B^H(\cdot)$  is an m-dimensional fractional Brownian motion.

a) Then, for T > 0,

$$\mathbb{E}[X(T)\cdot Y(T)] = x \cdot y + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} X(s)dY(s)\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} Y(s)dX(s)\right] \\ + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sigma_{ik}(s)\theta_{ik}(t)\phi_{H_{k}}(s,t)ds\,dt\right] \\ + \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j,k=1}^{m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{j,t}^{\phi}\sigma_{ik}(t)dt\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{k,t}^{\phi}\theta_{ij}(t)dt\right)\right]$$
(2.23)

provided that the first two integrals exist.

**b)** In particular, if  $\sigma(\cdot)$  or  $\theta(\cdot)$  is deterministic then

(2.24) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[X(T) \cdot Y(T)\right] = x \cdot y + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} X(s)dY(s)\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} Y(s)dX(s)\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sigma_{ik}(s)\theta_{ik}(t)\phi_{H_{k}}(s,t)dsdt\right].$$

*Proof* This follows from Theorem 2.3 applied to the function f(t, x, y) = xy, combined with (2.13).

## **3** Stochastic differential equations

For given functions  $b : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  consider the stochastic differential equation

(3.1) 
$$dX(t) = b(t, X(t))dt + \sigma(t, X(t))dB^{(H)}(t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where the initial value  $X(0) \in L^2(\mu_{\phi})$  or the terminal value  $X(T) \in L^2(\mu_{\phi})$  is given. The Itô isometry for the stochastic integral becomes

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\sigma(t,X(t))dB^{(H)}(t)\right)^{2} = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\int_{0}^{T}\sigma(t,X(t))\sigma(s,X(s))\phi(s,t)dsdt\right) + \mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{T}\sigma'_{x}(s,X(s))D^{\phi}_{s}X(s)ds\right)^{2}\right\}.$$
(3.2)

Because of the appearance of the term  $D_s^{\phi}X(s)$  on the right-hand-side of the above identity, we may not directly apply the Picard iteration to solve (3.1).

In this section, we will solve the following quasi-linear stochastic differential equations using the theory developed in  $[H\emptyset 1]$ ,  $[H\emptyset 2]$ :

(3.3) 
$$dX(t) = b(t, X(t))dt + (\sigma_t X(t) + a_t) dB^{(H)}(t),$$

where  $\sigma_t$  and  $a_t$  are given deterministic functions,  $b(t, x) = b(t, x, \omega)$  is (almost surely) continuous with respect to t and x and globally Lipschitz continuous on x, the initial condition X(0) or the terminal condition X(T) is given. For simplicity we will discuss the case when  $a_t = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Namely, we shall consider

(3.4) 
$$dX(t) = b(t, X(t))dt + \sigma_t X(t)dB^{(H)}(t).$$

We need the following result, which is a fractional version of Gjessing's lemma (see e.g. Theorem 2.10.7 in  $[H\emptyset UZ]$ ).

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $G \in L^2(\mu_H)$  and

$$F = \exp^{\diamond} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) dB^{(H)}(t) \right) = \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) dB^{(H)}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\phi}^{2} \right) \,,$$

where f is deterministic and such that

$$||f||_{\phi}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(s)f(t)\phi(s,t)dsdt < \infty.$$

Then

(3.5) 
$$F \diamond G = F \tau_{\hat{f}} G \,,$$

where  $\diamond$  is the Wick product defined in [HØ2],  $\hat{f}$  is given by

(3.6) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(s)g(t)\phi(s,t)dsdt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(s)g(s)ds \quad \forall g \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$$

and

$$au_{\hat{f}}G(\omega) = G(\omega - \int_0^{\cdot} \hat{f}(s)ds) \; .$$

*Proof* By [DHP, Theorem 3.1] it suffices to show the result in the case when

$$G(\omega) = \exp^{\diamond}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) dB^{(H)}(t)\right) = \exp^{\diamond}\langle\omega, g\rangle,$$

where g is deterministic and  $||g||_{\phi} < \infty$ . In this case we have

$$F \diamond G = \exp^{\diamond} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(t) + g(t)] dB^{(H)}(t) \right)$$
  
=  $\exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(t) + g(t)] dB^{(H)}(t) - \frac{1}{2} ||f||_{\phi}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} ||g||_{\phi}^{2} - (f,g)_{\phi} \right)$ 

where

$$(f,g)_{\phi} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(s)g(t)\phi(s,t)dsdt$$

But

$$\begin{split} \tau_{\hat{f}}G &= \exp^{\diamond}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}g(t)dB^{(H)}(t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}}\hat{f}(t)g(t)dt\right) \\ &= \exp^{\diamond}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}g(t)dB^{(H)}(t) - (f,g)_{\phi}\right). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$F\tau_{\hat{f}}G = \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)dB^{(H)}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{\phi}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t)dB^{(H)}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\|g\|_{\phi}^{2} - (f,g)_{\phi}\right) = F \diamond G.$$

