# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

#### EUROPEAN UROLOGY ONCOLOGY xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

available at www.sciencedirect.com journal homepage: euoncology.europeanurology.com





# Penile Cancers Attributed to Human Papillomavirus Are Associated with Improved Survival for Node-positive Patients. Findings from a Norwegian Cohort Study Spanning 50 Years

Christian A. Moen<sup>*a,b,\**</sup>, Thea E. Falkenthal<sup>*c*</sup>, Tor K. Thorkelsen<sup>*a*</sup>, Andreas Hopland<sup>*d*</sup>, Oline E. Rio<sup>*e*</sup>, Alfred Honoré<sup>*a,b*</sup>, Patrick Juliebø-Jones<sup>*a,b*</sup>, Harsh N. Dongre<sup>*f*</sup>, Daniela E. Costea<sup>*e,f*</sup>, Leif Bostad<sup>*e*</sup>, Paul Brennan<sup>*g*</sup>, Mattias Johansson<sup>*g*</sup>, Aida Ferreiro-Iglesias<sup>*g*</sup>, Nicole Brenner<sup>*h*</sup>, Tim Waterboer<sup>*h*</sup>, Mari Nygård<sup>*c*</sup>, Christian Beisland<sup>*a,b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Urology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; <sup>b</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; <sup>c</sup> Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway; <sup>d</sup> Department of Urology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; <sup>e</sup> Department of Pathology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; <sup>f</sup> The Gade Laboratory for Pathology and Centre for Cancer Biomarkers (CCBIO), Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; <sup>g</sup> Genomic Epidemiology Branch, International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization (WHO), Lyon, France; <sup>h</sup> Division of Infections and Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

#### Article info

#### Article history:

Received 25 September 2023 Received in Revised form 10 October 2023 Accepted 18 October 2023

Associate Editor: Morgan Rouprêt

#### Keywords:

Cancer-specific survival Human papillomavirus Net survival Penile cancer Penile squamous cell carcinoma

#### Abstract

*Background:* Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is a risk factor for the development of penile squamous cell carcinoma (PSCC). It remains inconclusive whether HPV-related PSCC has a different prognosis from non–HPV-related PSCC.

*Objective:* To investigate the relationship between HPV status and survival as well as temporal changes in the proportion of HPV-related PSCC.

*Design, setting, and participants:* A retrospective cohort of 277 patients treated in Norway between 1973 and 2022 was investigated for HPV DNA in tumor tissue. Clinicopathological variables and disease course were registered.

*Outcome measurements and statistical analysis:* Kaplan-Meier curves and Cox regression were used to investigate the determinants of cancer-specific survival (CSS). The chi-square test for trend in proportions enabled investigation of temporal changes in the HPV-related proportion of PSCC patients treated in Western Norway (*n* = 211).

**Results and limitations:** HPV DNA was detected in tumor tissue from 131 (47%) patients. Stratified by HPV status, 5-yr CSS did not differ between groups (p = 0.37). When investigating only node-positive patients, however, presence of HPV DNA was an independent predictor of better survival in multivariable Cox regression after adjustment for age, nodal stage, and adjuvant therapy (hazard ratio 0.54, 95% confidence interval: [0.30–0.99], p = 0.04). In cases from Western Norway, an increasing proportion of HPV-related cases over time was found (p = 0.01). The main limitation is the retrospective study design.

*Conclusions:* HPV DNA in tumor tissue was associated with significantly better CSS for node-positive patients. The proportion of HPV DNA-positive PSCC has increased significantly in Western Norway over the past 50 yr.