We now return to Equation (3.3). First let us solve the equation when b = 0 and with initial value X(0) given. Namely, let us consider

(3.7) 
$$dX(t) = -\sigma_t X(t) dB^{(H)}(t), \quad X(0) \quad \text{given}.$$

With the notion of Wick product, this equation can be written (see  $[H\emptyset 2, Def 3.11]$ )

(3.8) 
$$\dot{X}(t) = -\sigma_t X(t) \diamond W^{(H)}(t) ,$$

where  $W^{(H)} = \dot{B}^{(H)}$  is the fractional white noise. Using the Wick calculus, we obtain

(3.9)  

$$X(t) = X(0) \diamond J_{\sigma}(t)$$

$$:= X(0) \diamond \exp^{\diamond} \left( -\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{s} W^{(H)}(s) ds \right)$$

$$= X(0) \diamond \exp \left( -\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{s} dB^{(H)}(s) - \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma\|_{\phi,t}^{2} \right),$$

where

(3.10) 
$$\|\sigma\|_{\phi,t}^2 := \int_0^t \int_0^t \sigma_u \sigma_v \phi(u,v) du dv$$

To solve Equation (3.4) we let

(3.11) 
$$Y_t := X(t) \diamond J_{\sigma}(t) \,.$$

This means

(3.12) 
$$X(t) = Y_t \diamond \hat{J}_{\sigma}(t) ,$$

where

(3.13) 
$$\hat{J}_{\sigma}(t) = J_{-\sigma}(t) = \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{s} dB^{(H)}(s) - \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma\|_{\phi,t}^{2}\right).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dY_t}{dt} &= \frac{dX(t)}{dt} \diamond J_{\sigma}(t) + X(t) \diamond \frac{dJ_{\sigma}(t)}{dt} \\ &= \frac{dX(t)}{dt} \diamond J_{\sigma}(t) - \sigma_t J_{\sigma}(t) \diamond X(t) \diamond W^{(H)}(t) \\ &= J_{\sigma}(t) \diamond b(t, X(t), \omega) \\ &= J_{\sigma}(t) b(t, \tau_{-\hat{\sigma}} X(t), \omega + \int_0^{\cdot} \hat{\sigma}(s) ds) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where

(3.14) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sigma_s g(t)\phi(s,t)dsdt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\sigma}_s g(s)ds \quad \forall g \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) .$$

We are going to relate  $\tau_{\hat{\sigma}} X(t)$  to  $Y_t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{-\hat{\sigma}} X_t(t,\omega) &= \tau_{-\hat{\sigma}} [J_{-\sigma}(t)\sigma \diamond Y_t(t,\omega)] \\ &= \tau_{-\hat{\sigma}} [J_{-\sigma}(t)\tau_{\hat{\sigma}}Y_t] \\ &= \tau_{-\hat{\sigma}} J_{-\sigma}(t)Y_t \,. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tau_{-\hat{\sigma}} J_{-\sigma}(t) = [J_{-\hat{\sigma}}(t)]^{-1}$ , we obtain an equation equivalent to (3.4) for  $Y_t$ :

(3.15) 
$$\frac{dY_t}{dt} = J_{-\sigma}(t)b(t, [J_{-\sigma}(t)]^{-1}Y_t, \omega + \int_0^{\cdot} \hat{\sigma}(s)ds).$$

This is a deterministic equation. The initial value X(0) is equivalent to initial value  $Y_0 = X(0) \diamond J_{-\sigma}(0) = X(0)$ . Thus we can solve the quasilinear equation with given initial value.

The terminal value X(T) can also be transformed into the terminal value on  $Y(T) = X(T) \diamond J_{-\sigma}(T)$ . Thus the equation with given terminal value can be solved in a similar way. Note, however, that in this case the solution need not be  $\mathcal{F}^{(H)}_{\cdot}$ -adapted (see the next section).

**Example 3.2** In the equation (3.4) let us consider the case  $b(t, x) = b_t x$  for some deterministic locally bounded function  $b_t$  of t. This means that we are considering the linear stochastic differential equation:

(3.16) 
$$dX(t) = b_t X(t) dt + \sigma_t X(t) dB^{(H)}(t)$$

In this case it is easy to see that the equation (3.15) satisfied by Y is

$$\dot{Y}_t = b(t)Y_t \,.$$

When the initial value is Y(0) = x (constant),  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$Y_t = x e^{\int_0^t b(s) ds}$$

Thus the solution of (3.16) with X(0) = x can be expressed as

(3.17) 
$$X(t) = Y(t) \diamond J_{-\sigma}(t) \\ = x \exp\left\{\int_0^t b(s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dB^{(H)}(s) - \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma\|_{\phi,t}^2\right\}.$$

If we assume the terminal value X(T) given, then

$$Y(t) = Y(T)e^{\int_t^T b(s)ds}$$
  
=  $X(T) \diamond J_{\sigma}(T)e^{\int_t^T b(s)ds}$ 

Hence

(3.18) 
$$X(t) = Y(t) \diamond J_{-\sigma}(t) = X(T) \diamond \exp\left\{\int_{t}^{T} b(s)ds -\int_{t}^{T} \sigma_{s}dB^{(H)}(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{t}^{T}\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(u)\sigma(v)\phi(u,v)dudv\right\}.$$

### 4 Fractional backward stochastic differential equations

Let  $b : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a given function and let  $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a given  $\mathcal{F}_T^{(H)}$ -measurable random variable, where T > 0 is a constant. Consider the problem of finding  $\mathcal{F}^{(H)}$ -adapted processes p(t), q(t) such that

(4.1) 
$$dp(t) = b(t, p(t), q(t))dt + q(t)dB^{(H)}(t); \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$(4.2) P(T) = F a.s.$$

This is a fractional backward stochastic differential equation (FBSDE) in the two unknown processes p(t) and q(t). We will not discuss general theory for such equations here, but settle with a solution in a linear variant of (4.1)-(4.2), namely

(4.3) 
$$dp(t) = [\alpha(t) + b_t p(t) + c_t q(t)] dt + q(t) dB^{(H)}(t); \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$P(T) = F \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where  $b_t$  and  $c_t$  are given continuous deterministic functions and  $\alpha(t) = \alpha(t, \omega)$  is a given  $\mathcal{F}^{(H)}$ -adapted process s.t.  $\int_0^T |\alpha(t, \omega)| dt < \infty$  a.s.

To solve (4.3)-(4.4) we proceed as follows: By the fractional Girsanov theorem (see e.g. [HØ2, Theorem 3.18]) we can rewrite (4.3) as

(4.5) 
$$dp(t) = [\alpha(t) + b_t p(t)] dt + q(t) dB^{(H)}(t); \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where

(4.6) 
$$\hat{B}^{(H)}(t) = B^{(H)}(t) + \int_0^t c_s ds$$

is a fractional Brownian motion (with Hurst parameter H) under the new probability measure  $\hat{\mu}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T^{(H)}$  defined by

(4.7) 
$$\frac{d\hat{\mu}(\omega)}{d\mu(\omega)} = \exp^{\diamond} \left\{ -\langle \omega, \hat{c} \rangle \right\} = \exp\left\{ -\int_{0}^{T} \hat{c}(s) dB^{(H)}(s) - \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{c}\|_{\phi}^{2} \right\},$$

where  $\hat{c} = \hat{c}_t$  is the continuous function with supp  $(\hat{c}) \subset [0, T]$  satisfying

(4.8) 
$$\int_0^T \hat{c}_s \phi(s,t) ds = c_t; \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

and

$$\|\hat{c}\|_{\phi}^{2} = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} \hat{c}(s)\hat{c}(t)\phi(s,t)ds \, dt \; .$$

If we multiply (4.5) with the integrating factor

$$\beta_t := \exp(-\int_0^t b_s ds) \; ,$$

we get

(4.9) 
$$d(\beta_s p(s)) = \beta_s \alpha(s) ds + \beta_s q(s) d\hat{B}^{(H)}(s)$$

or, by integrating (4.9) from s = t to s = T,

(4.10) 
$$\beta_T F = \beta_t p(t) + \int_t^T \beta_s \alpha(s) ds + \int_t^T \beta_s q(s) d\hat{B}^{(H)}(s) ds$$

Assume from now on that

$$(4.11) \qquad \|\alpha\|_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{1,2}_{\phi}[0,T]}^{2} \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\int_{[0,T]\times[0,T]}\alpha(s)\alpha(t)\phi(s,t)dsdt + \left(\int_{0}^{T}\hat{D}_{s}^{\phi}\alpha(s)ds\right)^{2}\right] < \infty.$$

By the fractional Itô isometry (see [DHP, Theorem 3.7] or [HØS2, (1.10)]) applied to  $\hat{B}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}$  we then have

(4.12) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T} \alpha(s) d\hat{B}^{(H)}(s)\right)^{2}\right] = \|\alpha\|_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{1,2}_{\phi}[0,T]}^{2}$$

From now on let us also assume that

(4.13) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}}\left[F^2\right] < \infty.$$

We now apply the quasi-conditional expectation operator (see [HØ2, Definition 4.9a)])

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\cdot|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{(H)}\right]$$

to both sides of (4.10) and get

(4.14) 
$$\beta_T \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[ F | \mathcal{F}_t^{(H)} \right] = \beta_t p(t) + \int_t^T \beta_s \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[ \alpha(s) | \mathcal{F}_t^{(H)} \right] ds$$

Here we have used that p(t) is  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(H)}$ -measurable, that the filtration  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t^{(H)}$  generated by  $\hat{B}^{(H)}(s)$ ;  $s \leq t$  is the same as  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(H)}$ , and that

(4.15) 
$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\int_{t}^{T} f(s,\omega) d\hat{B}^{(H)}(s) |\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{t}^{(H)}\right] = 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad t \leq T$$

for all  $f \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi}^{1,2}[0,T]$ . See [HØ2, Def 4.9] and [HØS2, Lemma 1.1].