*Patient summary:* We investigated the impact of human papillomavirus (HPV) on the survival of penile cancer patients treated over a 50-yr period in Norway. We found that

\* Corresponding author. Department of Urology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen 5021, Norway. Tel. +47 55971397; Fax: +47 55972793. E-mail address: christian.arvei.moen@helse-bergen.no (C.A. Moen).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euo.2023.10.013

2588-9311/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Association of Urology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

for patients with lymph node metastasis, survival was better for HPV-related cases. We also found that the proportion of cases due to HPV has increased in Western Norway. © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Association of Urology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Penile squamous cell carcinomas (PSCCs) are known to arise through two main pathways of carcinogenesis, one related to human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and the other related to chronic inflammation [1]. Accordingly, the World Health Organization (WHO) classifies PSCCs into HPVrelated and non-HPV-related carcinomas [2]. Each of these carcinomas represents a distinct biological variant of PSCC. Detection of HPV in tumor tissue could therefore serve as a versatile biomarker, having a prognostic value in predicting clinical outcome and survival, as well as influencing decisions on treatment and follow-up strategy. Currently, however, the published results on HPV status as a prognostic marker for survival are conflicting [3,4]. European and American guidelines on PSCC therefore emphasize that more data are needed regarding the prognostic value of HPV status [5].

In recent decades, the incidence of PSCC has increased in Norway [6]. Moreover, similar trends have been reported globally [7–9]. While the underlying cause remains not fully known, one possible explanation could be an increase in the HPV-related proportion of PSCCs. While some studies have reported a temporal trend of more HPV-related PSCCs in recent years [10,11], other studies have not identified such a shift [12,13]. As such, more studies regarding the temporal changes in the proportion of PSCCs attributed to HPV are needed.

This study includes a large cohort of patients treated for PSCC over a 50-yr period in Norway. The primary aim of this study was to investigate whether the presence of HPV DNA in penile carcinomas (HPVpos) was associated with a different prognosis from that for carcinomas without HPV DNA (HPVneg). The secondary aim of this study was to investigate whether the proportion of PSCCs attributable to HPV in Western Norway has changed over the study period.

#### 2. Patients and methods

#### 2.1. Patient selection and cohort characteristics

After obtaining ethical approval (REK vest project no. 291376), patients from two Norwegian PSCC cohorts were included and combined in this study.

The first cohort consisted of patients treated at Haukeland University Hospital, a tertiary referral center in Western Norway. All patients who underwent operative surgery for PSCC between 1973 and 2022 were identified, and all but one accepted inclusion. The local diagnostic biobank was then screened for stored formalin-fixed paraffinembedded (FFPE) blocks containing tumor tissue eligible for an HPV analysis. Representative tissue was retrieved for 211 out of 213 patients. For each tissue sample, a uropathologist confirmed the presence of tumor tissue. HPV DNA status was then determined by polymerase chain reaction amplification of virus DNA, detection of virus DNA in agarose gel, and Sanger sequencing of HPV-positive samples to identify specific HPV subtypes. The GP5+/GP6+ primer system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) for the detection of the HPV *L1* gene was used [14]. Hospital records were analyzed for clinical variables and disease course. All histopathological examinations were restaged by an experienced uropathologist according to the current 2016 UICC TNM classification [15].

The second cohort was provided by the Cancer Registry of Norway (CRN), which, in accordance with Norwegian law, receives detailed clinical and histopathological information, including the pathological specimen descriptions, of all patients with PSCC in Norway [6]. HPV status, however, is not reported routinely. The included patients have thus been recruited from a research project where the CRN screened the Janus Serum Bank cohort in Norway [16] and identified 71 participants who were treated for PSCC between 1977 and 2015. FFPE tissue blocks from these patients were sent to the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) in Heidelberg, Germany, where typespecific HPV DNA and E6/E7 RNA status was determined in tumor tissue by multiplex HPV genotyping, a method developed by DKFZ and described in detail elsewhere [17–19]. For these patients, all registry data were reevaluated and updated following the same criteria as for the first cohort.

Five patients were registered in both cohorts. HPV DNA status for these patients was used as an internal control on the agreement between the two HPV detection methods.

In total, 277 patients (211 and 66 distinct patients from the first and second cohorts, respectively) operated for PSCC between 1973 and 2022 were included. The detection of HPV DNA in tumor tissue was used to define the HPV status. For all the node-positive patients, pathological N stage (pN) was based on surgical dissections. During the early phase of the study period, patients without palpable lymph nodes were often followed by active surveillance. In accordance with previous studies, these patients were reclassified as having pN0 if there was no indication of metastasis after 2 yr of follow-up [20,21]. In recent years, bilateral dynamic sentinel node biopsy has been performed on all clinically node-negative patients. Thus, after reclassification, all patients had a definitive pathological N stage.

Survival time was calculated from the time of surgery until the end of the study period (December 31, 2022) or the time of death. The cause of death was obtained from the patient medical records and the Norwegian Cause of Death Registry. The temporal trend analysis for HPVrelated PSCC was limited to cases from the first cohort, which contains virtually all cases treated in Western

Norway, a geographically defined area containing approximately one-fourth of the Norwegian population.

## 2.2. Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were described with frequencies and percentages, and quantitative variables with median and interquartile range. Wilcoxon rank sum test, Fisher's exact test, and Pearson's chi-square test were used to evaluate the relationship between categorical variables stratified by HPV status. The chi-square test for trend in proportions was used to investigate temporal changes in the HPVrelated proportion of PSCCs. Survival times and cause of death were used to create Kaplan-Meier curves to estimate cancer-specific survival (CSS) stratified by HPV status. The log rank test was used to evaluate potential differences in CSS between groups. Determinants of CSS were investigated using multivariable Cox regression. For this analysis, in addition to HPV status, other variables known to be associated with CSS (age, nodal stage, and adjuvant therapy) were included in the analysis.

A survival analysis with adjustment of population-based expected survival for each patient was also performed, enabling an independent assessment of survival in a relative survival setting (calculation of net survival) [22].

For all cases, a *p* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using software R version 4.1.1.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. HPV types detected in tumor tissue

For the five patients registered in both cohorts, there was a complete match between the two HPV DNA tests. HPV was detected in tumor tissue from 131 (47%) patients. The types detected are shown in Table 1. Multiple types were detected in tumors from five patients, all belonging to the second cohort. Except for four cases with HPV types 6, 70, or 72,

Table 1 – Human papillomavirus	(HPV)
types detected in penile cancer t	tumor
tissue	

HPV types	Number of patients (N = 277)
Negative	146 (53%)
Positive	131 (47%)
6	2
16	96
16 + 31	1
16 + 44	1
16 + 81	1
18	9
31	3
33	8
35	1
45	1
45 + 52 + 56	1
51	2
59	1
61 + 62	1
62	1
70	1
72	1

all cases had types classified as high risk according to the IARC classification [23].

Among the 71 patients from the second cohort, no HPV DNA–negative tumor was positive for HPV RNA. Six out of 32 HPV-16 DNA–positive tumors were negative for HPV-16 RNA. Detection of type-specific HPV DNA was also found for only one HPV-6 case as well as for the cases with HPV 61 + 62, 62, 72, and 81.

# 3.2. Proportion of HPV-attributable tumors over time

When dividing cases from the first cohort into the five 10-yr time periods (Fig. 1A), there was a significant linear trend with an increasing proportion of HPV-related cases over time (p = 0.01). When sorting cases by the time of operation, the cumulative proportion of HPV-related cases never stabilized and increased throughout the study period (Fig. 1B).

# 3.3. Cohort characteristics and histopathology

Stratified by HPV status, there was no difference in the median age at the time of surgery between the groups (p = 0.4; Table 2). Furthermore, there were no differences related to age group, type of penile or lymph node operation, or type of adjuvant treatment administered (all p > 0.1). The median observed survival time was similar for both groups (p = 0.8). Death due to PSCC was observed in 15% of the HPVpos patients versus 20% of the HPVneg patients (p = 0.3). Among the HPVpos patients, only those with HPV type 16 (18 patients), or type 18 or 33 (one patient each) died of PSCC.

Pathological T stage (pT) was not different between groups (p = 0.8; Table 3). There were significant differences in WHO grade, with more grade 1 for the HPVneg group and more grade 3 and 4 for the HPVpos group (p < 0.001). For ten patients from the second cohort, information of a definitive WHO grade was missing. Among the eight different histological subtypes registered, usual was most common, followed by mixed and basaloid subtypes. Nodal status was not different between groups (p = 0.2), nor was pN stage (p = 0.08).