From (4.14) we get the solution

(4.16) 
$$p(t) = \exp\left(-\int_{t}^{T} b_{s} ds\right) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[F|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{(H)}\right] + \int_{t}^{T} \exp\left(-\int_{t}^{s} b_{r} dr\right) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[\alpha(s)|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{(H)}\right] ds; \quad t \leq T.$$

In particular, choosing t = 0 we get

(4.17) 
$$p(0) = \exp\left(-\int_0^T b_s ds\right) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}}[F] + \int_0^T \exp\left(-\int_0^s b_r dr\right) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}}[\alpha(s)] ds.$$

Note that p(0) is  $\mathcal{F}_0^{(H)}$ -measurable and hence a constant. Choosing t = 0 in (4.10) we get

(4.18) 
$$G = \int_0^T \beta_s q(s) d\hat{B}^{(H)}(s)$$

where

(4.19) 
$$G = G(\omega) = \beta_T F(\omega) - \int_0^T \beta_s \alpha(s, \omega) ds - p(0),$$

with p(0) given by (4.17).

By the fractional Clark-Ocone theorem [HØ1, Theorem 4.15 b)] applied to  $(\hat{B}^{(H)}, \hat{\mu})$  we have

(4.20) 
$$G = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}}[G] + \int_0^T \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[ \hat{D}_s G | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_s^{(H)} \right] d\hat{B}^{(H)}(s) ,$$

where  $\hat{D}$  denotes the Malliavin derivative at s with respect to  $\hat{B}^{(H)}(\cdot)$ . Comparing (4.18) and (4.20) we see that we can choose

(4.21) 
$$q(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t b_r dr\right) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[\hat{D}_t G | \mathcal{F}_t^{(H)}\right] \,.$$

We have proved the first part of the following result:

**Theorem 4.1** Assume that (4.11) and (4.13) hold. Then a solution (p(t), q(t)) of (4.3)–(4.4) is given by (4.16) and (4.21). The solution is unique among all  $\mathcal{F}^{(H)}_{\cdot}$ -adapted processes  $p(\cdot), q(\cdot) \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{1,2}_{\phi}[0,T]$ .

*Proof* It remains to prove uniqueness. The uniqueness of  $p(\cdot)$  follows from the way we deduced formula (4.16) from (4.3)-(4.4). The uniqueness of q is deduced from (4.18) and (4.20) by the following argument: Substituting (4.20) from (4.18) and using that  $\mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}}(G) = 0$  we get

$$0 = \int_0^T \left( \beta_s q(s) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[ \hat{D}_s G | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_s^{(H)} \right] \right) d\hat{B}^{(H)}(s)$$

Hence by the fractional Itô isometry (4.12)

$$0 = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[ \left\{ \int_{0}^{T} \left( \beta_{s} q(s) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[ \hat{D}_{s} G | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{s}^{(H)} \right] \right) d\hat{B}^{(H)}(s) \right\}^{2} \right] \\ = \|\beta_{s} q(s) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[ \hat{D}_{s} G | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{s}^{(H)} \right] \|_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi}^{1,2}[0,T]}^{2},$$

from which it follows that

$$\beta_s q(s) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\hat{\mu}} \left[ \hat{D}_s G | \hat{\mathcal{F}}_s^{(H)} \right] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad a.a.(s,\omega) \in [0,T] \times \Omega.$$

### 5 A stochastic maximum principle

We now apply the theory in the previous section to prove a maximum principle for systems driven by fractional Brownian motion. See e.g. [H], [P] and [YZ] and the references therein for more information about the maximum principle in the classical Brownian motion case.

Suppose  $X(t) = X^{(u)}(t)$  is a controlled system of the form

(5.1) 
$$dX(t) = b(t, X(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(t, X(t), u(t))dB^{(H)}(t); \quad X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $b: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \to \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\sigma: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  are given  $C^1$  functions. The control process  $u(\cdot): [0,T] \times \Omega \to U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is assumed to be  $\mathcal{F}^{(H)}$ -adapted. U is a given closed convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

Let  $f: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \to \mathbb{R}, g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $G: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^N$  be given  $C^1$  functions and consider a *performance functional* J(u) of the form

(5.2) 
$$J(u) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(t, X(t), u(t))dt + g(X(T))\right]$$

and a *terminal condition* given by

(5.3) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[G(X(T))\right] = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the set of all  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(H)}$ -adapted processes  $u: [0,T] \times \Omega \to U$  such that  $X^{(u)}(t)$  exists and does not explode in [0,T] and

(5.4) 
$$E\left[\int_0^T |f(t, X(t), u(t))| dt + g^-(X(T)) + G^-(X(T))\right] < \infty$$

where  $y^- = \max(0, y)$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , and such that (5.3) holds. If  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $X^{(u)}(t)$  is the corresponding state process we call  $(u, X^{(u)})$  an *admissible pair*. Consider the problem to find  $J^*$  and  $u^* \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

(5.5) 
$$J^* = \sup \{J(u) ; u \in \mathcal{A}\} = J(u^*).$$

If such  $u^* \in \mathcal{A}$  exists, then  $u^*$  is called an *optimal control* and  $(u^*, X^*)$ , where  $X^* = X^{u^*}$ , is called an *optimal pair*.