#### 3.4. Cancer-specific and net survival

Except for one HPVneg patient, all deaths caused by PSCC occurred within 5 yr of surgery. For all patients, the 5-yr CSS was 80%, while corresponding net survival was 77% (Supplementary Fig. 1A and 1B, respectively).

Stratified by HPV status, there was no difference in 5-yr CSS between the groups (p = 0.37; Fig. 2A). When investigating only node-negative patients, again no difference between groups was found (p = 0.83; Fig. 2B). However, for node-positive (pN+) patients, there was significantly better survival for the HPVpos group (p = 0.02; Fig. 2C). There was, however, no significant difference in CSS at any specific pN stage (Supplementary Fig. 2)

CSS for the node-positive patients who received adjuvant therapy was significantly better for the HPVpos group (p < 0.05; Supplementary Fig. 3A). CSS for the node-positive patients who did not receive adjuvant therapy did not differ between the groups (p = 0.2; Supplementary Fig. 3B).

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

#### EUROPEAN UROLOGY ONCOLOGY XXX (XXXX) XXX-XXX



B Cumulative proportion of penile carcinomas attributable to HPV



Fig. 1 – (A) Number of penile squamous cell carcinoma (PSCC) cases treated in Western Norway over the past 50 yr (1973–2022). The cases have been stratified by human papillomavirus (HPV) status and have been divided into five equal 10-yr time periods. (B) Cumulative proportion of HPV-positive cases over the same time period.

In a subgroup analysis on the node-positive patients (Table 4), HPV positivity remained an independent predictor of significantly better CSS after performing multivariable Cox regression with adjustment for age, nodal stage, and adjuvant therapy (hazard ratio 0.54, 95% confidence interval: [0.30-0.99], p = 0.04).

# 4. Discussion

The present study shows that the detection of HPV DNA in tumor tissue was associated with significantly better CSS for the node-positive PSCC patients. Moreover, the study also shows that the proportion of HPV-related PSCC has increased significantly in Western Norway over the past 50 yr. Published results regarding the prognostic value of HPV status in PSCC are conflicting [3,4]. In a meta-analysis by Sand et al. [4], HPVpos patients had significantly better CSS than HPVneg patients. However, the survival analysis in that study included only HPV status and was not adjusted for by other important factors such as lymph node status. Patients who are node negative at the time of surgery rarely end up dying from their cancer [24]. For these patients, one would expect excellent CSS irrespective of HPV status. Significant differences in survival related to HPV status for the node-positive patients could therefore be concealed when including all patients in the analysis (Fig. 2). Different cohort compositions with varying proportions of node-positive patients could therefore be one possible explanation for the different results presented in the literature.

# EUROPEAN UROLOGY ONCOLOGY XXX (XXXX) XXX-XXX

Characteristic	$\frac{\text{Overall}}{(N=277)^{a}}$	HPV negative $(N = 146)^{a}$	HPV positive $(N = 131)^{a}$	p value <sup>b</sup>
Age at surgery	67 (58, 76)	66 (57, 75)	67 (60, 76)	0.4
Age group				0.6
59 or younger	78 (28)	45 (31)	33 (25)	
60–69	91 (33)	47 (32)	44 (34)	
70–79	63 (23)	34 (23)	29 (22)	
80 or older	45 (16)	20 (14)	25 (19)	
Penile operation				0.6
Penile sparing surgery	138 (50)	71 (49)	67 (51)	
Partial amputation	113 (41)	59 (40)	54 (41)	
Total amputation	26 (9.4)	16 (11)	10 (7.6)	
ILND	107 (39)	50 (34)	57 (44)	0.11
PLND	39 (14)	23 (16)	16 (12)	0.4
Adjuvant treatment				0.3
No adjuvant treatment	217 (78)	116 (79)	101 (77)	
Chemotherapy	8 (2.9)	6 (4.1)	2 (1.5)	
Radiation	21 (7.6)	8 (5.5)	13 (9.9)	
Chemoradiotherapy	31 (11)	16 (11)	15 (11)	
Observed survival time	77 (20, 150)	80 (18, 150)	75 (20, 154)	0.8
Diseased	153 (55)	81 (55)	72 (55)	>0.9
CoD PSCC	49 (18)	29 (20)	20 (15)	0.3

#### Table 2 – Patient characteristics stratified by HPV status

CoD = cause of death; HPV = human papillomavirus; ILND = inguinal lymph node dissection; IQR = interquartile range; PLND = pelvic lymph node dissection; PSCC = penile squamous cell carcinoma.

<sup>a</sup> Median (IQR); *n* (%).

<sup>b</sup> Wilcoxon rank sum test; Pearson's chi-square test.

#### Table 3 – Histopathological characteristics stratified by HPV status

Characteristic	Overall	HPV negative	HPV positive	p value <sup>b</sup>
	$(N = 277)^{a}$	$(N = 146)^{a}$	$(N = 131)^{a}$	
pT stage				0.8
T1	137 (49)	75 (51)	62 (47)	
T2	97 (35)	51 (35)	46 (35)	
T3	40 (14)	19 (13)	21 (16)	
T4	3 (1.1)	1 (0.7)	2 (1.5)	
WHO grade				< 0.001
1	70 (26)	56 (39)	14 (11)	
2	101 (38)	50 (35)	51 (41)	
3	96 (36)	37 (26)	59 (48)	
Unknown	10	3	7	
Histological subtype				
Usual	165 (60)	107 (73)	58 (44)	
Verrucous	10 (3.6)	9 (6.2)	1 (0.8)	
Papillary	6 (2.2)	4 (2.7)	2 (1.5)	
Sarcomatoid	1 (0.4)	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	
Mixed	41 (15)	9 (6.2)	32 (24)	
Basaloid	31 (11)	3 (2.1)	28 (21)	
Warty	22 (7.9)	13 (8.9)	9 (6.9)	
Adenosquamous	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1 (0.8)	
Nodal status				0.2
Node negative	183 (66)	101 (69)	82 (63)	
Node positive	94 (34)	45 (31)	49 (37)	
pN stage				0.084
pN0	183 (66)	101 (69)	82 (63)	
pN1	24 (8.7)	8 (5.5)	16 (12)	
pN2	27 (9.7)	11 (7.5)	16 (12)	
pN3	43 (16)	26 (18)	17 (13)	
HPV = human papillomavirus; WHO = V	Norld Health Organization.			
<sup>a</sup> n (%).				
<sup>b</sup> Fisher's exact test; Pearson's chi-squa	are test.			

In a study by Bandini et al. [25], perioperative nodal radiotherapy, but not chemotherapy, was shown to be associated with superior overall survival for HPVpos patients. While the current study was too limited in cases and events to study these treatments separately, HPVpos patients who received adjuvant therapy with chemo- and/or radiotherapy had significantly better CSS than corresponding HPVneg patients. However, there were also relatively more pN1 and fewer pN3 cases in the HPVpos group. In the multivariable Cox regression, only HPV positivity and pN3, but not adjuvant therapy, were independent predictors of CSS for node-positive patients. Possibly, with a lower burden of

# EUROPEAN UROLOGY ONCOLOGY XXX (XXXX) XXX-XXX



Fig. 2 – (A) Kaplan-Meier curves showing 5-yr cancer-specific survival (CSS) for all patients (total study population) stratified by human papillomavirus (HPV) status. (B) Corresponding Kaplan-Meier curves for the node-negative (pN0) patients. (C) Corresponding Kaplan-Meier curves for the nodepositive (pN+) patients. HPVneg = absence of HPV DNA in penile carcinoma; HPVpos = presence of HPV DNA in penile carcinoma.

positive lymph nodes, the disease could more easily be treated radically with surgery, resulting in a better prognosis for the HPVpos group. Of note, also p16 positivity, a surrogate marker for HPV, has been shown to be an independent predictor of overall survival in PSCC after adjustment for nodal stage [26]. However, the current study did not find differences in survival related to HPV status for any given nodal stage, and this should be investigated further in larger cohorts.