Let  $\mathcal{R}^{n \times m}$  be the set of continuous function from [0, T] into  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ . Define the Hamiltonian  $H : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

(5.6) 
$$H(t, x, u, p, q(\cdot)) = f(t, x, u) + b(t, x, u)^T p + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_{ik}(t, x, u) \int_0^T q_{ik}(s) \phi_{H_k}(s, t) ds.$$

Consider the following fractional stochastic backward differential equation in the pair of unknown  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(H)}$ -adapted processes  $p(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ , called the *adjoint processes*:

(5.7) 
$$\begin{cases} dp(t) = -H_x(t, X(t), u(t), p(t), q(\cdot))dt + q(t)dB^{(H)}(t); & t \in [0, T] \\ p(T) = g_x(X(T)) + \lambda^T G_x(X(T)). \end{cases}$$

where  $H_x = \nabla_x H = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_n}\right)^T$  is the gradient of H with respect to x and similarly with  $g_x$  and  $G_x$ .  $X(t) = X^{(u)}(t)$  is the process obtained by using the control  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is a constant. The equation (5.6) is called the adjoint equation and p(t) is sometimes interpreted as the *shadow price* (of a resource).

**Theorem 5.1 (The fractional stochastic maximum principle)** Suppose  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{A}$  and put  $\hat{X} = X^{(\hat{u})}$ . Suppose there exists a solution  $\hat{p}(t), \hat{q}(t)$  of the corresponding adjoint equation (5.7) for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  and such that the following, (5.8)–(5.11), hold:

(5.8) 
$$X^{(u)}(t)\hat{q}(t) \in \mathcal{L}^{1,2}_{\phi} \quad and \quad \hat{p}^T(t)\sigma(t, X^{(u)}(t), u(t)) \in \mathcal{L}^{1,2}_{\phi} \quad for \ all \ u \in \mathcal{A}$$

(5.9) 
$$H(t, \cdot, \cdot, \hat{p}(t), \hat{q}(t)), \quad g(\cdot) \text{ and } G(\cdot) \text{ are concave, for all } t \in [0, T],$$

(5.10) 
$$H(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t), \hat{p}(t), \hat{q}(\cdot)) = \max_{v \in U} H(t, \hat{X}(t), v, \hat{p}(t), \hat{q}(\cdot)) ,$$

$$\Delta_4 := \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j,k=1}^m \Big(\int_0^T D_{j,t}^{\phi_j} \{\sigma_{ik}(t, X(t), u(t)) -\sigma_{ik}(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t))\} dt\Big) \Big(\int_0^T D_{k,t}^{\phi_k} \hat{q}_{ij}(t) dt\Big)\Big] \le 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is such that  $(\hat{u}, \hat{X})$  is admissible (in particular, (5.3) holds), the pair  $(\hat{u}, \hat{X})$  is an optimal pair for problem (5.5).

*Proof* We first give a proof in the case when G(x) = 0, *i.e.* when there is no terminal condition.

With  $(\hat{u}, \hat{X})$  as above consider

$$\begin{split} \Delta &:= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} f(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t))dt - \int_{0}^{T} f(t, X(t), u(t))dt\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} H(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t), \hat{p}(t), \hat{q}(\cdot))dt - \int_{0}^{T} H(t, X(t), u(t), \hat{p}(t), \hat{q}(\cdot))dt\right] \\ &- \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \left\{b(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t))\right\}^{T} \hat{p}(t)dt - \int_{0}^{T} b(t, X(t), u(t))^{T} \hat{p}(t)dt\right] \\ &- \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left\{\sigma_{ik}(s, \hat{X}(s), \hat{u}(s)) - \sigma_{ik}(s, X(s), u(s))\right\} \hat{q}_{ik}(t)\phi_{H_{k}}(s, t)dsdt\right] \\ (5.12) &=: \Delta_{1} + \Delta_{2} + \Delta_{3} \,. \end{split}$$

14

Since  $(x, u) \to H(x, u) = H(t, x, u, p, q(\cdot))$  is concave we have

$$H(x, u) - H(\hat{x}, \hat{u}) \le H_x(\hat{x}, \hat{u}) \cdot (x - \hat{x}) + H_u(\hat{x}, \hat{u}) \cdot (u - \hat{u})$$

for all  $(x, u), (\hat{x}, \hat{u})$ . Since  $v \to H(\hat{X}(t), v)$  is maximal at  $v = \hat{u}(t)$  we have

$$H_u(\hat{x}, \hat{u}) \cdot (u(t) - \hat{u}(t)) \le 0 \quad \forall t$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &\geq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T -H_x(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t), \hat{p}(t), \hat{q}(\cdot)) \cdot (X(t) - \hat{X}(t))dt\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X(t) - \hat{X}(t))^T d\hat{p}(t) - \int_0^T (X(t) - \hat{X}(t))^T \hat{q}(t) dB^{(H)}(t)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X(t) - \hat{X}(t))^T \hat{q}(t) dB^{(H)}(t)\right] = 0$  by (2.7), this gives