The presence of HPV DNA does not automatically infer a causative effect of HPV infection on cancer development since the virus could be transcriptionally inactive. The correspondence between HPV DNA and HPV RNA found in this study were similar to previously published results [12]. Even if E6/E7 RNA is negative, one should exercise caution when deducing that the virus is transcriptionally inactive, as studies from head and neck and cervical cancers have shown the existence of an alternative carcinogenic pathway through HPV E2/E4/E5 [27]. Moreover, also testing for HPV DNA in circulating cell-free DNA in the blood has shown promise for the diagnosis and prognosis of other HPV-related cancers [28]. These findings should be investigated further also for PSCC.

The division of HPV types into high-risk and low-risk categories originates from studies investigating the association between HPV types and cervical cancer [23,29]. Similar information is currently unknown for PSCC. In this study, therefore, all HPV-positive tumors were grouped together, also including four cases with low-risk types. Death due to PSCC, however, occurred only for patients with the highrisk type 16, 18, or 33. Therefore, cases with low-risk types did not affect CSS.

One of the main findings of the present study was that the HPV-related proportion of PSCCs has increased in Western Norway over the 50-yr study period. Similar findings have been published for HPV-related head and neck cancers in Norway [30]. While the incidence of PSCC has increased in Norway [6], the underlying cause has remained unknown. Although only data for a geographically defined subset of the Norwegian population have been investigated, the results currently represent the strongest evidence that the observed increased incidence is in fact attributed to HPV infection.

The main weakness of this study is the retrospective study design. A major strength, however, is the large num-

Table 4 – Uni- and multivariable Cox regression analysis for predictors of cancer-specific survival for node-positive PSCC patients

Characteristic	Univariabl	Univariable			Multivariable		
	Ν	HR	95% CI	p value	HR	95% CI	p value
Age at surgery	94	1.02	1.0, 1.04	0.13	1.02	1.00, 1.05	0.10
HPV status	94						
HPV negative		-	-		-	-	
HPV positive		0.48	0.27, 0.86	0.01	0.54	0.30, 0.99	0.04
Lymph node stage	94						
pN1		-	-		-	-	
pN2		0.80	0.32, 2.03	0.64	0.88	0.34, 2.27	0.8
pN3		2.49	1.20, 5.15	0.01	2.47	1.08, 5.62	0.03
Adjuvant treatment	94						
No		-	-		-	-	
Yes		1.22	0.65, 2.28	0.53	0.87	0.42, 1.80	0.7
CI = confidence interval; HPV = human papillomavirus; HR = hazard ratio; PSCC = penile squamous cell carcinoma.							

ber of cases supported by comprehensive clinicopathological and outcome data. Statistical corrections with *p*-value adjustment have not been performed. Results should therefore be interpreted in the context of multiple testing [31].

The HPV DNA analysis was performed by different methods in the two cohorts. However, identical results were found for the five patients registered in both cohorts. Moreover, currently a universal method for detecting HPV does not exist [32]. Multiple types were found only in the second cohort, most likely due to the hybridization method of multiplex HPV genotyping [17].

An increasing proportion of HPV-related tumors over time could potentially be caused by reduced tissue quality of the oldest FFPE blocks, resulting in more false negative HPV DNA examinations in the earlier period. However, HPV DNA was detected in tumors from all time periods (Fig. 1A), and an increasing proportion of HPV-positive examinations were also found for the three most recent decades, supporting the validity of the results.

With a limited number of mortalities due to PSCC, even a small number of incorrect causes of death classifications can lead to erroneous conclusions. In this study, however, similar results were obtained for both CSS and net survival. This serves as a strong indication of the correctness of CSS, since the net survival is independent of the cause of death [22].

#### 5. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that the detection of HPV DNA in tumor tissue was associated with significantly better CSS for node-positive penile cancer patients. One possible explanation could be the reduced overall burden of nodepositive disease for patients with HPV-positive tumors. Moreover, the proportion of HPV DNA-positive penile cancers has increased significantly in Western Norway over the past 50 yr. These findings may have clinical implications in guiding treatment and follow-up of penile cancer patients.

**Disclaimer**: Where authors are identified as personnel of the International Agency for Research on Cancer/WHO, the authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this article and they do not necessarily represent the decisions, policy, or views of the International Agency for Research on Cancer/WHO.

**Author contributions:** Christian A. Moen had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study concept and design: Moen, Falkenthal, Beisland.

Acquisition of data: All authors.

Analysis and interpretation of data: Moen, Falkenthal, Nygård, Beisland. Drafting of the manuscript: Moen.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Statistical analysis: Moen, Falkenthal, Beisland. Obtaining funding: Moen, Nygård, Beisland. Administrative, technical, or material support: None. Supervision: Beisland. Other: None.

**Financial disclosures:** Christian A. Moen certifies that all conflicts of interest, including specific financial interests and relationships and affiliations relevant to the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript (eg, employment/affiliation, grants or funding, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, royalties, or patents filed, received, or pending), are the following: None.

**Funding/Support and role of the sponsor:** Financial support for expenses related to the HPV analyses performed on archival tissue for the first cohort was obtained from the Norwegian Institute of Urology. Financial support for expenses related to the second cohort was obtained through the HPV Cancer Cohort Consortium (HPVC3), funded by a grant from the US National Cancer Institute (grant: 5U01CA195603-02), with additional support from the intramural program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, US National Cancer Institute. CRN Norway has received research grants from MSD Norway. Where authors are identified as personnel of the International Agency for Research on Cancer/WHO, the authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this article and they do not necessarily represent the decisions, policy, or views of the International Agency for Research on Cancer/WHO.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euo.2023.10.013.

#### References

- Elst L, Albersen M. Human papillomavirus: one less worry for men too? Eur Urol 2022;81:549–51.
- [2] Cubilla AL, Velazquez EF, Amin MB, et al. The World Health Organisation 2016 classification of penile carcinomas: a review and update from the International Society of Urological Pathology expert-driven recommendations. Histopathology 2018;72: 893–904.
- [3] Kidd LC, Chaing S, Chipollini J, Giuliano AR, Spiess PE, Sharma P. Relationship between human papillomavirus and penile cancerimplications for prevention and treatment. Transl Androl Urol 2017;6:791–802.
- [4] Sand FL, Rasmussen CL, Frederiksen MH, Andersen KK, Kjaer SK. Prognostic significance of HPV and p16 status in men diagnosed with penile cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2018;27:1123–32.
- [5] Brouwer OR, Albersen M, Parnham A, et al. European Association of Urology—American Society of Clinical Oncology Collaborative guideline on penile cancer: 2023 update. Eur Urol 2023;83:548–60.
- [6] Hansen BT, Orumaa M, Lie AK, Brennhovd B, Nygard M. Trends in incidence, mortality and survival of penile squamous cell carcinoma in Norway 1956–2015. Int J Cancer 2018;142:1586–93.
- [7] Fu L, Tian T, Yao K, et al. Global pattern and trends in penile cancer incidence: population-based study. JMIR Public Health Surveill 2022;8:e34874.
- [8] Deng X, Liu Y, Zhan X, et al. Trends in incidence, mortality, and survival of penile cancer in the United States: a population-based study. Front Oncol 2022;12:891623.
- [9] Qi F, Wei X, Zheng Y, Ren X, Li X, Zhao E. Incidence trends and survival outcomes of penile squamous cell carcinoma: evidence from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results populationbased data. Ann Transl Med 2020;8:1428.
- [10] Hernandez BY, Goodman MT, Unger ER, et al. Human papillomavirus genotype prevalence in invasive penile cancers from a registry-based United States population. Front Oncol 2014;4:9.