(5.13) 
$$\Delta_1 \ge \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X(t) - \hat{X}(t))^T d\hat{p}(t)\right].$$

By (5.1) we have

$$\Delta_{2} = -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \left\{b(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t)) - b(t, X(t), u(t))\right\} \cdot \hat{p}(t)dt\right]$$
$$= -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \hat{p}(t) \left(d\hat{X}(t) - dX(t)\right)\right]$$
$$-\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \hat{p}(t)^{T} \left\{\sigma(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t)) - \sigma(t, X(t), u(t))\right\} dB^{(H)}(t)\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \hat{p}(t) \left(dX(t) - d\hat{X}(t)\right)\right].$$

Finally, since g is concave we have

(5.15) 
$$g(X(T)) - g(\hat{X}(T)) \le g_x(\hat{X}(T)) \cdot (X(T) - \hat{X}(T))$$

Combining (5.12)–(5.15) with Corollary 2.5 we get, using (5.2), (5.7) and (5.11),

$$\begin{split} J(\hat{u}) &- J(u) = \Delta + \mathbb{E} \left[ g(\hat{X}(T)) - g(X(T)) \right] \\ &\geq \Delta + \mathbb{E} \left[ g_x(\hat{X}(T)) \cdot (\hat{X}(T) - X(T)) \right] \\ &\geq \Delta - \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{p}(T) \cdot \left( X(T) - \hat{X}(T) \right) \right] \\ &= \Delta - \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \left( X(t) - \hat{X}(t) \right) \cdot d\hat{p}(t) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \hat{p}(t) \cdot \left( dX(t) - d\hat{X}(t) \right) \right] \right. \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left\{ \sigma_{ik}(s, X(s), u(s)) - \sigma_{ik}(s, \hat{X}(s), \hat{u}(s)) \right\} \hat{q}_{ik}(t) \phi_{H_k}(s, t) ds \, dt \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j,k=1}^m \left( \int_0^T D_{j,t}^{\phi_j} \{ \sigma_{ik}(t, X(t), u(t)) - \sigma_{ik}(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t)) \} dt \right) \left( \int_0^T D_{k,t}^{\phi_k} \hat{q}_{ij}(t) \right) \right] \right\} \\ &\geq \Delta - (\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 + \Delta_4) \geq 0 \,. \end{split}$$

This shows that  $J(\hat{u})$  is maximal among all admissible pairs  $(u(\cdot), X(\cdot))$ .

This completes the proof in the case with no terminal conditions (G = 0). Finally consider the general case with  $G \neq 0$ . Suppose that for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  there exists  $\hat{u}_{\lambda_0}$ satisfying (5.8)–(5.11). Then by the above argument we know that if we put

$$J_{\lambda_0}(u) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(t, X(t), u(t))dt + g(X(T)) + \lambda_0^T G(X(T))\right]$$

then  $J_{\lambda_0}(\hat{u}_0) \geq J_{\lambda_0}(u)$  for all controls u (without terminal condition). If  $\lambda_0$  is such that  $\hat{u}_{\lambda_0}$  satisfies the terminal condition (*i.e.*  $\hat{u}_{\lambda_0} \in \mathcal{A}$ ) and u is another control in  $\mathcal{A}$  then

$$J(\hat{u}_{\lambda_0}) = J_{\lambda_0}(\hat{u}_{\lambda_0}) \ge J_{\lambda_0}(u) = J(u)$$

and hence  $\hat{u}_{\lambda_0} \in \mathcal{A}$  maximizes J(u) over all  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Corollary 5.2** Let  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\hat{X} = X^{(\hat{u})}$  and  $(\hat{p}(t), \hat{q}(t))$  be as in Theorem 5.1. Assume that (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10) hold, and that condition (5.11) is replaced by the condition

(5.16)  $\hat{q}(\cdot)$  or  $\sigma(\cdot, \hat{X}(\cdot), \hat{u}(\cdot))$  is deterministic.

Then if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is such that  $(\hat{u}, \hat{X})$  is admissible, the pair  $(\hat{u}, \hat{X})$  is an optimal pair for problem (5.5).

#### 6 A minimal variance hedging problem

To illustrate our main result, we use it to solve the following problem from mathematical finance:

Consider a financial market driven by two independent fractional Brownian motions  $B_1(t) = B_1^{(H_1)}(t)$  and  $B_2(t) = B^{(H_2)}(t)$ , with  $\frac{1}{2} < H_i < 1$ , i = 1, 2, as follows:

- (6.1) (Bond price)  $dS_0(t) = 0; \quad S_0(0) = 1$
- (6.2) (Price of stock 1)  $dS_1(t) = dB_1(t); \quad S_1(0) = s_1$

(6.3) (Price of stock 2)  $dS_2(t) = dB_1(t) + dB_2(t); \quad S_2(0) = s_2.$ 

If  $\theta(t) = (\theta_0(t), \theta_1(t), \theta_2(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is a *portfolio* (giving the number of units of the bond, stock 1 and stock 2, respectively, held at time t) then the corresponding *value process* is

(6.4) 
$$V^{\theta}(t) = \theta(t) \cdot S(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \theta_i(t) S_i(t)$$

The portfolio is called *self-financing* if

(6.5) 
$$dV^{\theta}(t) = \theta(t) \cdot dS(t) = \theta_1(t) dB_1(t) + \theta_2(t) (dB_1(t) + dB_2(t)) .$$

This market is called *complete* if any bounded  $\mathcal{F}_T^{(H)}$ -measurable random variable F can be *hedged* (or *replicated*), in the sense that there exists a (self-financing) portfolio  $\theta(t)$  and an initial value  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

(6.6) 
$$F(\omega) = z + \int_0^T \theta(t) dS(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } \omega$$

(See  $[H\emptyset 2]$  and [W] for a general discussion about this.)

Let us now assume that we are not allowed to trade in stock 1, i.e. we must have  $\theta_1(t) \equiv 0$ . How close to, say,  $F(\omega) = B_1(T, \omega)$  can we get if we must hedge under this constraint?

If we put  $\theta_2(t) = u(t)$  and interpret "close" as having a small  $L^2(\mu)$  distance to F, then the problem can be stated as follows:

Find  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  and admissible  $u(t, \omega)$  such that

(6.7) 
$$J(z,u) := \mathbb{E}\left[\left\{B_1(T) - \left(z + \int_0^T u(t)(dB_1(t) + dB_2(t))\right)\right\}^2\right] = z^2 + \mathbb{E}\left[\left\{\int_0^T (u(t) - 1)dB_1(t) + \int_0^T u(t)dB_2(t)\right\}^2\right]$$

is minimal. We see immediately that it is optimal to choose z = 0, so it remains to minimize over  $u(t) = u(t, \omega)$  the functional

(6.8) 
$$J(u) := \mathbb{E}\left[\left\{\int_0^T (u(t) - 1)dB_1(t) + \int_0^T u(t)dB_2(t)\right\}^2\right].$$

If we apply the fractional Itô isometry (2.13) we get, after some simplifications,

(6.9) 
$$J(u) = \mathbb{E} \Big[ \int_0^T \int_0^T \big\{ (u(s) - 1)(u(t) - 1)\phi_1(s, t) + u(s)u(t)\phi_2(s, t) \big\} ds \, dt + \Big( \int_0^T \big\{ D_{1,t}^{\phi}u(t) - D_{2,t}^{\phi}u(t) \big\} dt \Big)^2 \Big].$$

However, it is difficult to see from this what the minimizing u(t) is.

To approach this problem by using the fractional maximum principle, we define the state process X(t) by

.

(6.10) 
$$dX(t) = (u(t) - 1)dB_1(t) + u(t)dB_2(t) .$$

Then the problem is equivalent to maximizing

(6.11) 
$$J_1(u) := \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{1}{2}X^2(T)\right]$$

The Hamiltonian for this problem is

$$H(t, x, u, p, q(\cdot)) = (u - 1) \int_0^T q_1(s)\phi_1(s, t)ds + u \int_0^T q_2(s)\phi_2(s, t)ds$$
  
=  $(u - 1) \int_0^T q_1(s)\phi_1(s, t)ds + u \int_0^T q_2(s)\phi_2(s, t)ds$   
(6.12) =  $u \Big[ \int_0^T q_1(s)\phi_1(s, t)ds + \int_0^T q_2(s)\phi_2(s, t)ds \Big] - \int_0^T q_1(s)\phi_1(s, t)ds$ .

The adjoint equation is

(6.13) 
$$dp(t) = q_1(t)dB_1(t) + q_2(t)dB_2(t); \qquad t < T$$

(6.14) p(T) = -X(T).

Comparing with (6.10) we see that this equation has the solution

(6.15) 
$$q_1(t) = 1 - u(t), \quad q_2 = -u_2(t), \quad p(t) = -X(t); \quad t \le T.$$

Let  $\hat{u}(t)$  be an optimal control candidate. Then by (6.12)

$$H(t, \hat{X}(t), v, \hat{p}(t), \hat{q}(\cdot)) = v \Big[ \int_0^T \hat{q}_1(s)\phi_1(s, t)ds + \int_0^T \hat{q}_2(s)\phi_2(s, t)ds \Big] - \int_0^T \hat{q}_1(s)\phi_1(s, t)ds$$
  
(6.16) 
$$= v \Big[ \int_0^T (1 - \hat{u}(t))\phi_1(s, t)ds - \int_0^T \hat{u}(s)\phi_2(s, t)ds \Big] - \int_0^T \hat{q}_1(s)\phi_1(s, t)ds .$$

The maximum principle requires that the maximum of this expression is attained at  $v = \hat{u}(t)$ . However, this is an affine function of v, so it is natural to guess that the coefficient of v must be 0, i.e.

$$\int_0^T (1 - \hat{u}(s))\phi_1(s, t)ds - \int_0^T \hat{u}(s)\phi_2(s, t)ds = 0 ,$$

which gives

(6.17) 
$$\int_0^T \hat{u}(s)(\phi_1(s,t) + \phi_2(s,t))ds = \int_0^T \phi_1(s,t)ds$$

This is a symmetric Fredholm integral equation of the first kind and it is known that it has a unique solution  $\hat{u}(t) \in L^2[0, T]$ . See e.g. [T, Section 3.15].