- [11] Gu W, Zhang P, Zhang G, et al. Importance of HPV in Chinese penile cancer: a contemporary multicenter study. Front Oncol 2020;10:1521.
- [12] Alemany L, Cubilla A, Halec G, et al. Role of human papillomavirus in penile carcinomas worldwide. Eur Urol 2016;69:953–61.
- [13] Cuschieri K, Pan J, M OD, et al. Penile cancer and the HPV attributable fraction in Scotland; a retrospective cohort study. J Clin Virol 2021;134:104717.
- [14] de Roda Husman A-M, Walboomers JMM, van den Brule AJC, Meijer CJLM, Snijders PJF. The use of general primers GP5 and GP6 elongated at their 3' ends with adjacent highly conserved sequences improves human papillomavirus detection by PCR. J Gen Virol 1995;76:1057–62.
- [15] Brierley J, Gospodarowicz MK, Wittekind C. TNM classification of malignant tumours. Chichester, UK: Wiley; 2017.
- [16] Langseth H, Gislefoss RE, Martinsen JI, Dillner J, Ursin G. Cohort profile: the Janus Serum Bank cohort in Norway. Int J Epidemiol 2017;46:403–4.
- [17] Schmitt M, Bravo IG, Snijders PJ, Gissmann L, Pawlita M, Waterboer T. Bead-based multiplex genotyping of human papillomaviruses. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44:504–12.
- [18] Schmitt M, Dondog B, Waterboer T, Pawlita M. Homogeneous amplification of genital human alpha papillomaviruses by PCR using novel broad-spectrum GP5+ and GP6+ primers. J Clin Microbiol 2008;46:1050–9.
- [19] Halec G, Schmitt M, Dondog B, et al. Biological activity of probable/possible high-risk human papillomavirus types in cervical cancer. Int J Cancer 2013;132:63–71.
- [20] Djajadiningrat RS, van Werkhoven E, Meinhardt W, et al. Penile sparing surgery for penile cancer-does it affect survival? J Urol 2014;192:120–5.
- [21] Lont AP, Kroon BK, Horenblas S, et al. Presence of high-risk human papillomavirus DNA in penile carcinoma predicts favorable outcome in survival. Int J Cancer 2006;119:1078–81.

- [22] Perme MP, Pavlic K. Nonparametric relative survival analysis with the R package relsurv. J Stat Softw 2018;87.
- [23] IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Biological agents. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum 2012;100(Pt B):1–441.
- [24] Leijte JA, Gallee M, Antonini N, Horenblas S. Evaluation of current TNM classification of penile carcinoma. J Urol 2008;180:933–8, discussion 938.
- [25] Bandini M, Ross JS, Zhu Y, et al. Association between human papillomavirus infection and outcome of perioperative nodal radiotherapy for penile carcinoma. Eur Urol Oncol 2021;4:802–10.
- [26] Churchill J, Sachdeva A, Jones C, et al. A0634–P16 status is an independent predictor of overall survival in metastatic penile cancer in a large contemporary cohort. Eur Urol 2023;83:S899–900.
- [27] Ren S, Gaykalova DA, Guo T, et al. HPV E2, E4, E5 drive alternative carcinogenic pathways in HPV positive cancers. Oncogene 2020;39:6327–39.
- [28] Veyer D, Wack M, Mandavit M, et al. HPV circulating tumoral DNA quantification by droplet-based digital PCR: a promising predictive and prognostic biomarker for HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancers. Int J Cancer 2020;147:1222–7.
- [29] Munoz N, Bosch FX, de Sanjose S, et al. Epidemiologic classification of human papillomavirus types associated with cervical cancer. N Engl J Med 2003;348:518–27.
- [30] Nygard M, Aagnes B, Bray F, Moller B, Mork J. Population-based evidence of increased survival in human papillomavirus-related head and neck cancer. Eur J Cancer 2012;48:1341–6.
- [31] Assel M, Sjoberg D, Elders A, et al. Guidelines for reporting of statistics for clinical research in urology. Eur Urol 2019;75:358–67.
- [32] Westra WH. Detection of human papillomavirus (HPV) in clinical samples: evolving methods and strategies for the accurate determination of HPV status of head and neck carcinomas. Oral Oncol 2014;50:771–9.