This choice of  $\hat{u}(t)$  satisfies all the requirements of Theorem 5.1 (in fact, even those of Corollary 5.2) and we can conclude that this  $\hat{u}(t)$  is optimal. Thus we have proved:

#### Theorem 6.1 (Solution of the minimal variance hedging problem) The minimal value of

$$J(z, u) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\{B_1(T) - \left(z + \int_0^T u(t)(dB_1(t) + dB_2(t))\right)\right\}^2\right]$$

is attained when z = 0 and  $u = \hat{u}(t)$  satisfies (6.17). The corresponding minimal value is

$$\inf_{z,u} J(z,u) = \int_0^T \int_0^T \left\{ (\hat{u}(s) - 1)(\hat{u}(t) - 1)\phi_1(s,t) + \hat{u}(s)\hat{u}(t)\phi_2(s,t) \right\} ds \, dt \; .$$

**Remark** Note that if  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$  then  $\hat{u}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2}$ , which is the same as the optimal value in the classical Brownian motion case  $(H_1 = H_2 = \frac{1}{2})$ .

Acknowledgments. This work is partially supported by the French-Norwegian cooperation project Stochastic Control and Applications, Aur 99-050. Y. Hu is partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. EPS-9874732 and matching support from the State of Kansas.

We are grateful to Fred Espen Benth and Nils Christian Framstad for helpful comments.

### References

- [BØ] F. Biagini and B. Øksendal: Minimal variance hedging for fractional Brownian motion. (Manuscript 2001).
- [DHP] T. E. Duncan, Y. Hu and B. Pasik-Duncan: Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion. I. Theory. SIAM J. Control Optim. 38 (2000), 582–612.
- [H] U. Haussman: A Stochastic Maximum Principle for Optimal Control of Diffusions. Longman Scientific and Technical 1986.
- [Hu1] Y. Hu: Heat equation with fractional white noise potentials, Applied Mathematics and Optimization, 43 (2001), 221-243.
- [Hu2] Y. Hu: A class of SPDE driven by fractional white noise. Stochastic processes, physics and geometry: new interplays, II (Leipzig, 1999), 317–325, CMS Conf. Proc., 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [HØ1] Y. Hu and B. Øksendal: Wick approximation of quasi-linear stochastic differential equations. In H. Körezlioglu and al. (editors): Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics, V (Silivri, 1994), 203–231, Progr. Probab., 38, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.
- [HØ2] Y. Hu and B. Øksendal: Fractional white noise calculus and applications to finance. Preprint, Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, 10, 1999.
- [HØS1] Y. Hu, B. Øksendal and A. Sulem: Optimal portfolio in a fractional Black & Scholes market. In S. Albeverio et al. (eds.): Mathematical Physics and Stochastic Analysis. World Scientific 2000, pp. 267–279.
- [HØS2] Y. Hu, B. Øksendal and A. Sulem: Optimal consumption and portfolio in a Black-Scholes market driven by fractional Brownian motion. Preprint, Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, 23, 2000.
- [HØUZ] H. Holden, B. Øksendal, J. Ubøe and T. Zhang: Stochastic Partial Differential Equations. Birkhäuser 1996.
- [HØZ] Y. Hu, B. Øksendal and T. Zhang: Stochastic partial differential equations driven by multiparameter fractional white noise. Stochastic processes, physics and geometry: new interplays, II (Leipzig, 1999), 327–337, CMS Conf. Proc., 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [MvN] B.B. Mandelbrot and J.W. Van Ness: Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications. SIAM Rev. 10 (1968), 422-437.
- [NVV] I. Norros, E. Valkeila and J. Virtamo: An elementary approach to a Girsanov formula and other analytic results on fractional Brownian motions. Bernoulli, 5 (1999), 571-587.
- [ØZ] B. Øksendal and T. Zhang: Multiparameter fractional Brownian motions and quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations. Stochastics and Stochastics Reports 71 (2001), 141–163.

- S. Peng: A general stochastic maximum principle for optimal control problems. SIAM J. Control & Optim. 28 (1990), 966–979.
- [S] A. Shiryaev: Essentials of Stochastic Finance. World Scientific 1999.
- [T] F.G. Tricomi: Integral Equations. Dover 1985.
- [W] N. Wallner: Fractional Brownian Motion and Applications to Finance. Thesis, Philipps-Universität Marburg, March 2001.
- [YZ] J. Yong and X.Y. Zhou: Stochastic Controls: Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations. Springer-Verlag 1999.